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ABSTRACT This article analyses qualitative data from responses to open questions addressed by 231 music users in a 2009–2010 survey. By coding and quantifying the data provided, the analysis enables the construction of four ‘acoustic axes’ (uplift–relax, inspiration–memory, energy–calm, joy/happiness–sad/sadness) which make direct use of respondent-initiated terminology and enable a means of mapping the activity which occurs for listeners in the affective space created in the listening process. Use of these axes in turn suggests, at a second level of analysis and interpretation, the construction of a musical–spiritual ‘social imaginary’ (Taylor), to grasp how music is used and understood by the music users themselves with respect to their self-understanding and life-commitments. It is concluded that, while music use cannot be referred to as religious, a religion or a form of spirituality in any direct or simplistic sense, there is evidence of the seriousness and intensity with which listeners make use of their listening practices in the activity of meaning-making.

Introduction

In our book Personal Jesus: How Popular Music Shapes our Souls (Marsh and Roberts, Personal), we drew upon data from a survey of 231 listeners to music. We have followed up with two articles which examine these research data in greater detail. In our first article we examined the quantitative figures which asked respondents about their use of popular music and religious affiliation or practice (if any), alongside other aspects of their participation in media and consumption of the arts and popular culture (Marsh and Roberts, “Habits”). We set out our findings under a series of headings: (a) types of music listened to, (b) themes within the music listened to, (c) means of assessing music, (d) frequency of listening, (e) listening in the context of other activities, (f) male and female responses. On the basis of this analysis, we explored potential interactions between patterns of music consumption, religious practice, and other cultural habits. The present article builds on these quantitative data by presenting the results of detailed textual analysis of the qualitative material provided by our respondents. The article investigates the qualitative data to see what conclusions can be drawn from the ways in which respondents describe their emotional reactions to popular music and what connections there may be, if any, with religious vocabulary and practice.
Emotional and Cognitive Activity within a Social Imaginary

In *The World in Six Songs*, Daniel Levitin recounts a conversation with musicologist and neuroscientist Ian Cross, in which Cross describes how music developed as a communicative medium optimally adapted for the management of social uncertainty (Levitin 145). This can be at the level of a group or an individual. The importance of this insight is borne out within our own research and connects directly with Charles Taylor’s use of the concept of the ‘social imaginary’. Taylor understands this term as the way in which people imagine their social existence, how they fit together with others, how things go on between them and their fellows, the expectations which are normally met, and the deeper normative notions and images which underlie those expectations (Taylor 171).

Taylor speaks of ‘social imaginary’ rather than ‘social theory’ for three reasons: i) he wants to focus on ordinary people’s handling of their surroundings, ii) relatively few people theorise, iii) it is important to identify and explore common understandings which are widely shared (171–2). This approach coheres with what we are attempting in our exploration of the reception and use of music.

Both aspects of Taylor’s stance—the connectedness and depth of everyday social experience and the concrete/practical as opposed to the theoretical—are evident in insights offered by our respondents. One participant states: “I like to listen to music that expresses my way of life and emotions/life situation” (R63) while another observes that “Music is there for you no matter what mood you are in, and it can influence your mood” (R68). One notes how music “creates a common ground to spend time together and keep in touch through suggestions/updates/attending gigs” (R84), while another recalls that “Many, many, many of my memories of music are tied up with friends and good times and dancing and festivals” (R72).

Mood is a recurrent theme throughout the responses. In our work, however, we have seen the need to explore exactly how our respondents speak of, use, react to or shape their moods in relation to the music to which they listen, what the moods (and emotions) do to and for them, what moods and emotions are prominent, and how their expression is located within respondents’ everyday life. This article therefore explores some of the key terms our respondents used to describe the ways in which they perceive music to be controlling or affecting their mood.

In *Personal Jesus* we used the data from our survey in a limited way in undertaking a theoretical mapping exercise of how popular music works. We identified four areas of common ground between religion and popular music: embodiment, connectedness, ritual, and transcendence (Marsh and Roberts, *Personal* 146–55). This article digs deeper into the qualitative data to determine what fresh insights can be gleaned from music users’ own descriptions of their committed, engaged music-listening habits.

In the light of the detailed textual analysis of the responses undertaken, we present the principal words that respondents used to describe the ways in which they use music. We have taken the eleven words which occur most
consistently to create four axes around a central hub. The resulting chart, we suggest, configures a form of musical social imaginary which adds depth to the insight that ‘music shapes people’. Our findings cohere well with Tia DeNora’s description of music as a “device of social ordering” (DeNora 109–50). The four axes (indicating the number of respondents who used the respective words without being offered them by us as researchers) are:

(i) uplift (23) <———> relax (29)
(ii) inspiration (16) <———> memory (14)
(iii) energy (12) <———> calm (13)
(iv) joy (24), happiness (27) <———> sad, sadness (13)

The central hub is ‘mood’ (27 mentions) and ‘emotion/s’ (29). It is possible to refine definitions of such emotions into existing categories (e.g. intense, positive, negative, mixed/conflicting, as in North and Hargreaves 136) but our qualitative analysis is guided by the descriptors provided by our participants.

The relationship between the four axes of the resulting musical social imaginary can be represented, as shown in Figure 1.

Given what is evident in these axes, it is clear, and not surprising, that there is both affective and cognitive activity occurring for music users at the point of reception and use. The importance of emotions in the arts is well-known (Robinson, “Emotions”, Deeper), the emotional dimensions of music and music reception in particular, even if popular music is not usually the focal point of academic research in this field (Juslin and Sloboda, Music, Handbook). To that should be added the observation that music is significant within religion as an affective (and effective) vehicle for carrying theology (e.g. Gill 159–202). When put together, those two insights raise the question of what music might

Figure 1. Acoustic axes from survey data.
therefore carry and what function it might play—for religious and non-religious people alike—in, through, and beyond its affective impact.

In identifying the emotions experienced, cognitive activity is involved. In reflecting further (even if only for us as researchers) on the emotions and their potential significance and meaning, our respondents provide insights into how the musical social imaginary functions for them. It is these further dimensions we shall now explore by digging deeper into the hub and the acoustic axes we have identified.

**Analysis of the Hub and the Four Acoustic Axes**

The hub in our diagram presents the centrality of the affective dimension of musical experience. We had explicitly sought respondents’ identifications and descriptions of their emotional response to, and use of, music through our open question “What words or phrases best describe your emotional experience of music?”. Twenty-nine respondents fed back to us the words ‘emotion/s’ or ‘emotional’ in their replies, although they added 27 references to ‘mood’. The four axes to be explored revolve around these references to moods and emotions.

The significance of emotional responses to music is starkly expressed by respondents. The following comment vividly illustrates the emotional range of music:

> It’s definitely true that emotion and music go hand in hand. I find particular pieces of classic music are needed for stressful days and a good rock anthem on the radio will make me more assertive when driving. (R85)

A further respondent states: “I am helped to relax with music and put back in touch with my emotions.” (R157)

Significantly, a number of times the language of emotion is associated with life itself and used to underscore the importance of music to the listener. Examples of this include: “I would rather listen to music than watch TV. I wouldn’t want to live without music. I find music can help me through emotionally difficult times.” (R137) After noting that music covers “the gamut of emotions”, one respondent adds: “I became a professional musician (teacher of music history and theory) because I didn’t want to live even a day without music.” (R197) Another one states: “Without music, I would die.” (R212) After listing a number of sharply contrasting emotions connected with music, one respondent frames this unsettling conundrum: “Since 1990 I sometimes wonder if I was offered the ‘choice’ between deafness and blindness, which I would choose—an impossible decision.” (R141)

A voice counter to this should, however, be noted. One respondent suggests an ambiguity regarding music and emotion:

> Usually, music does not make me emotional. It’s just a background for what I’m doing. If songs affect me in some way, it is usually because of memories associated with it [sic], happy or sad. (R95)

This is important for us to note: the music itself may not produce an emotional response, but the memories linked to certain songs produce emotions of
happiness and sadness. It is therefore with respect to the four acoustic axes which revolve around the hub where we should focus our attention.

Uplift <———> Relax

Twenty-three of our respondents made a reference to music being ‘uplifting’ or providing a sense of ‘uplift’. The emotion was often not, however, experienced in isolation. In drawing out these key terms from our data, it is important to keep in mind that they are often interconnected. Commenting upon how she is affected by music, one respondent says that it is “Uplifting, mood-changing, relaxing, emotive. Music often marks and becomes associated with events in my life.” (R26) Thus, as well as describing music as ‘uplifting’ such a statement includes references to emotion, relaxation, and memory. This descriptive spectrum is not unusual. Another observes: “I like it to be uplifting or relaxing depending on my mood. Music is very nostalgic too—brings up memories from growing up and so on.” (R87) Other related words on the uplift end of this axis include ‘escape’ (eight references), ‘transport’ (four references), and ‘transcendent’ (two references).

Some of the remarks about how popular music is uplifting include explicitly religious references. It is “Uplifting and exciting, Spiritual and feeding of the soul” (R18) or, by contrast, “Uplifting, cathartic, stirring, transformational, profoundly moving, entertaining, awe-inspiring”, while a further comment is: “It is pure escape from the day job—spiritual from a non-spiritual person (if that is not contradictory).” (R148) It should be noted that 11 out of the 23 respondents who referred to ‘uplift’ self-identified as religious and 12 did not. There is therefore little evident difference here.

Various contributors speak of a journey, movement or transmigration. Examples include a list of words noting that music is “Contemplative, escape, cultural identity, stimulating” (R71, emphasis added), that it involves “Taking out of oneself” (R78), and that it “Takes you to another place” (R82). A fourth participant observes that popular music is “Escapist, moving, transcendental, a shared experience (at a gig)” (R147), while a fifth remarks that “It provides a sort of ecstasy, lifting me out of myself on to a different plane” (R193). There is a strong sense that the language of uplift refers to a feeling of transcendence, although it is rarely linked with any explicitly religious or theological terms or that transcendence is necessarily used in a religious sense. This echoes to some extent the findings of Gordon Lynch in his empirical research with clubbers in so far as the religious links are not made explicit, although the difference from Lynch’s findings is that the language of transcendence is used (Lynch 179).

It was not easy to see what the other end of this particular axis should be. Unlike the other three axes, the counter-balance to ‘uplift’ (entailing stretching, reaching, rising) could equally be being ‘at rest’ or descending (digging, seeking depth). There are only four overt references to the word ‘deep’. Nevertheless, they are worth noting: “At times the emotions touched are so deep that I can be reduced to tears” (R9), “Romantic Deep Spiritual” (R48), “Positive, uplifting, deep thoughts, reflection” (R90), and “I prefer deep, meaningful music and lyrics” (R178). However, if the language of ‘uplift’ is used to infer or describe experiences of transcendence, we can see that the
language of depth is closely related to people’s awareness of, and entry into, their inner lives. One participant comments that music “Reflects moods; calming, uplifting, stirring, makes me want to dance, thought provoking, memory enhancing, gives excitement, fun, promotes reflection, sadness”. The phrase ‘promotes reflection’ suggests looking into inner depths and this is supported by the additional comment by this respondent that “I feel that my life is grounded in music and that I am open and prepared to listen to many kinds of music, e.g. from other cultures” (R75). Once again, the language of being ‘grounded’ in music is that of depth. Another analogy that employs depth is that of food. One participant writes about the effects of music as “spiritual and feeding of the soul” (R18), while another writes about inspiration, saying that music changes “my emotional state”, adding

Music for me is food for my soul … without music my life would be less rich … music is a medium which I use to change my inner world but also brings me a sense of getting in touch with my innermost thoughts and feelings. (R152)

There are other analogies and metaphors in our data which point in the direction of depth and immanence. Two contributors associate the word ‘yearn’ with music (R94 and R184), while a third writes of how

music is like a second heartbeat to me. I need to feel it, taste it, smell it, live it. Melodies and basslines and stories and words dictate my being—they can lift me or drown me. (R211)

The language of depth is thus expressed in the words of inner emotions (yearning), body (a second heartbeat), and physical experience (drowned). Another participant notes that music refers to his/her “pivotal core” and is both “fundamental” and “ineffable” (R189). Once again, the underlying analogy of the ‘core’ speaks of immanence and inner depth.

Depth, and related imagery and terminology, could be argued to be a good fit for the other end of the axis to ‘uplift’. The terms are used by our respondents and the quality and intensity of the material just presented carry significant weight. But we would be in danger of being inconsistent. We must respect frequency of response and not just terms we would like to see as important. In keeping with the approach we are adopting to the data, therefore (bearing in mind the quantitative dimension being used in our analysis of the qualitative data gathered), the other end of the ‘uplift’ axis is best represented by the word ‘relax’. The terms ‘relaxing’, ‘relaxes’, ‘relaxed’ or ‘relaxation’ are, alongside ‘emotion/s’ or ‘emotional’, the most frequently offered words in the open questions of our survey (29 out of 231, 17 of whom self-identified as not religious).

Although potentially allied with ‘joy’ and ‘happiness’—to be considered below—and ‘calm’, which we shall use as the opposite to ‘energy’, ‘relax’ and cognate words indicate for listeners the way in which music brings them to a safe place, a place of rest, in which creative, constructive, and positive things can occur. Thus, in the words of some of the participants: “It is relaxing and impassions me [sic]. Make[s] me want to make a positive difference to the world.” (R37) “Positive energy, uplifting, calming, energizing, relaxing.” (R39) “Chilled-out stuff to relax to at bedtime, zone out of outside world (on headphones).” (R66) It is important to stress that relaxation is not thought of
here as a place of inactivity or thoughtlessness. It is linked to a constructive activity, even when seen as escaping from the ‘outside world’. Functioning in a similar way to the ‘affective space’ we have spoken about in our own earlier work (Marsh and Roberts, Personal 16–21), the place of relaxation is a ‘zone’ where music users experience positive effects. As the opposite end of the axis from ‘uplift’ it is, we might say, the locus for activity which can lead to uplift, while also offering the possibility of inner enquiry and depth. The fact that more self-identified non-religious than religious people use the term perhaps shows that cognitive or affective work being undertaken around the practice of music-listening is more likely to happen for the non-religious in relation to music, because religious people have different activities through which they ‘relax’ and feel safe.

Inspiration <———> Memory

Sixteen respondents (only seven of whom referred to themselves as religious) commented on the inspirational nature of popular music, although many of those that included this notion did so without qualification or articulating their feelings about this experience (similar to the ways in which participants used the word ‘relax’). Thus, remarks to the effect that music is “Inspirational, joyful, moving, thought-providing” (R30), “Inspiring, comforting” (R31), “Relaxing, energizing, inspiring” (R32), “Inspiring” (R44), “Inspiration and relaxation” (R166), and “Amazing, thought-provoking, inspirational” (R216) are not developed with further statements or analysis. We can speculate that words such as ‘inspiration’ and ‘inspirational’ may appear to be sufficiently descriptive to their users not to warrant any further clarification. Other words that can be plotted on this axis include ‘moving’ (nine references), ‘tingling’ (four references), ‘beauty’ (three references), and ‘wonder’ (one reference).

Exceptions included the contribution by a participant whose terms associated with popular music were “Uplifting, music is life, inspiring” and who went on to say that

Music is the catalyst that can run a whole gamut of emotions. It has the ability to make me feel sad, make me happy, angry or feel totally uplifted. I could not imagine my world without music. (R73)

Here, the inspirational direction is upwards (“feel totally uplifted”) and horizontal (“the catalyst that can run...”); a second respondent wrote about inspiration in similar vertical and horizontal terms. Thus inspiration is vertical (“it provides a sort of ecstasy, lifting me out of myself onto a different plane”) while also having an impact upon concrete, daily life:

I often have instrumental music on in the background while I am working (reading and writing), although at these times I don’t really listen to it—or even hear it—it is somehow inspirational as well as serving to cut out extraneous noise and focus my thoughts. I always have a disc of the moment, which I will play over and over for days or weeks before moving on to something else. Apart from this, I am becoming increasingly impatient of background music, preferring to listen without doing anything else. The exception to this is while driving or cycling, when the music occupies a different part of the brain. (R193)
When we place the four references to the tingle factor and the three references to beauty on this axis, all these words suggest that we are in the social and psychological worlds of enchantment where the everyday and the mundane are transformed into something more significant. Taylor notes the importance of the enchanted nature of the pre-Enlightened world and how the process of disenchantment played an important part in the emergence of secular ideas (Taylor 25–6). Indeed, exploration of the themes of enchantment and re-enchantment have been a feature of recent sociological and religious studies work (Lyon; Partridge; Graham).

There is a strong indication from this axis that a sense of enchantment still exists. One participant comments that “music has to move me, otherwise I don’t listen” and adds that “music is life. Without it, remove my ears. Tori Amos saved my life. Depeche Mode shaped my life.” (R215) Another states that music “refreshes parts that other media”, including the other arts, fail to reach and that a key aspect in that process is the “tingle factor” (R6). A further respondent associates music with the “release of tension, unclutters the mind, light, floaty, happy, distraction, escapism, forget the world” (R179). We shall discuss some of those terms further below, but the sensations of floating, escaping, and forgetting the world all point to the enchanting nature of music. They function as cognates of inspiration in so far as they indicate that music users have a sense of being given something or being released in order to receive. Or, at the very least, they are enabled through their listening to use the music to see things differently, to see things in a new light. It is worth noting at this point that the relationships between specific musicians and their audiences are an area ripe for further exploration. We have undertaken some preliminary enquiries in this field (Marsh and Roberts, “Soundtracks”, Personal 134–5, 171–2).

At the other end of the axis is the function of memory and recollection. There are fourteen direct references to memory in our data (only five of which come from self-identified religious respondents), plus seven citations of ‘nostalgia’ which might also be included under this heading. Three use a very similar phrase when they state that popular music “brings back/up memories” (R24, R50, R87). Others associate music with “relaxing, reminiscing, memories” (R136) or state that “one of the greatest feelings ever is listening to albums that I have strong memories behind [sic]—especially stuff that I grew up with” (R213). Three refer to music providing the “soundtrack to life” (R86, R182, R194) and others make similar comments without employing that analogy, for example, “music often marks and becomes associated with events in my life” (R26) and “music is like a journal of my life” (R54). In other words, music becomes a crucial element in people’s personal identity and in their life stories. As another participant remarks, music is “evocative” and “songs will take you back to the time you first/most memorably heard them” (R77).

Our data suggest that links between popular music and identity extend beyond the personal (in the sense of ‘individual’). Although not highly developed, there are references to cultural identity, too. The ideas and words that one participant associates with music include “contemplative, escape, cultural identity, stimulating” (R71, emphasis added), while another connects it to “things like social commentary, Englishness, nostalgia” (R190, emphasis
added). This is not surprising, given that “music is very nostalgic too—brings up memories from growing up and so on” (R87).

However, a discordant note is sounded by one contributor who openly admits that music had played an important part in developing her sense of self but that it no longer did:

Music was hugely important to me as a teenager and to some extent in my early twenties—it was mostly to do with developing my identity and also as escapism. But as I’m getting older (mid-thirties now), it’s not important at all, and in fact I have very little time to dedicate to it. (R138)

It would be fascinating to know whether anything had replaced music in her later years and what (if anything) was now performing the function for his identity that music had done in the past. Another criticised our question which asked for each individual’s favourite piece of music, being critical also of those contributors who could make such a choice, writing that there was “literally not a chance” of answering and “if your respondents [sic] are able to do this I wonder if they like music enough!” (R72). This person had a very clear understanding of the identity of a self-respecting music fan.

Energy <———> Calm

Our third axis plots the descriptors used by respondents to convey the energy and the sense of calm imbued by popular music. ‘Energy’ is used by twelve respondents but is implied by many other terms used. Comments such as “moving” (R30), “impassioned” (R37), “peppy and pumped-up” (R40), “upbeat and wild” (R45), and “galvanising” (R83) imply energy, as do phrases such as “makes me want to dance” (R75), “it motivates me to take part in activities I wouldn’t otherwise want to do (i.e. fitness)” (R92), and “recharging my batteries” (R171). It might also be implied in a statement such as “Make[s] me want to make a positive difference to the world” (R37).

For our contributors, energy seems particularly to lie in the interplay between mood and embodied action. For instance, one who uses this term observes that

I use music to alter/augment my mood, e.g. if I’m having a bad day, ‘angry’ music i.e. rock, Indy music, get [sic] the frustrations out my system, whilst if I’ve a deadline (I also run my own business from home) fast dance music speeds my mental processes up whilst soft music is good for romantic dinners (most nights to be honest) etc. (R175)

In a similar vein, another respondent notes:

Music helps in all moods. It relaxes or energises. It can transport me into a world of my own or it’s something I can share with others in my living room or with thousands of others at a festival. Loud music has a physicality to it too that [is] not found elsewhere, yet I often use music to go to sleep to. (R147)

The physical aspect of listening to popular music is also picked up in a number of other embodied references. One participant refers to its ‘visceral’ nature and observes the contrast with his partner’s being “more thoughtful
and drawn to the lyrics”, whereas “I am more moved by the music and rhythm” (R53). There are also two mentions of the orgasmic nature of music, including the rather remarkable claim that “AC/DC gave me an orgasm without physical contact” (R207).

Another participant places her musical use on an axis between ‘calm’ and ‘energetic’ when she describes it as depending “on why I’m listening to it. Can be calming, energising, happy-making, distracting focussing”. She continues:

What I like to listen to will depend on whether it’s just me on my own, whether I want to cheer myself up, whether I want something more intense, whether I want to listen with others, whether I want to dance to it, whether it sits in the background, whether I want to sing along. Sometimes I want to listen to one piece over and over again, other times I need a mix of things. (R202)

By contrast, one respondent describes how his/her physical state shapes the use of music: “my anankastic [obsessive-compulsive] personality disorder happily creates my music schedule for me” (R188).

Strikingly, only two of the twelve users of the term ‘energy’ self-identify as religious. This may mean that religious music users are less likely to use music for explicit purposes of celebrating embodiment (e.g. dance, exercise or sport) or less self-consciously energetic individuals.

The word ‘calm’ is used by thirteen participants (six religious, seven not) and we distinguish this from ‘relax’ (used above) by noting that the process of calming involves moving from a state of some anxiety to a sense of peace, whereas relaxation implies a starting point that is less fraught. On this axis we also include four references to soothing, three references to “release of stress/tension” (R92, R169, R179), and two references to contentment (R161, R184) which also imply an active change of mood. A good example of ‘calm’/‘calming’ being used in this way is the following observation:

I put on music to either reflect my mood or to change it, e.g. upbeat showtunes to bring me out of a bad mood, or classical music if I’m stressed out to calm me down. (R199)

A similar psychological change is observed in the description of the effects of popular music as “profound, exhilarated, worked up into a state of anger or frustration, calming. Above all, my emotional experience of music is cathartic.” (R154)

On this axis, a number of people have reflected upon their choice of what music they listen to and when, for example:

Always on in the car, use rock music to run to or in the gym. I like to relax to chilled music in the conservatory. I like instrumental music as background whilst working and writing. (R149)

However, another is less active in her use and choices of music: “I enjoy music especially when it puts you in a good mood—calming effect”; she continues:

I appreciate music more especially as I’ve got older. I never think to put music on when I’m on my own (unless I’m revising when I put classical music on) but when someone else has music on I really enjoy listening to it. (R177)
Our final axis involves first the two most frequently emotions recorded by our participants—happiness (happy, happier) (27) and joy (joyful, enjoy, enjoyment) (24)—and their opposite pole—sad/sadness (mentioned by 13 respondents). Typical of the quotations at the positive end of this axis are statements such as “I just love music, it keeps me smiling and happy... I get emotional listening to people sing or listening to music.” (R226) Others speak of “physical and emotional enjoyment” (R183) or group positive emotions together, as in “joyful, uplifting, happy, brilliant” (R72). Striking on this axis is a distinction between religious and non-religious respondents. Only eleven of the twenty-seven referring to ‘happy’/’happiness’ are religious, whereas religious respondents recorded fifteen of the twenty-four ‘joy’/’joyful’ responses. This suggests a difference in the linguistic background (cognitive framework) against which religious and non-religious music users report their positive emotions.

In addition to the fifty-one references to ‘joy’ and ‘happiness’, there are five citations of ‘comfort’, four of ‘reflection’/’reflective’, and two of ‘contemplation’. These could, of course, be located at a number of points on our various axes. We mention them simply because the contrast between the two ends of the axis in this case (joy vs. sadness) is especially marked and creates a space where deep emotions are experienced and reflected upon.

The citations of popular music being helpful for reflection include the associations that it “can make me upbeat, wild, or reflective depending on what’s playing” (R45), it “reflects moods; calming, uplifting, stirring, makes me want to dance, thought provoking, memory enhancing, gives excitement, fun, promotes reflection, sadness” (R75), it is “positive, uplifting, deep thoughts, reflection” (R90), and the statement that “I often listen to music when I’m in a reflective mood. Nostalgia. Excitement. Romantic. Therapeutic.” (R191) We noted only one direct reference to prayer, from a religious respondent who connected listening to music with the “spiritual and feeding of the soul, prayerful and abiding in love” (R18).

With respect to ‘sad’/’sadness’, it is striking that most references are also juxtaposed with positive terms. Respondents do not record sadness in isolation but highlight the fact that music accompanies their experience of a range (and even extremes) of emotion. Hence, responses such as “Happy sad moved” (R41), “Relaxing energizing joy sadness” (R52) or “It has the ability to make me feel sad, make me happy, angry or feel totally uplifted” (R73) are typical of those who speak of sadness.

The four intersecting axes which emerge from our data and frame our respondents’ sense of mood and experience of emotions as set in Figure 1 are, of course, open to further analysis and development. It would also be worth exploring further the striking similarities and few differences between our research and findings from musicological research undertaken by Patrik Juslin and Petri Laukka (reported in Sloboda). In the remainder of this article, our purpose is simply to ‘read’ the evidence in relation to contemporary discussions about spirituality and religion. Our task is to ask whether the social imaginary we have constructed from the empirical data gathered may offer a framework, in and through which contemporary reflections on...
developments in spirituality become possible. The social imaginary may not be able to function as a contemporary spirituality of Western (mostly popular Western) music, but it is at least suggestive of one.

The Acoustic Axes as a Social Imaginary: A Spirituality of Music?

In the analysis of our quantitative data for the earlier article we drew attention to the independent yet linked observations of Robert N. Bellah and Iain McGilchrist which see rhythm and music as the basis of human sociality (Bellah 127–8; McGilchrist 104; Marsh and Roberts, “Habits”). With the analysis of our qualitative data, the social function of music has once again been at the forefront of respondents’ comments, although there is no space in this present article fully to explore the evidence of the extent to which, and the ways in which, music listeners connect with others in what they choose to listen to and the contexts in which they listen (in particular, in the company of friends). In presenting the four acoustic axes above, however, we have been able to plot the descriptors and experiences that participants have shared. We can now take those four axes a stage further and transpose them onto a different framework, to map how they inform and shape the social imaginary of people who listen to such music. At this point, categories from the study of religion and spirituality become significant in so far as they inform the individual and social practices which people are engaged in as they consume music. Reflecting our original pattern, it is possible to offer four axes based on the data from our questionnaires:

(i) Transcendence <———> Immanence
(ii) Enchantment <———> Identity
(iii) Embodiment <———> Self-acceptance
(iv) Ecstasy <———> Reflectiveness

The mapping of the correlations between spirituality-related terms and those offered by music users in the qualitative data supplied does not, of course, constitute a perfect ‘fit’. We merely seek to highlight important points of contact which enable the lens of one discipline’s reading of human practice to be used in informing how self-presentation by users of music may be understood.

The five correlations which fit easily together are joy/happiness and ecstasy, uplift and transcendence, inspiration and enchantment, memory and identity, and calm and self-acceptance. Less direct are the correlations between energy and embodiment, relax/relaxation and immanence, and sad/sadness and reflectiveness. Nevertheless each is worth exploring in order to clarify the shape of the spirituality of music which emerges.

Ecstasy is an established category in the study of religion. If anything, notes of joy and happiness may underplay the sense of fulfilment that listeners experience, even though a limited number of respondents use the term ‘ecstasy’ explicitly. We are here observing not merely the positive effects of music but the extent of those positive feelings. The notions of uplift/transcendence indicate how such positivity comes about. The sense of ‘being transported’, or lifted out, of one’s mundane experience (and even
beyond one’s body, even if this is only a turn of phrase) signals how it is felt that the sense of the euphoric occurs. The involuntary element here (this cannot be controlled) is implied in the statements of respondents who use the term ‘inspire’. While this element of inspiration (being given a gift from outside oneself) finds its echo in the notion of enchantment, it could be argued that contemporary explorations of dis-/re-/enchantment highlight the ambiguity as to whether we are dealing here with something contained within the practice of music and listening or whether enchantment is (merely) an interpretative lens through which music is given meaning.

Memory is easily correlated with identity, although admittedly requires no specifically religious reading to take effect. The common bond here is narrative—the life narratives that we all make in constructing and expressing our personal identities (our ‘selves’). Crucial here, though, is the role that music plays in the way it plots points in that life narrative, how it ensures an affective thread through the story plotted, and the extent to which a life narrative can therefore take account of life’s extremes (of happiness and sadness).

Sadness and reflectivity are not to be linked exclusively, for reflectiveness is provoked by the act of undertaking any cognitive work on one’s music-listening experiences. It is, however, striking that a significant number of respondents emphasise the way in which music helps them to face sadness. Calm and self-acceptance sit easily together, relax/relaxation and immanence less so. Music can nevertheless put people into a safe, secure place. If relaxation sounds too comfortable, immanence does more to suggest that, in the context of a safe space, music permits a person to be at ease. It can be argued—in keeping with insights about what religious practice at its best achieves—that it is through stepping outside oneself (into a transcendent
world or at least into a narrative or practice of transcendence) that it is possible to recognize who one is. Immanence and self-acceptance are the corollaries of the calm and relaxed world which music can create.

The place of repose stands opposite the energy of embodiment, where the frenzy of dance can signify an unexpected, and potentially uncontrollable, element of what music might do to one’s body. Opening oneself up to music entails risk. Our respondents clearly like being (largely) in control of what they listen to. But there is sufficient evidence, too, of recognizing that one might not be wholly in control of one’s body or of what music might do.

Taylor argues that art and music not only play a vital role in the creation of individual and collective social imaginaries, but that they have always been linked with the ‘special register’ of the ontic experiences of worship and liturgy (Taylor 354). In pre-Enlightenment social imaginaries,

> even telling a story within certain canonical forms, singing a love song, can be understood in this earlier ‘ontic’ way. It lifts the events to a higher plane; there is now something archetypical, something close to the universal human grain, in this love, or this story. It places them in a higher register. (ibid)

As social imaginaries became disembedded from theological and religious worldviews, becoming more fragmented in the process, one of the ways in which integration could be maintained was by locating it in personal experience. Taylor cites poetry as an example of where the relocation of the social imaginary might occur (408–09). In contemporary Western society, we argue, music, like poetry, articulates the fragmentation and shapes a coherent world, locating the basis for social imaginaries in “inner, individual experience, in personalized meaning” (ibid). In this respect, music creates a social imaginary which functions as religions have in the past (and still do for many) and it is therefore not unreasonable to speak of the ‘spirituality of music’, constituted by the axes we have identified and described.

One of the dangers of Taylor’s concept of the ‘social imaginary’, is admittedly the impression it creates that at stake is the human creation of a world with the mind and the intellect. Embodiment, however, remains essential and our example of music spells this out clearly. As Mark Johnson writes,

> understanding is the way we ‘have a world’, the way we experience our world as a comprehensive reality. Such understanding, therefore, involves our whole being—our bodily capacities and skills, our values, our moods and attitudes, our entire cultural tradition, the way in which we are bound up with a linguistic community, our aesthetic sensibilities, and so forth. (Johnson 102)

Johnson’s comment ties in with DeNora’s observation that “Music is, or rather can serve as, a constitutive property of bodily being” (99). This is very evident in our respondents’ contributions.

All this being so, it is clear that the bodily and psycho-social experience which ‘listening to music’ is can be seen to function in the way that liturgies do for those who explicitly inhabit religious frameworks. The listeners who commit themselves in a disciplined way to the consumption of music (and it is clear that a great many of our respondents wanted to communicate how much music means to them) use music in order to deal existentially with the
emotions and facets of human experience identified in our four acoustic axes. In this limited, yet evident, sense, music is their spirituality, if by ‘spirituality’ we mean ‘meaningful life structure’ or ‘a set of life-shaping practices.’

A Christian theologian who has employed Taylor’s concept of the social imaginary is William A. Dyrness. He argues that a group’s imaginary or ‘interpretive situation’ is “embodied not in ideas but in images, stories, and sets of practices; it is carried by actors who already know what they do and tacitly agree on what the practices are” (Dyrness 75–6). Drawing upon the example of popular music and popular arts more generally, he argues that “since symbolic practices are fundamental to human flourishing, any project of human betterment will seek to appreciate and celebrate the aesthetic impulse that is already present in the community” (Dyrness 254). In other words, the social practices of listening to popular music share striking common ground with other social practices such as religion, a point made explicit in Adrian North and David Hargreaves’s observations about the common ground between ‘problem music’ and religious controversies (205–06).

Dyrness is surely right, although our point is in no way to claim that listening to music, popular or otherwise, confirms or relates to a particular religious or theological tradition. Nor, indeed, do we want to claim a universality in music which can be distilled to something resembling a universal spirituality. Musicologists’ work on the sheer diversity of forms of music would certainly not permit such a conclusion to be drawn too hastily. Our proposal is much more modest. We suggest that the mapping exercise we have undertaken on the basis of the empirical data gathered shows how music is used in a life-affirming, life-shaping way. There is no such thing as ‘just listening’. People use music. In this process, music connects with the social imaginary in which people participate, whether consciously or not. The more explicit the reflective engagement with the practice of listening becomes, however (and we suspect that our interaction as researchers with those who responded to our questionnaire enhanced that reflective process for some), the more structured (and ‘spirituality like’) the engagement with and use of music becomes. Such a ‘spirituality of music’ falls, however, considerably short of any structured belief system or ‘theology.’ As we have shown elsewhere, unlike the stories prominent in popular film, narratives of redemption are in short supply in the way that popular music is consumed (Personal 146–55, esp. 154). This may echo the concerns of Jeremy Carrette and Richard King about ‘cultural prozac’ (qtd in MacKian 42). Nevertheless, although spirituality is a vague and perhaps unhelpful term (although more culturally acceptable than ‘religion’ in the West) and when religion itself is, too easily and not altogether accurately, viewed as declining, it is vital that such a practice as music consumption is identified as a locus where religion-like meaning-making configurations are in the process of being constructed, however oriented towards feel-good factors it may be. Without that endeavour—both scholarly and cultural–practical—we shall be in danger of missing the point of Taylor’s analysis and failing to recognize the ethical imperatives which are needed in relation to the groups we form and the cultural habits we have. They matter decisively, even when not labelled ‘religious’. Whether religious insights and conceptualities will be needed in the long-term to assist in re-working the vocabulary which aids human flourishing, the interplay between the explicitly
religious and the many social practices through which people make meaning will still need to be explored.
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