

Trinity University

From the Selected Works of William F. Trench

2012

Characterization and properties of (R, S_{σ}) -commutative matrices

William F. Trench, *Trinity University*

Characterization and properties of (R, S_σ)-commutative matrices

William F. Trench *

Trinity University, San Antonio, Texas 78212-7200, USA
Mailing address: 659 Hopkinton Road, Hopkinton, NH 03229 USA

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Linear Algebra and Its Applications. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. Please cite this article in press as: W.F. Trench, Characterization and properties of (R, S_σ)-commutative matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. (2012), doi:10.1016/j.laa.2012.02.003

Abstract

Let $R = P \operatorname{diag}(\gamma_0 I_{m_0}, \gamma_1 I_{m_1}, \dots, \gamma_{k-1} I_{m_{k-1}}) P^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and $S_\sigma = Q \operatorname{diag}(\gamma_{\sigma(0)} I_{n_0}, \gamma_{\sigma(1)} I_{n_1}, \dots, \gamma_{\sigma(k-1)} I_{n_{k-1}}) Q^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, where $m_0 + m_1 + \dots + m_{k-1} = m$, $n_0 + n_1 + \dots + n_{k-1} = n$, $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}$ are distinct complex numbers, and $\sigma: \mathbb{Z}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$. We say that $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is (R, S_σ)-commutative if $RA = AS_\sigma$. We characterize the class of (R, S_σ)-commutative matrices and extend results obtained previously for the case where $\gamma_\ell = e^{2\pi i \ell/k}$ and $\sigma(\ell) = \alpha \ell + \mu \pmod{k}$, $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$, with $\alpha, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_k$. Our results are independent of $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}$, so long as they are distinct; i.e., if $RA = AS_\sigma$ for some choice of $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}$ (all distinct), then $RA = AS_\sigma$ for arbitrary of $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}$.

MSC: 15A09; 15A15; 15A18; 15A99

Keywords: Commute; Eigenvalue problem; Least Squares problem; Moore–Penrose Inverse; (R, S_σ)-commutative; Singular value decomposition

*e-mail:wrench@trinity.edu

1 Introduction

A matrix $A = [a_{rs}]_{r,s=0}^{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is said to be centrosymmetric if

$$a_{n-r-1, n-s-1} = a_{rs}, \quad 0 \leq r, s \leq n-1,$$

or centro-skewsymmetric if

$$a_{n-r-1, n-s-1} = -a_{rs}, \quad 0 \leq r, s \leq n-1.$$

The study of such matrices is facilitated by the observation that A is centrosymmetric (centro-skewsymmetric) if and only if $JA = AJ$ ($JA = -AJ$), where J is the flip matrix, with ones on the secondary diagonal and zeroes elsewhere. Several authors [2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 13, 25] used this observation to show that centrosymmetric and centro-skewsymmetric matrices can be written as $A = PCP^{-1}$, where P diagonalizes J and C has a useful block structure. We will discuss this further in Example 3.

Following this idea, other authors [6, 11, 12, 14, 24] considered matrices satisfying $RA = AR$ or $RA = -AR$, where R is a nontrivial involution; i.e., $R = R^{-1} \neq \pm I$. We continued this line of investigation in [15, 16, 17, 19], and extended it in [18, 20], defining $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ to be (R, S) -symmetric ((R, S) -skew symmetric) if $RA = AS$ ($RA = -AS$), where $R \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and $S \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ are nontrivial involutions. We showed that a matrix A with either of these properties can be written as $A = PCQ^{-1}$, where P and Q diagonalize R and S respectively and C has a useful block form.

Chen [7] and Fasino [9] studied matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that $RAR^* = \zeta^\mu A$, where R is a unitary matrix that satisfies $R^k = I$ for some $k \leq n$ and $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/k}$. In [21] we studied matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ such that $RA = \zeta^\mu AS$, where

$$R = P \operatorname{diag} \left(I_{m_0}, \zeta I_{m_1}, \dots, \zeta^{k-1} I_{m_{k-1}} \right) P^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

$$S = Q \operatorname{diag} \left(I_{n_0}, \zeta I_{n_1}, \dots, \zeta^{k-1} I_{n_{k-1}} \right) Q^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

$$m_0 + m_1 + \dots + m_{k-1} = m, \quad n_0 + n_1 + \dots + n_{k-1} = n, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\alpha, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_k = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}.$$

Finally, motivated by a problem concerning unilevel block circulants [22], in [23] we considered matrices $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ such that $RA = \zeta^\mu AS^\alpha$, with $\alpha, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_k$. We called such matrices (R, S, α, μ) -symmetric, and showed that A has this property if and only if

$$A = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\alpha\ell + \mu(\bmod k)} F_\ell \widehat{Q}_\ell \quad \text{with} \quad F_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^{\alpha\ell + \mu(\bmod k) \times n_\ell}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1, \quad (4)$$

which has useful computational and theoretical applications. (P_0, \dots, P_{k-1} and $\widehat{Q}_0, \dots, \widehat{Q}_{k-1}$ are defined in Section 2, specifically, (7)–(10).) The class of (R, S, α, μ) -symmetric matrices includes, for example, centrosymmetric, skew-centrosymmetric,

R -symmetric, R -skew symmetric, (R, S) -symmetric, and (R, S) -skew symmetric matrices, and block circulants $[A_{s-\alpha r}]_{r,s=0}$.

Having said this, we now propose that all the papers in our bibliography – including our own – are based on an unnecessarily restrictive assumption; namely, that the spectra of the matrices R and S that are used to define the symmetries consist of a set (usually the complete set) of k -th roots of unity for some $k \geq 2$. In this paper we point out that this assumption is irrelevant and present an alternative approach that eliminates this requirement and exposes a wider class of generalized symmetries if $k > 2$. We extend our results in [21] and [23] to this larger class of matrices.

2 Preliminary considerations

Throughout the rest of this paper,

$$R = P \operatorname{diag}(\gamma_0 I_{m_0}, \gamma_1 I_{m_1}, \dots, \gamma_{k-1} I_{m_{k-1}}) P^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m} \quad (5)$$

and

$$S = Q \operatorname{diag}(\gamma_0 I_{n_0}, \gamma_1 I_{n_1}, \dots, \gamma_{k-1} I_{n_{k-1}}) Q^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, \quad (6)$$

where $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}$ are distinct complex numbers, except when there is an explicit statement to the contrary. We define

$$R_\sigma = P \operatorname{diag}(\gamma_{\sigma(0)} I_{m_0}, \gamma_{\sigma(1)} I_{m_1}, \dots, \gamma_{\sigma(k-1)} I_{m_{k-1}}) P^{-1}$$

and

$$S_\sigma = Q \operatorname{diag}(\gamma_{\sigma(0)} I_{n_0}, \gamma_{\sigma(1)} I_{n_1}, \dots, \gamma_{\sigma(k-1)} I_{n_{k-1}}) Q^{-1},$$

where $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$.

We can partition

$$P = [P_0 \ P_1 \ \cdots \ P_{k-1}], \quad Q = [Q_0 \ Q_1 \ \cdots \ Q_{k-1}], \quad (7)$$

$$P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{P}_0 \\ \widehat{P}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{P}_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{Q}_0 \\ \widehat{Q}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{Q}_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$P_r \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m_r}, \quad \widehat{P}_r \in \mathbb{C}^{m_r \times m}, \quad \widehat{P}_r P_s = \delta_{rs} I_{m_r}, \quad 0 \leq r, s \leq k-1, \quad (9)$$

$$Q_r \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n_r}, \quad \widehat{Q}_r \in \mathbb{C}^{n_r \times n}, \quad \widehat{Q}_r Q_s = \delta_{rs} I_{n_r}, \quad 0 \leq r, s \leq k-1. \quad (10)$$

We can now write

$$R = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \gamma_\ell P_\ell \widehat{P}_\ell, \quad R_\sigma = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \gamma_{\sigma(\ell)} P_\ell \widehat{P}_\ell, \quad (11)$$

$$S = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \gamma_\ell Q_\ell \widehat{Q}_\ell, \quad \text{and} \quad S_\sigma = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \gamma_{\sigma(\ell)} Q_\ell \widehat{Q}_\ell. \quad (12)$$

Definition 1 In general, if $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, and $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, we say that A is (U, V) -commutative if $UA = AV$. In particular, we say that $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is (R, S_σ) -commutative if $RA = AS_\sigma$. If σ is the identity (i.e., $RA = AS$), we say that A is (R, S) -commutative. If $A, R \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $RA = AR$, we say – as usual – that A commutes with R .

3 Necessary and sufficient conditions for (R, S_σ) -commutativity

Theorem 1 $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is (R, S_σ) -commutative if and only if

$$A = P \left([C_{rs}]_{r,s=0}^{k-1} \right) Q^{-1}, \quad \text{where } C_{rs} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_r \times n_s} \quad (13)$$

and

$$C_{rs} = 0 \quad \text{if } r \neq \sigma(s), \quad 0 \leq r, s \leq k-1. \quad (14)$$

PROOF. Any $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ can be written as in (13) with $C = P^{-1}AQ$ partitioned as indicated. If

$$D = \text{diag}(\gamma_0 I_{m_0}, \gamma_1 I_{m_1}, \dots, \gamma_{k-1} I_{m_{k-1}})$$

and

$$D_\sigma = \text{diag}(\gamma_{\sigma(0)} I_{n_0}, \gamma_{\sigma(1)} I_{n_1}, \dots, \gamma_{\sigma(k-1)} I_{n_{k-1}}),$$

then

$$RA = (PDP^{-1})(PCQ^{-1}) = PDCQ^{-1} = P \left([\gamma_r C_{rs}]_{r,s=0}^{k-1} \right) Q^{-1}$$

and

$$AS_\sigma = (PCQ^{-1})(QD_\sigma Q^{-1}) = PCD_\sigma Q^{-1} = P \left([\gamma_{\sigma(s)} C_{rs}]_{r,s=0}^{k-1} \right) Q^{-1}.$$

Therefore $RA = AS_\sigma$ if and only if $(\gamma_r - \gamma_{\sigma(s)})C_{rs} = 0$, $0 \leq r, s \leq k-1$, which is equivalent to (14), since $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}$ are distinct. \square

The following theorem is a convenient reformulation of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is (R, S_σ) -commutative if and only if

$$A = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_\ell \widehat{Q}_\ell \quad \text{with } F_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^{m_{\sigma(\ell)} \times n_\ell}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1, \quad (15)$$

in which case

$$F_\ell = \widehat{P}_{\sigma(\ell)} A Q_\ell, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1, \quad (16)$$

and

$$RA = AS_\sigma = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \gamma_{\sigma(\ell)} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_\ell \widehat{Q}_\ell \quad (17)$$

for arbitrary $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}$.

PROOF. From (13), an arbitrary $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ can be written as

$$A = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} P_r C_{rs} \widehat{Q}_\ell. \quad (18)$$

From Theorem 1, A is (R, S_{σ}) -commutative if and only if $C_{rs} = 0$ if $r \neq \sigma(s)$, in which case (18) reduces to (15) with $F_\ell = C_{\sigma(\ell), \ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_{\sigma(\ell)} \times n_\ell}$. From (10) and (15), $AQ_\ell = P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_\ell$, $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$, so (9) with $r = \sigma(\ell)$ implies (16). Eqns. (9)–(12) and (15) imply (17). \square

Example 1 If σ is the permutation

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = (0, 1, 3)(2, 4)(5),$$

then (15) becomes

$$A = P_1 F_0 \widehat{Q}_0 + P_3 F_1 \widehat{Q}_1 + P_4 F_2 \widehat{Q}_2 + P_0 F_3 \widehat{Q}_3 + P_2 F_4 \widehat{Q}_4 + P_5 F_5 \widehat{Q}_5,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &\in \mathbb{C}^{m_1 \times n_0}, & F_1 &\in \mathbb{C}^{m_3 \times n_1}, & F_2 &\in \mathbb{C}^{m_4 \times n_2}, \\ F_3 &\in \mathbb{C}^{m_0 \times n_3}, & F_4 &\in \mathbb{C}^{m_2 \times n_4}, & F_5 &\in \mathbb{C}^{m_5 \times n_5}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$RA = AS_{\sigma} = \gamma_1 P_1 F_0 \widehat{Q}_0 + \gamma_3 P_3 F_1 \widehat{Q}_1 + \gamma_4 P_4 F_2 \widehat{Q}_2 + \gamma_0 P_0 F_3 \widehat{Q}_3 + \gamma_2 P_2 F_4 \widehat{Q}_4 + \gamma_5 P_5 F_5 \widehat{Q}_5$$

for arbitrary $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_5$.

Example 2 If

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(which is not a permutation), then (15) becomes

$$A = P_2 F_0 \widehat{Q}_0 + P_1 F_1 \widehat{Q}_1 + P_0 F_2 \widehat{Q}_2 + P_1 F_3 \widehat{Q}_3 + P_2 F_4 \widehat{Q}_4 + P_0 F_5 \widehat{Q}_5,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &\in \mathbb{C}^{m_2 \times n_0}, & F_1 &\in \mathbb{C}^{m_1 \times n_1}, & F_2 &\in \mathbb{C}^{m_0 \times n_2}, \\ F_3 &\in \mathbb{C}^{m_1 \times n_3}, & F_4 &\in \mathbb{C}^{m_2 \times n_4}, & F_5 &\in \mathbb{C}^{m_0 \times n_5}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$RA = AS_{\sigma} = \gamma_2 P_2 F_0 \widehat{Q}_0 + \gamma_1 P_1 F_1 \widehat{Q}_1 + \gamma_0 P_0 F_2 \widehat{Q}_2 + \gamma_1 P_1 F_3 \widehat{Q}_3 + \gamma_2 P_2 F_4 \widehat{Q}_4 + \gamma_0 P_0 F_5 \widehat{Q}_5$$

for arbitrary $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_5$.

Example 3 All results obtained by assuming that R and S are involutions (and therefore have eigenvalues 1 and -1) can just as well be obtained by assuming only that R and S have the same two distinct eigenvalues, with possibly different multiplicities. The original idea in this area of research has its origins in the observation that A is centrosymmetric (skew-centrosymmetric) if and only if $AJ = JA$ ($AJ = -JA$). Since $J^2 = I$, these conditions can just as well be written as $JAJ = A$ ($JAJ = -A$); however, this and the invertibility of J are irrelevant. To illustrate this, suppose $n = 2r$, in which case

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} P_0 & P_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & -I_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_0^T \\ P_1^T \end{bmatrix}$$

(i.e., $\widehat{P}_0 = P_0^T$ and $\widehat{P}_1 = P_1^T$), where

$$P_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} I_r \\ J_r \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad P_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} I_r \\ -J_r \end{bmatrix}.$$

Starting from this, it can be shown $AJ = JA$ (or, equivalently, A is centrosymmetric) if and only if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} P_0 & P_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_0^T \\ P_1^T \end{bmatrix} = P_0 B_0 P_0^T + P_1 B_1 P_1^T \quad (19)$$

with $B_0, B_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$. However, Theorem 2 implies that A has the form (19) if $RA = AR$ for some R of the form

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} P_0 & P_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_0 I_r & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_1 I_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_0^T \\ P_1^T \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\gamma_0 \neq \gamma_1$, in which case

$$RA = AR = \gamma_0 P_0 B_0 P_0^T + \gamma_1 P_1 B_1 P_1^T.$$

for arbitrary γ_0 and γ_1 .

According to the classical theorem, $AJ = -JA$ (or, equivalently, A is skew-centrosymmetric) if and only if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} P_0 & P_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & C_1 \\ C_0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_0^T \\ P_1^T \end{bmatrix} = P_1 C_0 \widehat{P}_0 + P_0 C_1 \widehat{P}_1 \quad (20)$$

with $C_0, C_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$. Now let $\sigma(0) = 1$ and $\sigma(1) = 0$, so

$$R_\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} P_0 & P_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 I_r & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_0 I_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_0^T \\ P_1^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

Theorem 2 implies that A has the form (20) if and only if $RA = AR_\sigma$ for some γ_0 and γ_1 with $\gamma_0 \neq \gamma_1$, in which case

$$RA = AR_\sigma = \gamma_1 P_1 C_0 \widehat{P}_0 + \gamma_0 P_0 C_1 \widehat{P}_1$$

for all γ_0 and γ_1 .

Example 4 Let $R = [\delta_{r,s-1(\bmod k)}]_{r,s=0}^{k-1}$, which is the 1-circulant with first row

$$[0 \ 1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0].$$

By the Ablow-Brenner theorem [1], $C \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$ is an α -circulant $C = [c_{s-\alpha r(\bmod k)}]_{r,s=0}^{k-1}$ if and only if $RC = CR^{\alpha}$. Since

$$R = P \operatorname{diag}(1, \zeta, \zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^{k-1}) P^*$$

where

$$P = [p_0 \ p_1 \ \dots \ p_{k-1}] \quad \text{with} \quad p_{\ell} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \zeta^{\ell} \\ \zeta^{2\ell} \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^{(k-1)\ell} \end{bmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1,$$

and

$$R^{\alpha} = P \operatorname{diag}(1, \zeta^{\alpha}, \zeta^{2\alpha}, \dots, \zeta^{(k-1)\alpha}) P^*,$$

the Ablow-Brenner theorem can be interpreted to mean that C is (R, R_{σ}) -commutative with $\sigma(\ell) = \alpha \ell \pmod{k}$, $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$. Therefore Theorem 2 implies that

$$C = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} p_{\alpha \ell(\bmod k)} f_{\ell} p_{\ell}^*,$$

where f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{k-1} are scalars. As a matter of fact, if

$$R = P \operatorname{diag}(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}) P^*$$

with arbitrary $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}$, then

$$RC = CR_{\sigma} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \gamma_{\alpha \ell(\bmod k)} p_{\alpha \ell(\bmod k)} f_{\ell} p_{\ell}^*.$$

Example 5 Let R and S be as in (1) and (2) and let $\sigma(\ell) = \alpha \ell + \mu \pmod{k}$, so

$$S_{\sigma} = Q \operatorname{diag} \left(\zeta^{\mu} I_{m_0}, \zeta^{\alpha+\mu} I_{m_1}, \dots, \zeta^{(k-1)\alpha+\mu} I_{m_{k-1}} \right) Q^{-1}.$$

Then the (R, S, α, μ) -symmetric matrix A in (4) is (R, S_{σ}) -commutative. More generally, if R and S are as in (5) and (6) and $\sigma(\ell) = \alpha \ell + \mu \pmod{k}$, then

$$RA = AS_{\sigma} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \gamma_{\alpha \ell + \mu(\bmod k)} P_{\alpha \ell + \mu(\bmod k)} F_{\ell} \widehat{Q}_{\ell}$$

for arbitrary $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}$.

Renaming the variables in Theorem 2 yields the following theorem.

Theorem 3 *If $\rho : \mathbb{Z}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$, then $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ is (S, R_ρ) -commutative if and only if*

$$B = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_{\rho(\ell)} G_\ell \widehat{P}_\ell \quad \text{with} \quad G_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^{n_{\rho(\ell)} \times m_\ell}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1, \quad (21)$$

in which case

$$G_\ell = \widehat{Q}_{\rho(\ell)} B P_\ell, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1,$$

and

$$SB = BR_\rho = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \gamma_{\rho(\ell)} Q_{\rho(\ell)} G_\ell \widehat{P}_\ell$$

for arbitrary $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}$.

4 General Results

Remark 1 If σ or ρ is a permutation of \mathbb{Z}_k , we can replace ℓ by $\sigma(\ell)$ or ℓ by $\rho(\ell)$ in a summation $\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1}$, as in the proof of the following theorem, where “ \circ ” denotes composition; i.e., $\sigma \circ \rho(\ell) = \sigma(\rho(\ell))$ and $\rho \circ \sigma(\ell) = \rho(\sigma(\ell))$. Also,

$$\widehat{P}_{\sigma(r)} P_{\sigma(s)} = \delta_{rs} I_{m_{\sigma(r)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{Q}_{\rho(r)} Q_{\rho(s)} = \delta_{rs} I_{n_{\rho(r)}}, \quad 0 \leq r, s \leq k-1, \quad (22)$$

if and only if σ and ρ are permutations. We will use this frequently without specifically invoking it.

Theorem 4 *Suppose $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is (R, S_σ) -commutative and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ is (S, R_ρ) -commutative. Then: (a) AB is $(R, R_{\sigma \circ \rho})$ -commutative if ρ is a permutation and (b) BA is $(S, S_{\rho \circ \sigma})$ -commutative if σ is a permutation.*

PROOF. From Theorems 2 and 3, our assumptions imply that A is as in (15) and B is as in (21). If ρ is a permutation then replacing ℓ by $\rho(\ell)$ in (15) yields

$$A = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\rho(\ell))} F_{\rho(\ell)} \widehat{Q}_{\rho(\ell)}.$$

From this, (21), and (22),

$$AB = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\rho(\ell))} F_{\rho(\ell)} G_\ell \widehat{P}_\ell,$$

so (9) and (11) imply that

$$R(AB) = (AB)R_{\sigma \circ \rho} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \gamma_{\sigma(\rho(\ell))} P_{\sigma(\rho(\ell))} F_{\rho(\ell)} G_\ell \widehat{P}_\ell,$$

which proves (a).

If σ is a permutation, replacing ℓ by $\sigma(\ell)$ in (21) yields

$$B = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_{\rho(\sigma(\ell))} G_{\sigma(\ell)} \widehat{P}_{\sigma(\ell)}.$$

From this, (15), and (22),

$$BA = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_{\rho(\sigma(\ell))} G_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} \widehat{Q}_{\ell},$$

so (10) and (12) imply that

$$S(BA) = (AB)S_{\rho \circ \sigma} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \gamma_{\rho(\sigma(\ell))} Q_{\rho(\sigma(\ell))} G_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} \widehat{Q}_{\ell},$$

which proves (b). \square

Corollary 1 *If σ is a permutation, $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is (R, S_{σ}) -commutative, and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ is $(S, R_{\sigma^{-1}})$ -commutative, then AB commutes with R and BA commutes with S .*

Theorem 5 *Suppose $j > 1$ and $A_j \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is (R, R_{σ_j}) -commutative, where σ_j is a permutation if $j > 1$. Then $A_1 A_2 \cdots A_j$ is $(R, R_{\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_j})$ -commutative; specifically, if*

$$A_j = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma_j(\ell)} F_{\ell}^{(j)} \widehat{P}_{\ell}, \quad (23)$$

then

$$A_1 A_2 = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2(\ell)} F_{\sigma_2(\ell)}^{(1)} F_{\ell}^{(2)} \widehat{P}_{\ell},$$

$$A_1 A_2 A_3 = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \sigma_3(\ell)} F_{\sigma_2 \circ \sigma_3(\ell)}^{(1)} F_{\sigma_3(\ell)}^{(2)} F_{\ell}^{(3)} \widehat{P}_{\ell},$$

and, in general,

$$A_1 A_2 \cdots A_j = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_j(\ell)} F_{\sigma_2 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_j(\ell)}^{(1)} F_{\sigma_3 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_j(\ell)}^{(2)} \cdots F_{\sigma_j(\ell)}^{(j-1)} F_{\ell}^{(j)} \widehat{P}_{\ell}.$$

PROOF. To minimize complicated notation, suppose

$$B_j = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_j(\ell)} G_{\ell}^{(j)} \widehat{P}_{\ell}$$

for some $j \geq 1$. Since σ_{j+1} is a permutation, we can replace ℓ by $\sigma_{j+1}(\ell)$ to obtain

$$B_j = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \dots \circ \sigma_j \circ \sigma_{j+1}(\ell)} G_{\sigma_{j+1}(\ell)} \widehat{P}_{\sigma_{j+1}(\ell)}.$$

Therefore, from (23) with j replaced by $j + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_j A_{j+1} &= \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \dots \circ \sigma_j \circ \sigma_{j+1}(\ell)} G_{\sigma_{j+1}(\ell)} \widehat{P}_{\sigma_{j+1}(\ell)} \right) \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma_{j+1}(\ell)} F_{\ell}^{(j+1)} \widehat{P}_{\ell} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \dots \circ \sigma_j \circ \sigma_{j+1}(\ell)} G_{\ell}^{(j+1)} \widehat{P}_{\ell} \quad \text{with} \quad G_{\ell}^{(j+1)} = G_{\sigma_{j+1}(\ell)} F_{\ell}^{(j+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This provides the basis for a straightforward induction proof of the assertion. \square

Corollary 2 *If σ is a permutation, $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is (R, R_{σ}) -commutative, and j is a positive integer, then A^j is (R, R_{σ^j}) -commutative; explicitly,*

$$A^j = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma^j(\ell)} F_{\sigma^{(j-1)}(\ell)} \cdots F_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} \widehat{P}_{\ell} \quad (24)$$

and

$$RA = AR_{\sigma^j} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \gamma_{\sigma^j(\ell)} P_{\sigma^j(\ell)} F_{\sigma^{(j-1)}(\ell)} \cdots F_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} \widehat{P}_{\ell}$$

for arbitrary $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}$.

5 Generalized Inverses and Singular Value Decompositions

If A is an arbitrary complex matrix then A^- is a reflexive inverse of A if $AA^-A = A$ and $A^-AA^- = A^-$. The Moore-Penrose inverse A^\dagger of A is the unique matrix that satisfies the Penrose conditions

$$(AA^\dagger)^* = AA^\dagger, \quad (A^\dagger A)^* = AA^\dagger, \quad AA^\dagger A = A, \quad \text{and} \quad A^\dagger AA^\dagger = A^\dagger.$$

Theorem 6 *Suppose σ is a permutation and $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is (R, S_{σ}) -commutative, so*

$$A = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} \widehat{Q}_{\ell}, \quad (25)$$

by Theorem 2. Let $F_0^-, F_1^-, \dots, F_{k-1}^-$ be reflexive inverses of F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{k-1} , and define

$$B = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_{\ell} F_{\ell}^- \widehat{P}_{\sigma(\ell)}. \quad (26)$$

Then B is a reflexive inverse of A . Moreover, if P and Q are unitary, then

$$A^{\dagger} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{\dagger} P_{\sigma(\ell)}^*. \quad (27)$$

PROOF. From (9), (10), (22), (25), and (26),

$$AB = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{-} \widehat{P}_{\sigma(\ell)}, \quad BA = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{-} F_{\ell} \widehat{Q}_{\ell}, \quad (28)$$

$$ABA = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{-} F_{\ell} \widehat{Q}_{\ell} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} \widehat{Q}_{\ell} = A, \quad (29)$$

and

$$BAB = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{-} F_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{-} \widehat{P}_{\sigma(\ell)} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{-} \widehat{P}_{\sigma(\ell)} = B. \quad (30)$$

The last two equations show that B is a reflexive inverse of A . If P and Q are unitary and we redefine

$$B = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{\dagger} P_{\sigma(\ell)}^*,$$

then (28)–(30) become

$$AB = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{\dagger} P_{\sigma(\ell)}^*, \quad BA = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{\dagger} F_{\ell} Q_{\ell}^*, \quad (31)$$

$$ABA = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{\dagger} F_{\ell} Q_{\ell}^* = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} Q_{\ell}^* = A,$$

and

$$BAB = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{\dagger} F_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{-} P_{\sigma(\ell)}^* = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{\dagger} P_{\sigma(\ell)}^* = B.$$

Moreover, from (31)

$$(AB)^* = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} (F_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{\dagger})^* P_{\sigma(\ell)}^* = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{\dagger} P_{\sigma(\ell)}^* = AB$$

and

$$(BA)^* = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_{\ell} (F_{\ell}^{\dagger} F_{\ell})^* Q_{\ell}^* = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{\dagger} F_{\ell} Q_{\ell}^* = BA.$$

Therefore $B = A^{\dagger}$, which implies (27). \square

Corollary 3 *If σ is a permutation, P and Q are unitary, and $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is (R, S_σ) -commutative, then A^\dagger is $(S, R_{\sigma^{-1}})$ -commutative.*

PROOF. From (9)–(11), (22), and (27),

$$SA^\dagger = A^\dagger R_{\sigma^{-1}} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \gamma_\ell Q_\ell F_\ell^\dagger P_{\sigma(\ell)}^*$$

for arbitrary $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}$. \square

Remark 2 It is well known – and straightforward to verify – that if $G \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$ and $\text{rank } G = q$, then $G^\dagger = (G^* G)^{-1} G^*$. Hence, (27) implies the following corollary.

Corollary 4 *In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 6, suppose that $\text{rank}(F_\ell) = n_\ell$, $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$ (or, equivalently, $\text{rank}(A) = n$). Then*

$$A^\dagger = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_\ell (F_\ell^* F_\ell)^{-1} F_\ell^* P_{\sigma(\ell)}^*.$$

Theorem 7 *Suppose σ is a permutation, P and Q are unitary, and A is (R, S_σ) -commutative and therefore of the form*

$$A = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_\ell Q_\ell^*,$$

by Theorem 2. Let

$$F_\ell = \Omega_\ell \Gamma_\ell \Phi_\ell^*, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1,$$

with

$$\Omega_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^{m_{\sigma(\ell)} \times m_{\sigma(\ell)}}, \quad \Gamma_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^{m_{\sigma(\ell)} \times n_\ell}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^{n_\ell \times n_\ell}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1,$$

be singular value decompositions of F_ℓ , $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$. Let

$$\Omega = [P_{\sigma(0)} \Omega_0 \quad P_{\sigma(1)} \Omega_1 \quad \cdots \quad P_{\sigma(k-1)} \Omega_{k-1}]$$

and

$$\Phi = [Q_0 \Phi_0 \quad Q_1 \Phi_1 \quad \cdots \quad Q_{k-1} \Phi_{k-1}]$$

Then

$$A = \Omega \text{diag}(\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_{k-1}) \Phi^*$$

is a singular value decomposition of A , except that the singular values are not necessarily arranged in decreasing order. Thus, for $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$, each singular value of F_ℓ is a singular value of A with an associated left singular vector in the column space of $P_{\sigma(\ell)}$ and a right singular vector in the column space of Q_ℓ .

We invoke the first equality in (22) repeatedly in the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 8 Suppose σ is a permutation, P is unitary, and $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is (R, R_{σ}) -commutative, so

$$A = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} P_{\ell}^*,$$

by Theorem 2. Then:

- (i) A is Hermitian if and only if $F_{\sigma(\ell)}^* P_{\sigma^2(\ell)}^* = F_{\ell} P_{\ell}^*$, $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$.
- (ii) A is normal if and only if $F_{\sigma(\ell)}^* F_{\sigma(\ell)} = F_{\ell} F_{\ell}^*$, $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$.
- (iii) A is EP (i.e., $AA^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}A$) if and only if $F_{\sigma(\ell)}^{\dagger} F_{\sigma(\ell)} = F_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{\dagger}$, $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$.

PROOF. Since R is unitary, Theorems 2 and 6 imply that

$$A = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} P_{\ell}^*, \quad A^* = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell} F_{\ell}^* P_{\sigma(\ell)}^*, \quad \text{and} \quad A^{\dagger} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{\dagger} P_{\sigma(\ell)}^*. \quad (32)$$

Replacing ℓ by $\sigma(\ell)$ in the second sum in (32) yields

$$A^* = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\sigma(\ell)}^* P_{\sigma^2(\ell)}^*,$$

and comparing this with the first sum in (32) yields (i).

From (32),

$$AA^* = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} F_{\ell}^* P_{\sigma(\ell)}^* \quad (33)$$

and

$$A^*A = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell} F_{\ell}^* F_{\ell} P_{\ell}^* = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\sigma(\ell)}^* F_{\sigma(\ell)} P_{\sigma(\ell)}^*.$$

Comparing the second sum here with (33) yields (ii).

From (32),

$$AA^{\dagger} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{\dagger} P_{\sigma(\ell)}^* \quad (34)$$

and

$$A^{\dagger}A = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{\dagger} F_{\ell} P_{\ell}^* = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\sigma(\ell)}^{\dagger} F_{\sigma(\ell)} P_{\sigma(\ell)}^*,$$

Comparing the second sum here with (34) yields (iii). \square

6 Solving $Az = w$ and the least-squares problem

Throughout this section σ is a permutation and $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is (R, S_{σ}) -commutative, and can therefore be written as in (15).

If $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}^m$, we write

$$z = Qu = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_{\ell} u_{\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad w = Pv = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell} v_{\ell}, \quad (35)$$

with $u_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_{\ell}}$ and $v_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_{\ell}}$, $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$.

Theorem 9 *If (35) holds then*

$$(a) \quad Az = w \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (b) \quad F_{\ell} u_{\ell} = v_{\sigma(\ell)}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1. \quad (36)$$

PROOF. From (10), (15), and (35),

$$\begin{aligned} Az - w &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} u_{\ell} - \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell} v_{\ell} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_{\ell} u_{\ell} - \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} v_{\sigma(\ell)} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} (F_{\ell} u_{\ell} - v_{\sigma(\ell)}), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

so (36)(b) implies (36)(a). From (22) and (37),

$$F_{\ell} u_{\ell} - v_{\sigma(\ell)} = \widehat{P}_{\sigma(\ell)} (Az - w), \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1,$$

so (36)(a) implies (36)(b). \square

Since $F_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_{\sigma(\ell)} \times n_{\ell}}$, $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$, (36) implies the following theorem.

Theorem 10 *A is invertible if and only if $m_{\sigma(\ell)} = n_{\ell}$ and F_{ℓ} is invertible, $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$ (which, from (3), implies that $m = n$). In this case,*

$$A^{-1} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{-1} \widehat{P}_{\sigma(\ell)} \quad (38)$$

and the solution of $Az = w$ is

$$z = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{-1} v_{\sigma(\ell)}.$$

Moreover, A^{-1} is $(S, R_{\sigma^{-1}})$ -commutative; specifically,

$$SA^{-1} = A^{-1}R_{\sigma^{-1}} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \gamma_{\ell} Q_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{-1} \widehat{P}_{\sigma(\ell)}$$

for arbitrary $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}$.

If $m = n$ and $R = S$ (so A is $(R, R_σ)$ -commutative), then (38) becomes

$$A^{-1} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{-1} \widehat{P}_{\sigma(\ell)}.$$

In this case,

$$A^{-j} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell} F_{\ell}^{-1} F_{\sigma(\ell)}^{-1} \cdots F_{\sigma^{j-1}(\ell)}^{-1} \widehat{P}_{\sigma^j(\ell)},$$

which can be verified by simply multiplying the right hand side by A^j as written in (24).

Before turning to the least squares problem for A , we review some elementary facts about the least squares problem for a matrix $G \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$ and a given $u \in \mathbb{C}^p$; i.e., find $v \in \mathbb{C}^q$ such that

$$\|Gv - u\| = \min_{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^q} \|G\xi - u\|,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the 2-norm. An arbitrary $v \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$ can be written as

$$v = G^{\dagger}u + G(v - G^{\dagger}u),$$

so

$$\|Gv - u\|^2 = \|(GG^{\dagger} - I_p)u\|^2 + \|G(v - G^{\dagger}u)\|^2,$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} [G(v - G^{\dagger}u)]^*(GG^{\dagger} - I_p)u &= [GG^{\dagger}G(v - G^{\dagger}u)]^*(GG^{\dagger} - I_p)u \\ &= [G(v - G^{\dagger}u)]^*GG^{\dagger}(GG^{\dagger} - I_p)u \end{aligned}$$

and

$$G^{\dagger}(GG^{\dagger} - I_p) = G^{\dagger}GG^{\dagger} - G^{\dagger} = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\min_{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^q} \|G\xi - u\| = \|(GG^{\dagger} - I)u\|,$$

and this minimum is attained with a given v if and only if $v = G^{\dagger}u + h$ where $Gh = 0$. In this case, $\|v\|^2 = \|G^{\dagger}u\|^2 + \|h\|^2$ since

$$h^*G^{\dagger}u = h^*G^{\dagger}GG^{\dagger}u = (G^{\dagger}Gh)^*G^{\dagger}u = 0,$$

so $v_0 = G^{\dagger}u$ is the unique solution of (37) with minimal norm, and is therefore called the optimal solution. From Remark 2, $v_0 = (G^*G)^{-1}G^*u$ if $\text{rank}(G) = q$. If P is unitary then (37) implies that

$$\|Az - w\|^2 = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \|F_{\ell}u_{\ell} - v_{\sigma(\ell)}\|^2,$$

so the least squares problem for A and a given w reduces to k independent least squares problems for $F_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^{m_{\sigma(\ell)} \times n_\ell}$ and a given $v_{\sigma(\ell)} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_{\sigma(\ell)}}$, $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$. Therefore,

$$\|Az - w\| = \min_{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n} \|A\zeta - w\|$$

if and only if

$$z = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_\ell (F_\ell^\dagger v_{\sigma(\ell)} + h_\ell),$$

where $F_\ell h_\ell = 0$, $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$. If Q is also unitary, then

$$\|z\|^2 = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \|F_\ell^\dagger v_{\sigma(\ell)} + h_\ell\|^2 = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \|F_\ell^\dagger v_{\sigma(\ell)}\|^2 + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \|h_\ell\|^2,$$

so the unique optimal (least norm) solution of the least squares problem is

$$z = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_\ell F_\ell^\dagger v_{\sigma(\ell)},$$

which can be written as

$$z = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} Q_\ell (F_\ell^* F_\ell)^{-1} F_\ell^* v_{\sigma(\ell)} \quad \text{if } \text{rank}(F_\ell) = n_\ell, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1,$$

or, equivalently, if $\text{rank}(A) = n$.

7 The eigenvalue problem

Throughout this section $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is (R, R_σ) -commutative, and can therefore be written as

$$A = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_\ell \hat{P}_\ell \quad \text{where} \quad F_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^{m_{\sigma(\ell)} \times m_\ell} \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1, \quad (39)$$

and σ is a permutation.

An arbitrary $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$ can be written as

$$z = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_\ell u_\ell \quad \text{with} \quad u_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^{m_\ell}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1.$$

Therefore (9) and (39) imply that

$$Az - \lambda z = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} F_\ell u_\ell - \lambda \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_\ell u_\ell = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\sigma(\ell)} (F_\ell u_\ell - \lambda u_{\sigma(\ell)}); \quad (40)$$

hence, $Az = \lambda z$ if and only if

$$F_{\ell}u_{\ell} = \lambda u_{\sigma(\ell)}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1.$$

We first consider the case where σ is the identity. The next three theorems are essentially restatements of results from [21], recast so as to be consistent with viewpoint that we have taken in this paper.

Let \mathcal{C}_{ℓ} denote the column space of P_{ℓ} and let $\mathcal{C} = \cup_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{C}_{\ell}$.

Theorem 11 *If A commutes with R then λ is an eigenvalue of A if and only if λ is an eigenvalue of one or more of the matrices F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{k-1} . Assuming this to be true, let*

$$S_A(\lambda) = \{\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\} \mid \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } F_{\ell}\}.$$

If $\ell \in S_A(\lambda)$ and $\{u_{\ell}^{(1)}, u_{\ell}^{(2)}, \dots, u_{\ell}^{(d_{\ell})}\}$ is a basis for the set $\{u_{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_{\ell} \times m_{\ell}} \mid F_{\ell}u_{\ell} = \lambda u_{\ell}\}$, then $P_{\ell}u_{\ell}^{(1)}, P_{\ell}u_{\ell}^{(2)}, \dots, P_{\ell}u_{\ell}^{(d_{\ell})}$ are linearly independent λ -eigenvectors of A . Moreover,

$$\bigcup_{\ell \in S_A(\lambda)} \{P_{\ell}u_{\ell}^{(1)}, P_{\ell}u_{\ell}^{(2)}, \dots, P_{\ell}u_{\ell}^{(d_{\ell})}\}$$

is a basis for the λ -eigenspace of A . Finally, A is diagonalizable if and only if F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{k-1} are all diagonalizable. In this case, A has m_{ℓ} linearly independent eigenvectors in \mathcal{C}_{ℓ} , $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$.

It seems useful to consider the case where A is diagonalizable more explicitly.

Theorem 12 *Suppose a diagonalizable matrix A commutes with R and $F_{\ell} = \Omega_{\ell}D_{\ell}\Omega_{\ell}^{-1}$ is a spectral decomposition of F_{ℓ} , $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$. Let*

$$\Omega = [P_0\Omega_0 \quad P_1\Omega_1 \quad \cdots \quad P_{k-1}\Omega_{k-1}]$$

Then

$$A = \Omega \left(\bigoplus_{s=0}^{k-1} D_{\ell} \right) \Omega^{-1}$$

with

$$\Omega^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_0^{-1}\widehat{P}_0 \\ \Omega_1^{-1}\widehat{P}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \Omega_{k-1}^{-1}\widehat{P}_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a spectral decomposition of A .

Remark 3 It is well known that commuting diagonalizable matrices are simultaneously diagonalizable. Theorem 12 makes this explicit, since $\Omega R \Omega^{-1}$ and $\Omega A \Omega^{-1}$ are both diagonal.

The original version of the following theorem, which dealt with centrosymmetric matrices, is due to Andrew [2, Theorem 6]. The proof is practically identical to Andrew's original proof.

Theorem 13

(i) *If A commutes with R and λ is an eigenvalue of A , then the λ -eigenspace of S has a basis in \mathcal{C} .*

(ii) *If A has n linearly independent eigenvectors in \mathcal{C} , then A commutes with R .*

PROOF. (i) See Theorem 11. (ii) If $z \in \mathcal{C}$ then $Rz = \gamma_\ell z$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_k$. If $Az = \lambda z$ and $Rz = \gamma_\ell z$, then

$$RAz = \lambda Rz = \lambda \gamma_\ell z \quad \text{and} \quad ARz = \gamma_\ell Az = \gamma_\ell \lambda z;$$

hence, $RAz = ARz$. Now suppose that A has n linearly independent eigenvectors $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$ in \mathcal{C} . Then we can write an arbitrary $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ as $z = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_i$. Since $RAz_i = ARz_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, it follows that $RAz = ARz$. Therefore $AR = RA$. \square

For the remainder of this section we assume that A is (R, R_σ) -commutative and σ is a permutation other than the identity.

The following theorem shows that finding the null space of A reduces to finding the null spaces of F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{k-1} .

Theorem 14 *If A is (R, R_σ) -commutative and σ is a permutation then $Az = 0$ if and only if $z = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_\ell u_\ell$, where*

$$F_\ell u_\ell = 0, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq k-1; \quad (41)$$

hence, the null space of A is independent of σ (so long as σ is a permutation).

PROOF. Clearly, (41) implies that $Az = 0$ without any assumption on σ . For the converse, note from (22) and (40) that if σ is a permutation then $\widehat{P}_{\sigma(\ell)} Az = F_\ell u_\ell$, $0 \leq \ell \leq k-1$, so $Az = 0$ implies (41). \square

Henceforth we assume that $\lambda \neq 0$. In this case, suppose that σ has p orbits $\mathcal{O}_0, \dots, \mathcal{O}_{p-1}$. If $p = 1$, then σ is a k -cycle and $\mathbb{Z}_k = \{\sigma^j(0) \mid 0 \leq j \leq k-1\}$. In any case, if $\ell_r \in \mathcal{O}_r$, $0 \leq r \leq p-1$, then $\mathbb{Z}_k = \mathcal{O}_0 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{O}_{p-1}$, where

$$\mathcal{O}_r = \{\sigma^j(\ell_r) \mid 0 \leq j \leq k_r - 1\}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq p-1,$$

and $k_0 + \dots + k_{p-1} = k$. It is important to note that

$$\sigma^{k_r}(\ell_r) = \ell_r, \quad 0 \leq r \leq p-1, \quad (42)$$

and k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{p-1} are respectively the smallest positive integers for which these equalities hold. In Example 1, $p = 3$, $\mathcal{O}_0 = \{0, 1, 3\}$, $\mathcal{O}_1 = \{2, 4\}$, $\mathcal{O}_2 = \{5\}$, so $k_0 = 3, k_1 = 2, k_3 = 1, \mathbb{Z}_6 = \mathcal{O}_0 \cup \mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_2$, and we may choose $\ell_0 = 0, \ell_1 = 2$, and $\ell_2 = 5$.

To solve the eigenvalue problem, we rearrange the terms in $z = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_{\ell} u_{\ell}$ as

$$z = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} z_r \quad \text{with} \quad z_r = \sum_{j=0}^{k_r-1} P_{\sigma^j(\ell_r)} u_{\sigma^j(\ell_r)}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq p-1, \quad (43)$$

and rearrange the terms in (39) as

$$A = \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} A_r \quad \text{with} \quad A_r = \sum_{j=0}^{k_r-1} P_{\sigma^{j+1}(\ell_r)} F_{\sigma^j(\ell_r)} \widehat{P}_{\sigma^j(\ell_r)}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq p-1. \quad (44)$$

Since (9) implies that $A_r A_s = 0$ if $r \neq s$, we can replace (44) by

$$A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_{p-1};$$

hence, $Az = \lambda z$ if and only if

$$A_r z_r = \lambda z_r, \quad 0 \leq r \leq p-1.$$

Therefore, the eigenvalue problem for A reduces to p independent eigenvalue problems for A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{p-1} .

From (43) and (44), $A_r z_r = \lambda z_r$ if and only if

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k_r-1} P_{\sigma^{j+1}(\ell_r)} F_{\sigma^j(\ell_r)} u_{\sigma^j(\ell_r)} = \lambda \sum_{j=0}^{k_r-1} P_{\sigma^j(\ell_r)} u_{\sigma^j(\ell_r)} = \lambda \sum_{j=0}^{k_r-1} P_{\sigma^{j+1}(\ell_r)} u_{\sigma^{j+1}(\ell_r)},$$

which is equivalent to

$$F_{\sigma^j(\ell_r)} u_{\sigma^j(\ell_r)} = \lambda u_{\sigma^{j+1}(\ell_r)}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k_r - 1. \quad (45)$$

If $k_r = 1$ then $\sigma(\ell_r) = \ell_r$ and (44) becomes $F_{\ell_r} u_{\ell_r} = \lambda u_{\ell_r}$; hence, if (λ, u_{ℓ_r}) is an eigenpair of F_{ℓ_r} then $z_r = P_{\ell_r} u_{\ell_r}$ is λ -eigenvector of A .

If $k_r > 1$ then (42) and (44) imply that

$$G_r u_{\ell_r} = \lambda^k u_{\ell_r}, \quad \text{where} \quad G_r = F_{\sigma^{k_r-1}(\ell_r)} \cdots F_{\sigma(\ell_r)} F_{\ell_r} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_{\ell_r} \times m_{\ell_r}}.$$

Therefore, if ν is a nonzero eigenvalue of G_r and $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/k_r}$, then $\nu^{1/k}, \nu^{1/k} \zeta, \dots, \nu^{1/k} \zeta^{k_r-1}$ are distinct eigenvalues of A_r (and therefore of A). If λ is any one of these eigenvalues, then the corresponding eigenvector z_r of A_r (and therefore of A) is given by (43), where $u_{\sigma^j(\ell_r)}$, $1 \leq j \leq k_r-1$, can be computed recursively from (44) as

$$u_{\sigma^j(\ell_r)} = \frac{1}{\lambda} F_{\sigma^{j-1}(\ell_r)} u_{\sigma^{j-1}(\ell_r)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k_r - 1.$$

References

- [1] C. M. Ablow, J. L. Brenner, Roots and canonical forms for circulant matrices, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 107 (1963) 360–376.

- [2] A. L. Andrew, Eigenvectors of certain matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 7 (1973) 151–162.
- [3] A. L. Andrew, Solution of equations involving centrosymmetric matrices, *Technometrics* 15 (1973) 405–407.
- [4] A. L. Andrew, Centrosymmetric matrices, *SIAM Review* 40 (1998) 697–698.
- [5] A. Cantoni, F. Butler, Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of symmetric centrosymmetric matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 13 (1976), 275–288.
- [6] H.-C. Chen, A. Sameh, A matrix decomposition method for orthotropic elasticity problems, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 10 (1989), 39–64.
- [7] H.-C. Chen, Circulative matrices of degree θ , *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 13 (1992) 1172–1188.
- [8] A. R. Collar, On centrosymmetric and centroskew matrices, *Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math.* 15 (1962) 265–281.
- [9] D. Fasino, Circulative properties revisited: Algebraic properties of a generalization of cyclic matrices, *Italian J. Pure Appl. Math* 4 (1998) 33–43.
- [10] I. J. Good, The inverse of a centrosymmetric matrix, *Technometrics* 12 (1970) 925–928.
- [11] G. L. Li, Z. H. Feng, Mirrorsymmetric matrices, their basic properties, and an application on odd/even decomposition of symmetric multiconductor transmission lines, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 24 (2002) 78–90.
- [12] I. S. Pressman, Matrices with multiple symmetry properties: applications of centrohermitian and perhermitian matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 284 (1998) 239–258.
- [13] W. C. Pye, T. L. Boullion, T. A. Atchison, The pseudoinverse of a centrosymmetric matrix, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 6 (1973) 201–204.
- [14] D. Tao, M. Yasuda, A spectral characterization of generalized real symmetric centrosymmetric and generalized real symmetric skew-centrosymmetric matrices, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 23 (2002) 885–895.
- [15] W. F. Trench, Characterization and properties of matrices with generalized symmetry or skew symmetry, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 377 (2004) 207–218.
- [16] W. F. Trench, Inverse eigenproblems and associated approximation problems for matrices with generalized symmetry or skew symmetry *Linear Algebra Appl.* 380 (2004) 199–211.
- [17] W. F. Trench, Hermitian, Hermitian R -symmetric, and Hermitian R -skew symmetric Procrustes problems, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 387 (2004) 83–98.

- [18] W. F. Trench, Minimization problems for (R, S) -symmetric and (R, S) -skew symmetric matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 389 (2004) 23–31.
- [19] W. F. Trench, Hermitian, Hermitian R -symmetric, and Hermitian R -skew symmetric Procrustes problems, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 387 (2004) 83–98.
- [20] W. F. Trench, Characterization and properties of (R, S) -symmetric, (R, S) -skew symmetric, and (R, S) -conjugate matrices, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 26 (2005) 748–757.
- [21] W. F. Trench, Characterization and properties of matrices with k -involutory symmetries, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 429, Issues 8-9 (2008) 2278-2290.
- [22] W. F. Trench, Properties of unilevel block circulants, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 430 (2009) 2012–2025.
- [23] W. F. Trench, Characterization and properties of matrices with k -involutory symmetries II, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 432, (2010) 2282-2797.
- [24] M. Yasuda, A Spectral Characterization of Hermitian Centrosymmetric and Hermitian Skew-Centrosymmetric K -Matrices; *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, Volume 25, No. 3 (2003) pp 601-605.
- [25] J. R. Weaver, Centrosymmetric (cross-symmetric) matrices, their basic properties, eigenvalues, eigenvectors, *American Mathematical Monthly* 92 (1985) 711-717.