

**Trinity University**

---

**From the Selected Works of William F. Trench**

---

2009

# Inverses of lower triangular Toeplitz matrices

William F. Trench, *Trinity University*



Available at: [https://works.bepress.com/william\\_trench/132/](https://works.bepress.com/william_trench/132/)

## Inverses of lower triangular Toeplitz matrices

**William F. Trench**

Define  $a_n = 0$  if  $n < 0$  and assume that  $a_0 \neq 0$ . Let

$$T_N = (a_{r-s})_{r,s=1}^N, \quad N = 1, 2, \dots,$$

be the family of lower triangular Toeplitz matrices generated by the formal power series

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1)$$

The family of inverses is given by

$$T_N^{-1} = (b_{r-s})_{r,s=1}^N, \quad N = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (2)$$

is the formal reciprocal of  $f(z)$ ; that is,  $b_n = 0$  if  $n < 0$ ,  $b_0 = 1/a_0$  and

$$\sum_{r=0}^n a_r b_{n-r} = 0, \quad n > 0.$$

This formula can be used to compute  $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  recursively:

$$b_n = -\frac{1}{b_0} \sum_{r=1}^n a_r b_{n-r}.$$

This seems to me to be the most practical way to proceed.

I can think of two “explicit” expressions for  $b_n$ ; however, although they may be of some theoretical interest, they don’t seem very useful.

FORMULA I.

For every  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$T_{n+1} \begin{bmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Applying Cramer’s rule here yields

$$b_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{a_0^{n+1}} \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & a_{n-3} & \cdots & a_0 \\ a_n & a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

FORMULA II.

Temporarily assume that (1) has a positive radius of convergence. Then so does (2). Moreover,

$$a_n = \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} \quad \text{and} \quad b_n = \frac{g^{(n)}(0)}{n!}. \quad (3)$$

According to the formula of Faa di Bruno (Ch.-J de La Vallee Poussin, *Cours d'analyse infinitesimale*, Vol. 1, 12th Ed., Librairie Universitaire Louvain, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1959) for the derivatives of a composite function,

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n} h(f(x)) = \sum_{k=1}^n h^{(k)}(f(x)) \sum_k \frac{n!}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} \left( \frac{f'(x)}{1!} \right)^{k_1} \left( \frac{f''(x)}{2!} \right)^{k_2} \cdots \left( \frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!} \right)^{k_n},$$

where  $\sum_k$  is over all partitions of  $k$  as a sum of nonnegative integers  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n$  such that

$$k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_n = k \quad \text{and} \quad k_1 + 2k_2 + \cdots + nk_n = n.$$

We're interested in  $g(x) = 1/f(x)$ ; therefore, we take  $h(u) = 1/u$  in obtain

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n} g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^k k!}{(f(x))^{k+1}} \sum_k \frac{n!}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} \left( \frac{f'(x)}{1!} \right)^{k_1} \left( \frac{f''(x)}{2!} \right)^{k_2} \cdots \left( \frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!} \right)^{k_n}.$$

Setting  $x = 0$  here and recalling (3) yields

$$b_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^k k!}{a_0^{k+1}} \sum_k \frac{1}{k_1! \cdots k_n!} a_1^{k_1} a_2^{k_2} \cdots a_n^{k_n}.$$

Although we derived this formula assuming that (1) has a positive radius of convergence, it is a purely algebraic result that doesn't require this assumption.