

**Trinity University**

---

**From the Selected Works of William F. Trench**

---

1999

# Conditional convergence of infinite products

William F. Trench, *Trinity University*



Available at: [https://works.bepress.com/william\\_trench/90/](https://works.bepress.com/william_trench/90/)

# Conditional Convergence of Infinite Products

William F. Trench

American Mathematical Monthly 106 (1999), 646-651

---

In this article we revisit the classical subject of infinite products. For standard definitions and theorems on this subject see [1] or almost any textbook on complex analysis. We will restate parts of this material required to set the stage for our results, as follows.

The infinite product  $P = \prod^{\infty} (1 + a_n)$  of complex numbers is said to *converge* if there is an integer  $N$  such that  $1 + a_n \neq 0$  for  $n \geq N$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{m=N}^n (1 + a_m)$  is finite and nonzero. This occurs if and only if the series  $\sum_{m=N}^{\infty} \log(1 + a_m)$  converges.

We say that  $P$  *converges absolutely* if  $\prod^{\infty} (1 + |a_n|)$  converges. If  $P$  converges absolutely then  $P$  converges, but the converse is false. The following theorem [1, p. 223] settles the question of absolute convergence of infinite products.

**Theorem 1** *The infinite product  $\prod^{\infty} (1 + a_n)$  converges absolutely if and only if  $\sum^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty$ .*

If  $P$  converges but  $\prod^{\infty} (1 + |a_n|)$  does not, then we say that  $P$  *converges conditionally*. Conditional convergence of  $\sum^{\infty} a_n$  does not imply conditional convergence of  $P$ . The following theorem [1, p. 225] seems to be the only general result along these lines, at least in the textbook literature.

**Theorem 2** *If  $\sum^{\infty} |a_n|^2 < \infty$  then  $\sum^{\infty} a_n$  and  $\prod^{\infty} (1 + a_n)$  converge or diverge together.*

Here we offer some other results concerning convergence of infinite products. Because of Theorem 1, these results are of interest only in the case where  $\sum^{\infty} |a_n| = \infty$ .

**Theorem 3** *If there is a sequence  $\{r_n\}$  such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 1 \tag{1}$$

*and*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |r_n(1 + a_n) - r_{n+1}| < \infty, \tag{2}$$

*then  $\prod^{\infty} (1 + a_n)$  converges.*

*Proof:* Let  $g_n = r_n(1 + a_n) - r_{n+1}$ . Then

$$\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |g_n| < \infty \quad (3)$$

from (2), so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = 0$  and therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$  by (1). Choose  $N$  so that  $r_n$ ,  $1 + a_n$  and  $1 + g_n/r_{n+1}$  are nonzero if  $n \geq N$ . Now define  $p_{N-1} = 1$  and

$$p_n = \prod_{m=N}^n (1 + a_m), \quad n \geq N.$$

If  $n \geq N$  then  $1 + a_n = p_n/p_{n-1}$ , so  $g_n = (r_n p_n/p_{n-1}) - r_{n+1}$ , and therefore  $p_n = r_{n+1} p_{n-1} (1 + g_n/r_{n+1})/r_n$ , which implies that

$$p_n = \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_N} \prod_{m=N}^n (1 + g_m/r_{m+1}). \quad (4)$$

Since (1) and (3) imply that  $\sum_{m=N}^{\infty} |g_m/r_{m+1}| < \infty$ , Theorem 1 implies that the infinite product

$$Q = \prod_{m=N}^{\infty} (1 + g_m/r_{m+1})$$

converges; moreover  $Q \neq 0$  because  $1 + g_m/r_{m+1} \neq 0$  if  $m \geq N$ . Now (1) and (4) imply that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = Q/r_N$  is finite and nonzero. ■

To apply this theorem we must exhibit a sequence  $\{r_n\}$  that will enable us to obtain results even if  $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n| = \infty$ . The following theorem provides a way to do this.

**Theorem 4** *Suppose that for some positive integer  $q$  the sequences*

$$a_n^{(k)} = \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} a_m a_m^{(k-1)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, q \text{ (with } a_m^{(0)} = 1),$$

*are all defined, and*

$$\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n a_n^{(q)}| < \infty. \quad (5)$$

*Then  $\prod_{n=N}^{\infty} (1 + a_n)$  converges.*

*Proof:* Define

$$r_n^{(k)} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^j a_n^{(j)}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq q.$$

We show by finite induction on  $k$  that

$$r_n^{(k)} (1 + a_n) - r_{n+1}^{(k)} = (-1)^k a_n a_n^{(k)} \quad (6)$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq q$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n^{(q)} = 1$  we can then set  $k = q$  and conclude from (5) and Theorem 3 with  $r_n = r_n^{(q)}$  that  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_n)$  converges.

Since  $r_n^{(1)} = 1 - a_n^{(1)}$  the left side of (6) with  $k = 1$  is

$$(1 - a_n^{(1)})(1 + a_n) - (1 - a_{n+1}^{(1)}) = a_n - a_n^{(1)} - a_n a_n^{(1)} + a_{n+1}^{(1)} = -a_n a_n^{(1)},$$

since  $a_{n+1}^{(1)} + a_n = a_n^{(1)}$ . This proves (6) for  $k = 1$ .

Now suppose that (6) holds if  $1 \leq k < q - 1$ . Since  $r_n^{(k)} = r_n^{(k+1)} + (-1)^k a_n^{(k+1)}$ , (6) implies that

$$\left( r_n^{(k+1)} + (-1)^k a_n^{(k+1)} \right) (1 + a_n) - r_{n+1}^{(k+1)} - (-1)^k a_{n+1}^{(k+1)} = (-1)^k a_n a_n^{(k)}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} r_n^{(k+1)}(1 + a_n) - r_{n+1}^{(k+1)} &= (-1)^k \left( a_n a_n^{(k)} - a_n^{(k+1)} - a_n a_n^{(k+1)} + a_{n+1}^{(k+1)} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} a_n a_n^{(k+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $a_{n+1}^{(k+1)} + a_n a_n^{(k)} = a_n^{(k+1)}$ . This completes the induction.  $\blacksquare$

We now prepare for a specific application of Theorem 4. Henceforth  $\Delta$  is the forward difference operator; thus, if  $\{g_m\}$  is a sequence, then  $\Delta g_m = g_{m+1} - g_m$ , while if  $G$  is a function of the continuous variable  $x$  then  $\Delta G(x) = G(x+1) - G(x)$ . Higher order forward differences are defined inductively; thus, if  $\nu \geq 2$  is an integer, then

$$\Delta^\nu g_m = \Delta^{\nu-1} g_{m+1} - \Delta^{\nu-1} g_m = \sum_{r=0}^{\nu} (-1)^{r-\nu} \binom{\nu}{r} g_{m+r}.$$

A similar definition yields  $\Delta^\nu G(x)$ .

**Lemma 1** *Suppose that  $t$  is a real number, not an integral multiple of  $2\pi$ , and  $\{g_m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$  is a sequence such that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g_m = 0$  and*

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\Delta^\nu g_m| < \infty \tag{7}$$

*for some positive integer  $\nu$ . Then  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g_m e^{imt}$  converges and*

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} g_m e^{imt} = (1 - e^{it})^{-\nu} \left[ \sum_{s=0}^{\nu-1} A_s g_s + e^{i\nu t} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\Delta^\nu g_m) e^{imt} \right], \tag{8}$$

*where*

$$A_s = \sum_{m=s}^{\nu-1} (-1)^{m-s} \binom{\nu}{m-s} e^{imt}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq \nu-1. \tag{9}$$

*Proof:* Suppose that  $M > 2\nu$  and let

$$S_M = (1 - e^{it})^\nu \sum_{m=0}^M g_m e^{imt}. \quad (10)$$

Since

$$(1 - e^{it})^\nu e^{imt} = \sum_{r=0}^{\nu} (-1)^r \binom{\nu}{r} e^{i(m+r)t},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_M &= \sum_{m=0}^M g_m \sum_{r=0}^{\nu} (-1)^r \binom{\nu}{r} e^{i(m+r)t} = \sum_{r=0}^{\nu} (-1)^r \binom{\nu}{r} \sum_{m=0}^M g_m e^{i(m+r)t} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\nu} (-1)^r \binom{\nu}{r} \sum_{m=r}^{M+r} g_{m-r} e^{imt}. \end{aligned}$$

Reversing the order of summation in the last sum yields

$$\begin{aligned} S_M &= \sum_{m=0}^{\nu-1} \left( \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r \binom{\nu}{r} g_{m-r} \right) e^{imt} + \sum_{m=\nu}^M \left( \sum_{r=0}^{\nu} (-1)^r \binom{\nu}{r} g_{m-r} \right) e^{imt} \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=M+1}^{M+\nu} \left( \sum_{r=m-M}^{\nu} (-1)^r \binom{\nu}{r} g_{m-r} \right) e^{imt}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g_m = 0$  the last sum on the right converges to 0 as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ . The second sum on the right is

$$\sum_{m=\nu}^M (\Delta^\nu g_{m-\nu}) e^{imt} = e^{i\nu t} \sum_{m=0}^{M-\nu} (\Delta^\nu g_m) e^{imt},$$

which converges as  $M \rightarrow \infty$  because of (7). Therefore

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} S_M = S \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{\nu-1} \left( \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r \binom{\nu}{r} g_{m-r} \right) e^{imt} + e^{i\nu t} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\Delta^\nu g_m) e^{imt},$$

which can also be written as

$$S = \sum_{s=0}^{\nu-1} A_s g_s + e^{i\nu t} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\Delta^\nu g_m) e^{imt},$$

with  $A_s$  as in (9). This and (10) imply (8). ■

Henceforth we write  $G(x) = O(x^{-\alpha})$  to indicate that  $x^\alpha G(x)$  remains bounded as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 1** Let  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$  be the set of infinitely differentiable functions  $F$  on  $[1, \infty)$  such that

$$F^{(\nu)}(x) = O(x^{-\alpha-\nu}), \quad \nu = 0, 1, \dots \quad (11)$$

For example, let  $F(x) = u^\gamma(x)$ , where  $u$  is a rational function with positive values on  $[1, \infty)$  and a zero of order  $p > 0$  at  $\infty$ ; then  $F$  satisfies (11) with  $\alpha = p\gamma$ . To see this, we first recall that if  $f = f(u)$  and  $u = u(x)$ , the formula of Faa di Bruno [2] for the derivatives of a composite function says that

$$\frac{d^\nu}{dx^\nu} f(u(x)) = \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} \frac{d^r}{du^r} f(u) \sum_r \frac{r!}{r_1! \dots r_\nu!} \left( \frac{u'}{1!} \right)^{r_1} \left( \frac{u''}{2!} \right)^{r_2} \dots \left( \frac{u^{(\nu)}}{\nu!} \right)^{r_\nu}, \quad (12)$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to  $x$ . We are assuming here that the derivatives on the right of (12) exist. Here  $u, \dots, u^{(\nu)}$  are evaluated at  $x$ , and  $\sum_r$  is over all partitions of  $r$  as a sum of nonnegative integers,

$$r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_\nu = r, \quad (13)$$

such that

$$r_1 + 2r_2 + \dots + \nu r_\nu = \nu. \quad (14)$$

Applying (12) with  $f(u) = u^\gamma$  yields

$$F^{(\nu)}(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} (\gamma)^{(r)} u^{\gamma-r}(x) \sum_r \frac{r!}{r_1! \dots r_\nu!} \left( \frac{u'(x)}{1!} \right)^{r_1} \left( \frac{u''(x)}{2!} \right)^{r_2} \dots \left( \frac{u^{(\nu)}(x)}{\nu!} \right)^{r_\nu},$$

where  $(\gamma)^{(r)} = \gamma(\gamma-1) \dots (\gamma-r+1)$ . Since  $u^{(l)}(x) = O(x^{-p-l})$ , it follows that

$$u^{\gamma-r}(x) (u'(x))^{r_1} (u''(x))^{r_2} \dots (u^{(\nu)}(x))^{r_\nu} = O(x^{-\lambda}),$$

where

$$\lambda = p(\gamma-r) + (p+1)r_1 + (p+2)r_2 + \dots + (p+\nu)r_\nu = p\gamma + \nu$$

because of (13) and (14). This verifies (11) with  $\alpha = p\gamma$ .

For our purposes it is important to note that  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$  is a vector space over the complex numbers. Moreover, if  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $F_1 F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$ .

**Lemma 2** If  $F \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$  then

$$\Delta^\nu F(x) = O(x^{-\alpha-\nu}), \quad \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

*Proof:* We show that

$$|\Delta^\nu F(x)| \leq K \max_{x < \xi < x+\nu} |F^{(\nu)}(\xi)|, \quad (15)$$

where  $K$  is a constant independent of  $F$ . Since  $F^{(\nu)}(x) = O(x^{-\alpha-\nu})$  this implies the conclusion.

To verify (15), we note that if  $x > 1$  and  $r > 0$  then Taylor's theorem implies that

$$F(x+r) = \sum_{m=0}^{\nu-1} \frac{F^{(m)}(x)}{m!} r^m + \frac{F^{(\nu)}(\xi_r)}{\nu!} r^\nu,$$

where  $x < \xi_r < x+r$ . Since  $\Delta^\nu F(x) = \sum_{r=0}^\nu (-1)^{r-\nu} \binom{\nu}{r} F(x+r)$ , it follows that

$$\Delta^\nu F(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\nu-1} \frac{F^{(m)}(x)}{m!} \left( \sum_{r=0}^\nu (-1)^{r-\nu} \binom{\nu}{r} r^m \right) + \frac{1}{\nu!} \sum_{r=0}^\nu (-1)^{r-\nu} \binom{\nu}{r} r^\nu F^{(\nu)}(\xi_r).$$

Since  $\sum_{r=0}^\nu (-1)^{r-\nu} \binom{\nu}{r} r^m = 0$  for  $m = 0, \dots, \nu-1$ , we can now infer (15) with  $K = (\sum_{r=0}^\nu \binom{\nu}{r} r^\nu) / \nu!$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 3** Suppose that  $F \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ . Let  $\nu$  be a fixed positive integer and let  $t$  be a real number, not an integral multiple of  $2\pi$ . Then

$$\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} F(m) e^{imt} = G(n) e^{int} + O(n^{-\alpha-\nu+1}),$$

where  $G \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$  (and  $G$  depends upon  $\nu$ ).

*Proof:* We write

$$\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} F(m) e^{imt} = e^{int} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} F(n+m) e^{imt}. \quad (16)$$

From Lemma 2,  $\Delta^\nu F(n+m) = O((n+m)^{-\alpha-\nu})$ ; that is, there is a constant  $A$  such that  $|\Delta^\nu F(n+m)| < A(n+m)^{-\alpha-\nu}$  if  $n+m > 0$ . Therefore, if  $n > 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\Delta^\nu F(n+m)| &< A \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+m)^\alpha} < A \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{n+m-1}^{n+m} \frac{dx}{(x+\alpha)^\nu} \\ &= A \int_{n-1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(x+\alpha)^\nu} = O(n^{-\alpha-\nu+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 1 (specifically, (8)) with  $g_m = F(n+m)$  and  $n$  fixed shows that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} F(n+m) e^{imt} = G(n) + O(n^{-\alpha-\nu+1})$$

with

$$G(x) = (1 - e^{it})^{-\nu} \sum_{s=0}^{\nu-1} A_s F(x+s),$$

so  $G \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ . Now (16) implies the conclusion.  $\blacksquare$

The following theorem shows that Theorem 4 has nontrivial applications for every positive integer  $q$ .

**Theorem 5** *Suppose that*

$$a_n = f(n)e^{in\theta}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (17)$$

where  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\gamma$  for some  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ , and let  $q$  be the smallest integer such that

$$(q+1)\gamma > 1. \quad (18)$$

Then the infinite product  $P = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_n)$  converges if  $\theta$  is not of the form  $2k\pi/r$  with  $k$  an integer and  $r \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ .

*Proof:* We show by finite induction on  $p$  that if  $p = 1, \dots, q$  then

$$a_n a_n^{(p)} = f_p(n) e^{i(p+1)n\theta} + O(n^{-(p+1)\gamma-q+p}) \quad (19)$$

where  $f_p \in \mathcal{F}_{(p+1)\gamma}$ . In particular, (19) with  $p = q$  implies that  $a_n a_n^{(q)} = O(n^{-(q+1)\gamma})$ , so (18) implies (5) and  $P$  converges, by Theorem 4.

From (17) and Lemma 3 with  $t = \theta$ ,  $F = f$ ,  $\alpha = \gamma$ , and  $\nu = q$ ,

$$a_n^{(1)} = \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} f(m) e^{im\theta} = G_1(n) e^{in\theta} + O(n^{-\gamma-q+1}),$$

with  $G_1 \in \mathcal{F}_\gamma$ . Therefore  $a_n a_n^{(1)} = f(n) e^{in\theta} (G_1(n) e^{in\theta} + O(n^{-\gamma-q+1}))$ . Since  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\gamma$ , this can be rewritten as  $a_n a_n^{(1)} = f_1(n) e^{2in\theta} + O(n^{-2\gamma-q+1})$ , with  $f_1 = fG_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{2\gamma}$ . This establishes (19) with  $p = 1$ , so we are finished if  $q = 1$ .

Now suppose that  $q > 1$  and (19) holds if  $1 \leq p < q$ . Since  $(p+1)\theta$  is by assumption not an integral multiple of  $2\pi$ , Lemma 3 with  $t = (p+1)\theta$ ,  $F = f_p$ ,  $\alpha = (p+1)\gamma$ , and  $\nu = q - p$  implies that

$$\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} f_p(m) e^{i(p+1)m\theta} = G_p(n) e^{i(p+1)n\theta} + O(n^{-(p+1)\gamma-q+p+1}),$$

where  $G_p \in \mathcal{F}_{(p+1)\gamma}$ . This and (19) imply that

$$a_n^{(p+1)} \equiv \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} a_m a_m^{(p)} = G_p(n) e^{i(p+1)n\theta} + O(n^{-(p+1)\gamma-q+p+1}),$$

so

$$a_n a_n^{(p+1)} = f(n) e^{in\theta} \left( G_p(n) e^{i(p+1)n\theta} + O(n^{-(p+1)\gamma-q+p+1}) \right).$$

Since  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\gamma$ , this can be rewritten as

$$a_n a_n^{(p+1)} = f_{p+1}(n) e^{i(p+2)n\theta} + O(n^{-(p+2)\gamma-q+p+1}),$$

with  $f_{p+1} = fG_p \in \mathcal{F}_{(p+2)\gamma}$ . This completes the induction.  $\blacksquare$



**Corollary 1** Suppose that  $\{a_n\}^\infty$  is as defined in Theorem 5. Then the infinite product  $\prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 + a_n)$  converges if  $\theta$  is not a rational multiple of  $2\pi$ .

**Corollary 2** Suppose that  $\alpha > 0$  and  $R$  is a rational function such that  $R(x) > 0$  on  $[N, \infty)$  ( $N = \text{integer}$ ) and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(x) = 0$ . Then the infinite product  $\prod_{n=N}^\infty (1 + (R(n))^\alpha e^{in\theta})$  converges if  $\theta$  is not a rational multiple of  $2\pi$ .

**Corollary 3** The infinite product  $\prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 + n^{-\alpha} e^{in\theta})$  converges if  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\theta$  is not a rational multiple of  $2\pi$ .

#### REFERENCES

---

1. K. Knopp, *Theory and Application of Infinite Series*, Hafner Publishing Company, New York, 1947.
2. Ch.-J. de La Vallee Poussin, *Cours d'analyse infinitesimale*, Vol. 1, 12th Ed., Librairie Universitaire Louvain, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1959.

413 Lake Drive West, Divide, CO 80814  
 wtrench@trinity.edu