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Abstract

The Hippo pathway was initially identified in *Drosophila melanogaster* screens for tissue growth two decades ago, and has been a subject extensively studied in both *Drosophila* and mammals in the last several years. The core of the Hippo pathway consists of a kinase cascade, transcription co-activators, and DNA binding partners. Recent studies have expanded the Hippo pathway as a complex signaling network with over 30 components. This pathway is regulated by intrinsic cell machineries, such as cell-cell contact, cell polarity, and actin cytoskeleton, as well as by a wide range of signals, including cellular energy status, mechanical cues, and hormonal signals that act through G-protein coupled receptors. The major functions of the Hippo pathway have been defined to restrict tissue growth in adults, and modulate cell proliferation, differentiation, and migration in developing organs. Furthermore, dysregulation of the Hippo pathway leads to aberrant cell growth and neoplasia. In this review, we will focus on recent developments in our understanding of the molecular actions of the core Hippo kinase cascade and discuss key open questions in the regulation and function of the Hippo pathway.
The Hippo pathway was initially identified in *Drosophila*; however, most of the recent studies focus on its function and regulation in mammalian cells. Many new regulators of the Hippo pathway have been identified and characterized. We will first discuss recent discoveries in the mammalian Hippo pathway, and then introduce the *Drosophila* counterparts to provide a brief history of research in the Hippo pathway. This review will mainly focus on the molecular regulation and function of the core Hippo pathway components.

**The core kinase cascade of the Hippo pathway**

**Core components of the mammalian Hippo pathway**

In a classical view, the core of the Hippo pathway in mammals is a kinase cascade in which the mammalian Ste20-like kinases 1/2 (MST1/2) (homologues of Drosophila Hippo (Hpo)) phosphorylate and activate large tumor suppressor 1/2 (LATS1/2) (homologues of Drosophila Warts (Wts)) (Fig. 1A). The physiological output of this kinase cascade is to restrict the activities of two transcriptional co-activators, Yes-associated protein (YAP) and transcriptional co-activator with PDZ-binding motif (TAZ) (two homologues of Drosophila Yorkie (Yki)). When YAP and TAZ are active, they translocate into nucleus to bind the TEAD transcription factor family (homologues of Drosophila Scalloped (Sd)) and induce expression of a wide range of genes that are involved in cell proliferation, survival, and migration.

Mechanistically, the Hippo kinase cascade can be initiated by TAO kinases (TAOK1/2/3), which phosphorylate the activation loop of MST1/2 (Thr183 for MST1 and Thr180 for MST2) and thereby lead to MST1/2 activation 1,2. There is also evidence showing that the activation loop phosphorylation can be achieved by MST1/2 autophosphorylation 3. Consistent with this model, the activation loop phosphorylation is enhanced by MST1/2 dimerization 4. Therefore, it is possible that MST1/2 activation can be initiated by dimerization and does not necessarily require upstream kinases. Active MST1/2 phosphorylate SAV1 (homologue of Drosophila Salvador (Sav1)) and MOB1A/B (homologues of Drosophila Mats) 5,6, two scaffold proteins that assist MST1/2 in recruitment and phosphorylation of LATS1/2 at their hydrophobic motif (T1079 for LATS1 and T1041 for LATS2) 7,8. Another key player in this action is NF2/Merlin, which directly interacts with LATS1/2 and facilitates LATS1/2 phosphorylation by the MST1/2-SAV1 complex 8. LATS1/2 subsequently undergo autophosphorylation and are activated 9, and in turn phosphorylate and inactivate YAP and TAZ 10. In parallel to MST1/2, two groups of MAP4Ks (mitogen activated protein kinase kinase kinase kinase), MAP4K1/2/3/5 (homologues of Drosophila Happyhour (Hppy)) and MAP4K4/6/7 (homologues of Drosophila Misshapen (Msn)), can also directly phosphorylate LATS1/2 at their hydrophobic motif and result in LATS1/2 activation 11,12. In HEK293A cells, triple knockout of MAP4K4/6/7 reduces the phosphorylation of YAP/TAZ more dramatically than MST1/2 double knockout under serum deprivation, indicating that MAP4Ks may play a more prominent role than MST in Hippo pathway regulation under certain conditions 11. However, deletion of both MST1/2 and MAP4Ks are required to abolish YAP phosphorylation.
in response to LATS-activating signals, such as contact inhibition, energy stress, serum deprivation, and F-actin disassembly. Therefore, MST1/2 and MAP4Ks have partially redundant roles in LATS1/2 regulation. Phosphorylation of YAP and TAZ leads to their binding with 14-3-3, and the 14-3-3 binding causes cytoplasmic sequestration of YAP/TAZ. Moreover, LATS-induced phosphorylation triggers subsequent phosphorylation of YAP/TAZ by Casein kinase 1δ/ε and recruitment of the SCF E3 ubiquitin ligase, leading to eventual YAP/TAZ ubiquitination and degradation. In addition, YAP protein can also be degraded by autophagy.

YAP and TAZ are transcriptional co-activators and do not have DNA-binding domains. Rather, when translocated into the nucleus, they regulate gene expression through interaction with TEAD1-4, which are sequence-specific transcription factors that mediate the main transcriptional output of the Hippo pathway in mammalian cells. TEAD1-4 can also bind to VGLL4 in the nucleus and thus function as transcriptional repressors. The interaction between YAP/TAZ and TEAD1-4 dissociates VGLL4 from TEAD1-4 and thereby activates TEAD-mediated gene transcription to promote tissue growth and inhibit apoptosis. Mouse models with deletion of MST1/2, SAV1, MOB1A/B, NF2, or LATS1/2, or YAP overexpression all exhibit upregulation of TEAD target gene expression, increased expansion of progenitor cells, and tissue overgrowth, supporting the functional roles of these genes in the Hippo pathway.

The Drosophila Hippo core components

The Hippo pathway was named after Hpo, a Drosophila kinase gene that was independently identified to restrict tissue growth by several groups more than a decade ago. Hpo mutants exhibit uncontrolled growth in multiple tissues due to excessive cell proliferation and reduced apoptosis. These phenotypes, together with the elevated transcription of cycE1 and diap1, are very similar to those previously observed in mutants of salvador (sav) and warts (wts). Hpo, Sav, and Wts show genetic interactions, and Hpo directly phosphorylates and activates Wts. In fact, the Hippo pathway is also known as the Salvador/Warts/Hippo (SWH) pathway. Sav serves as an adaptor protein for Hpo to phosphorylate Wts and itself can also be phosphorylated by Hpo. Sav phosphorylation by Hpo promotes its interaction with Hpo, which promotes phosphorylation of Wts and transcriptional repression of cycE1 and diap1. In addition, the physical binding of Hpo to Sav promotes protein stability of Sav by preventing interaction between Sav and the HECT domain protein Herc4 (HECT and RLD domain containing E3 ligase), which functions as a Sav E3 ligase and induces Sav ubiquitylation and degradation. Another core component, Mats (Mob as tumor suppressor), was later identified as a Wts-interacting protein that potentiates Wts kinase activity. Loss of Mats also results in uncontrolled tissue growth similar to Hpo or Wts mutation in Drosophila.

The Hippo pathway effector Yorkie (Yki), which serves as the key link between Wts and the transcriptional regulation of CycE1 and Diap1, was discovered by a yeast two-hybrid screen in 2005. Overexpression of Yki recapitulates the hpo, wts, or sav mutant phenotypes in cell
proliferation, apoptosis, and tissue growth. The underlying biochemical mechanism is that Wts phosphorylates Yki, and leads to Yki's interaction with 14-3-3 and cytoplasmic retention. Yki regulates gene transcription through interacting with the Scalloped (Sd) transcription factor. In the absence of Yki binding, Sd binds to Tgi by default and actually represses gene expression. Yki replaces Tgi and converts Sd into a transcriptional activator. Therefore, a common molecular mechanism of Hippo pathway regulation is highly conserved between Drosophila and mammals. 

The Hippo pathway is regulated by a variety of intrinsic and extrinsic signals. In most scenarios, the central event of the Hippo pathway appears to be phosphorylation-dependent Wts activation and Yki inhibition. The major kinase for Wts is Hpo, which can be phosphorylated and activated by the Tao kinase. Phosphorylation of Wts by Hpo also requires adaptor proteins such as Mats/dMob1 and Merlin (Mer) to recruit Wts to the plasma membrane. Recent studies show that two other kinases, Misshapen (Msn) and Happyhour (Hppy), can activate Wts and repress Yki independently of Hpo. There is evidence that, like Hpo, Hppy also phosphorylates the hydrophobic motif of Wts. This study also shows that Msn cannot directly phosphorylate Wts. However, it is worth noting that human MAP4K4/6/7 (the Msn homologs) can directly phosphorylate and activate LATS. Therefore, future studies are needed to clarify whether Msn can directly phosphorylate and activate Wts. Identifications of Msn, Hppy, and their mammalian homologues MAP4Ks have greatly broadened the scope of the Hippo pathway, and also revealed the molecular basis of how various signals can activate LATS in MST1/2 knockout cells.

**Upstream signals that regulate the Hippo pathway**

Studies in the last decade have cemented YAP and TAZ as the major effectors of the Hippo pathway, which regulates the phosphorylation-induced cytoplasmic retention and protein degradation of YAP and TAZ in response to a myriad of intrinsic and extrinsic signals. These signals, in most scenarios, modulate phosphorylation events of the core kinase cascade through peripheral components of the Hippo pathway. In addition, there are a number of proteins that directly regulate YAP localization or transactivation without affecting LATS kinase activity. Moreover, the Hippo pathway crosstalks with Wingless/Ints (Wnt), Bone Morphogenetic Proteins (BMP), Notch, and Hedgehogs (Hh), as these signals also modulate the activities of YAP and TAZ. In this section, we summarize upstream signals and the peripheral Hippo pathway components that relay signals to the core kinase cascade (Fig. 2).

**Physical cues: cell contact and mechanical signal**

Organ growth and development involve many coordinated actions of cells to adapt to physical restraints and extracellular mechanical cues. Tissue architecture physically restricts cell growth and proliferation, and in many cases leads to cell quiescence. For example, cell-cell contact at
high cell density produces a growth inhibitory signal that is in large part mediated by the Hippo pathway\textsuperscript{10,52,53}. As a result, LATS kinase is activated at high cell density whereas LATS is inactive at low cell density. YAP inactivation is critically important for cell contact inhibition in cell culture. The regulation of the YAP-TEAD transcription program by contact inhibition is also crucial for embryo development\textsuperscript{52-54}. The increased adherens junctions and tight junctions in confluent cells contribute to activation of LATS and inactivation of YAP and TAZ\textsuperscript{10,55}. Furthermore, loss of cell spreading or decrease of cell size may also be involved as extracellular matrix (ECM) stiffness regulates YAP and TAZ subcellular localization through changes in cell geometry and cytoskeleton tension\textsuperscript{56,57}. Physical attachment of cells to ECM is essential for cells to survive and grow. Attachment of cells to ECM induces YAP nuclear localization through activation of Rho GTPases or FAK-Src-PI3K pathway\textsuperscript{49,58}. Disruption of F-actin blocks the effect of attachment on YAP phosphorylation and nuclear localization. In contrast, detachment of cells inactivates YAP and TAZ and triggers anoikis in a LATS-dependent manner (Zhao et al. 2012). The cell attachment certainly provides mechanical signal to the cell as the culture plate surface has high stiffness. In addition, YAP and TAZ activities are also modulated by stretching and edge/curvature contouring an epithelial sheet\textsuperscript{59}. This regulation by mechanical forces similarly requires Rho-GTPases and F-actin capping/severing proteins as mediators, and is proposed to function as a physical checkpoint of cell growth and a cell fate determination during stem cell differentiation\textsuperscript{59}. In fact, activation of YAP and TAZ by increasing substrate rigidity greatly enhances differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells into motor neuron cells, suggesting a potential application of engineered substrates to produce particular types of differentiated cells\textsuperscript{60}.

Recent studies also show that YAP and TAZ are activated by shear stress from fluid flow, indicating a physiological and disease-relevant role of YAP and TAZ in endothelial cell differentiation and vascular homeostasis\textsuperscript{61,62}. The physiological relevance of mechanical forces and cell growth has also been established in a\textit{Drosophila} study\textsuperscript{63}. Cytoskeleton tension inhibits Wts and subsequently activates Yorkie and promotes wing growth through recruiting Wts to adherens junctions by α-catenin and Jub. Upon tissue injury, anatomic alternations and emerging space in organs promote cells to exit quiescence and re-enter the cell cycle to expand cell populations and thus maintain tissue homeostasis. From\textit{Drosophila} to rodent models, genetic inactivation of the Hippo pathway consistently results in overgrowth phenotypes in a variety of organs whereas inactivation of YAP/TAZ impairs wound healing\textsuperscript{64}.

Most studies have indicated that Rho GTPases and the actin cytoskeleton play an essential role in regulation of YAP and TAZ by mechanotransduction; however, the involvement of the Hippo core kinase cascade (MST-LATS) is still under debate. Earlier studies exclude MST1/2 and LATS1/2 in the regulation of YAP/TAZ nuclear translocation and transcriptional activation, because RNA interference targeting LATS1/2 does not block YAP and TAZ regulation by ECM stiffness\textsuperscript{56}. However, it was recently reported that mechanical strain suppresses YAP phosphorylation and promotes YAP nuclear translocation by inactivating LATS1/2 in a JNK-dependent manner\textsuperscript{65}. Future studies are required to delineate the mechanosensor/receptor as well as the role of the core Hippo kinase in mechanical signal-induced YAP/TAZ regulation.
Soluble factors and G-protein coupled receptors

Tissue growth requires nutrients as well as hormonal signals via autocrine, paracrine, and endocrine mechanisms. Moreover, nutrient uptake is also under the control of growth-stimulating signals. It had long been speculated that extracellular molecules, such as hormones or growth factors, might regulate the Hippo pathway in order to control tissue growth and homeostasis. A major breakthrough in the Hippo pathway came with the discovery that diffusive molecules, such as lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) and sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P), activate and stabilize YAP and TAZ through their G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), LPAR and SIPR. A series of studies further demonstrate that regulation of the Hippo pathway by GPCRs is indeed a universal response of cells to hormonal cues. Mechanistically, Rho GTPases mediate the actions of GPCRs on YAP and TAZ. Gα12/13 and Gαq/11-coupled GPCRs activate Rho GTPases, which in turn inactivate LATS1/2 by a yet unknown mechanism that is dependent on F-actin assembly. In contrast, activation of GαS-coupled GPCRs by epinephrine and glucagon increases LATS kinase activities and inactivates YAP and TAZ in a manner dependent on protein kinase A (PKA). Therefore, depending on the nature of downstream G-proteins, GPCRs can either activate or inhibit the LATS kinase to stimulate or suppress YAP activity. Elevated GPCR expression or mutation of Gα proteins leads to aberrant YAP activation and exhibits strong disease implications. For example, estrogen acts through GPER to inhibit LATS and activate YAP/TAZ, indicating a possible role of YAP/TAZ activation by estrogen in breast cancer. GPCRs are the largest family of the plasma membrane receptors and mediate the actions of hundreds of extracellular molecules. The regulation of YAP and TAZ by GPCRs implies that the Hippo pathway is not only modulated by a large number of hormonal signals but also contributes to a wide range of physiological regulation, and may be targeted for disease intervention with GPCR agonists or antagonists.

Among GPCR ligands, the Wnt proteins, such as Wnt5a/b, are particularly noteworthy. Wnt5a/b activate non-canonical Wnt signaling by binding to the Frizzled receptors, the Class F GPCRs. As both the Hippo pathway and canonical Wnt signaling are master regulators of tissue growth and morphogenesis, crosstalk between the two pathways has been extensively studied and thoroughly summarized by recent reviews. It was recently reported that the non-canonical Wnt ligands, Wnt5a/b, activate YAP/TAZ through Gα12/13-Rho-LATS signaling axis by binding to the Frizzled receptors. This regulation of YAP/TAZ by Wnt5a/b is indeed required for non-canonical Wnt signaling to function in cell differentiation and migration as well as antagonizing the canonical Wnt/beta-catenin activation.

Stress signals
The most recognized functional output of YAP and TAZ is to promote cell survival and proliferation\textsuperscript{24,25,40}. Therefore, it is not surprising that several stress signals can suppress YAP and TAZ activities. However, the regulation of YAP and TAZ by stress signals, such as energy stress, endoplasmic reticulum stress, and hypoxia, has been characterized only in the last couple of years, although activation of MST1/2 by a high concentration of sodium arsenite or heat shock was observed a long time ago\textsuperscript{77}. MST1/2 are also activated by hydrogen peroxide and involved in cellular oxidative stress responses\textsuperscript{78,79}. On the other hand, YAP physically interacts with FoxO1 and activates FoxO1-mediated transcription of catalase and MnSOD genes, and subsequently reduces oxidative stress and ischaemia/reperfusion (I/R)—induced injury in the heart\textsuperscript{80}, implicating a physiological role of YAP in ROS scavenging.

Cells rely on carbohydrates as their main energy source. Energy stress caused by glucose deprivation rapidly induces YAP and TAZ phosphorylation due to LATS1/2 activation, which is enhanced by phosphorylation of AMOTL1 at Serine 793 by AMPK\textsuperscript{81}. Furthermore, energy stress-activated AMPK directly phosphorylates YAP at multiple sites and this phosphorylation interferes with the interaction between YAP and TEAD, thus inhibiting TEAD-mediated gene transcription\textsuperscript{82,83}. The additional layer of YAP and TAZ regulation by AMPK is physiologically important in the central brain/ventral nerve cord development in \textit{Drosophila} neural systems\textsuperscript{38}. Accessibility of nutrients other than glucose also affects the Hippo pathway. For instance, the nutrient sensing kinases Salt-induced Kinase 2 and 3 phosphorylate Sav at Ser 413 to promote Yki target gene expression\textsuperscript{84}. Both mTORC1 and mTORC2 are reported to positively regulate YAP in perivascular epithelioid cell tumors and glioblastomas\textsuperscript{15,85,86}. It is worth noting that mTORC1 is highly sensitive to nutrient availability and cellular energy status. In \textit{Drosophila}, the TOR pathway can regulate Yki’s ability to access its target genes in the nucleus\textsuperscript{87}. TOR inhibition by nutrient deprivation prevents nuclear Yki from activating its target genes. Besides nutrient stress, inhibition of cholesterol synthesis indirectly inhibits YAP, possibly due to inhibition of the Rho family GTPases, which require C-terminal isoprenylation and membrane localization for their proper biological functions\textsuperscript{88}. Therefore, the Hippo pathway is subjected to regulation by cellular nutrient status.

In contrast to oxidative stress and energy stress, hypoxia seems to induce YAP and TAZ activation by inhibiting LATS. Hypoxia activates an E3 ubiquitin ligase SIAH2, which destabilizes LATS2. Targeting SIAH2 in tumor cells restores the tumor suppressor function of LATS2 in a xenograft animal model\textsuperscript{89}. The regulation of YAP by the unfolded protein response (UPR) remains convoluted, and seems to be more complicated than other stresses. In the initial stage of UPR, YAP is activated by PERK-eIF2alpha. However, prolonged ER stress suppresses YAP\textsuperscript{90}. In fact, deletion of MST1/2 in mouse livers triggers UPR as well as induces hepatocellular carcinogenesis, while attenuation of UPR by tauroursodeoxycholic acid causes degradation of YAP and reduces tumor burdens.

**Cell polarity and architecture**

In \textit{Drosophila}, apical-basal polarity and planar cell polarity provide the intrinsic cues to restrict Yki activity in the epithelium. Many types of polarity machineries, such as adherens junctions,
tight junctions, Mer/Ex/Kibra complex, Crumbs, Par complex, and Fat/Dachsous, are involved in this action to maintain the differentiation and morphology of the epithelium in a partially redundant manner. This subject has been comprehensively reviewed elsewhere. Recent studies show that loss of Spectrin, a contractile protein at the cytoskeleton-membrane interface, also generates Hpo mutant-like tissue overgrowth phenotypes in Drosophila wing and eye, which are likely due to dysregulated cytoskeleton tension.

The restriction of Yki activity by cell polarity is caused by either the increased activity and the availability of Hpo/Wts towards Yki, or sequestration of Yki at cell junctions. Mammalian cells have very similar polarity machinery for YAP/TAZ regulation. For example, Pard3 regulates TAZ activity by promoting the LATS1 and protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) interaction. Therefore, YAP/TAZ activity is low in terminally differentiated cells in the epithelium and preferentially present in tissue progenitor cells in mammals. In addition, YAP/Yki activity is regulated both autonomously and non-autonomously by apical-basal cell polarity proteins and adherens junctions, respectively, indicating that different signal inputs may use different cell polarity complexes and junction proteins to regulate the Hippo pathway.

**Cell cycle**

LATS1/2 have been considered as regulators of G1/S, G2/M, and mitosis checkpoints, and are phosphorylated in a cell cycle-dependent manner in Hela cells. However, the intrinsic mechanisms by which LATS1/2 are activated during the cell cycle are still unclear, although a few kinases, such as CDK1 and Aurora A, have been shown to directly phosphorylate LATS1 and LATS2, respectively, during mitosis. It was recently reported that extra centrosomes caused by cytokinesis failure activate LATS2, which in turn stabilizes p53 and inhibits YAP/TAZ transcriptional activity. LATS1 also interacts with CDK2 in response to genotoxic stress to restrict CDK2-mediated phosphorylation of BRCA2 and support RAD51 nucleofilaments, thereby maintaining genome fidelity during replication stalling. YAP in complex with the transcription factor PKNOX1 has been shown to control S-phase temporal progression and genomic stability of retinal stem cell.

YAP and TAZ are phosphorylated at multiple sites by CDK1 during the G2/M phase of cell cycle. However, the physiological outcomes of these phosphorylation events are rather perplexing, as their effects on cell growth and migration are not entirely consistent among reports from different groups. While some studies show that CDK1-mediated YAP phosphorylation during the G2-M phase may promote neoplastic transformation via enhancing cell migration and invasion, others suggest that anti-tubulin drugs require YAP phosphorylation by CDK1 to induce cancer cell deaths. This inconsistency may be due to different experimental conditions that differentially affect the coordination between CDK1/2 and LATS in modulation of YAP activity.
Mechanisms of Hippo kinase cascade activation

LATS1/2 belong to the NDR (nuclear Dbf2-related) family of kinases, a subgroup of the protein kinase A/G/C (AGC) family. The other two members of NDR family are NDR1 (STK38) and NDR2 (STK38L). Several recent proteomic studies of the Hippo pathway interactome consistently place NDR1/2 in the Hippo pathway network. NDR1/2 might function as a YAP kinase to inhibit YAP-driven tumorigenesis in the intestinal epithelium. It is worth noting that NDR1/2 and LATS1/2 share similar phosphorylation motifs. However, the exact role of NDR1/2 in the Hippo pathway regulation still needs to be further defined as deletion of LATS1/2 is sufficient to abolish YAP phosphorylation and cause constitutive YAP nuclear localization under most conditions examined.

The NDR family kinases require phosphorylation of a conserved Ser/Thr residue within the activation loop and the hydrophobic-motif regulatory-site for activation. Phosphorylation of the hydrophobic motif is mediated by upstream Ste20-like kinases: MST1/2 for LATS1/2 and NDR1/2; and MST3 for NDR1/2. Recent studies have shown that MAP4Ks, also members of the Ste20 family, can phosphorylate the LATS1/2 hydrophobic motif. The Ste20-like kinase-mediated phosphorylation of the hydrophobic motif promotes LATS autophosphorylation in the activation loop, therefore leading to increase of kinase activity. Interestingly, the interaction between MOB proteins (human genome encodes six MOBs — MOB1A/B, MOB2 and MOB3A/B/C) and the N-terminal regulatory domain of the kinases is a common feature of NDR family kinases, although LATS1/2 and NDR1/2 appear to utilize distinct subsets of MOB proteins. MOB1A/B associate with both LATS1/2 and NDR1/2, while MOB2 mediates an inhibitory interaction with NDR1/2 but not with LATS1/2.

A recent crystal structure study provides new molecular insights into MOB1’s roles in LATS1/2 phosphorylation and activation by MST1/2 as a sequential phosphorylation model is proposed. MST2 autophosphorylates its long linker between the kinase domain and the SARA domain to generate a phospho-docking motif, which can recruit MOB1. The structure of the MOB1-phosphoMST2 complex shows that the binding of MOB1 to the phosphorylated MST2 relieves MOB1 from its autoinhibitory conformation and makes MOB1 accessible to LATS1. Then, LATS1 binds to the MOB1-PhosphoMST2 complex to form the MST2-MOB1-LATS1 ternary complex, thereby enhancing the phosphorylation of MOB1 at its N terminal tail (T35 and T12) and LATS1 at its hydrophobic motif (T1079) by MST2. Phosphorylation of T1079 in LATS1 by MST2 directly contributes to LATS1 activation, while phosphorylation of MOB1 actually triggers the dissociation of phosphorylated LATS1 and MOB1 from MST2. The structure of the phosphoMOB1 and LATS1 complex further reveals that, in addition to mediating the actions of MST2 on LATS1, the phosphorylated MOB1 allosterically promotes LATS1 autophosphorylation at its activation loop (S909), which is required for LATS1 activation after its hydrophobic motif T1079 has been phosphorylated by MST2. This study reveals structural insights into the molecular mechanism of LATS1/2 activation by MST1/2 and the critical role of MOB1 in this process.
Moreover, another recent study using the crystal structure of the budding yeast homologues of NDR and MOB, Cbk1 and Mob2, shows that Mob2 binding to Cbk1 not only promotes enzymatic activity of Cbk1, but also creates a docking motif for Cbk1 substrates. This docking is crucial for robustness and substrate selectivity of Cbk1, which is unique among AGC family kinases, indicating a role of MOB not only in kinase activation but also in substrate specificity. One may speculate that a similar mechanism is employed in the activation of mammalian MOB and NDR/LATS kinases.

**The regulation of LATS-activating kinases, MST1/2 and MAP4Ks**

The LATS1/2-dependent phosphorylation appears to be the most important event in YAP/TAZ regulation in mammals, as LATS1/2 knockout cells abolish most, if not all, YAP/TAZ phosphorylation in response to many known regulatory signals of the Hippo pathway. We, along with others, have recently reported that MST1/2 and MAP4Ks act in parallel to phosphorylate and activate LATS1/2, and deletion of both MST1/2 and MAP4Ks is required to abolish LATS1/2 hydrophobic motif phosphorylation and activation. Regulation of MST1/2 kinase activity has been extensively studied. MST1/2 requires phosphorylation of the activation loop to be fully active, which can be achieved by trans-phosphorylation by TAOK1/2/3 or autophosphorylation by MST-dimerization. A few other kinases, such as AKT, ABL, and mTOR, may phosphorylate MST1/2 at multiple sites and modulate kinase activity of MST1/2 by different mechanisms. However, the role of MST1/2 regulation by these kinases in the Hippo pathway has not been implicated. The STRIPAK complex, the core of which is Protein Phosphatase 2A (PP2A), interacts with MST1/2 and may contribute to MST1/2 dephosphorylation in some context. However, this regulation by STRIPAK still requires further studies because it is unknown if the interaction or activity of STRIPAK is regulated by signals that are known to control the Hippo pathway.

In addition to interacting with MOB1, MST1/2 also directly interact with SAV1 and RASSFs. SAV1 is also a substrate of MST1/2 and is stabilized by MST1/2 phosphorylation. It mainly works as a scaffold protein to bridge MST1/2 to LATS1/2. It has not been shown whether SAV1 directly affects MST1/2 kinase activity. The functional role of RASSFs in MST1/2 regulation can be either positive or negative, and may depend on the status of MST1/2. Nevertheless, it is evident that RASSFs disrupt MST1/2 dimerization and prevent their autophosphorylation. However, interaction of RASSF with already activated MST1/2 may prevent MST1/2 dephosphorylation and therefore sustain MST1/2 kinase activity.

In *Drosophila*, the Rho-type guanine nucleotide exchange factor Pix (PAK-interacting exchange factor) and G-protein-coupled receptor kinase-interacting protein (Git) have also been suggested to influence Hpo kinase activity by facilitating Hpo dimerization and autophosphorylation. However, a mammalian homologue of Pix, ARHGEF7, may function as a scaffold protein between LATS1/2 and YAP/TAZ to facilitate actions of the Hippo kinase cascade. Therefore, the
regulation of MST is rather complex and future studies are needed to provide a clear biochemical understanding of MST activation in response to various upstream signals.

On the other hand, the regulation of MAP4Ks has not been extensively studied. MAP4Ks, as well as MST1/2, can be cleaved by Caspase 3/6/7 upon Fas-induced apoptosis. The cleaved kinase domain is active and may activate the JNK pathway (MEKK1-MKK4/7-JNK1/2) or the p38 pathway (MAP3K-MKK3/6-p38MAPK) \(^{137}\). However, the cleaved MAP4Ks and especially MST1/2, have lost certain domains, such as the coiled-coil and SARAH domains that are essential for their interaction with SAV1 or LATS1/2 \(^{137}\). Therefore, the caspase-dependent MAP4Ks and MST1/2 activation may not be relevant to the Hippo pathway. A few MAP4Ks, including MAP4K1/4/6, are known to interact with NCK1 (non-catalytic region of tyrosine kinase adaptor protein 1), which is an adaptor protein containing Src homology 2 and 3 (SH2 and SH3) domains \(^{138-141}\). NCK1 is located in the cytoplasm, and involved in Ras GTPase activation by receptor tyrosine kinases \(^{142}\), as well as Rho GTPase activation and actin cytoskeleton remodeling \(^{143,144}\). Given the important role of Rho GTPases and actin cytoskeleton in Hippo regulation, it would be important to investigate whether NCK1 relays the signals of growth factors, cytoskeleton, and mechanotransduction to LATS1/2 through MAP4Ks.

**Spatial regulation of MST and LATS**

Unlike the large changes in kinase activity of LATS1/2 upon stimulation, kinase activity of MST1/2 does not appear to be dramatically altered under conditions that are known to affect the Hippo pathway. Instead, the accessibility of MST1/2 to LATS1/2 may be a key factor for LATS1/2 activation by MST1/2.

Models of spatial regulation of LATS1/2 kinase activities were proposed a long time ago and have further been refined in the last few years. Early studies have shown that Hpo/Sav interact with Mer/Ex and Wts associates with Kibra \(^{145,146}\). This interaction suggests that the Mer/Ex/Kibra complex may recruit Hpo and Wts to the apical plasma membrane and results in Wts phosphorylation by Hpo. This model is also supported by evidence that membrane-targeting MST1/2 or MOB1 greatly elevates kinases activities of LATS1/2 in mammalian cells \(^{7,134}\). An updated model proposes that LATS1/2 and MST1/2 are cytoplasmic in their inactive state, and are recruited by NF2 and SAV1, respectively, to plasma membrane where LATS1/2 are phosphorylated and activated by MST1/2 \(^{8}\). However, a recent study in Drosophila suggests that inactive Wts is localized at adherens junctions through Jub, and Wts and Hpo are relocated to Crb-Ex apical junctions to induce Wts phosphorylation and activation \(^{95}\). It is noteworthy that Crb3, a mammalian Crumbs isoform that determines epithelial apical domain identity, also promotes the interaction between YAP and LATS1/2 at apical cell junctions to induce YAP phosphorylation and thereby control airway cell differentiation \(^{147}\). Therefore, an appealing model is that spatial regulation by NF2 dependent recruitment plays a key role in LATS1/2 activation.
Regulation of LATS1/2 protein levels by ubiquitination and beyond

LATS1/2 activities are regulated through various means beyond phosphorylation (Fig. 4). One notable post-translational regulation of LATS1/2 is ubiquitination. A WW domain-containing HECT class E3 ubiquitin ligase ITCH ubiquitinates LATS1 and promotes cell growth and survival. Another E3 ubiquitin ligase CRL4 (DCAF1), which is activated in NF2-deficient tumor cells, inhibits LATS1 and LATS2 by ubiquitination in the nucleus. Ubiquitination of LATS1/2 also plays a role in stress response and differentiation. For instance, a hypoxia-activated E3 ubiquitin ligase SIAH2 destabilizes LATS2 and promotes YAP activation and tumorigenesis. NEDD4, another E3 HECT ubiquitin ligase, ubiquitinates and destabilizes both LATS2 and SAV1, and thus activates YAP to enhance intestinal stem cell self-renewal. In fact, ubiquitination of other Hippo pathway components has also been reported, indicating that ubiquitination as a common regulatory mechanism often resulting in degradation of the Hippo pathway components and hyperactivation of YAP and TAZ.

LATS is also regulated at the transcriptional level. LATS2 is a direct target gene of YAP/TAZ, and LATS2 mRNA levels are increased upon YAP/TAZ activation. This LATS2 upregulation constitutes a negative feedback loop to maintain the homeostasis of the Hippo pathway and prevent overactivation of YAP/TAZ. In addition, LATS1/2 are regulated by aurora kinase- or PKA-mediated phosphorylation or physical interaction with ARHGEF7, Zyxin, AMOT and LIMD1. These differential regulations of LATS1/2 serve as additional layers of control, in concurrence with MST1/2- and MAP4Ks-mediated protein phosphorylation, to modulate cell survival and growth.

The YAP/TAZ transcriptional programs and their functional output

Studies of Drosophila and mouse models have established the role of YAP/Yki in regulating tissue progenitor cell self-renew and expansion, especially in gastrointestinal tissues. Although earlier transgenic mouse studies have shown striking phenotypes and established a role of the Hippo pathway in development and carcinogenesis, many more refined transgenic mouse models with tissue-specific deletion and inducible overexpression have been generated in the last few years. These mouse model studies allow for more detailed characterizations of the physiological contribution of individual Hippo pathway components to the tissue growth, cell differentiation, cell competition, and malignant transformation.

An important function of the Hippo pathway seems to be the inactivation of YAP and TAZ in differentiated cells to maintain cell quiescence. Upon tissue injury, the Hippo pathway is suppressed, and YAP and TAZ are activated to promote stem/progenitor cell self-renewal and tissue repair (Fig. 5). Consistently, wounding of in vitro cultured cells dramatically activates YAP and the high nuclear YAP drives cell migration and proliferation to promote wound
healing. Both basic and clinical cancer research have implicated a role of YAP and TAZ in cancer initiation and development through suppressing cell apoptosis and promoting cell proliferation. Concordantly, YAP and TAZ have been considered as therapeutic targets for a number of cancers, as well as several other diseases. Notably, the R331W missense mutation of YAP has recently been linked to a germline risk in lung adenocarcinoma. Moreover, almost all epithelioid hemangioendotheliomas contain gene fusions of TAZ-CAMTA1, TAZ-FOSB, or YAP-TFE3, strongly supporting a role of YAP/TAZ in human tumorigenesis.

The function of YAP and TAZ is believed to be mainly mediated through TEAD1-4, as YAP and TAZ do not bind to DNA directly, and they act as transcriptional co-activators of TEAD1-4, although YAP and TAZ have been also reported to associate with several other transcription factors. Many genes are transcriptionally activated by TEAD complexed with YAP and/or TAZ. Mechanistically, YAP and TAZ stimulate TEAD transcriptional activity by recruiting components of the SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling complex or NCOA6 histone methyltransferase complex. Interestingly, the YAP/TAZ-TEAD complex can also operate as transcriptional corepressors by recruiting the NuRD histone deacetylase complex for additional target genes, such as DDIT4 and Trail. Further studies are needed to show the generality of YAP/TAZ as transcriptional repressors. Nevertheless, nuclear YAP/TAZ can either induce or repress gene expression.

Recent efforts to elucidate the genome-wide action of YAP/TAZ through deep sequencing have led to some unanticipated features of YAP and TAZ in transcriptional regulation. In *Drosophila*, Yki binds to promoter regions to mediate transcriptional activation. Similarly, the transcriptional functions of YAP/TAZ have been previously associated to the binding of TEADs at the promoters of target genes. However, ChiP-seq studies in cancer cells (breast cancer, glioblastoma, cholangiocarcinoma, and malignant mesothelioma) as well as non-transformed cells (IMR90) have revealed that the majority of YAP/TAZ and TEAD binds to distal enhancer regions to induce gene transcription. Through *de novo* motif analyses at YAP/TAZ peaks, Stein et al. and Zanconato et al. confirmed early observations that YAP/TAZ mainly interact with TEAD to bind DNA. However, these two independent studies also identified that the consensus motif for AP-1 transcription factors is significantly enriched in the YAP binding regions, suggesting that YAP/TAZ-TEAD coorporate with AP-1 to synergistically activate target genes. AP-1 is a heterodimeric protein complex composed of JUN and FOS families of leucine-zipper proteins. TEADs appear to mediate the interaction to AP-1. Supporting the role of AP-1 in YAP/TAZ-TEAD-mediated transcription, YAP/TAZ-induced MCF10A mammary epithelial cell growth is enhanced by AP-1, while AP-1-driven skin tumorigenesis is blunted by YAP/TAZ depletion. Galli et al. found that YAP associates with the Mediator complex to recruit CDK9 elongating kinase, mediating transcriptional pause release. Consistently, administration of the CDK9 kinase inhibitor Flavopiridol prevented YAP-driven hepatomegery in mice.

A model for YAP/TAZ in gene expression has been emerged. YAP/TAZ bind to DNA mainly via TEAD. However, the majority of YAP/TAZ proteins appear to bind to distal enhancers, though...
some YAP/TAZ proteins bind to promoters to induce target gene expression. YAP/TAZ coorporate with AP1 and/or recruit additional regulators to stimulate de novo transcription initiation and enhance transcription elongation, thereby increasing target gene expression. Given the role of YAP and TAZ in controlling stem/progenitor cells in development and tissue homeostasis, it would be informative to perform ChIP-seq analyses of YAP/TAZ and TEAD in stem cells or primary tissue progenitor cells in order to gain new insights into how the YAP/TAZ-mediated transcription program coordinates the expression of multiple downstream genes to control tissue development and homeostasis.

Redefining the Hippo pathway

The Hippo pathway is named after the Drosophila Hpo kinase. The Hpo-Wts kinase cascade constitutes the axis of the Hippo pathway in Drosophila, and the functional outputs are exclusively mediated by Yki. To date, other than Wts, Sav, and Mats, there have been few reports on Hpo substrates in Drosophila. However, in the mammalian cells, the functional outputs of MST1/2 are not limited to YAP/TAZ. MST1/2 are known to phosphorylate a number of other ‘non-Hippo’ proteins in addition to LATS1/2, SAV1, and MOB1. For instance, NDR1/2 are reported to be MST1/2 substrates that regulate thymocyte egress and T cell migration. MST1/2 can phosphorylate FOXO1 to promote its nuclear localization and transcription of genes promoting apoptosis in mammalian neurons. The apoptotic and functional roles of MST1 in pancreatic β cells also appear to be independent of LATS1/2, but rely on PDX1 phosphorylation by MST1 and JNK. Similarly, LATS1/2 are not involved in PRDX1 phosphorylation and inactivation by MST1 in hydrogen peroxide-treated cells. In addition to their roles in cell death and stress responses, MST1/2 affect autophagy by directly phosphorylating Beclin 1 and LC3, although it is still unclear whether MST1 promotes or inhibits autophagy. A few other proteins such as H2B histone proteins and VASP are reported as MST1/2 substrates. Therefore, MST1/2 have broad functions in addition to regulating core Hippo pathway components of LATS1/2 and YAP/TAZ.

Conversely, LATS1/2 and YAP/TAZ can be regulated even in the absence of MST1/2. In Drosophila, Hppy can phosphorylate Wts at the hydrophobic motif of Wts. In fact, both Hppy and Msn activate Wts kinase activities and inhibit Yki transcriptional activity as does Hpo. Hppy homologues (MAP4K1/2/3/5) and Msn homologues (MAP4K4/6/7) can directly phosphorylate and activate LATS1/2 and they appear to have a more important function than MST1/2 in the regulation of LATS1/2 and YAP/TAZ in mammalian cells in response to several upstream signals. On the contrary, LATS1/2 are essential for regulation of YAP and TAZ under most conditions tested whereas MST1/2 are not. Therefore, the definition of the Hippo pathway may need to be redefined. Although MST1/2 are the mammalian homologs of the Drosophila Hpo, not all proteins and functions that are regulated by MST1/2 should be defined as the Hippo pathway. On the other hand, functional output of YAP/TAZ and proteins that specifically regulate LATS1/2 kinase activity and/or YAP/TAZ transcriptional activity should be considered as the Hippo...
pathway. This definition will more accurately describe the actions of the Hippo pathway in response to extracellular and intracellular stimuli and their physiological outcomes.
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**Figure 1. The core Hippo pathway in mammals and Drosophila.**

A. The mammalian Hippo pathway. When the Hippo pathway is inactive, YAP and TAZ are unphosphorylated and localized in the nucleus to compete with VGLL4 for TEAD binding, and activation of gene transcription. The Hippo pathway can be activated by TAO kinases, which phosphorylate MST1/2 at its activation loop. MST1/2 in turn phosphorylate LATS1/2 facilitated by scaffold proteins SAV1, MOB1, and NF2. MAP4K4/6/7 and MAP4K1/2/3/5 also phosphorylate and activate LATS1/2. Phosphorylation of LATS1/2 by MAP4K4/6/7 requires NF2 (also known as Mer). Activated LATS1/2 phosphorylate YAP and TAZ, leading to 14-3-3-mediated YAP and TAZ cytoplasmic retention and SCF-mediated YAP and TAZ degradation.

B. The Drosophila Hippo pathway. Active Yki completes Tgi to interact with Sd in nucleus, and activates the transcription of Sd target genes. When Hpo is activated by Tao kinase or dimerization, it phosphorylates and activates Wts with assistance of the scaffold proteins Sav1 and Mats as well as Mer. It is unclear whether Msn and Hppy requires Mer and Sav1 to phosphorylate and activate Wts. Active Wts phosphorylates and inactivates Yki, leading to 14-3-3-mediated Yki cytoplasmic retention.

**Figure 2. Regulation of the Hippo pathway by upstream signals.**

Cyclic stretch or high extracellular matrix stiffness inhibits LATS1/2 phosphorylation through Rho-GTPases and JNK1/2. GPCRs can either activate or suppress LATS1/2 depending on the types of the Gα proteins involved. The LATS1/2 activation is also controlled by cell polarity and architecture through Kibra/NF2, adherens junctions, and tight junctions. Energy status modulates YAP and TAZ activity via AMPK. Cell cycle affects YAP and TAZ through either LATS1/2- or CDK1-mediated YAP phosphorylation.

**Figure 3. A proposed sequential phosphorylation model of LATS activation by MST.**

First, upon activation MST1/2 autophosphorylates its linker region, which is between the catalytic domain and the SARAH domain, at multiple sites to create a phosphor-docking site for MOB1. Second, phosphorylated MST binds to MOB1 and changes MOB1 from an autoinhibitory state to a conformation that is open for LATS binding. Third, LATS binds to MOB1 potentially through recruitment by NF2 to MST-SAV1 complex at plasma membrane. Fourth, the formation of MST-MOB1-LATS complex enables MST to phosphorylate MOB1’s N-terminal tail at Thr 12 (T12) and Thr 35 (T35), and phosphorylate LATS at its hydrophobic motif (HM). Fifth, the phosphorylated N-terminal tail of MOB1
completes with the phosphor-MST linker for the same binding site on MOB1, and thus releases MOB1 and LATS from MST. Sixth, phosphor-MOB1 allostERICally enhances LATS autophosphorylation at its activation loop (AL) and thus promotes LATS activation.

**Figure 4. Domain structure and protein interaction of LATS1/2.**

Kinase activity of LATS1/2 is primary regulated by MST1/2 and MAP4Ks through the hydrophobic motif phosphorylation, followed by autophosphorylation of the activation loop of LATS1/2. There is putative ubiquitin-associated domain (UBA) in the N termini of the kinases, and several E3 ubiquitination ligases, such as ITCH, SIAH-2, NEDD4, and DCAF1, are known to regulate LATS1/2 protein stability. The binding of MOB, ZYXIN, LIMD1 with the protein binding domain (PBD) can also regulate LATS1/2 kinase activity or availability. YAP and TAZ interact with LATS1/2 through the PPxY motifs of LATS1/2. Aurora kinases A/B phosphorylate LATS2 and affect its subcellular locations. PA repeat, Proline-Alanine residue repeat. AL, activation loop. HM, hydrophobic motif.

**Figure 5. The transcription program of the Hippo pathway.**

YAP and TAZ bind to TEAD at promoters or enhancers of the target genes to regulate the transcription activation or pause release. The TEAD target genes are involved in a variety of physiological processes such as cell survival, proliferation, differentiation, migration, and invasion.
ECM stiffness

GPCRs

G \text{12/13}  
G \text{q/11}  
G \text{s}

Cell polarity

TAOK1/2/3

KIBRA/NF2  
TJ/AJ proteins

Energy stress

AMPK

Rho GTPases

JNK1/2

MST1/2  
MAP4Ks

LATS1/2

YAP/TAZ

Cell cycle

CDK1

GENESDEV/2015/274027  Meng et al. Fig. 2
Meng et al. Fig. 3

1. MST
   - Catalytic
   - Phosphor-docking
   - MOB1
   - T12 T35
   - Auto-inhibition
   - LATS
   - AL
   - NF2
   - Linker

2. MST
   - Catalytic
   - Phosphor-docking
   - MOB1
   - T12 T35
   - Open conformation
   - LATS
   - AL
   - NF2
   - Linker

3. MST
   - Catalytic
   - Phosphor-docking
   - MOB1
   - T12 T35
   - SAV1
   - Plasma membrane targeting
   - LATS
   - AL
   - NF2

4. MST
   - Catalytic
   - Phosphor-docking
   - MOB1
   - T12 T35
   - HM
   - LATS
   - AL
   - NF2
   - Linker

5. MST
   - Catalytic
   - Phosphor-docking
   - MOB1
   - T12 T35
   - HM
   - SAV1
   - Linker

6. MST
   - Catalytic
   - Phosphor-docking
   - MOB1
   - T12 T35
   - HM
   - LATS
   - AL
   - NF2
   - Full LATS activation
LATS1

UBA | PPxY | PPxY | PBD | Catalytic domain

YAP, TAZ | ITCH

MOB, ZYXIN, LIMD1

DCAF1

LATS1/2 | MST1/2 MAP4Ks

AL | HM

P

LATS2

UBA | PA repeat | PPxY | PBD | Catalytic domain

Aurora A/B

Binding of SIAH-2 and NEDD4

Ub Ub

SIAH-2

AL | HM

P

P
Survival  e.g. Survivin, Bcl2

Proliferation  e.g. Ctgf, Cyr61, c-Myc, Foxm1, miR-130

Development  Cell renewal  Tissue repair

Migration/Invasion  e.g. Ctgf, Cyr61, Zeb2

Differentiation  e.g. Oct4, Nanog, Cdx2, Pax3