

Trinity University

From the Selected Works of William F. Trench

1994

Some spectral properties of Hermitian Toeplitz matrices

William F. Trench, *Trinity University*

Some spectral properties of Hermitian Toeplitz matrices

William F. Trench*

SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 15 (1994), pp. 938-942

Abstract

Necessary conditions are given for the Hermitian Toeplitz matrix $T_n = (t_{r-s})_{r,s=1}^n$ to have a repeated eigenvalue λ with multiplicity $m > 1$, and for an eigenpolynomial of T_n associated with λ to have a given number of zeros off the unit circle $|z| = 1$. It is assumed that $t_r = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{-ir\theta} d\theta$ ($0 \leq r \leq n-1$), where f is real-valued and in $L(-\pi, \pi)$. The conditions are given in terms of the number of changes in sign of $f(\theta) - \lambda$.

1 Introduction

We consider the Hermitian Toeplitz matrix

$$T_n = (t_{r-s})_{r,s=1}^n,$$

where

$$t_r = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) e^{-ir\theta} d\theta, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (1)$$

and f is real-valued and Lebesgue integrable on $(-\pi, \pi)$, and not constant on a set of measure 2π .

Let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of T_n , with associated orthonormal eigenvectors x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . Our first main result (Theorem 3) presents a necessary condition on f for λ_r to have multiplicity $m > 1$. To describe our second main result we first recall some well known properties of eigenvectors of Hermitian Toeplitz matrices. If J is the $n \times n$ matrix with ones on the secondary diagonal and zeros elsewhere, then $JT_n J = \overline{T}_n$. This implies that a vector x_r is a λ_r -eigenvector of T_n if and only if $J\overline{x}_r$ is. It follows that if λ_r has multiplicity one then

$$J\overline{x}_r = \xi x_r, \quad (2)$$

where ξ is a complex constant with modulus one. A stronger result holds if T_n is real and symmetric: Cantoni and Butler [1] have shown that in this case

*Trinity University, 715 Stadium Drive, San Antonio, TX 78212 (wtrench@trinity.edu).

(even if T_n has repeated eigenvalues) R^n has an orthonormal basis consisting of $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ eigenvectors of T_n for which (2) holds with $\xi = 1$ and $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ for which (2) holds with $\xi = -1$.

The polynomial

$$X_r(z) = [1, z, \dots, z^{n-1}]x_r \quad (3)$$

is said to be an *eigenpolynomial of T_n associated with λ_r* . The location of the zeros of the eigenpolynomials of Hermitian Toeplitz matrices is of interest in signal processing applications [2]-[5], [7]. If x_r satisfies (2) then

$$X_r(z) = \bar{\xi} z^{n-1} \overline{X_r(1/\bar{z})};$$

hence, zeros of $X_r(z)$ that are not on the unit circle must occur in pairs ζ and $1/\bar{\zeta}$.

Gueguen proved the following theorem in [5]. (See also [2] and [4].)

THEOREM 1 *Let λ_r be an eigenvalue of T_n , but not of T_{n-1} . Then its associated eigenpolynomial $X_r(z)$ has at least $|n - 2r + 1|$ zeros on the unit circle $|z| = 1$.*

Delsarte, Genin, and Kamp proved the following theorem in [3]. (See also [4].)

THEOREM 2 *Suppose that the eigenvalue λ_r of T_n has multiplicity m and let s be the largest integer $< n$ such that λ_r is not an eigenvalue of T_s . Then any eigenpolynomial $X(z)$ of T_n corresponding to λ_r has at least $|n - m - 2r + 2|$ and at most $m + s - 1$ zeros on the unit circle $|z| = 1$.*

Our second main result (Theorem 7) gives a necessary condition on f for an eigenpolynomial of T_n satisfying (2) to have a given number of zeros that are not on the unit circle.

2 A necessary condition for repeated eigenvalues.

Let α and β be the essential upper and lower bounds of f ; that is, α is the largest number and β the smallest such that $\alpha \leq f(\theta) \leq \beta$ almost everywhere on $(-\pi, \pi)$. It is known ([6], p. 65) that all the eigenvalues of T are in (α, β) . A proof of this is included naturally in the proof of the following theorem.

THEOREM 3 *If λ_r is an eigenvalue of T_n with multiplicity m , then $f(\theta) - \lambda_r$ must change sign at least $2m - 1$ times in $(-\pi, \pi)$.*

PROOF. Associate with each vector $v = [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n]^t$ in C^n the polynomial

$$V(z) = [1, z, \dots, z^{n-1}]v = \sum_{j=1}^n v_j z^{j-1}.$$

If u and v are in C^n then

$$(u, v) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} U(z) \overline{V(z)} d\theta, \quad (4)$$

where $z = e^{i\theta}$ whenever z appears in an integral. Moreover, (1) implies that

$$(T_n u, v) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) U(z) \overline{V(z)} d\theta. \quad (5)$$

Now let $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of T_n , with corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , and let

$$X_i(z) = [1, z, \dots, z^{n-1}] x_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

be the corresponding eigenpolynomials. From (4),

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} X_i(z) \overline{X_j(z)} d\theta = \delta_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \quad (6)$$

and from (5),

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) X_i(z) \overline{X_j(z)} d\theta = \delta_{ij} \lambda_j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n. \quad (7)$$

The last two equations with $i = j$ show that the eigenvalues of T_n are in (α, β) . Therefore, $f(\theta) - \lambda_r$ must change sign at some point in $(-\pi, \pi)$. This completes the proof if $m = 1$.

Now suppose that $m > 1$ and $f(\theta) - \lambda_r$ changes sign only at the points $\theta_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_k$ in $(-\pi, \pi)$, where $k \leq 2m - 2$. We will show that this assumption leads to a contradiction.

Define

$$g(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (f(\theta) - \lambda_r). \quad (8)$$

For reference below note that if $k = 2p$ then the function

$$g(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^{2p} \sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_j}{2}\right) \quad (9)$$

does not change sign in $(-\pi, \pi)$. This remains true if $k = 2p - 1$, if we define $\theta_{2p} = \pi$. Now suppose that λ_r has multiplicity m ; that is,

$$\lambda_r = \lambda_{r+1} = \dots = \lambda_{r+m-1}. \quad (10)$$

From (6), (7), and (10),

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) X_i(z) \overline{X_j(z)} d\theta = 0 \quad (r \leq i \leq r + m - 1, 1 \leq j \leq n).$$

Therefore

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} c_{\ell} X_{r+\ell}(z) \right) \overline{X_j(z)} d\theta = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

if c_0, \dots, c_{m-1} are constants. This implies that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} c_{\ell} X_{r+\ell}(z) \right) \overline{Q(z)} d\theta = 0 \quad (11)$$

if Q is any polynomial of degree $\leq n-1$, since any such polynomial can be written as a linear combination of $X_1(z), \dots, X_n(z)$. In particular, choose c_0, \dots, c_{m-1} – not all zero – so that

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} c_{\ell} X_{r+\ell}(e^{i\theta_j}) = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p,$$

(this is possible, since $p < m$), and let

$$Q(z) = \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} c_{\ell} X_{r+\ell}(z) \right) \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{z - e^{i\theta_{p+j}}}{z - e^{i\theta_j}}.$$

Substituting this into (11) yields

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) \left| \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} c_{\ell} X_{r+\ell}(z) \right|^2 \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{\bar{z} - e^{-i\theta_{p+j}}}{\bar{z} - e^{-i\theta_j}} d\theta = 0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_1(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^p (z - e^{i\theta_j})(\bar{z} - e^{-i\theta_{p+j}}) d\theta = 0, \quad (12)$$

where

$$g_1(\theta) = g(\theta) \left| \frac{\sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} c_{\ell} X_{r+\ell}(z)}{\prod_{j=1}^p (z - e^{i\theta_j})} \right|^2.$$

If $z = e^{i\theta}$ then

$$(z - e^{i\theta_j})(\bar{z} - e^{-i\theta_{p+j}}) = 4e^{i(\theta_j - \theta_{p+j})/2} \sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_j}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_{p+j}}{2}\right);$$

hence, (12) implies that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_1(\theta) \prod_{j=1}^{2p} \sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_j}{2}\right) d\theta = 0,$$

which is impossible because of (8) and our observation that the function in (9) is sign constant on $(-\pi, \pi)$. \square

Theorem 3 immediately implies the following theorems. Theorem 6 was proved in [8].

THEOREM 4 *If f is monotonic on $(-\pi, \pi)$ or there is a number ϕ in $(-\pi, \pi)$ such that f is monotonic on $(-\pi, \phi)$ and (ϕ, π) then all eigenvalues of T_n have multiplicity one.*

THEOREM 5 *Suppose that $f(-\theta) = f(\theta)$, so that T_n is a real symmetric Toeplitz matrix. If λ_r is an eigenvalue of T_n with multiplicity m then $f(\theta) - \lambda_r$ must change sign at least m times in $(0, \pi)$*

THEOREM 6 *Suppose that $f(-\theta) = f(\theta)$ and f is monotonic on $(0, \pi)$. Then all the eigenvalues of T_n have multiplicity one.*

3 Location of the zeros of eigenpolynomials

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

THEOREM 7 *Suppose that the eigenvalue λ_r has an associated eigenvector x_r such that $J\bar{x}_r = \xi x_r$, where ξ is a constant, and the eigenpolynomial $X_r(z)$ defined in (3) has $2m$ zeros ($m \geq 1$) that are not on the unit circle. Then $f(\theta) - \lambda_r$ must change sign at least $2m + 1$ times in $(-\pi, \pi)$.*

PROOF. The proof is by contradiction. Suppose $f(\theta) - \lambda_r$ changes sign only at the points $\theta_1 < \dots < \theta_k$ in $(-\pi, \pi)$, where $1 \leq k \leq 2m$. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 3, the function (9) does not change sign in $(-\pi, \pi)$. (Again, $k = 2p$ if k is even, and we define $\theta_{2p} = \pi$ if $k = 2p - 1$.) From among the $2m$ zeros of $X_r(z)$ not on the unit circle choose $2p$ distinct zeros $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_p, 1/\bar{\zeta}_1, \dots, 1/\bar{\zeta}_p$, and define g as in (8).

From (6) and (7),

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) X_r(z) \overline{X_s(z)} d\theta = 0 \quad (1 \leq s \leq n),$$

which implies that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) X_r(z) \overline{Q(z)} d\theta = 0 \quad (13)$$

if Q is any polynomial of degree $\leq n - 1$.

Now define

$$q_j(z) = \frac{(z - e^{i\theta_j})(1 - e^{-i\theta_{p+j}} z)}{(z - \zeta_j)(1 - \bar{\zeta}_j z)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p,$$

and let

$$Q(z) = X_r(z) q_1(z) \cdots q_p(z).$$

Then (13) implies that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) |X_r(z)|^2 \overline{q_1(z)} \cdots \overline{q_p(z)} d\theta = 0. \quad (14)$$

However, if $z = e^{i\theta}$ then

$$q_j(z) = \frac{4e^{i(\theta_j - \theta_{p+j})/2}}{|1 - \bar{\zeta}_j e^{i\theta}|^2} \sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_j}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_{p+j}}{2}\right).$$

This and (14) imply that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{g(\theta)|X_r(z)|^2}{\prod_{j=1}^p |1 - \bar{\zeta}_j e^{i\theta}|^2} \prod_{j=1}^{2p} \sin\left(\frac{\theta - \theta_j}{2}\right) d\theta = 0, \quad (15)$$

which is impossible, since the function (9) is sign constant in $(-\pi, \pi)$. \square

Theorem 7 immediately implies the following theorem.

THEOREM 8 *If f satisfies the hypotheses of either Theorem 4 or Theorem 6 then all zeros of the eigenpolynomials of T_n are on the unit circle $|z| = 1$.*

References

- [1] A. CANTONI AND F. BUTLER, *Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of symmetric centrosymmetric matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl., 13 (1976), pp. 275–288.
- [2] P. DELSARTE AND Y. GENIN, *Spectral properties of finite Toeplitz matrices*, in Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems, Proc. MTNS-83 International Symposium, Beer Sheva, Israel, (1983), pp. 194–213.
- [3] P. DELSARTE, Y. GENIN, AND Y. KAMP, *Parametric Toeplitz Systems*, Circuits, Systems, Signal Processing, 3 (1984), pp. 207–223.
- [4] Y. GENIN, *A survey of the eigenstructure properties of finite Hermitian Toeplitz matrices*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 10 (1987), pp. 621–639.
- [5] C. GUEGUEN, *Linear prediction in the singular case and the stability of singular models*, Proc. Int. Conf. Acoustics, Speech, Signal Processing, Atlanta (1981), pp. 881–885.
- [6] U. GRENANDER AND G. SZEGÖ, *Toeplitz Forms and Their Applications*, University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, CA, 1958.
- [7] J. MAKHOUL, *On the eigenvectors of symmetric Toeplitz matrices*, IEEE Trans. Acoustics Speech, Signal Proc., ASSP-29 (1981), pp. 868–872.
- [8] W. F. TRENCH, *Interlacement of the even and odd spectra of real symmetric Toeplitz matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl., 195 (1993), pp. 59–69.