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ABSTRACT

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small noncoding RNA gene products about 22 nt long that are processed by Dicer from precursors with a characteristic hairpin secondary structure. Guidelines are presented for the identification and annotation of new miRNAs from diverse organisms, particularly so that miRNAs can be reliably distinguished from other RNAs such as small interfering RNAs. We describe specific criteria for the experimental verification of miRNAs, and conventions for naming miRNAs and miRNA genes. Finally, an online clearinghouse for miRNA gene name assignments is provided by the Rfam database of RNA families.
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MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small noncoding RNA gene products about 22 nt long that are found in diverse organisms, including animals (Lagos-Quintana et al. 2001; Lau et al. 2001; Lee and Ambros 2001; Mourelatos et al. 2002) and plants (Llave et al. 2002a; Park et al. 2002; Reinhart et al. 2002). The founding members of this class of noncoding RNAs are the lin-4 and let-7 gene products of Caenorhabditis elegans (Lee et al. 1993; Reinhart et al. 2000; for review, see Pasquinelli and Ruvkun 2002). Although lin-4 and let-7 were identified by standard positional cloning of genetic loci, most miRNA genes are discovered through cDNA cloning of sequences from size-fractionated RNA samples. One pitfall of miRNA gene hunting by cDNA cloning is that fragments of other noncoding RNAs (such as rRNAs, tRNAs, and snRNAs), as well as messenger RNAs, are also cloned from size-selected RNA samples. Candidate miRNA sequences can be readily tested using sequence-matching searches to annotated databases to flag most of these contaminating non-miRNA sequences. A more difficult task is differentiating miRNAs from other classes of small RNAs that might be present in the cell, particularly endogenous small interfering RNAs (siRNAs). Not only is the misclassification of the siRNAs undesirable, but because innumerable siRNAs are generated from each double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) precursor, it would be problematic to give each endogenous siRNA a different gene name. Another factor to consider when annotating miRNA genes is that many miRNA sequences are evolutionarily conserved (Lagos-Quintana et al. 2001; Lau et al. 2001; Lee and Ambros 2001; Mourelatos et al. 2002; Park et al. 2002; Reinhart et al. 2002). Thus, giving the same name to obvious orthologs is useful, and giving the same name to unrelated sequences from different organisms causes confusion. For these reasons, we suggest the adoption of a consistent set of guide-
lines for the classification of small RNAs as bona fide miRNAs, and provide a mechanism for the assignment of miRNA gene names.

MicroRNAs and siRNAs cannot be distinguished on the basis of their functions. Although siRNAs trigger the cleavage of target mRNAs (Zamore 2002), and the canonical miRNA, lin-4, is a translational repressor that does not influence target mRNA abundance (Olsen and Ambros 1999), other miRNAs recognize their targets and direct RNA cleavage as if they were siRNAs (Hutvagner and Zamore 2002; Llave et al. 2002b; Rhoades et al. 2002; Zeng et al. 2002). Even if miRNAs could be distinguished from siRNAs based on function, there is often the need to name a gene before the function is elucidated. The biochemical compositions of miRNA and siRNA molecules are also indistinguishable, both having the characteristic features of Dicer products (20–25 nt length, 5′-phosphate, and 3′-hydroxyl). Thus, miRNAs are most readily distinguished from siRNAs based on unique aspects of their biogenesis. Biological siRNAs come from long exogenous or endogenous dsRNA molecules (very long hairpins or bimolecular duplexes), processed such that numerous siRNAs accumulate from both strands of the dsRNA. MicroRNAs come from endogenous transcripts that can form local hairpin structures, which ordinarily are processed such that a single miRNA molecule accumulates from one arm of a hairpin precursor molecule. Sometimes the primary transcript contains multiple hairpins, and different hairpins give rise to a different miRNAs. These are considered polycistronic miRNA transcripts, and each hairpin is given a unique gene name.

Given the desire to avoid designating siRNAs or fragments of other RNAs as miRNAs, miRNAs are identified using a combination of criteria for both their expression and biogenesis.

Expression criteria

A. Detection of a distinct ~22-nt RNA transcript by hybridization to a size-fractionated RNA sample (ordinarily by the Northern blotting method).

B. Identification of the ~22-nt sequence in a library of cDNAs made from size-fractionated RNA. Such sequences must precisely match the genomic sequence of the organism from which they were cloned (except as noted below).

Biogenesis criteria

C. Prediction of a potential fold-back precursor structure that contains the ~22-nt miRNA sequence within one arm of the hairpin. In this criterion, the hairpin must be the folding alternative with the lowest free energy, as predicted by mfold (Mathews et al. 1999) or another conventional RNA-folding program, and must include at least 16 bp involving the first 22 nt of the miRNA and the other arm of the hairpin. It should not contain large internal loops or bulges, particularly not large asymmet-
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that the candidate itself meets criterion C, and there is also very high confidence that one of these paralogs is a confirmed miRNA (i.e., its classification is supported by at least three of the listed criteria). When there are two or more mismatches between the miRNA paralogs such that probes could differentiate between the species on Northern blots, criterion A must be satisfied experimentally. Sometimes partially overlapping cDNA sequences are identified from the same locus; these are assumed to represent differentially processed products from the gene.

MicroRNAs come from a single-molecule fold-back (hairpin) structure; RNAs that are processed by Dicer from a hybrid between two antiparallel transcripts are siRNAs and not miRNAs. Thus, an observation that ~22-nt RNAs are produced from both a sense and an antisense transcript is evidence of siRNAs. Because essentially all miRNA precursors described so far produce a mature microRNA preferentially from either the 5′ or 3′ fold-back strand, cases where both strands accumulate as ~22-nt RNAs should warrant caution. Similarly, no known microRNA precursors have yet been found that produce multiple nonoverlapping mature miRNAs from the same arm of the foldback precursor. Therefore, such cases should also be carefully considered as possible siRNAs; at any rate, it is recommended that each hairpin structure be considered a single gene, and all mature miRNAs from that hairpin should be annotated as alternatively processed gene products.

MicroRNAs are named using the “miR” prefix and a unique identifying number (e.g., miR-1, miR-2, ... miR-89, etc.). The genes that encode the miRNA are also named using the same three-letter prefix, with capitalization, and suffixes according to the conventions of the organism (for example, mir-1 in C. elegans and Drosophila, MIR156 in Arabidopsis and rice). The identifying numbers are assigned sequentially, with identical miRNAs having the same number, regardless of organism. Nearly identical orthologs can also be given the same number, at the discretion of the researcher. For example, miR-1 of Drosophila differs by a single nucleotide from miR-1 of C. elegans and humans. Identical or very similar miRNA sequences within a species can also be given the same number, with their genes distinguished by letter and/or numeral suffixes, according to the convention of the organism (e.g., the ~22-nt transcripts of Drosophila mir-13a and mir-13b are slightly different in sequence, whereas those of mir-6-1 and mir-6-2 are identical; Lagos-Quintana et al. 2001). An online clearinghouse for miRNA gene name assignments (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Software/Rfam/mirna/) is provided by the Rfam database of RNA families (Griffiths-Jones et al. 2003). The primary purpose of the clearinghouse is to assign unique gene names to distinct miRNAs while maintaining complete confidentiality for unpublished data. To avoid accidental overlap, Rfam will assign a name only after a paper describing the sequence has been accepted for publication. This resource also provides a searchable database of published miRNAs and aims to facilitate the evaluation of candidate sequences according to the above guidelines.
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