University of Massachusetts Amherst

From the Selected Works of William MacKnight

1986

Upper and Lower Critical Solution Temperature Behavior in Thermoplastic Polymer Blends

William MacKnight, *University of Massachusetts Amherst*

Guangmin Cong

Yuhui Huang

F. E. Karasz

Available at: https://works.bepress.com/william_macknight/141/

Reprinted from *Macromolecules*, 1986, 19, 2765.
Copyright © 1986 by the American Chemical Society and reprinted by permission of the copyright owner.

Upper and Lower Critical Solution Temperature Behavior in Thermoplastic Polymer Blends

Guangmin Cong,[†] Yuhui Huang,[†] William J. MacKnight,* and Frank E. Karasz

Polymer Science and Engineering Department, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003. Received March 3, 1986

ABSTRACT: The phase behavior of blends of polystyrene ($\bar{M}_w = 115\,000$, $M_w/M_n < 1.06$) (PS₁₁₅) and a random copolymer of carboxylated poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene oxide) (C^γ-PPO) has been studied by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and light scattering. In C^γ-PPO, γ represents the carboxyl content (COOH mole percent). The phase diagrams of C^{8.0}PPO/PS₁₁₅ and C^{10.3}PPO/PS₁₁₅ were found to exhibit both UCST (upper critical solution temperature) and LCST (lower critical solution temperature) behavior. The phase behavior is reversible. The miscible region between the UCST and LCST decreases with increasing carboxyl content in the C-PPO, and the critical point moves to lower mass fraction of copolymer. In the blends C^{4.5}-PPO/PS₁₁₅ and C^{6.7}-PPO/PS₁₁₅ no phase separation was observed during thermal treatment. The results obtained from both DSC and light scattering are consistent.

Introduction

The phase behavior of solutions at constant pressure and temperature is governed by the Gibbs free energy of mixing ΔG_m :

[†]Permanent address: Department of Chemistry, Zhong-Shan University, Guangzhou, People's Republic of China.

$$\Delta G_m = \Delta H_m - T\Delta S_m \quad (1)$$

Using the Flory-Huggins theory,¹ one may write the entropy of mixing ΔS_m as

$$\Delta S_m = -R(n_1 \ln \phi_1 + n_2 \ln \phi_2) \quad (2)$$

where n_i is the number of moles of component i with volume fraction ϕ_i . For a system where there are no

Table I
Characterization Data for the Polymers Used in Blends

code	polymer	mol % COOH ^a	T_g , °C	M_w^b	M_n^b	M_w/M_n
PS ₁₁₅	polystyrene		104	115 000		<1.06
C-37	C ^{4.5} -PPO	4.5	217	68 700	36 700	1.87
C-34	C ^{6.7} -PPO	6.7	217	69 200	37 000	1.87
C-29	C ^{8.0} -PPO	8.0	218	69 500	37 200	1.87
C-33	C ^{10.3} -PPO	10.3	221	70 100	37 500	1.87

^a Measured by titration. ^b The molecular mass of carboxylated PPO was calculated by using the GPC data of PPO and C^{3.0}-PPO.

specific interactions, ΔG_m decreases with increasing temperature. Therefore, the existence of an upper consolute point (UCST) is predicted. However, the unmodified Flory-Huggins theory does not predict the lower consolute point (LCST) commonly observed in polymer-polymer mixtures. Some newer theories, such as the equation of state and lattice fluid theories, have been presented by Prigogine,² Flory,³ Sanchez and Lacombe,⁴ and Simha and Somcynsky.⁵ According to these theories, ΔG_m in polymer blends contains three different contributions: the combinatorial entropy of mixing, the exchange interaction and the "free volume term". The combinatorial entropy of mixing in polymers becomes insignificant at high molar masses. The free volume contribution is always positive and increases as a function of temperature. This term leads to the existence of an LCST in polymer-polymer mixtures because of the difference in the thermal expansion coefficients of the pure components. A specific interaction, resulting in a negative exchange interaction contribution to the free energy of polymer mixing, is usually said to be a prerequisite for the miscibility of homopolymer pairs. An increasing number of exceptions to this generalization are being identified, in which at least one of the blend components is a random copolymer. This miscibility phenomenon in the absence of specific interactions has been rationalized on the basis of a mean field, Flory-Huggins type theory.⁶⁻⁸

McMaster⁹ applied the Prigogine-Flory theory, i.e., the equation of state theory, to polymer-polymer mixtures and demonstrated that a system with a small positive exchange interactional energy parameter, and a very small free volume contribution, can exhibit both UCST and LCST behavior. He also pointed out that such behavior is expected to be rare in polymer-polymer blends.

In practice, the existence of both an UCST and LCST has been established for polymer-solvent systems.¹⁰⁻¹² Schmitt¹³ discussed UCST behavior, LCST behavior, and combined UCST and LCST behavior in blends of poly(methyl methacrylate) with poly(styrene-co-acrylonitrile). Ueda and Karasz¹⁴ reported the existence of an UCST in chlorinated polyethylene (CPE) blends using the DSC technique. Recently, Inoue¹⁵ found that elastomer blends of *cis*-1,4-polybutadiene and poly(styrene-co-butadiene) exhibit both UCST and LCST behavior.

In the present study, we observe that blends of polystyrene (PS₁₁₅) and carboxylated poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene oxide) (C^y-PPO) copolymers with a degree of carboxylation between 8 and 10 mol % exhibit both UCST and LCST behavior.

Experimental Section

Materials. Polystyrene with $M_w = 115\,000$ (PS₁₁₅) and narrow molecular weight distribution, $M_w/M_n < 1.06$, was used as received from Polymer Laboratories, Inc.

Carboxylated poly(2,6-dimethyl-1,4-phenylene oxide) (C^y-PPO) copolymers were prepared as previously described.¹⁶ The sample codes and the characterization data are presented in Table I.

Figure 1. Block diagram of photometric light scattering apparatus.

Blend Preparation. A series of blends of PS and C-PPO was prepared by dissolving appropriate quantities of the pure components in the mixed solvent 5:1 dioxane-toluene, followed by coprecipitation into a large excess of hexane (12:1). The resulting precipitates were dried under vacuum at 90 °C for 3 days.

The films used in light scattering measurements were prepared by dissolving the dried blend powders in toluene containing a small amount of dioxane. This solution (about 1% (w/v)) was cast onto a container with a water surface at 70–80 °C. These cast films were further dried under vacuum at 90 °C for 3 days.

In DSC measurements, the blend powders and compression-molded films yielded the same glass transition temperatures (T_g 's).

Measurements. Thermal Analysis. Differential scanning calorimetry experiments were conducted with a Perkin-Elmer Model DSC-2. Sample sizes were approximately 20 mg. In the experiments, the blend powder samples were heated at a rate of 20 K/min from 330 to 530 K, rapidly quenched (320 K/min) to room temperature, and scanned at 20 K/min. This process was repeated until a stable T_g was obtained.

An annealing experiment consisted of heating the homogeneous blend sample at a rate of 320 K/min to the selected annealing temperature and maintaining it at this temperature for 20 min. This period was found by experiment to be long enough to attain a distinct phase separation in these samples in the temperature range of interest but not long enough to induce degradation of either component at the highest temperature (320 °C). After annealing, the samples were rapidly quenched to liquid nitrogen temperatures and subsequently scanned at 20 K/min.

Thermogravimetric analysis of blend samples was carried out with a Perkin-Elmer TGS-2 analyzer. The temperature range was from 50 to 900 °C at a scanning rate of 10 °C/min in a nitrogen atmosphere.

Light Scattering Measurements. Phase boundaries were examined by measuring the intensity of scattered light from the sample at an angle of 10° using the photometric light scattering apparatus shown in Figure 1. A film of 0.03–0.04-mm thickness was placed between two glass microscope slides to protect the sample and then mounted in a sample holder located in a Mettler hot stage. The heating rate of the Mettler hot stage can be varied from 0.2 to 10 °C/min. In this experiment, the light scattering intensities were recorded by using a digital synchronous computer photon counter, Model 110 (SSR Instruments Co.).

Results and Discussion

Thermally Induced Phase Separation via DSC. The phase behavior of four miscible blend samples of C^y-PPO/PS₁₁₅ was studied by DSC. The presence of one or two T_g 's in the DSC thermograms was used as a criterion for miscibility or immiscibility. The blends were annealed for 20 min at various temperatures above their T_g 's but below the decarboxylation temperature of C^y-PPO.¹⁶ Figure 2 shows the glass transition temperatures (T_g , blend) and degradation temperatures ($T_{d,blend}$) as a function of blend composition. The $T_{g,blend}$ values obtained for C^y-PPO/PS₁₁₅ blends are lower than predicted by Fox's equation:

$$\frac{1}{T_{g,blend}} = \frac{W_A}{T_{gA}} + \frac{W_B}{T_{gB}} \quad (3)$$

Figure 2. Relationship between T_g (T_d) and blend composition: curve 1, experimental T_g data (guide line through experimental points for $C^{10.3}$ -PPO/PS₁₁₅); curve 2, T_g calculated according to Fox's equation; curve 3, T_d of $C^{10.3}$ -PPO/PS₁₁₅ blends vs. blend composition. T_d was taken as the peak of derivative curves in TGA traces.

Figure 3. DSC thermograms illustrating the effect of annealing times on phase separation. 55/45 $C^{8.0}$ -PPO/PS₁₁₅ blends annealed at 170 °C for various times as shown on the curves.

where T_{gA} and T_{gB} refer to the T_g 's of the pure polymers and W_A and W_B are the weight fractions of the blend constituents. This suggests the presence of a specific interaction between C^y -PPO and PS. Such an interaction could play an important role in the phase behavior of the blends.

Figure 3 shows that the phase separation of the samples achieves a stable state within a 20-min annealing period, since the DSC trace shows no further changes upon annealing after this time.

The influence of annealing temperature on C^y -PPO/PS₁₁₅ blends is shown in Figures 4–6. These figures illustrate two different cases: no phase separation induced by the thermal history and the coexistence of an UCST and LCST. For low carboxylation content copolymer blend samples, $C^{4.5}$ -PPO and $C^{6.7}$ -PPO, the DSC traces (Figure 4) exhibit a series of broadened single T_g 's corresponding to various annealing temperatures. No phase separation is observed. For $C^{8.0}$ -PPO/PS₁₁₅ (Figure 5) and $C^{10.3}$ -PPO/PS₁₁₅ (Figure 6), the effect of thermally induced

Figure 4. DSC thermograms illustrating phase separation: (left) 50/50 $C^{4.5}$ -PPO/PS₁₁₅ blends annealed at different temperatures for 20 min; (right) 60/40 $C^{6.7}$ -PPO/PS₁₁₅ blends annealed at different temperatures for 20 min.

Figure 5. DSC thermograms illustrating phase separation. 50/50 $C^{8.0}$ -PPO/PS₁₁₅ blends annealed at different temperatures for 20 min.

Figure 6. DSC thermograms illustrating phase separation. 40/60 $C^{10.3}$ -PPO/PS₁₁₅ blends annealed at different temperatures for 20 min.

phase separation can be seen clearly. The $C^{8.0}$ -PPO/PS₁₁₅ system in a ratio of 50/50 displays phase separation at annealing temperatures lower than 200 °C and higher than 250 °C. Between these two temperatures a miscibility region is observed. The behavior of $C^{10.3}$ -PPO/PS₁₁₅ is

Table II
Effect of Annealing Temperature on Blend T_g 's

sample	annealing temp, °C	UCST		annealing temp, °C	LCST	
		low T_g , °C	high T_g , °C		low T_g , °C	high T_g , °C
40/60 C ^{10.3} -PPO/PS ₁₁₅	150	110	220	250	108	211
	200	110	211	170	107	227
55/45 C ^{8.0} -PPO/PS ₁₁₅	160	153	192	250	132	192
	170	152	192	260	132	201
	190	152	191	270	132	207

Figure 7. DSC thermograms for 50/50 C^{8.0}-PPO/PS₁₁₅ blends showing phase separation is reversible. Curves A, B, C, D, and E are the experimental orders responding to the annealing temperatures of 170, 220, 260, 220, and 170 °C, respectively.

similar to that of the C^{8.0}-PPO/PS₁₁₅ sample (Figure 6).

In Table II, the data listed are representative of the two resolved T_g 's in the region of phase separation. It is clear that higher carboxyl contents in the copolymer lead to better resolved T_g 's. For the C^{8.0}-PPO/PS₁₁₅ system, T_g values did not correspond precisely to those of the pure components, 377 and 490 K respectively. This implies that the blends separated to a C-PPO-rich phase and a PS-rich phase during the annealing procedure. Moreover, we can see that the phase separation is more distinct in the LCST region than in the UCST region. This result may be attributed to the fact that the UCST is located between the T_g 's of the blend components. In the UCST region, PS molecules are more mobile than C-PPO molecules due to the lower T_g of the pure PS component, so the PS domains grow faster than the C-PPO domains during the UCST temperature annealing. But in the LCST region, both PS and C-PPO domains grow at comparable rates. This may be the reason for the more distinct phase separation in the LCST region.

As several sources¹⁷⁻¹⁹ have reported, thermally induced phase separation is easily reversed when the blends are annealed below the respective LCST. In the present study, the changes in transition behavior are completely reversible, as shown in Figure 7. The sample was annealed at 443 K (in the UCST region), cooled rapidly at 330 K, and scanned through 530 K (curve A). It was then annealed in the one-phase region (493 K) and with the same cooling-heating procedure to obtain a homogeneous structure (curve B). By cycling these procedures, the reversed DSC traces were obtained as curves C, D, and E in Figure 7. It is clear that curve E shows a more extreme composition than curve A for the two T_g 's. This is attributed to the effect of thermal history on the sample.

The effect of the blend compositions on the phase behavior at the different annealing temperatures is shown

Figure 8. Phase diagram of C^{8.0}-PPO/PS₁₁₅ blends. Solid circles indicate that a 20-min annealing period leads to phase separation, and open circles indicate that no phase separation is caused by annealing. Half-filled circles indicate mediate states.

Figure 9. Phase diagram of C^{10.3}-PPO/PS₁₁₅ blends.

in Figures 8 and 9. The solid circles indicate that a 20-min annealing period leads to phase separation and the open circles indicate that only one T_g is resolved by DSC and thus no phase separation is observed. From these phase diagrams, the coexistence of an UCST and LCST in C-PPO/PS₁₁₅ blend systems where the copolymer contains 8–10.3% degree of carboxylation is evident.

It is obvious that the shapes and locations of these phase diagrams are related to the copolymer composition. Figure

Table III
Temperatures of Phase Boundaries and Critical Points by DSC

sample	UCST			LCST			temp diff between min of LCST and max of UCST, °C
	blend comp at boundaries on 160 °C isotherm, wt % C-PPO	crit point, °C	crit comp, wt % C-PPO	blend comp at boundaries on 300 °C isotherm, wt % C-PPO	crit point, °C	crit comp, wt % C-PPO	
C ^{8.0} -PPO/PS ₁₁₅	40-65	190	50	40-65	235	50	45
C ^{10.3} -PPO/PS ₁₁₅	30-50	200	40	20-80	230	50	30

Figure 10. Effect of temperature on scattered light intensity for 50/50 C^{10.3}-PPO/PS₁₁₅ blends.

Figure 11. Effect of temperature on scattered light intensity for 40/60 C^{10.3}-PPO/PS₁₁₅ blends.

8 shows a two-phase region with a maximum of about 463 K at 50 wt % C^{8.0}-PPO, and the blend compositions for the miscibility-immiscibility boundaries on the 160 °C isotherm are between 40 and 65 wt % C^{8.0}-PPO. But for the C^{10.3}-PPO/PS₁₁₅ system (Figure 9), the maximum temperature occurs at about 473 K at 40 wt % C^{10.3}-PPO, and the two-phase region lies between 30 and 50 wt % C-PPO. However, for the LCST behavior, the higher the carboxyl content of the copolymer, the lower the minimum temperature and the wider is the two-phase region at 573 K. The data are listed in Table III. This indicates that the miscible regions between the UCST and LCST depend strongly upon the copolymer composition.

Light Scattering Measurements. To confirm the results obtained from DSC measurements, we determined the temperature dependence of the cloud points in the blend films. The films were annealed at 423 K for 20 min, and the variation of scattered light intensities from the samples was examined while temperature increased at a rate of 2 °C/min.

Three examples of the effect of temperature on the scattered light intensities are shown in Figure 10-12. The cloud point curves were obtained. The regions where relatively little light was scattered suggest single-phase regions. At lower and higher temperatures, there is an increase in scattering intensity, which indicates phase separation.

It is worthwhile to note that the area of lowest scattering intensity examined by light scattering essentially coincided with the single-phase region between the UCST and LCST in the phase diagrams. It should also be noted that the scattering intensities can be reversed by rapidly cooling the sample to room temperature by nitrogen flow and then reheating with a heating rate of 10 °C/min to the desired temperatures. These data are listed in Table IV.

To avoid the deterioration of the samples by long exposure to high temperature during the slow heating pro-

Figure 12. Effect of temperature on scattered light intensity for 60/40 C^{8.0}-PPO/PS₁₁₅ blends.

Table IV
Reversibility of Light Scattering for C-PPO/PS Blends

sample	measd order	measd temp, °C	I × 10 ^{-5a}
60/40 C ^{8.0} -PPO/PS ₁₁₅	1	230	7.52
	2	170	11.30
	3	230	6.69
60/40 C ^{10.3} -PPO/PS ₁₁₅	1	200	7.34
	2	225	3.58
	3	238	9.59
	4	200	7.47
	5	225	3.55
	6	240	10.40

^a Scattering intensity in arbitrary units.

cess, the highest temperature was limited to below 260 °C. So, for some blend compositions which have LCST temperatures greater than 260 °C, only the UCST was observed.

In Figure 11, an increase in intensity appearing around the copolymer T_g may be due to C-PPO molecules passing through their glass transition. Residual strains may be released, causing the sample surface to become irregular, resulting in an increased scattering intensity. This effect can be reduced by annealing above the glass transition temperature of the film and slowly cooling to room temperature to relax any residual strain and stress.

Conclusions

Low carboxyl content (≤ 10 mol %) copolymers (C^y-PPO) blended with PS of molar mass 115 000 exhibit a single phase. Phase diagrams for blends of C^{8.0}-PPO/PS₁₁₅ and C^{10.3}-PPO/PS₁₁₅ exhibit a single-phase region, a UCST, and an LCST. The gap between the UCST and LCST depends on the copolymer composition. For C^{4.5}-PPO/PS₁₁₅ and C^{6.7}-PPO/PS₁₁₅ blends, no phase separation was observed during thermal treatment because the single-phase region between the UCST and LCST extends beyond the experimental temperature range. The phase separation behavior observed by either DSC or light scattering is reversible.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Dr. Hsinjin Yang for help and discussions about the light scattering experiments and to Chris Lantman for his critical reading of the manuscript. We also thank Dr. H. Ueda for many very valuable discussions.

Registry No. PS, 9003-53-6.

References and Notes

- (1) Flory, P. J. *Principles of Polymer Chemistry*; Cornell University: Ithaca, NY, 1953.
- (2) Prigogine, I.; Bellemans, A.; Colin-Naar, C. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1957**, *26*, 751.
- (3) Flory, P. J.; Orwell, R. A.; Vrij, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, *86*, 3507.
- (4) Sanchez, I. C.; Lacombe, R. H. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1976**, *80*, 2352.
- (5) Shimha, R.; Somcynsky, T. *Macromolecules* **1969**, *2*, 343.
- (6) Kambour, R. P.; Bendler, J. T.; Bopp, R. C. *Macromolecules* **1983**, *16*, 753.
- (7) ten Brinke, G.; Karasz, F. E.; MacKnight, W. J. *Macromolecules* **1983**, *16*, 1827.
- (8) Paul, D. R.; Barlow, J. W. *Polymer* **1984**, *25*, 487.
- (9) McMaster, L. P. *Macromolecules* **1973**, *6*, 760.
- (10) Saeki, I.; Kuwahara, N.; Kaneko, M. *Macromolecules* **1976**, *9*, 101.
- (11) Kono, S.; Saeki, S.; Kuwahara, N.; Nakata, M. *Macromolecules* **1975**, *8*, 799.
- (12) Izumi, Y.; Mikake, Y. *Polym. J. (Tokyo)* **1972**, *3*, 647.
- (13) Schmitt, B. J. *Angew. Chem.* **1979**, *91*, 286.
- (14) Ueda, H.; Karasz, F. E. *Macromolecules* **1985**, *18*, 2719.
- (15) Inoue, T. *Macromolecules* **1985**, *18*, 2089.
- (16) Huang, Y. H.; Cong, G. M.; MacKnight, W. J. *Macromolecules* **1986**, *19*, 2267.
- (17) Fried, J. R.; Karasz, F. E.; MacKnight, W. J. *Macromolecules* **1978**, *11*, 150.
- (18) Alexandrovich, P.; Karasz, F. E.; MacKnight, W. J. *Polymer* **1977**, *18*, 1022.
- (19) Vukovic, R.; Karasz, F. E.; MacKnight, W. J. *Polymer* **1983**, *24*, 529.