

Trinity University

From the Selected Works of William F. Trench

1973

Nonnegative and alternating expansions of one set of orthogonal polynomials in terms of another

William F. Trench, *Trinity University*



Available at: https://works.bepress.com/william_trench/20/

NONNEGATIVE AND ALTERNATING EXPANSIONS OF ONE SET OF ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS IN TERMS OF ANOTHER*

WILLIAM F. TRENCH†

Abstract. Let $\{p_n(x)\}$ and $\{q_n(x)\}$ be monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to the distributions $du(x)$ and $dv(x) = w(x) du(x)$. Conditions are given on $w(x)$ which imply that, for all n , the coefficients in the expansion of $p_n(x)$ in terms of $q_0(x), \dots, q_n(x)$ are nonnegative, and those in the expansion of $q_n(x)$ in terms of $p_0(x), \dots, p_n(x)$ alternate in sign.

1. Introduction. Several recent papers have been concerned with finding conditions under which the constants $c_{0n}, c_{1n}, \dots, c_{nn}$ in the expansion

$$(1) \quad q_n(x) = \sum_{r=0}^n c_{rn} p_r(x), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

are all nonnegative, where $\{p_n(x)\}$ and $\{q_n(x)\}$ are suitably normalized polynomials orthogonal with respect to different distributions. Askey [1], [2], [3], Askey and Gasper [4], and Wilson [7] have obtained results on this question. Askey [3] gives references to areas in which this problem arises.

We shall say that the expansion (1) is *nonnegative* if $c_{rn} \geq 0$ for $0 \leq r \leq n$, or *alternating* if $(-1)^{n-r} c_{rn} \geq 0$ for $0 \leq r \leq n$. An alternating expansion can be transformed into a nonnegative expansion (and vice versa) by the renormalization

$$(2) \quad P_n(x) = (-1)^n p_n(x), \quad Q_n(x) = (-1)^n q_n(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

2. Formulation of the problem. Throughout this paper we assume that $u(x)$ is nondecreasing and $w(x)$ nonnegative on an interval (a, b) , that the distributions $du(x)$ and $dv(x) = w(x) du(x)$ have finite moments

$$\int_a^b x^r du(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b x^r dv(x)$$

for all nonnegative integers r , and that $\{p_n(x)\}$ and $\{q_n(x)\}$ are the monic polynomials orthogonal over (a, b) with respect to $du(x)$ and $dv(x)$, respectively; i.e.,

$$(3) \quad p_n(x) = x^n + \dots, \quad q_n(x) = x^n + \dots,$$

and

$$\int_a^b p_n(x) p_m(x) du(x) = \int_a^b q_n(x) q_m(x) dv(x) = 0, \quad n > m \geq 0.$$

We shall give conditions under which the expansions

$$(4) \quad q_n(x) = p_n(x) + \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} a_{rn} p_r(x)$$

and

$$(5) \quad p_n(x) = q_n(x) + \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} b_{rn} q_r(x)$$

* Received by the editors January 6, 1972, and in revised form March 15, 1972.

† Department of Mathematics, Drexel University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104.

are, respectively, alternating and nonnegative for all n . (If $u(x)$ has only finitely many, say N , points of increase, the phrase "for all n " should be interpreted as "for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$.")

3. Results. The following is a known result [6, Thm. 3.1.4, § 3.1].

LEMMA 1. Suppose x_0 is not in (a, b) and $w(x) = |x - x_0|$. Then (4) and (5) reduce to

$$(6) \quad q_n(x) = p_n(x) + \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{p_r(x_0)}{p_n(x_0)} p_r(x)$$

and

$$(7) \quad p_n(x) = q_n(x) - \frac{p_{n-1}(x_0)}{p_n(x_0)} q_{n-1}(x).$$

LEMMA 2. If $-\infty < x_0 \leq a$, then (6) is alternating and (7) is nonnegative for all n . If $b \leq x_0 < \infty$, then (6) is nonnegative and (7) is alternating for all n .

Proof. The roots of $p_j(x)$ are all in (a, b) . Because of the normalization (3), $(-1)^j p_j(x_0) > 0$ if $x_0 \leq a$, and $p_j(x_0) > 0$ if $x_0 \geq b$. This yields the conclusion.

Suppose $\{p_n(x)\}$, $\{q_n(x)\}$ and $\{r_n(x)\}$ are sequences of polynomials such that, for all n , the expansion of $p_n(x)$ in terms of $q_0(x), q_1(x), \dots, q_n(x)$ and the expansion of $q_n(x)$ in terms of $r_0(x), r_1(x), \dots, r_n(x)$ are both alternating (nonnegative); then the expansion of $p_n(x)$ in terms of $r_0(x), r_1(x), \dots, r_n(x)$ is also alternating (nonnegative) for all n . This and repeated application of Lemma 2 yield the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let $R(a, b)$ be the set of rational functions with only real zeros and poles, which are positive on (a, b) , with finite zeros, if any, confined to $(-\infty, a]$, and finite poles, if any, confined to $[b, \infty)$. If $w(x)$ is in $R(a, b)$, then (4) is alternating and (5) is nonnegative for all n .

Example 1. The Jacobi polynomials, defined by

$$P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = (1-x)^{-\alpha}(1+x)^{-\beta} \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n n!} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n [(1-x)^{\alpha+\beta+1}], \quad \alpha, \beta > -1,$$

are orthogonal with respect to the distribution

$$du(x) = (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta dx, \quad -1 < x < 1,$$

and have positive leading coefficients. From Theorem 1, the expansion

$$(8) \quad P_n^{(\gamma, \delta)}(x) = \sum_{r=0}^n A_{rn}(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \delta) P_r^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$$

is alternating for all n if $\gamma = \alpha - r > -1$ and $\delta = \beta + s$, with r and s nonnegative integers, and nonnegative for all n if $\gamma = \alpha + r$ and $\delta = \beta - s > -1$, with r and s nonnegative integers.

For other cases in which (8) is known to be nonnegative for all n , and for a conjecture on this point, see Askey and Gasper [4].

Example 2. Askey [1] has shown that (4) is alternating for all n if $a = 0$ and $w(x) = x^\alpha$, where α is a positive integer, and has conjectured that the result remains valid if α is an arbitrary positive number. (Actually, Askey speaks of nonnegative expansions, but his normalization differs from ours as in (2).) Theorem 1 contains

Askey's result for positive integral α , and also implies that in this case (5) is nonnegative for all n . For this reason it is tempting to extend Askey's conjecture: namely, to conjecture that (4) is alternating and (5) is nonnegative for all n if $a = 0$ and $w(x) = x^\alpha$, with α an arbitrary positive number. However, this extended conjecture is false, as can be seen by taking

$$u(x) = 1, \quad w(x) = x^\alpha, \quad a = 0, \quad b = 1;$$

then straightforward computations yield

$$\begin{aligned} q_0(x) &= 1, \\ q_1(x) &= x - \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha + 2}, \\ q_2(x) &= x^2 - \frac{2(\alpha + 2)}{\alpha + 4}x + \frac{(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)}{(\alpha + 3)(\alpha + 4)}, \\ p_0(x) &= 1, \\ p_1(x) &= x - \frac{1}{2}, \\ p_2(x) &= x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$p_2(x) = q_2(x) + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 4}q_1(x) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{6(\alpha + 2)(\alpha + 3)}q_0(x),$$

which is not nonnegative if $0 < \alpha < 1$.

The coefficients of $p_n(x)$ and $q_n(x)$, as well as the coefficients a_{rn} and b_{rn} in (4) and (5), are continuous functions of the moments of $du(x)$ and $dv(x)$. The next lemma follows easily from this.

LEMMA 3. *Suppose $du_m(x)$ and $dv_m(x)$ are sequences of distributions on (a, b) such that*

$$(9) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b x^r du_m(x) = \int_a^b x^r du(x), \quad r = 0, 1, \dots,$$

$$(10) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b x^r dv_m(x) = \int_a^b x^r dv(x), \quad r = 0, 1, \dots$$

Let $\{p_{nm}(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{q_{nm}(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be the sequences of monic polynomials orthogonal over (a, b) with respect to $du_m(x)$ and $dv_m(x)$, respectively. For each m , let the expansions

$$q_{nm}(x) = p_{nm}(x) + \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} a_{rnm} p_{rm}(x)$$

and

$$p_{nm}(x) = q_{nm}(x) + \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} b_{rnm} q_{rm}(x)$$

be, respectively, alternating and nonnegative for all n . Then (4) is alternating and (5) is nonnegative for all n .

THEOREM 2. If $\gamma > 0$ and the distribution $dv(x) = e^{\gamma x} du(x)$ has moments of all orders on (a, b) , then (4) is alternating and (5) is nonnegative for all n .

Proof. If $a > -\infty$, let $du_m(x) = du(x)$ and $dv_m(x) = w_m(x) du(x)$, where

$$w_m(x) = e^{\gamma a} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma(x-a)}{m} \right)^m, \quad x \geq a.$$

Then (9) is obvious and, since $w_m(x) \leq e^{\gamma x}$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} w_m(x) = e^{\gamma x}$, Lebesgue's bounded convergence theorem implies (10). Moreover, $w_m(x)$ is in $R(a, b)$ for every m . Thus, if a is finite, the conclusion follows from Theorem 1 and Lemma 3.

If $a = -\infty$, we again apply Lemma 3, this time with

$$u_m(x) = \begin{cases} u(x), & x \geq -m, \\ u(-m), & x < -m, \end{cases}$$

and $dv_m(x) = e^{\gamma x} du_m(x)$. From the result just proved for finite a , the hypotheses of Lemma 3 are satisfied, and therefore the conclusion follows.

Example 3. Suppose $\alpha > -1$ and

$$du(x) = x^\alpha e^{-x} dx, \quad x > 0;$$

then

$$(11) \quad p_n(x) = (-1)^n c_n L_n^{(\alpha)}(x),$$

where $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$ is the Laguerre polynomial and $c_n > 0$ [6, § 5.1]. If $\rho > 0$, the change of variable $x = \rho y$ transforms the orthogonality condition

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^\alpha p_n(x) p_m(x) dx = 0, \quad n \neq m,$$

into

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\rho y} y^\alpha p_n(\rho y) p_m(\rho y) dy = 0, \quad n \neq m;$$

hence, the monic polynomials $q_n(x) = \rho^{-n} p_n(\rho x)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, are orthogonal over $(0, \infty)$ with respect to the distribution

$$dv(x) = e^{-(\rho-1)x} du(x).$$

Bearing in mind the difference in normalization indicated in (11), we conclude from Theorem 2 that the expansion

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(\rho x) = \sum_{r=0}^n A_{rn}^{(\alpha)}(\rho) L_r^{(\alpha)}(x)$$

is nonnegative for all n if $0 < \rho < 1$, and alternating for all n if $\rho > 1$. This is a known result; see [5, § 119].

Example 4. If

$$du(x) = e^{-x^2} dx, \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$

then

$$p_n(x) = d_n H_n(x),$$

where $H_n(x)$ is the n th Hermite polynomial and $d_n > 0$ [6, § 5.5]. The change of variable $x = y - x_0$ transforms the orthogonality condition

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} p_n(x) p_m(x) dx = 0, \quad m \neq n,$$

into

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(y-x_0)^2} p_n(y-x_0) p_m(y-x_0) dy, \quad m \neq n;$$

hence, the monic polynomials $q_n(x) = p_n(x - x_0)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, are orthogonal over $(-\infty, \infty)$ with respect to the distribution

$$dv(x) = e^{2x_0x} du(x).$$

It follows from Theorem 2 that the expansion

$$H_n(x - x_0) = \sum_{r=0}^n K_{rn}(x_0) H_r(x)$$

is alternating for all n if $x_0 > 0$, and nonnegative for all n if $x_0 < 0$. This is also a known result; see [6, Prob. 68, p. 385].

We conclude with the following theorem, which can be obtained from Theorem 1, Lemma 3 and Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. Suppose $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, and let

$$(12) \quad w(x) = e^{\gamma x} \frac{(x-a)^m \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} [1 + c_r(x-a)]}{(b-x)^n \prod_{s=1}^{\infty} [1 - d_s(x-b)]},$$

where m and n are nonnegative integers, $\gamma \geq 0$, $c_r \geq 0$, $d_s \geq 0$, $\sum_1^{\infty} c_r < \infty$, and $\sum_1^{\infty} d_s < \infty$. If the distribution $dv(x) = w(x) du(x)$ has moments of all orders on (a, b) , then (4) is alternating and (5) is nonnegative for all n .

Remark. If $-\infty = a < b < \infty$, a similar result holds with (12) replaced by

$$w(x) = e^{\gamma x} (b-x)^{-n} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} [1 - d_s(x-b)] \right)^{-1}.$$

If $-\infty < a < b = \infty$, the appropriate form for $w(x)$ is

$$w(x) = e^{\gamma x} (x-a)^m \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} [1 + c_r(x-a)].$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. ASKEY, *Orthogonal expansions with positive coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 26 (1965), pp. 1191-1194.
- [2] ———, *Jacobi polynomial expansions with positive coefficients and imbeddings of projective spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 74 (1968), pp. 301-304.
- [3] ———, *Orthogonal expansions with positive coefficients. II*, this Journal, 2 (1971), pp. 340-346.
- [4] R. ASKEY AND G. GASPER, *Jacobi polynomial expansions of Jacobi polynomials with non-negative coefficients*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 70 (1971), pp. 243-255.
- [5] E. D. RAINVILLE, *Special Functions*, Macmillan, New York, 1960.
- [6] G. SZEGÖ, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, rev. ed., American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1959.
- [7] M. W. WILSON, *Nonnegative expansions of polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 24 (1970), pp. 100-102.