

Trinity University

From the Selected Works of William F. Trench

2001

Properties of some generalizations of Kac-Murdock-Szeg"o matrices

William F. Trench, *Trinity University*



Available at: https://works.bepress.com/william_trench/117/

Appeared In
 Structured Matrices in Mathematics, Computer Science and
 Engineering II
 AMS Contemporary Mathematics Series 281 (2001) 233–245

Properties of Some Generalizations of Kac-Murdock-Szegö Matrices

William F. Trench
 95 Pine Lane
 Woodland Park, CO 80863, USA

Abstract

We consider generalizations of the Kac-Murdock-Szegö matrices of the forms $L_n = (\rho^{|r-s|} c_{\min(r,s)})_{r,s=1}^n$ and $U_n = (\rho^{|r-s|} c_{\max(r,s)})_{r,s=1}^n$, where ρ and c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n are real numbers. We obtain explicit expressions for the determinants and inverses of L_n and U_n , determine their inertias, and diagonalize their quadratic forms. We also consider the spectral distributions of two special cases.

Key words: Kac-Murdock-Szegö matrices; Toeplitz matrices; determinant; inverse; inertia; diagonalization; spectrum

1. Introduction.

The Kac-Murdock-Szegö (KMS) matrices [4] are the symmetric Toeplitz matrices

$$K_n(\rho) = \left(\rho^{|r-s|} \right)_{r,s=1}^n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where ρ is real. It is known [3, Section 7.2, Problems 12-13] that

$$\det(K_n(\rho)) = (1 - \rho^2)^{n-1} \tag{1}$$

and, if $\rho \neq \pm 1$, then

$$K_n^{-1}(\rho) = \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\rho & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\rho & 1 + \rho^2 & -\rho & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\rho & 1 + \rho^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 + \rho^2 & -\rho & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\rho & 1 + \rho^2 & -\rho \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\rho & 1 \end{bmatrix};$$

thus, except for its first and last rows, $K_n^{-1}(\rho)$ is a tridiagonal Toeplitz matrix.

In this paper we consider generalizations of the KMS matrices of the form

$$L_n = (\rho^{|r-s|} c_{\min(r,s)})_{r,s=1}^n \quad \text{and} \quad U_n = (\rho^{|r-s|} c_{\max(r,s)})_{r,s=1}^n,$$

where ρ and c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n are real numbers; thus,

$$L_n = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & \rho c_1 & \rho^2 c_1 & \cdots & \rho^{n-3} c_1 & \rho^{n-2} c_1 & \rho^{n-1} c_1 \\ \rho c_1 & c_2 & \rho c_2 & \cdots & \rho^{n-4} c_2 & \rho^{n-3} c_2 & \rho^{n-2} c_2 \\ \rho^2 c_1 & \rho c_2 & c_3 & \cdots & \rho^{n-5} c_3 & \rho^{n-4} c_3 & \rho^{n-3} c_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \rho^{n-3} c_1 & \rho^{n-4} c_2 & \rho^{n-5} c_3 & \cdots & c_{n-2} & \rho c_{n-2} & \rho^2 c_{n-2} \\ \rho^{n-2} c_1 & \rho^{n-3} c_2 & \rho^{n-4} c_3 & \cdots & \rho c_{n-2} & c_{n-1} & \rho c_{n-1} \\ \rho^{n-1} c_1 & \rho^{n-2} c_2 & \rho^{n-3} c_3 & \cdots & \rho^2 c_{n-2} & \rho c_{n-1} & c_n \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

and

$$U_n = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & \rho c_2 & \rho^2 c_2 & \cdots & \rho^{n-3} c_{n-2} & \rho^{n-2} c_{n-1} & \rho^{n-1} c_n \\ \rho c_2 & c_2 & \rho c_3 & \cdots & \rho^{n-4} c_{n-2} & \rho^{n-3} c_{n-1} & \rho^{n-2} c_n \\ \rho^2 c_3 & \rho c_3 & c_3 & \cdots & \rho^{n-5} c_{n-2} & \rho^{n-4} c_{n-1} & \rho^{n-3} c_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \rho^{n-3} c_{n-2} & \rho^{n-4} c_{n-2} & \rho^{n-5} c_{n-2} & \cdots & c_{n-2} & \rho c_{n-1} & \rho^2 c_n \\ \rho^{n-2} c_{n-1} & \rho^{n-3} c_{n-1} & \rho^{n-4} c_{n-1} & \cdots & \rho c_{n-1} & c_{n-1} & \rho c_n \\ \rho^{n-1} c_n & \rho^{n-2} c_n & \rho^{n-3} c_n & \cdots & \rho^2 c_n & \rho c_n & c_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Although we do not know of any practical applications in which these matrices occur, we believe that they have interesting properties. In particular, we hope to discover conditions on sequences $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ which guarantee that the spectra of the family $\{L_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and/or the family $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ have predictable distributions as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Theorems 5-8 provide a modest start in this direction.

In Section 2 we obtain explicit expressions for the determinants and inverses of L_n and U_n . We also determine their inertias and diagonalize their quadratic forms. In Section 3 we discuss the distribution of the eigenvalues of the matrices

$$K_n(\rho, \gamma) = \left(\rho^{|r-s|} + \gamma \rho^{r+s} \right)_{r,s=1}^n$$

(which is of the form (2) with $c_r = 1 + \gamma \rho^{2r}$), where $0 < \rho < 1$ and γ is an arbitrary real number. In Section 4 we discuss the distribution of the eigenvalues of

$$L_n = (\min(r, s) - \gamma)_{r,s=1}^n$$

(which is of the form (2) with $c_r = r - \gamma$), where $\gamma \leq 1/2$.

2. Properties of L_n and U_n .

Let A_n be the $n \times n$ matrix with 1's on the diagonal, $-\rho$'s on the super diagonal, and zeros elsewhere; thus,

$$A_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\rho & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\rho & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -\rho & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -\rho \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that

$$L_n A_n = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho c_1 & \alpha_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho^2 c_1 & \rho \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \rho^{n-3} c_1 & \rho^{n-4} \alpha_1 & \rho^{n-5} \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_{n-3} & 0 & 0 \\ \rho^{n-2} c_1 & \rho^{n-3} \alpha_1 & \rho^{n-4} \alpha_2 & \cdots & \rho \alpha_{n-3} & \alpha_{n-2} & 0 \\ \rho^{n-1} c_1 & \rho^{n-2} \alpha_1 & \rho^{n-1} \alpha_2 & \cdots & \rho^2 \alpha_{n-3} & \rho \alpha_{n-2} & \alpha_{n-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\alpha_i = c_{i+1} - \rho^2 c_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^T L_n A_n &= \text{diag}(c_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) \\ &= \text{diag}(c_1, c_2 - \rho^2 c_1, c_3 - \rho^2 c_2, \dots, c_n - \rho^2 c_{n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

It is also straightforward to verify that

$$A_n U_n = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho \beta_2 & \beta_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho^2 \beta_3 & \rho \beta_3 & \beta_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \rho^{n-3} \beta_{n-2} & \rho^{n-4} \beta_{n-2} & \rho^{n-5} \beta_{n-2} & \cdots & \beta_{n-2} & 0 & 0 \\ \rho^{n-2} \beta_{n-1} & \rho^{n-3} \beta_{n-1} & \rho^{n-4} \beta_{n-1} & \cdots & \rho \beta_{n-1} & \beta_{n-1} & 0 \\ \rho^{n-1} c_n & \rho^{n-2} c_n & \rho^{n-1} c_n & \cdots & \rho^2 c_n & \rho c_n & c_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\beta_i = c_i - \rho^2 c_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} A_n U_n A_n^T &= \text{diag}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n-1}, c_n) \\ &= \text{diag}(c_1 - \rho^2 c_2, c_2 - \rho^2 c_3, \dots, c_{n-1} - \rho^2 c_n, c_n). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Since $\det(A_n) = 1$, (3) and (4) imply that

$$\det(L_n) = c_1 \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (c_{i+1} - \rho^2 c_i) \quad (5)$$

and

$$\det(U_n) = c_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (c_i - \rho^2 c_{i+1}). \quad (6)$$

Note that (5) and (6) both reduce to (1) when $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_n = 1$.

We will prove the following two theorems together.

THEOREM 1 *The inertia of L_n is (m, z, p) , where m , z , and p are the numbers of negative, zero, and positive elements in the set*

$$\{c_1, c_2 - \rho^2 c_1, c_3 - \rho^2 c_2, \dots, c_n - \rho^2 c_{n-1}\}.$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{r,s=1}^n \rho^{|r-s|} c_{\min(r,s)} x_r x_s = c_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \rho^{j-1} x_j \right)^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n (c_{i+1} - \rho^2 c_i) \left(\sum_{j=i}^n \rho^{j-i} x_j \right)^2. \quad (7)$$

THEOREM 2 *The inertia of U_n is (m, z, p) , where m , z , and p are the numbers of negative, zero, and positive elements in the set*

$$\{c_1 - \rho^2 c_2, c_2 - \rho^2 c_3, \dots, c_{n-1} - \rho^2 c_n, c_n\}.$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{r,s=1}^n \rho^{|r-s|} c_{\max(r,s)} x_r x_s = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (c_i - \rho^2 c_{i+1}) \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \rho^{i-j} x_j \right)^2 + c_n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \rho^{n-j} x_j \right)^2. \quad (8)$$

PROOF: By Sylvester's theorem, (3) and (4) imply the statements concerning inertia. From (3),

$$L_n = (A_n^{-1})^T \text{diag}(c_1, c_2 - \rho^2 c_1, c_3 - \rho^2 c_2, \dots, c_n - \rho^2 c_{n-1}) A_n^{-1}. \quad (9)$$

From (4),

$$U_n = A_n^{-1} \text{diag}(c_1 - \rho^2 c_2, c_2 - \rho^2 c_3, \dots, c_{n-1} - \rho^2 c_n, c_n) (A_n^{-1})^T. \quad (10)$$

Since

$$A_n^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho^2 & \cdots & \rho^{n-3} & \rho^{n-2} & \rho^{n-1} \\ 0 & 1 & \rho & \cdots & \rho^{n-4} & \rho^{n-3} & \rho^{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & \rho^{n-5} & \rho^{n-4} & \rho^{n-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \rho & \rho^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \rho \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

(9) implies (7) and (10) implies (8). ■

EXAMPLE 1. With $\rho = 1$ and $c_r = r$, (7) and (8) reduce to

$$\sum_{r,s=1}^n \min(r, s) x_r x_s = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=i}^n x_j \right)^2 \quad (11)$$

and

$$\sum_{r,s=1}^n \max(r, s) x_r x_s = - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^i x_j \right)^2 + n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^2.$$

These diagonalizations have recently been obtained by T. Y. Lam [5], who observed that (11) was previously stated in [2].

EXAMPLE 2. With $c_r = 1$, (7) and (8) provide distinct diagonalizations of the quadratic form associated with $K_n(\rho)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r,s=1}^n \rho^{|r-s|} x_r x_s &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \rho^{j-1} x_j \right)^2 + (1 - \rho^2) \sum_{i=2}^n \left(\sum_{j=i}^n \rho^{j-i} x_j \right)^2, \\ \sum_{r,s=1}^n \rho^{|r-s|} x_r x_s &= (1 - \rho^2) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \rho^{i-j} x_j \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \rho^{n-j} x_j \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3 If $\det(L_n) \neq 0$ define

$$\sigma_i = \frac{1}{c_{i+1} - \rho^2 c_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Then $L_n^{-1} = (u_{rs})_{r,s=1}^n$ is the symmetric tridiagonal matrix with

$$\begin{aligned} u_{11} &= 1/c_1 + \rho^2 \sigma_1, & u_{nn} &= \sigma_{n-1}, \\ u_{rr} &= \sigma_{r-1} + \rho^2 \sigma_r, & r &= 2, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u_{r+1,r} = u_{r,r+1} = -\rho \sigma_r, \quad r = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

For example,

$$L_5^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/c_1 + \rho^2 \sigma_1 & -\rho \sigma_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\rho \sigma_1 & \sigma_1 + \rho^2 \sigma_2 & -\rho \sigma_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\rho \sigma_2 & \sigma_2 + \rho^2 \sigma_3 & -\rho \sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\rho \sigma_3 & \sigma_3 + \rho^2 \sigma_4 & -\rho \sigma_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\rho \sigma_4 & \sigma_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

PROOF: From (9),

$$L_n^{-1} = A_n \operatorname{diag}(1/c_1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) A_n^T,$$

and routine manipulations verify the stated result. ■

Example 3. Let $c_r = 1 + \gamma \rho^{2r}$, where γ is an arbitrary real number. Then

$$\rho^{|r-s|} c_{\min(r,s)} = \rho^{|r-s|} + \gamma \rho^{r+s}.$$

We denote L_n by $K_n(\rho, \gamma)$, since we will return to this matrix in Section 3; thus

$$K_n(\rho, \gamma) = \left(\rho^{|r-s|} + \gamma \rho^{r+s} \right)_{r,s=1}^n.$$

In this case $c_{i+1} - \rho^2 c_i = 1 - \rho^2$, so (5) implies that

$$\det(K_n(\rho, \gamma)) = (1 + \gamma \rho^2)(1 - \rho^2)^{n-1}. \quad (12)$$

Since

$$\sigma_i = \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2}, \dots, i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

Theorem 3 implies that

$$K_n^{-1}(\rho, \gamma) = \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1 + \gamma \rho^4}{1 + \gamma \rho^2} & -\rho & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\rho & 1 + \rho^2 & -\rho & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\rho & 1 + \rho^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 + \rho^2 & -\rho & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\rho & 1 + \rho^2 & -\rho \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\rho & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

if $\rho \neq \pm 1$ and $\gamma\rho^2 \neq -1$.

Example 4. If $\rho = 1$ and $c_r = r - \gamma$ then

$$L_n = (\min(r, s) - \gamma)_{r,s=1}^n.$$

Since $\sigma_i = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, Theorem 3 implies that

$$L_n^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2-\gamma}{1-\gamma} & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

if $\gamma \neq 1$.

THEOREM 4 If $\det(U_n) \neq 0$ define

$$\tau_i = \frac{1}{c_i - \rho^2 c_{i+1}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Then $U_n^{-1} = (v_{rs})_{r,s=1}^n$ is the symmetric tridiagonal matrix with

$$v_{11} = \tau_1, \quad v_{nn} = \rho^2 \tau_{n-1} + 1/c_n,$$

$$v_{rr} = \rho^2 \tau_{r-1} + \tau_r, \quad r = 2, \dots, n-1,$$

and

$$v_{r+1,r} = v_{r,r+1} = -\rho \tau_r, \quad r = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

For example,

$$U_5^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 & -\rho \tau_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\rho \tau_1 & \rho^2 \tau_1 + \tau_2 & -\rho \tau_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\rho \tau_2 & \rho^2 \tau_2 + \tau_3 & -\rho \tau_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\rho \tau_3 & \rho^2 \tau_3 + \tau_4 & -\rho \tau_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\rho \tau_4 & \rho^2 \tau_4 + 1/c_5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

PROOF: From (10),

$$U_n^{-1} = A_n^T \text{diag}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \dots, \tau_{n-1}, 1/c_n) A_n,$$

and routine manipulations verify the stated result. ■

Example 5. Let $c_r = 1 + \gamma\rho^{-2r}$, where γ is real. Then

$$U_n = \left(\rho^{|r-s|} + \gamma\rho^{-r-s} \right)_{r,s=1}^n.$$

In this case $c_i - \rho^2 c_{i+1} = 1 - \rho^2$, so (6) implies that

$$\det(U_n) = (1 + \gamma\rho^{-2n})(1 - \rho^2)^{n-1}.$$

Since

$$\tau_i = \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2}, \dots, i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

Theorem 4 implies that

$$U_n^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\rho & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\rho & 1 + \rho^2 & -\rho & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\rho & 1 + \rho^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 + \rho^2 & -\rho & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\rho & 1 + \rho^2 & -\rho \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\rho & \frac{\rho^{2n} + \gamma\rho^2}{\rho^{2n} + \gamma} \end{bmatrix}$$

if $\rho \neq \pm 1$ and $\gamma \neq -\rho^{2n}$.

Example 6. If $\rho = 1$ and $c_r = r - \gamma$ then

$$U_n = (\max(r, s) - \gamma)_{r,s=1}^n.$$

Since $\tau_i = -1$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, Theorem 4 implies that

$$U_n^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{-n+1+\gamma}{n-\gamma} \end{bmatrix}$$

if $\gamma \neq n$.

3. Spectral Properties of $K_n(\rho, \gamma)$.

If $0 < \rho < 1$ then

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho^{|n|} e^{in\theta} = F(\theta) = \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 - 2\rho \cos \theta + \rho^2}, \quad (14)$$

and it is known that the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1n} < \lambda_{2n} < \dots < \lambda_{nn}$ of $K_n(\rho) = (\rho^{|r-s|})_{r,s=1}^n$ are given by

$$\lambda_{jn} = F(\phi_{n-j+1,n}),$$

where

$$\frac{(j-1)\pi}{n+1} < \phi_{jn} < \frac{j\pi}{n+1}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

(See [6] for more on this.) This illustrates a theorem of Szegő [1, Chapter 5] which implies that if $\{c_r\}_{r=-\infty}^{\infty}$ are the Fourier coefficients of a bounded real-valued even function $f \in L[-\pi, \pi]$ then the spectra of the symmetric Toeplitz matrices $T_n = (c_{r-s})_{r,s=1}^n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, are equally distributed in the sense of H. Weyl [1, p. 62] with values of f at n equally spaced points in $[0, \pi]$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We will now obtain related results on the spectrum of $K_n(\rho, \gamma)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, assuming that $0 < \rho < 1$. We also assume temporarily that $\gamma\rho^2 \neq -1$, so $K_n(\rho, \gamma)$ is invertible.

We begin by considering the spectrum of

$$V_n = (1 - \rho^2)K_n^{-1}(\rho, \gamma) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1 + \gamma\rho^4}{1 + \gamma\rho^2} & -\rho & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\rho & 1 + \rho^2 & -\rho & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\rho & 1 + \rho^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 + \rho^2 & -\rho & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\rho & 1 + \rho^2 & -\rho \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\rho & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that if $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}$ (not all zero) satisfy

$$-\rho x_{r-1} + [1 + \rho^2 - \mu]x_r - \rho x_{r+1} = 0, \quad 1 \leq r \leq n, \quad (15)$$

and the boundary conditions

$$(1 + \gamma\rho^2)x_0 = \rho(1 + \gamma)x_1 \quad \text{and} \quad x_{n+1} = \rho x_n, \quad (16)$$

then $x = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \cdots \ x_n]^T$ is a μ -eigenvector of V_n . The solutions of (15) are of the form

$$x_r = c_1 \zeta^r + c_2 \zeta^{-r}, \quad (17)$$

where ζ and $1/\zeta$ are the zeros of the reciprocal polynomial

$$P(z) = -\rho z^2 + (1 + \rho^2 - \mu)z - \rho. \quad (18)$$

The boundary conditions (16) require that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \gamma\rho^2)(c_1 + c_2) &= \rho(1 + \gamma)(c_1\zeta + c_2/\zeta) \\ c_1\zeta^{n+1} + c_2\zeta^{-n-1} &= \rho(c_1\zeta^n + c_2\zeta^{-n}). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The determinant of this system is

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(\zeta) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 + \gamma\rho^2 - \rho(1 + \gamma)\zeta & 1 + \gamma\rho^2 - \rho(1 + \gamma)/\zeta \\ \zeta^{n+1}(1 - \rho/\zeta) & \zeta^{-n-1}(1 - \rho\zeta) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (1 + \gamma\rho^2)(\zeta^{-n-1} - \zeta^{n+1}) - \rho(2 + \gamma(1 + \rho^2))(\zeta^{-n} - \zeta^n) \\ &\quad + \rho^2(1 + \gamma)(\zeta^{-n+1} - \zeta^{n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

With $\zeta = \pm 1$, (19) has the nontrivial solution $(1, -1)$, but (17) yields $x_r = 0$ for all r . Therefore the zeros ± 1 of D_n are not associated with eigenvalues of V_n . The remaining $2n$ zeros of D_n occur in reciprocal pairs $(\zeta, 1/\zeta)$. Corresponding to a given pair, x as defined in (17) is an eigenvector of V_n , and therefore of $K_n(\rho, \gamma)$. To determine the eigenvalue μ of V_n with which it is associated, we note that since

$$P(z) = -\rho(z - \zeta)(z - 1/\zeta) = -\rho(z^2 - (\zeta + 1/\zeta)z + 1),$$

(18) implies that

$$\mu = 1 - \rho(\zeta + 1/\zeta) + \rho^2.$$

Therefore

$$\lambda = G(\zeta) = \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 - \rho(\zeta + 1/\zeta) + \rho^2}$$

is an eigenvalue of $K_n(\rho, \gamma)$. In particular, if $\zeta = e^{i\theta}$ then $F(\theta)$ (see (14)) is an eigenvalue of $K_n(\rho, \gamma)$.

THEOREM 5 *Let ρ and γ be real numbers, with $0 < \rho < 1$. Then:*

(a) $K_n(\rho, \gamma)$ has eigenvalues of the form $F(\theta_{jn})$, $j = 2, \dots, n-1$, where

$$\frac{(j-1)\pi}{n} < \theta_{jn} < \frac{j\pi}{n}, \quad j = 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (21)$$

(b) If $\gamma \leq 1/\rho$ then $K_n(\rho, \gamma)$ has an eigenvalue of the form $F(\theta_{1n})$, where

$$0 < \theta_{1n} < \frac{\pi}{n}.$$

(c) If $\gamma \geq -1/\rho$ then $K_n(\rho, \gamma)$ has an eigenvalue of the form $F(\theta_{nn})$, where

$$\frac{(n-1)\pi}{n} < \theta_{nn} < \pi.$$

PROOF: It suffices to prove (a) and (b) under the additional assumption that $\gamma \neq -1/\rho^2$ (so that V_n is defined), since the conclusions will then follow in the case where $\gamma = -1/\rho^2$ by a continuity argument. We first isolate the zeros $\zeta = e^{i\theta}$ of D_n with $0 < \theta < \pi$. Define

$$S_n(\theta) = (1 + \gamma\rho^2) \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{\sin\theta} - \rho(2 + \gamma(1 + \rho^2)) \frac{\sin n\theta}{\sin\theta} + \rho^2(1 + \gamma) \frac{\sin(n-1)\theta}{\sin\theta}$$

on $[0, \pi]$, where the definition at the endpoints is by continuity; then $D_n(e^{i\theta}) = D_n(e^{-in\theta}) = 0$ if and only if $S_n(\theta) = 0$.

It is routine to verify that

$$S_n(0) = (1 - \rho)[1 + \rho + n(1 - \rho)(1 - \gamma\rho)], \quad (22)$$

$$S_n\left(\frac{j\pi}{n}\right) = (-1)^j(1 - \rho^2), \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (23)$$

and

$$S_n(\pi) = (-1)^n(1 - \rho^2 + n(1 + \rho)^2(1 + \gamma\rho)). \quad (24)$$

From (23), S_n changes sign on $((j-1)\pi/n, j\pi/n)$, $j = 2, \dots, n-1$. This implies (a). If $\gamma \leq 1/\rho$ then (22), and (23) with $j = 1$ imply that S_n changes sign on $(0, \pi/n)$. This implies (b). If $\gamma \geq -1/\rho$ then (23) with $j = n-1$ and (24) imply that S_n changes sign on $((n-1)\pi/n, \pi)$. This implies (c).

Now let $\lambda_{1n} < \lambda_{2n} < \dots < \lambda_{nn}$ be the eigenvalues of $K_n(\rho, \gamma)$. Let

$$\alpha = \frac{1 - \rho}{1 + \rho} = \min_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi} F(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{1 + \rho}{1 - \rho} = \max_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi} F(\theta),$$

and define

$$\chi_{jn} = F\left(\frac{(2n - 2j + 1)\pi}{2n}\right) \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (25)$$

THEOREM 6 *Suppose that $0 < \rho < 1$, $|\gamma| \leq 1/\rho$, and H is continuous on $[\alpha, \beta]$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n |H(\lambda_{jn}) - H(\chi_{jn})| = 0. \quad (26)$$

According to a definition given in [8], the sets $\{\lambda_{jn}\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{\chi_{jn}\}_{j=1}^n$ are *absolutely equally distributed* as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This is stronger than Weyl's definition of *equally distributed* as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which does not require the absolute value signs in (26). The proof that we are about to give is similar to the proof of Theorem 4 in [7]. We repeat the proof here because there were minor – but potentially confusing – errors in the enumeration of $\{\lambda_{jn}\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{\chi_{jn}\}_{j=1}^n$ in [7].

PROOF: Since F is decreasing, Theorem 5 implies that

$$\lambda_{jn} = F(\theta_{n-j+1,n}), \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore (21), (25), and the mean value theorem imply that

$$|\lambda_{kn} - \chi_{kn}| \leq \frac{K\pi}{2n}, \quad (27)$$

where $K = \max_{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi} |F'(\theta)|$. Let

$$W_n(H) = \sum_{k=1}^n |H(\lambda_{kn}) - H(\chi_{kn})|.$$

If H is constant then $W_n(H) = 0$. If N is a positive integer then (27) and the mean value theorem imply that

$$|\lambda_{kn}^N - \chi_{kn}^N| \leq N\beta^{N-1}|\lambda_{kn} - \chi_{kn}| \leq \frac{N\beta^{N-1}K\pi}{2n},$$

so (26) holds if H is a polynomial.

Now suppose H is an arbitrary continuous function on $[\alpha, \beta]$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. From the Weierstrass approximation theorem, there is a polynomial P such that $|H(u) - P(u)| < \epsilon$ for all u in $[\alpha, \beta]$. Therefore $W_n(H) < W_n(P) + 2n\epsilon$, and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_n(H)}{n} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_n(P)}{n} + 2\epsilon = 2\epsilon.$$

Now let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ to conclude that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_n(H)/n = 0$. ■

THEOREM 7 *Suppose that $0 < \rho < 1$ and H is continuous on $[\alpha, \beta]$. Then:*

(a) *If $\gamma > 1/\rho$ then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{nn} = \frac{(1 + \gamma)(1 + \gamma\rho^2)}{\gamma(1 - \rho^2)} \quad (28)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |H(\lambda_{jn}) - H(\chi_{jn})| = 0. \quad (29)$$

(b) *If $\gamma < -1/\rho$ then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{1n} = \frac{(1 + \gamma)(1 + \gamma\rho^2)}{\gamma(1 - \rho^2)} \quad (30)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^n |H(\lambda_{jn}) - H(\chi_{jn})| = 0. \quad (31)$$

PROOF: If $\gamma = -1/\rho^2$ then (12) implies that $\lambda_{1n} = 0$, which verifies (30) in this case. Henceforth we assume that $|\gamma| > 1/\rho$, but $\gamma \neq -1/\rho^2$. For all values of n and γ , Theorem 5 implies that at least $n-1$ eigenvalues of $K_n(\rho, \gamma)$ are values of $F(\theta)$ and therefore in (α, β) . This and the fact that $D_n(1) = D_n(-1) = 0$ account for at least $2n$ zeros of D_n . If $\gamma > 1/\rho$ then $S_n(0)$ and $S_n(\pi/n)$ are both negative for n sufficiently large, while if $\gamma < -1/\rho^2$ then $S_n(\pi)$ and $S_n((n-1)\pi/n)$ and $S_n(\pi)$ have the same sign for n sufficiently large. Therefore, there is an N such that if $n \geq N$ then D_n has exactly one pair $(\zeta_n, 1/\zeta_n)$ of zeros which are not on the unit circle.

Hence, ζ_n is real, and we may assume without loss of generality that $|\zeta_n| > 1$. We denote the eigenvalue corresponding to ζ_n by ν_n ; thus,

$$\nu_n = G(\zeta_n) = \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 - \rho(\zeta_n + 1/\zeta_n) + \rho^2}. \quad (32)$$

Since ζ_n is not on the unit circle, $\nu_n \notin [\alpha, \beta]$. Therefore the Cauchy interlacement theorem implies that $\nu_n = \lambda_{nn}$ for all $n \geq N$ or $\nu_n = \lambda_{1n}$ for every $n \geq N$, and that $|\nu_{n+1}| > |\nu_n|$. Therefore (32) implies that $|\zeta_{n+1}| > |\zeta_n|$.

Now it is convenient to rewrite (20) as

$$D_n(\zeta) = \zeta^{-n+1}H(1/\zeta) - \zeta^{n-1}H(\zeta), \quad (33)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} H(\zeta) &= (1 + \gamma\rho^2)\zeta^2 - \rho(2 + \gamma(1 + \rho^2))\zeta + \rho^2(1 + \gamma) \\ &= (1 + \gamma\rho^2)(\zeta - \rho)(\zeta - \zeta_\infty), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where

$$\zeta_\infty = \frac{\rho(1 + \gamma)}{1 + \gamma\rho^2}.$$

Since $D_n(\zeta_n) = 0$, (33) and (34) imply that

$$\zeta_n - \zeta_\infty = \frac{\zeta_n^{-2n+2}H(1/\zeta_n)}{(1 + \gamma\rho^2)(\zeta_n - \rho)}.$$

Since $|\zeta_n|$ is increasing and greater than 1, this implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n = \zeta_\infty$. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_n = G(\zeta_\infty) = \frac{(1 + \gamma)(1 + \gamma\rho^2)}{\gamma(1 - \rho^2)}.$$

Since the quantity on the right is greater than β if $\gamma > 1/\rho$, or less than α if $\gamma < -1/\rho$, this implies (28) if $\gamma > 1/\rho$, or (30) if $\gamma < -1/\rho$.

Now Theorem 5 implies that if $\gamma > 1/\rho$ then $\lambda_{jn} = F(\theta_{n-j+1,n})$, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, while if $\gamma < -1/\rho$ then $\lambda_{jn} = F(\theta_{n-j+1,n})$, $j = 2, \dots, n$, and arguments similar to the proof of Theorem 6 yield (29) and (31). \blacksquare

4. Spectral Properties of $L_n = (\min(r, s) - \gamma)_{r,s=1}^n$.

We now consider the spectrum of $L_n = (\min(r, s) - \gamma)_{r,s=1}^n$ in the case where $\gamma \leq 1/2$. We begin by considering the spectrum of L_n^{-1} (see (13)). It is straightforward to verify that if $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}$ (not all zero) satisfy the difference equation

$$x_{r-1} - (2 - \mu)x_r + x_{r+1} = 0, \quad 1 \leq r \leq n, \quad (35)$$

and the boundary conditions

$$(1 - \gamma)x_0 + \gamma x_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_n - x_{n+1} = 0, \quad (36)$$

then $x = [x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_n]^T$ satisfies $L_n^{-1}x = \mu x$; therefore, μ is an eigenvalue of L_n^{-1} if and only if (35) has a nontrivial solution satisfying (36), in which case x is μ -eigenvector of L_n^{-1} .

The solutions of (35) are of the form

$$x_r = c_1 \zeta^r + c_2 \zeta^{-r}, \quad (37)$$

where ζ and $1/\zeta$ are the zeros of the reciprocal polynomial

$$P(z) = z^2 - (2 - \mu)z + 1. \quad (38)$$

The boundary conditions (36) require that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \gamma)(c_1 + c_2) + \gamma(c_1 \zeta + c_2 / \zeta) &= 0 \\ (c_1 \zeta^n + c_2 \zeta^{-n}) - (c_1 \zeta^{n+1} + c_2 \zeta^{-n-1}) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

The determinant of this system is

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(\zeta) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 - \gamma + \gamma \zeta & 1 - \gamma + \gamma / \zeta \\ \zeta^n - \zeta^{n+1} & 1 / \zeta^n - 1 / \zeta^{n+1} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \zeta^{-n-1}(\zeta - 1)[(1 - \gamma)(\zeta^{2n+1} + 1) + \gamma(\zeta^{2n} + \zeta)]. \end{aligned}$$

With $\zeta = 1$, (39) has the nontrivial solution $(1, -1)$, but (37) yields $x_r = 0$ for all r . Therefore $\zeta = 1$ is not associated with an eigenvalue of L_n^{-1} . The remaining $2n$ zeros of D_n occur in reciprocal pairs $(\zeta, 1/\zeta)$. Corresponding to a given pair, x as defined in (37) is an eigenvector of L_n^{-1} (and therefore of L_n). To determine the eigenvalue μ of L_n^{-1} with which it is associated, we note that since

$$P(z) = (z - \zeta)(z - 1/\zeta) = z^2 - (\zeta + 1/\zeta)z + 1,$$

(38) implies that

$$\mu = \left(2 - \zeta - \frac{1}{\zeta}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2 - \zeta - 1/\zeta} \quad (40)$$

is an eigenvalue of L_n .

THEOREM 8 *If $\gamma \leq 1/2$ then the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1n} < \lambda_{2n} < \cdots < \lambda_{nn}$ of*

$$L_n = (\min(r, s) - \gamma)_{r,s=1}^n$$

are of the form

$$\lambda_{jn} = \frac{1}{4} \csc^2 \frac{\theta_{n-j+1,n}}{2},$$

where

$$\frac{2(j-1)\pi}{2n+1} < \theta_{jn} < \frac{2j\pi}{2n+1}.$$

PROOF: It suffices to isolate the zeros $\zeta = e^{i\theta}$ of D_n with $0 < \theta < \pi$. Define

$$C_n(\theta) = (1 - \gamma) \cos(n + 1/2)\theta + \gamma \cos(n - 1/2)\theta.$$

Then $D_n(e^{in\theta}) = D_n(e^{-in\theta}) = 0$ if $C_n(\theta) = 0$. If $\gamma \leq 1/2$ then S_n changes sign on each interval

$$I_{jn} = \left(\frac{2(j-1)\pi}{2n+1}, \frac{2j\pi}{2n+1} \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

This implies that $S_n(\theta_{jn}) = 0$ for some θ_{jn} in I_{jn} . From (40), $(1/4) \csc^2(\theta_{jn}/2)$ is an eigenvalue of L_n . Since $\csc^2(\theta/2)$ is decreasing on $(0, \pi)$, the conclusion follows. ■

References

- [1] U. Grenander and G. Szëgo, *Toeplitz Forms and Their Applications*, Univ. of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1958.
- [2] T. A. Hannula, T. G. Ralley, and I. Reiner, *Modular representation algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967), 100-101.
- [3] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [4] M. Kac, W. L. Murdock, and G. Szegö, *On the eigenvalues of certain Hermitian forms*, J. Rat. Mech. and Anal. 2 (1953), 787-800.
- [5] T. Y. Lam, *On the diagonalization of quadratic forms*, Math. Mag. 72 (1999), 231-235.
- [6] W. F. Trench, *Numerical solution of the eigenvalue problem for symmetric rationally generated Toeplitz matrices*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 9 (1988), 291-303.

- [7] W. F. Trench, *Asymptotic distribution of the spectra of a class of generalized Kac-Murdock-Szegö matrices*, Lin. Alg. Appl. 294 (1999), 181-192.
- [8] W. F. Trench, *Asymptotic distribution of the even and odd spectra of real symmetric Toeplitz matrices*, Lin. Alg. Appl. 302-303 (1999), 155-162.