### **Eastern Illinois University**

### From the SelectedWorks of William Edward Lovekamp

2013

## Understanding Social Vulnerability

Maureen Fordham William E. Lovekamp, *Eastern Illinois University* Deborah Thomas Brenda D. Phillips



nents

gton's

the She sub-

ation

d has

food ed on esters

i, and

rural egree

ke of look-otion

abina g her akota ation

h the

zards/ an be

# 1 Understanding Social Vulnerability

Maureen Fordham, William E. Lovekamp, Deborah S. K. Thomas, and Brenda D. Phillips

### **CONTENTS**

1.1	Chapter Purpose1		
1.2	Objectives		
1.3	Introduction		
1.4	Defining Social Vulnerability		
1.5	The Dominant Paradigm		
	1.5.1	How Does the Dominant Paradigm Understand Nature, Chance, and Time?	5
	1.5.2	How Does the Dominant Paradigm Understand Science and Technology?	6
	1.5.3	How Does the Dominant Paradigm Understand People?	7
	1.5.4	How Does the Dominant Paradigm Understand Society?	8
	1.5.5	Shortcomings of the Dominant Paradigm	8
1.6	The Social Vulnerability Paradigm		10
	1.6.1	Emergence of a Social Vulnerability Paradigm	13
	1.6.3	The Framework of the Social Vulnerability Paradigm	17
1.7	A Resilience Perspective		20
1.8	Overview of Coming Chapters		22
	1.8.1	Section One: Understanding Social Vulnerability	
	1.8.2	Section Two: Socially Vulnerable Groups	
	1.8.3	Section Three: Building Capacity and Community Resilience	24
1.9	Summ	ary	
Disc	Discussion Questions		
Ackr	Acknowledgments		
	References		
Reso	Resources		

#### 1.1 CHAPTER PURPOSE

This opening chapter provides an overview of why understanding social vulnerability matters for the practice and research of disaster management. The chapter content contrasts the historically dominant hazards paradigm with that of the social vulnerability paradigm and concludes with an overview of upcoming sections and chapters.

### 1.2 OBJECTIVES

At the conclusion of this chapter, readers should be able to:

- 1. Understand basic terms relevant to social vulnerability
- 2. Understand the dominant hazards paradigm