

Trinity University

From the Selected Works of William F. Trench

April, 1988

Toeplitz systems associated with the product of a formal Laurent series and a Laurent polynomial

William F. Trench, *Trinity University*

TOEPLITZ SYSTEMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PRODUCT OF A FORMAL LAURENT SERIES AND A LAURENT POLYNOMIAL*

WILLIAM F. TRENCH†

Abstract. A method is proposed for solving linear algebraic systems with Toeplitz matrices generated by $T(z) = C(z)\Phi(z)$, where $C(z)$ is a Laurent polynomial and $\Phi(z)$ is a formal Laurent series, and a convenient method is available for solving systems with Toeplitz matrices generated by $\Phi(z)$. Special cases of the method provide $O(n)$ procedures for solving $n \times n$ systems with banded or rationally generated Toeplitz matrices. The latter do not require recursion with respect to n .

Key words. Toeplitz systems, banded Toeplitz matrices, rationally generated Toeplitz matrices

AMS(MOS) subject classifications. 15A06, 65F05

1. Introduction. To motivate the problem considered here, let $\{x_j\}$ be a wide-sense stationary time series (possibly complex-valued) with zero mean and covariance $E(x_i \bar{x}_j) = \phi_{i-j}$. If

$$y_j = \sum_{l=0}^p b_l x_{j-l}, \quad -\infty < j < \infty,$$

then $\{y_j\}$ has zero mean and covariance $E(y_i \bar{y}_j) = t_{i-j}$, where

$$(1) \quad t_i = \sum_{l=-p}^p c_l \phi_{i-l},$$

with

$$c_l = \sum_{\nu=0}^{p-l} \bar{b}_\nu b_{\nu+l}, \quad 0 \leq l \leq p,$$

and

$$c_l = \sum_{\nu=0}^{p+l} b_\nu \bar{b}_{\nu-l}, \quad -p \leq l \leq -1.$$

Minimum variance estimation problems concerning the time series $\{y_j\}$ require solutions of the systems

$$(2) \quad T_n X = Y,$$

where T_n is the $n \times n$ Toeplitz matrix

$$(3) \quad T_n = (t_{i-j})_{i,j=1}^n.$$

(See, e.g., [16, pp. 20–23].) Definition (1) suggests that if we have an efficient way to solve the systems

$$(4) \quad \Phi_m U = V,$$

where

$$(5) \quad \Phi_m = (\phi_{i-j})_{i,j=1}^m,$$

* Received by the editors November 15, 1985; accepted for publication (in revised form) August 3, 1987.

† Department of Mathematics, Trinity University, San Antonio, Texas 78284.

then it should be possible to exploit it in solving (2). Here we propose a method that does this; however, since our results are not restricted to systems with positive definite Hermitian Toeplitz matrices, we first formulate the situation more generally.

Let

$$\Phi(z) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_j z^j$$

be a formal Laurent series, and let

$$(6) \quad C(z) = \sum_{j=-q}^p c_j z^j$$

be a Laurent polynomial, with

$$(7) \quad p, q \geq 0, \quad p + q = k \geq 1, \quad c_p c_{-q} \neq 0.$$

Now define

$$T(z) = C(z)\Phi(z) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} t_j z^j,$$

so that

$$(8) \quad t_i = \sum_{l=-q}^p c_l \phi_{i-l}.$$

We are still interested in solving (2).

There are many algorithms for solving Toeplitz systems that take advantage of their special simplicity. (See, e.g., [3], [8], [11], [12], [17] and [18]—by no means a complete list.) However, most require assumptions that are not met by all Toeplitz matrices, and some are stable only for certain classes of Toeplitz matrices. (In this connection, see [2].) Our results should be useful if there is a convenient algorithm for dealing with the matrices generated by $\Phi(z)$ which does not apply to those generated by $T(z)$. This could be so, for example, if the former are Hermitian, symmetric, triangular, or positive definite, or if there is a convenient explicit formula for their inverses, while the latter do not exhibit the desirable property. Our results provide a way to transfer the burden of computation in solving (2) to a problem involving Φ_{n+k} and the banded matrix

$$(9) \quad C_{n+k} = (c_{i-j})_{i,j=1}^{n+k}$$

(cf. (7)). The method also entails the solution of a $k \times k$ system. Since there are several algorithms for solving banded Toeplitz systems (see, e.g., [1], [4], [9], [10], [13], and [14]), this procedure should be useful if n is large compared with k . Moreover, we also formulate a procedure that avoids using any of the previously published algorithms for solving banded Toeplitz systems and—as a by-product—provides a new method for this purpose; however, for reasons of stability, this method requires some knowledge of the locations of the zeros of $C(z)$. The method also provides an $O(n)$ procedure for solving (2) when T_n is generated by a rational function. (See § 4.)

2. Derivation of the method. We emphasize that we are not proposing to produce a complete algorithm here. Rather, we are assuming that an algorithm is already available for solving the system (4), where $m = n + p + q = n + k$ henceforth, and we wish to indicate how this can be exploited to solve (2).

Let \mathcal{F} be the underlying field. From (5), (8), and (9),

$$C_m \Phi_m = \begin{bmatrix} [p \times p] & [p \times n] & [p \times q] \\ [n \times p] & T_n & [n \times q] \\ [q \times p] & [q \times n] & [q \times q] \end{bmatrix},$$

where T_n is as in (3) and the other blocks have the indicated dimensions. Therefore, an n -vector X satisfies (2) if and only if

$$C_m \Phi_m \begin{bmatrix} 0_p \\ X \\ 0_q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_0 \\ Y \\ V_0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where 0_p and 0_q are zero vectors of dimensions p and q , respectively, $U_0 \in \mathcal{F}^p$, and $V_0 \in \mathcal{F}^q$. For our purposes, it is convenient to view this in the manner stated in the following now obvious lemma.

LEMMA 1. *The system (2) has a solution for a given Y if and only if there are vectors U_0 in \mathcal{F}^p and V_0 in \mathcal{F}^q such that the system*

$$(10) \quad C_m \Phi_m G = \begin{bmatrix} U_0 \\ Y \\ V_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

has a solution G of the form

$$(11) \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} 0_p \\ X \\ 0_q \end{bmatrix},$$

in which case X satisfies (2).

Now let \mathcal{H} be the subspace of \mathcal{F}^m consisting of vectors

$$W = [w_{-p+1}, \dots, w_{n+q}]^t$$

whose components satisfy the homogeneous difference equation

$$(12) \quad \sum_{l=-q}^p c_l w_{i-l} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

and let

$$(13) \quad W_j = [w_{-p+1}^{(j)}, \dots, w_{n+q}^{(j)}]^t, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

form a basis for \mathcal{H} . Let

$$(14) \quad F = [f_{-p+1}, \dots, f_{n+q}]^t$$

be a vector in \mathcal{F}^m whose components satisfy the nonhomogeneous difference equation

$$(15) \quad \sum_{l=-q}^p c_l f_{i-l} = y_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

From the definition of C_m , (12) is equivalent to

$$(16) \quad C_m W_j = \begin{bmatrix} U_j \\ 0_n \\ V_j \end{bmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

and (15) is equivalent to

$$(17) \quad C_m F = \begin{bmatrix} U \\ Y \\ V \end{bmatrix},$$

where U, U_1, \dots, U_k are in \mathcal{F}^p , 0_n is the zero vector in \mathcal{F}^n , and V, V_1, \dots, V_k are in \mathcal{F}^q .

There is no doubt about the existence of F and W_1, \dots, W_k ; in fact, there are many ways to choose them. We will discuss this in § 3.

THEOREM 1. *Let F and W_1, \dots, W_k be as just defined. Suppose that for each $j = 1, \dots, k$ the system*

$$(18) \quad \Phi_m \tilde{W}_j = W_j$$

has a solution

$$(19) \quad \tilde{W}_j = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{U}_j \\ H_j \\ \tilde{V}_j \end{bmatrix},$$

and that the system

$$(20) \quad \Phi_m \tilde{F} = F$$

has a solution

$$(21) \quad \tilde{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{U} \\ \tilde{Y} \\ \tilde{V} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\{\tilde{U}, \tilde{U}_1, \dots, \tilde{U}_k\} \subset \mathcal{F}^p$, $\{\tilde{Y}, H_1, \dots, H_k\} \subset \mathcal{F}^n$, and $\{\tilde{V}, \tilde{V}_1, \dots, \tilde{V}_k\} \subset \mathcal{F}^q$. Then the system (2) has a solution if there are constants a_1, \dots, a_k such that

$$(22) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{U} \\ \tilde{V} \end{bmatrix} = a_1 \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{U}_1 \\ \tilde{V}_1 \end{bmatrix} + \dots + a_k \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{U}_k \\ \tilde{V}_k \end{bmatrix},$$

in which case the vector

$$(23) \quad X = \tilde{Y} - a_1 H_1 - \dots - a_k H_k$$

satisfies (2). Moreover, the converse is true if Φ_m is invertible.

Proof. For sufficiency, suppose that (22) holds, and let

$$G = \tilde{F} - a_1 \tilde{W}_1 - \dots - a_k \tilde{W}_k,$$

which is of the form (11) with X as in (23), from (19), (21), and (22). From (18) and (20),

$$C_m \Phi_m G = C_m (F - a_1 W_1 - \dots - a_k W_k),$$

and so (16) and (17) imply (10), with

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_0 \\ V_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U \\ V \end{bmatrix} - a_1 \begin{bmatrix} U_1 \\ V_1 \end{bmatrix} - \cdots - a_k \begin{bmatrix} U_k \\ V_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, Lemma 1 implies that X as defined by (23) satisfies (2).

For the converse, suppose that Φ_m is invertible and (2) has a solution X . Then the vector G in (11) satisfies (10) for some U_0 in \mathcal{F}^p and V_0 in \mathcal{F}^q . From (10) and (17),

$$C_m(F - \Phi_m G) = \begin{bmatrix} U - U_0 \\ 0_n \\ V - V_0 \end{bmatrix},$$

so $F - \Phi_m G \in \mathcal{W}'$; hence

$$F - \Phi_m G = a_1 W_1 + \cdots + a_k W_k$$

for some scalars a_1, \dots, a_k . From (18) and (20), this can be rewritten as

$$\Phi_m(\tilde{F} - G) = \Phi_m(a_1 \tilde{W}_1 + \cdots + a_k \tilde{W}_k),$$

so

$$\tilde{F} - G = a_1 \tilde{W}_1 + \cdots + a_k \tilde{W}_k,$$

since Φ_m is invertible. Now (11), (19), and (21) imply (22) and (23). This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2. Suppose that Φ_m is invertible, let W_1, \dots, W_k be any basis for \mathcal{W}' , and let Ψ be the $k \times k$ matrix

$$\Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{U}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{U}_k \\ \tilde{V}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{V}_k \end{bmatrix},$$

with $\tilde{U}_1, \dots, \tilde{U}_k$ and $\tilde{V}_1, \dots, \tilde{V}_k$ as in (19). Then T_n is invertible if and only if Ψ is invertible.

Proof. Since Φ_m is invertible, $\tilde{W}_1, \dots, \tilde{W}_k$ exist; moreover \tilde{F} exists for every choice of F . If Ψ is invertible, then (22) has a solution a_1, \dots, a_k for every \tilde{U} and \tilde{V} ; hence, Theorem 1 implies that (2) has a solution for every Y , and therefore T_n is invertible. For the converse, suppose that Ψ is noninvertible. Then there are constants b_1, \dots, b_k , not all zero, such that

$$b_1 \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{U}_1 \\ \tilde{V}_1 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + b_k \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{U}_k \\ \tilde{V}_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_p \\ 0_q \end{bmatrix}.$$

This implies that

$$(24) \quad b_1 \tilde{W}_1 + \cdots + b_k \tilde{W}_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0_p \\ H \\ 0_q \end{bmatrix},$$

with

$$H = b_1 H_1 + \cdots + b_k H_k$$

(cf. (19)). Because of (18), we can rewrite (24) as

$$(25) \quad b_1 W_1 + \cdots + b_k W_k = \Phi_m \begin{bmatrix} 0_p \\ H \\ 0_q \end{bmatrix},$$

which makes it apparent that $H \neq 0_n$, since $\{W_1, \dots, W_k\}$ is linearly independent. Now (16) and (25) imply that

$$(26) \quad C_m \Phi_m \begin{bmatrix} 0_p \\ H \\ 0_q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_0 \\ 0_n \\ V_0 \end{bmatrix},$$

with

$$U_0 = \sum_{j=1}^k b_j U_j, \quad V_0 = \sum_{j=1}^k b_j V_j.$$

However, (26) and Lemma 1 with $Y = 0_n$ and $X = H$ imply that $T_n H = 0_n$, and therefore T_n is noninvertible, since $H \neq 0_n$.

Henceforth we assume that Φ_m is invertible and that an efficient algorithm is available for solving systems with coefficient matrix Φ_m . Theorem 1 suggests a procedure for solving (2), as follows:

Step 1. Obtain a basis W_1, \dots, W_k for \mathcal{H} , and solve (18) for $\tilde{W}_1, \dots, \tilde{W}_k$. If (2) is to be solved for more than one Y , then store $\tilde{W}_1, \dots, \tilde{W}_k$ for repeated use.

Step 2. For the given Y , let F in (14) be a solution of (15), and solve (20) for \tilde{F} .

Step 3. Solve the $k \times k$ system (22) for a_1, \dots, a_k . (If (22) has no solution, then (2) has no solution.)

Step 4. Compute X from (23), with H_1, \dots, H_k as defined in (19) and \tilde{Y} as in (21).

The missing link in this procedure is a discussion of methods for obtaining F and W_1, \dots, W_k . This is the subject of § 3.

3. Computation of F and W_1, \dots, W_k . As mentioned earlier, there are many algorithms specifically designed to solve banded Toeplitz systems efficiently. If C_m is invertible, then we could obtain F by solving (17) with $U = 0_p$ and $V = 0_q$ by means of one of these algorithms. We could also obtain W_1, \dots, W_k by solving (16) in this way, with

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_1 & \cdots & U_k \\ V_1 & \cdots & V_k \end{bmatrix} = I_k.$$

However, all algorithms for solving banded Toeplitz systems require some kind of assumption on C_m ; in fact, most require that C_m and all its principal submatrices be invertible. Therefore, we will suggest a recursive method for computing suitable vectors F and W_1, \dots, W_k . This method requires no specific assumptions on C_m (even that it be invertible), and it addresses the question of stability; however, it does require information on the zeros of $C(z)$.

One solution (14) of (15) can be obtained from the recursion

$$(27) \quad f_i = \frac{1}{c_{-q}} \left[y_{i-q} - \sum_{l=-q+1}^p c_l f_{i-q-l} \right], \quad q+1 \leq i \leq n+q,$$

with $f_i = 0$, if $-p+1 \leq i \leq q$. To exhibit a basis for \mathcal{W} , we first consider the Maclaurin expansion

$$[z^q C(z)]^{-1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{\nu} z^{\nu}.$$

The $\{\alpha_{\nu}\}$ can be computed recursively

$$(28) \quad \alpha_{\nu} = -\frac{1}{c_{-q}} \sum_{l=-q+1}^p c_l \alpha_{\nu-q-l}, \quad \nu \geq 1,$$

with $\alpha_{\nu} = 0$ if $\nu < 0$ and $\alpha_0 = 1/c_{-q}$. The vectors (13) with

$$(29) \quad w_i^{(j)} = \alpha_{i-j+p}, \quad -p+1 \leq i \leq n+q, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

form a basis for \mathcal{W} . To see that they satisfy (12), observe that if (29) holds, then

$$(30) \quad \sum_{l=-q}^p c_l w_{i-l}^{(j)} = \sum_{l=-q}^p c_l \alpha_{i-j+p-l}.$$

However, from (28)

$$\sum_{l=-q}^p c_l \alpha_{\mu-l} = 0, \quad \mu > -q.$$

Therefore, the right side of (30) vanishes if $i \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$, since then $i-j+p > -q$. To see that W_1, \dots, W_k are linearly independent, it suffices to observe that the first k rows of the $(n+k) \times k$ matrix

$$(31) \quad [W_1, \dots, W_k]$$

form an upper triangular matrix with $1/c_{-q}$ in each diagonal position; hence, (31) has rank k .

This procedure provides a formal method for obtaining F and W_1, \dots, W_k ; however, it is computationally useless for large n if $C(z)$ has zeros in $|z| < 1$. To be specific, let z_1, \dots, z_L be the distinct zeros of $C(z)$, with respective multiplicities m_1, \dots, m_L . Then

$$\alpha_i = \sum_{l=1}^L p_l(i) z_l^{-i},$$

where p_l is a polynomial of degree $m_l - 1$. This means that the sequence $\{\alpha_i\}$ grows very rapidly with increasing i if $|z_l| < 1$ for one or more values of l . Since the recursion (27) has the explicit solution

$$f_i = \sum_{\nu=1}^{i-q} \alpha_{i-\nu-q} y_{\nu}, \quad q+1 \leq i \leq n+q,$$

with $f_i = 0$ if $-p+1 \leq i \leq q$, f_i also becomes large as i increases. Therefore, these recursions can lead to overflow for large n . Moreover, it is well known that the propagation

of errors renders the recursion formula (27) useless if $|z_l| < 1$ for some l . (To a lesser extent, the presence of repeated roots on $|z| = 1$ is also a source of instability.)

If $C(z)$ has no zeros *outside* the unit circle, then it makes sense to replace the recursion (27) by

$$f_{n-i} = \frac{1}{c_p} \left[y_{n+p-i} - \sum_{l=-q}^{p-1} c_l f_{n+p-i-l} \right], \quad p \leq i \leq n+p-1,$$

with $f_{n-i} = 0$ if $-q \leq i \leq p-1$. This also yields a solution (14) of (15). To obtain a basis for \mathcal{W} in this case, we consider the Laurent series

$$[z^{-p}C(z)]^{-1} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \beta_{\nu} z^{-\nu},$$

convergent for large z . The $\{\beta_{\nu}\}$ can be computed recursively

$$(32) \quad \beta_{\nu} = -\frac{1}{c_p} \sum_{l=-q}^{p-1} c_l \beta_{\nu-p+l}, \quad \nu > 0,$$

with $\beta_{\nu} = 0$ if $\nu < 0$ and $\beta_0 = 1/c_p$. The vectors (13) with

$$(33) \quad w_i^{(j)} = \beta_{n-p+j-i}, \quad -p+1 \leq i \leq n+q, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

form a basis for \mathcal{W} . To see that they satisfy (12), observe that if (33) holds, then

$$(34) \quad \sum_{l=-q}^p c_l w_{i-l}^{(j)} = \sum_{l=-q}^p c_l \beta_{n-p+j-i+l}.$$

However, from (32),

$$\sum_{l=-q}^p c_l \beta_{\mu+l} = 0, \quad \mu > -p;$$

therefore, the right side of (34) vanishes if $i \leq n$ and $j \geq 1$, since then $n-p+j-i > -p$. To see that W_1, \dots, W_k are linearly independent, observe that in this case the last k rows of (31) form an upper triangular matrix with $1/c_p$ in each diagonal position.

Since

$$\beta_i = \sum_{l=1}^L q_l(i) z_l^i,$$

where q_l is a polynomial of degree $m_l - 1$, it can be shown that this method of computation is stable if $|z_l| \leq 1$ and $m_l = 1$ if $|z_l| = 1$ ($1 \leq l \leq L$).

If $C(z)$ has zeros in both the interior and exterior of the unit disc, then the recursive procedures that we have considered so far are both unstable. We will now propose a procedure applicable to this situation. It requires that we know a factorization

$$(35) \quad C(z) = z^{s-q} A(z) B(1/z),$$

where

$$A(z) = \sum_{\mu=0}^r a_{\mu} z^{\mu} \quad (a_0 a_r \neq 0),$$

and

$$B(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^s b_{\nu} z^{\nu} \quad (b_0 b_s \neq 0),$$

with $r > 0$, $s > 0$, and $r + s = p + q = k$, such that $A(z)$ has no zeros in $|z| < 1$, $z^s B(1/z)$ has no zeros in $|z| > 1$, and $A(z)$ and $z^s B(1/z)$ have no zeros in common. (This last assumption is clearly superfluous if $C(z) \neq 0$ for $|z| = 1$; however, if $C(z)$ has zeros on $|z| = 1$, it may be convenient to allocate them between $A(z)$ and $z^s B(1/z)$ subject to this restriction. This would be so, for example, if $C(z) = C(1/z)$, so that C_m is symmetric. In this case an appropriate factorization would be $C(z) = A(z)A(1/z)$, where the zeros of $A(z)$ are in $|z| \leq 1$.)

Since $A(z)$ and $z^s B(1/z)$ are relatively prime by assumption, there are unique polynomials $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ such that $\deg g < r$, $\deg h < s$, and

$$(36) \quad z^s g(z) B(1/z) + h(z) A(z) = 1;$$

moreover, the coefficients of $g(z)$ and $h(z)$ can be found by solving a $k \times k$ linear system. Now define

$$Y(z) = \sum_{l=1}^n y_l z^l$$

and

$$\tilde{Y}(z) = \sum_{l=1}^n y_{n-l+1} z^{l-1},$$

and notice that

$$(37) \quad Y(z) = z^n \tilde{Y}(1/z).$$

Consider the expansions

$$(38) \quad \frac{Y(z)}{A(z)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \xi_i z^{i+1}$$

and

$$(39) \quad \frac{\tilde{Y}(1/z)}{B(1/z)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \eta_i z^{-i}.$$

Notice that $\{\xi_i\}$ and $\{\eta_i\}$ can be computed recursively, as follows:

$$(40) \quad \xi_i = \frac{1}{a_0} \left[y_{i+1} - \sum_{l=1}^r a_l \xi_{i-l} \right], \quad i \geq 0,$$

and

$$(41) \quad \eta_i = \frac{1}{b_0} \left[y_{n-i+1} - \sum_{l=1}^s b_l \eta_{i-l} \right], \quad i \geq 0,$$

where, for convenience, we define $y_i = 0$ if $i \leq 0$ or $i \geq n+1$, and $\xi_i = \eta_i = 0$ if $i < 0$.

Because of the assumptions on the zeros of $A(z)$ and $B(1/z)$, the recursions (40) and (41) are stable, or at worst, mildly unstable if $C(z)$ has repeated zeros on $|z| = 1$.

Now define the formal Laurent series

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} F(z) &= z^{q+1}g(z) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \xi_i z^i + z^{n+q-s}h(z) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \eta_i z^{-i} \\ &= \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} f_l z^l. \end{aligned}$$

Then (35), (36), (37), (38), and (39) imply that $C(z)F(z) = Y(z)$. Therefore, (14) with f_{-p+1}, \dots, f_{n+q} as in (42) satisfies (15).

To obtain a basis W_1, \dots, W_k for \mathcal{W} , we first define

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma(z) &= z^s g(z) \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{\mu} z^{\mu} + h(z) \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \beta_{\mu} z^{-\mu} \\ &= \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_l z^l, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(44) \quad [A(z)]^{-1} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{\mu} z^{\mu}$$

and

$$(45) \quad [B(1/z)]^{-1} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \beta_{\mu} z^{-\mu}.$$

The coefficients $\{\alpha_{\mu}\}$ and $\{\beta_{\mu}\}$ can be computed recursively, with $\alpha_{\mu} = \beta_{\mu} = 0$ if $\mu < 0$, $\alpha_0 = 1/a_0$, $\beta_0 = 1/b_0$,

$$\alpha_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{a_0} \sum_{l=1}^r a_l \alpha_{\mu-l}, \quad \mu \geq 1,$$

and

$$\beta_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{b_0} \sum_{l=1}^s b_l \beta_{\mu-l}, \quad \mu \geq 1.$$

It is shown in [7] (see also [6]) that the Toeplitz matrix

$$\Gamma_{n+k} = (\gamma_{i-j})_{i,j=1}^{n+k}$$

is invertible. We will now show that the first r and last s columns of Γ_{n+k} form a basis for \mathcal{W} . (This follows from the main result of [7]; however, we include its brief verification here for the reader's convenience.) To see this, let W be the ν th column of Γ_{n+k} , i.e.,

$$W = [w_{-p+1}, \dots, w_{n+q}]^t = [\gamma_{i-\nu}, \dots, \gamma_{n+k-\nu}]^t,$$

so that $w_i = \gamma_{i+p-\nu}$, $-p+1 \leq i \leq n+q$. Then

$$(46) \quad \sum_{l=-q}^p c_l w_{i-l} = \sum_{l=-q}^p c_l \gamma_{i-l+p-\nu},$$

which is the coefficient of $z^{i+p-\nu}$ in the formal Laurent expansion of $C(z)\Gamma(z)$. However, (35), (36), (43), (44), and (45) imply that $C(z)\Gamma(z) = z^{s-q}$; therefore, the right side of (46)

vanishes for $1 \leq i \leq n$ provided that $i + p - \nu \neq s - q$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. This condition holds if $1 \leq \nu \leq r$ or $n + r + 1 \leq \nu \leq n + k$, which proves our assertion.

4. Toeplitz systems with matrices generated by rational functions. If $\Phi(z) = 1$, then T_n in (3) is the $n \times n$ band matrix

$$T_n = (c_{i-j})_{i,j=1}^n,$$

and $\Phi_m = I_m$. Now Steps 1–4 of § 2 simplify to yield a procedure for solving (2) in which the only simultaneous system to be dealt with is of order k .

Step 1. Obtain W_1, \dots, W_k recursively, as described in § 3. If (2) is to be solved for more than one Y , store these vectors.

Step 2. Obtain F recursively, as described in § 3.

Step 3. Solve the $k \times k$ system

$$\sum_{j=1}^k a_j w_i^{(j)} = f_i, \quad -p+1 \leq i \leq 0, \quad n+1 \leq i \leq n+q$$

for a_1, \dots, a_k . (If this is impossible, then (2) has no solution.)

Step 4. Compute

$$x_i = f_i - \sum_{j=1}^k a_j w_i^{(j)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

The number of operations required for this procedure is $O(kn)$ as n (as compared to $O(k^2n)$ for methods for solving general $n \times n$ banded systems that do not have the Toeplitz structure). Although there are many "fast" methods for solving banded Toeplitz systems, most of them require recursion with respect to n and are based on the assumption that the principal submatrices of T_n are all invertible. Moreover, to the author's knowledge, the stability of these methods has not been studied, except insofar as Bunch's results [2] on stability of algorithms for general Toeplitz systems apply to them.

In the situation that we have just discussed, the matrices $\{T_n\}$ can be described as being generated by the Laurent polynomial $C(z)$. Now we consider the case where they are generated by the rational functions

$$T(z) = \frac{C(z)}{P(z)Q(1/z)},$$

where $C(z)$ is as in (6),

$$P(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{\mu} p_l z^l$$

and

$$Q(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{\nu} q_l z^l.$$

We assume here that $(\mu + \nu)p_0 q_0 p_\mu q_\nu \neq 0$, and that no two of the polynomials $P(z)$, $Q(1/z)$ and $C(z)$ have a common zero. Here we let $\Phi(z)$ be the formal Laurent expansion of

$$R(z) = [P(z)Q(1/z)]^{-1}$$

obtained as follows:

(a) If $Q = 1$, then

$$R(z) = [P(z)]^{-1} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \phi_l z^l,$$

so that the matrices (5) are lower triangular.

(b) If $P = 1$, then

$$R(z) = [Q(1/z)]^{-1} = \sum_{l=-\infty}^0 \phi_l z^l,$$

so that the matrices (5) are upper triangular.

(c) If $\mu > 0$ and $\nu > 0$, then $\Phi(z)$ is obtained from $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ in the same way that $\Gamma(z)$ (cf. (42)) was obtained from $A(z)$ and $B(1/z)$ in § 3. (There is no need to assume here that the zeros of $A(z)$ are confined to $|z| \leq 1$ while those of $B(1/z)$ are in $|z| \geq 1$; however, if these conditions hold with strict inequalities, then $\Phi(z)$ is the unique Laurent series which converges to $T(z)$ in an annulus containing $|z| = 1$.)

In this situation, the inverses $\{\Phi_m^{-1}\}$ are banded matrices that are "quasi-Toeplitz" in a sense made explicit in [7], and systems of the form (4) can be solved explicitly with a number of operations that are $O((\mu + \nu)m)$ for large m ; moreover, there is no possibility of instability here, since the computation does not involve recursion. Since the formula for Φ_m^{-1} is given in [7], we will not include further detail here. Combining this formula with the recursive methods of § 3 yields the solution of (2) in $O(n)$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$) operations.

In [15] we gave explicit formulas for the solution of (2) when T_n is rationally generated, in this way, in terms of Y and determinants involving the values of $P(z)$ and $Q(1/z)$ at the zeros of $C(z)$. Although some discussion of numerical implementation was included in [15], the principal interest there was in the formulas. To the author's knowledge, the only previously published $O(n)$ algorithm specifically designed to solve $n \times n$ systems with rationally generated Toeplitz matrices is due to Dickinson [5]. However, Dickinson's method requires that T_1, \dots, T_n all be invertible, and he did not consider stability.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. BECK, *A fast algorithm for the solution of banded Toeplitz sets of linear equations*, Alkalmaz. Mat. Lapok, 8 (1982), pp. 157–176. (In Hungarian, with English summary.)
- [2] J. R. BUNCH, *Stability of methods for solving Toeplitz systems of equations*, SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput., 6 (1985), pp. 349–364.
- [3] R. P. BRENT, F. G. GUSTAVSON, AND D. Y. Y. YUN, *Fast solution of Toeplitz systems of equations and computation of Padé approximants*, J. Algorithms, 1 (1980), pp. 259–295.
- [4] B. W. DICKINSON, *Efficient solution of linear equations with banded Toeplitz matrices*, IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Process., ASSP-27 (1979), pp. 421–423.
- [5] ———, *Solution of linear equations with rational Toeplitz matrices*, Math. Comp., 34 (1980), pp. 227–233.
- [6] T. N. E. GREVILLE, *On a problem concerning band matrices with Toeplitz inverses*, Proc. 8th Manitoba Conf. Numer. Math. Comput., 1978, pp. 275–283; Utilitas Mathematica Publ. Winnipeg.
- [7] T. N. E. GREVILLE AND W. F. TRENCH, *Band matrices with Toeplitz inverses*, Linear Algebra Appl., 27 (1979), pp. 199–209.
- [8] F. G. GUSTAVSON AND D. Y. Y. YUN, *Fast algorithms for rational Hermite approximation and solution of Toeplitz systems*, IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems, CAS-26 (1979), pp. 750–755.
- [9] S. B. HALEY, *Solution of band matrix equations by projection-recurrence*, Linear Algebra Appl., 32 (1980), pp. 33–48.

- [10] A. K. JAIN, *Fast inversion of banded Toeplitz matrices by circular decompositions*, IEEE Trans. Acoust. Speech, Signal Process., ASSP-26 (1978), pp. 121–126.
 - [11] T. KAILATH, A. VIEIRA, AND M. MORF, *Inverses of Toeplitz operators, innovations, and orthogonal polynomials*, SIAM Rev., 20 (1978), pp. 106–119.
 - [12] W. F. TRENCH, *An algorithm for the inversion of finite Toeplitz matrices*, J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math., 12 (1964), pp. 512–522.
 - [13] ———, *Inversion of Toeplitz band matrices*, Math. Comp., 28 (1974), pp. 1089–1095.
 - [14] ———, *Explicit inversion formulas for Toeplitz band matrices*, SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods, 7 (1986), pp. 167–179.
 - [15] ———, *Solution of systems with Toeplitz matrices generated by rational functions*, Linear Algebra Appl., 74 (1986), pp. 191–211.
 - [16] P. WHITTLE, *Prediction and Regulation*, van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1963.
 - [17] S. ZOHAR, *Toeplitz matrix inversion: The algorithm of W. F. Trench*, J. Assoc. Comput. Mach., 16 (1969), pp. 592–601.
 - [18] ———, *The solution of a Toeplitz set of linear equations*, J. Assoc. Comput. Mach., 21 (1974), 272–276.
-