The Energetics of the Hydrogenolysis, Dehydrohalogenation, and Hydrolysis of 4,4′-Dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane from ab Initio Electronic Structure Theory

eric J. Bylaska, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
David A. Dixon, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
Andrew R. Felmy, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
Edoardo Apra, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
Theresa L. Windus, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, et al.

Available at: https://works.bepress.com/theresa-windus/3/
The Energetics of the Hydrogenolysis, Dehydrohalogenation, and Hydrolysis of 4,4′-Dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane from ab Initio Electronic Structure Theory

Eric J. Bylaska,* David A. Dixon,† and Andrew R. Felmy

Fundamental Sciences, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, P.O. Box 999, Richland, Washington 99352

Eedoard Aprà and Theresa L. Windus

William R. Wiley Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, P.O. Box 999, Richland, Washington 99352

Chang-Guo Zhan

Division of Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, University of Kentucky, 907 Rose Street, Room 501B, Lexington, Kentucky 40536

Paul G. Tratnyek

OGI School of Science & Engineering, Oregon Health & Science University, 20000 NW Walker Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97006-8921

Received: November 10, 2003; In Final Form: April 20, 2004

Electronic structure methods were used to calculate the aqueous reaction energies for hydrogenolysis, dehydrochlorination, and nucleophilic substitution by OH− of 4,4′-DDT. Thermochemical properties ΔH° (298.15 K), S° (298.15 K, 1 bar), ΔG° (298.15 K, 1 bar) were calculated by using ab initio electronic structure calculations, isodesmic reactions schemes, gas-phase entropy estimates, and continuum solvation models for a series of DDT type structures (p−C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CCl₂, (p−C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CCl₃, (p−C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CHCl₂, (p−C₆H₄Cl)₂−C=CCl₂, (p−C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CClOH, (p−C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CCl(=O), and (p−C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−COOH. On the basis of these thermochemical estimates, the overall aqueous reaction energetics of hydrogenolysis, dehydrochlorination, and hydrolysis of 4,4′-DDT were estimated. The results of this investigation showed that the dehydrochlorination and hydrolysis reactions have strongly favorable thermodynamics in the standard state, as well as under a wide range of pH conditions. For hydrogenolysis with the reductant aqueous Fe(II), the thermodynamics are strongly dependent on pH, and the stability region of the (p−C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CCl₂•aq species is a key to controlling the reactivity in hydrogenolysis. These results illustrate the use of ab initio electronic structure methods to identify the potentially important environmental degradation reactions by calculation of the reaction energetics of a potentially large number of organic compounds with aqueous species in natural waters.

I. Introduction

The amount of 4,4′-dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane (4,4′-DDT), a potent insecticide, in the environment has been steadily declining since it was banned over thirty years ago because of its catastrophic impact on environment. However, because of its widespread use and its resistance to degradation in the soil, 4,4′-DDT and its metabolites 4,4′-dichloro-diphenyl-dichloroethane (4,4′-DDE) and 4,4′-dichloro-diphenyl-dichloroethylene (4,4′-DDE) are still omnipresent in the environment today. Among the chemical reactions that contribute to the environmental degradation of organochlorine compounds such as 4,4′-DDT are hydrogenolysis, dehydrohalogenation, and hydrolysis. Surprisingly, there have been few detailed studies of these reactions for 4,4′-DDT, and many of the basic parameters for these reactions (including thermodynamic heats of reaction, free-energies of solvation, and kinetic rates) are not known.

Under anaerobic reducing conditions, hydrogenolysis converts 4,4′-DDT into 4,4′-DDE.3 This two-electron-transfer reaction most likely occurs in two sequential steps. The first electron transfer results in the dissociation of a chloride anion and the formation of a p,p′-dichloro-diphenyl-dichloroethyl radical

\[
(p−C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CCl₂ + 1e^- → (p−C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CCl₂• + Cl^- (1a)
\]

The second electron-transfer leads to the protonation of the radical to form 4,4′-DDE.
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Often in parallel with hydrogenolysis, especially above pH 8, dehydrochlorination may be significant, converting 4,4′-DDT into 4,4′-DDE.

\[
(p-C_6H_4Cl)_2-CH-CCl_2, + 1^- + H^+ \rightarrow (p-C_6H_4Cl)_2-CH-CHCl_2 \quad (1b)
\]

This reaction is generally believed to occur by a bimolecular E2 elimination, in which OH\(^-\) abstracts the proton away from the central carbon with concerted loss of chloride from the trichloromethyl carbon.\(^5\)

In addition to reduction and elimination reactions, nucleophilic substitution reactions can lead to dechlorination by substitution with a variety of anions, mainly OH\(^-\), but also SH\(^-\), HCO\(_3\)-, F\(^-\), and so forth. Our previous work on other organochlorine compounds has shown that a wide variety of these dechlorinations by nucleophilic substitutions are thermodynamically favorable.\(^5\) Even if nucleophilic substitution reactions are expected to be slow in terms of kinetics and are not usually considered as degradation pathways for 4,4′-DDT, these reactions may become important on time scales relevant to groundwater systems. In OH\(^-\), the nucleophilic substitution reaction, eq 3a, which results in a p,p′-dichloro-diphenyl-dichloroethanol,

\[
(p-C_6H_4Cl)_2-CH-CCl_2 + OH^- \rightarrow (p-C_6H_4Cl)_2-CH-CCl_2OH + Cl^- \quad (3a)
\]

and the subsequent reactions, (eqs 3b and 3c), which are expected to be fast, combine to produce a p,p′-dichloro-diphenyl-dichloroethanoic acid. Insight into this atypical degradation pathway and its connection to the dehydrochlorination reaction of 4,4′-DDT may be inferred from hydrolysis studies of 1,1,1-trichloroethane (CH\(_3\)CCl\(_3\)), which is chemically similar to 4,4′-DDT.\(^6\) Several reactivity studies of CH\(_3\)CCl\(_3\) have shown that both dehydrochlorination and hydrolysis pathways are possible.\(^6\)

In pure water at 10 °C, CH\(_3\)CCl\(_3\) hydrolyzes predominately to 1,1-dichloroethene, whereas at 70 °C, it hydrolyzes predominately to acetic acid. By analogy to these experimental results, it seems to reason that at the typical ambient temperatures present in the environment, 4,4′-DDT is likely to hydrolyze predominately to 4,4′-DDE rather than to p,p′-dichloro-diphenyl-dichloroethanoic acid at typical environmental temperatures.

We and others have been using computational chemistry methods to study the environmental degradation of relatively simple organochlorine compounds\(^5,7-10\) and with this study we are extending our work to include more complex molecules such as 4,4′-DDT. Our focus is on obtaining accurate predictions of the enthalpies and free energies of reactions under ambient conditions. To calculate the solution-phase energies of reactions 1–3, we used ab initio electronic structure calculations, isodesmic reactions schemes, gas-phase entropy estimates, and continuum solvation models. Of course, favorable thermodynamics is a necessary, albeit not complete, condition for these reactions to take place. Our objective is not only to elucidate the specific reaction energies but also to develop and test computational approaches that can be used to study a wider variety of organochlorine compounds, as well as reaction kinetics. Future work will focus on detailed reaction mechanisms and barriers for these reactions.

Although ab initio electronic structure methods are constantly being developed and improved, current methods are rarely able to give heats of formations of a broad class for molecules with error limits of less than a few kcal/mol.\(^11-13\) Only when very large basis sets such as the correlation-consistent basis sets\(^14\) high-level treatments of correlation energy such as coupled cluster methods (CCSD(T)),\(^15,17\) and small correction factors such as core-valence correlation energies and relativistic effects are included will the heat of formation from ab initio electronic structure methods be accurate to within 1 kcal/mol. Although one can now accurately calculate the heats of formation of molecules with up to 6–10 first-row atoms, such high-level calculations are extremely demanding and it is not possible to use these methods to calculate the energetics of compounds as large as 4,4′-DDT given current computational resources. In addition to issues associated with ab initio electronic structure methods, our objective here, the determination of free energies of reaction in solution, also requires solvation and entropic contributions to be included in addition to changes in enthalpy. In this study, separate computational steps are used to calculate electronic energies, entropies, and solvation effects. First, the enthalpies of formation of gas-phase (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))-CH-CCl\(_3\), (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))-CH-CCl\(_2\)-, (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))-CH-CHCl\(_2\), (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))-C=CCl\(_2\), (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))-CH-CCl\(_2\)OH, (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))-CH-CCl\(_2\)H, and (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))-CH-COOH compounds are calculated. Second, the calculated geometries and vibrations are then used to calculate gas-phase entropies. Third, these combined calculations yield the gas-phase free energy of formation for these compounds. Fourth, solvation calculations are used to account for the effect of solvent on the molecular energetics. In such calculations, the basic assumption is that bonding relations within the compounds do not change substantially when going from the gas phase to the solution phase. The reaction energies in both the gas phase and solution phase can then be estimated, because the necessary thermodynamic quantities are known either from experiment or obtained from our calculations.

In section II, the computational methods used in this work are described. Calculations for the enthalpies of formation in the gas-phase of (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))-CH-CCl\(_3\), (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))-CH-CCl\(_2\)-, (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))-CH-CHCl\(_2\), (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))-C=CCl\(_2\), (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))-CH-CCl\(_2\)OH, (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))-CH-CCl\(_2\)H, and (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))-CH-COOH are reported in section III. The difficulties associated with calculating absolute heats of formation from atomization energies are avoided by using isodesmic reactions. The choice of this method is based on results from many studies, which show that using isodesmic reactions leads to excellent agreement with experiment.\(^18,19\) Section IV reports the calculations of the solvation energies using the COSMO continuum solvation model.\(^20\) Such a treatment of solvation is more computationally efficient than explicitly doing supermolecule + continuum simulations with explicit water molecules. It has been shown to give solvation energies within a few kcal/mol, which for this study is adequate considering the errors in the gas-phase enthalpies of formation. In section V, we provide...
estimates to aqueous reaction energetics for the dehydrochlorination, nucleophilic substitution, and hydrogenolysis reactions. Concluding remarks are given in section VII.

II. Ab Initio and Continuum Solvation Calculations

All of the ab initio calculations in this study were performed with the NWChem program suite unless noted below. The gas-phase geometries for the neutral and radical compounds were optimized and harmonic frequency vibrational energies were calculated. Tables SM-1 and SM-2 of the Supporting Information contain the electronic energies and thermal vibration energies at 298.15 K for all of the compounds studied. Most of the ab initio calculations in this study were performed at the density functional theory (DFT) levels and second-order Møller–Plesset perturbation theory (MP2) levels. The Kohn–Sham equations of DFT were solved using the local density approximation (LDA) and the gradient-corrected PBE96 and B3LYP exchange-correlation functionals. DFT calculations were performed using the DZVP2 basis set. Similarly, MP2 calculations were done with the cc-pVDDZ basis set. The DZVP2 and cc-pVDDZ basis sets were obtained from the Extensible Computational Chemistry Environmental Basis Set Database. Certain calculations performed in this study required higher accuracy and were done at the G3(MP2) level with the Gaussian-98 program suite. G3(MP2) calculations are slightly more accurate for compounds than the G2 and G2(MP2) levels which preceded it because of the inclusion of core-valence and relativistic effects, and it is comparable in efficiency to the G2(MP2) level. The accuracy of G3(MP2) is quite good and it has reproduced experimental atomization energies to within a few kcal/mol for a large number of organic molecules.

Solvation energies for rigid solutes that do not react strongly with water can be approximated as a sum of noncovalent electrostatic, cavitation, and dispersion energies. The electrostatic contributions to the solvation energies were estimated by using the self-consistent reaction field theory of Klamt and Schüürmann (COSMO), with the cavity defined by the united atom model. The dielectric constant of water used for all of the solvation calculations was 78.4. This continuum model can be used with a variety of ab initio electronic structure calculations in the NWChem program suite including LDA, PBE96, B3LYP, and PBE0. Calculated gas-phase geometries were used to perform these calculations. The irregular solvent cavities for these molecules caused convergence problems, and the solute cavities had to be sufficiently refined for the COSMO calculations to converge. The solvent cavity discretization was generated from the surface of nonoverlapping spheres that were discretized by an iterative refinement of triangles starting from a regular octahedron. Three refinement levels, which is equivalent to 128 points per sphere, were used to define the solvent cavity in these calculations. This refinement parameter was chosen on the basis of a series of COSMO LDA calculations for (p-CH$_3$H$_4$Cl)$_2$–CH–CCl$_4$ at increasing refinements. The calculations (Table 1) showed that reasonable convergence (<0.5 kcal/mol) was found when three refinement levels were used.

The cavitation and dispersion contributions to the solvation energy are less straightforward to handle because the interactions take place at short distances. There are several proposed ways to do this. One of the simplest approaches for estimating these terms is to use empirically derived expressions that depend only on the solvent accessible surface area. A widely used

\[
\Delta G_{\text{cav+disp}} = \gamma A + b
\]

where $\gamma$ and $b$ are constants set to 5 cal/mol-Å$^2$ and 0.86 kcal/mol, respectively. Sitkoff et al. fit the constants $\gamma$ and $b$ to the experimentally determined free energies of solvation of alkanes by using a least-squares fit. This built on earlier work of the same group as shown in eq 5.

\[
\Delta G_{\text{cav+disp}} = \gamma A
\]

where $A$ is the solvent accessible surface area and $\gamma$ is a constant set to 25 cal/mol-Å$^2$. The solvent accessible surface area in eq 4 is defined by using a solvent probe with a radius of 1.4 Å rolled over the solute surface defined by van der Waal Radii (i.e., $H = 1.2$ Å, $C = 1.5$ Å, $O = 1.4$ Å, $Cl = 1.8$ Å). The solvent accessible surface areas were calculated using the VEGA program. Release 1.5.0.92. In this study, we also used the methods contained in the Gaussian 98 program package to yield these terms. The approach here estimates these terms using expressions derived from statistical mechanical models of fluids, where the dispersion and repulsion contributions were calculated using the method of Floris et al. and the cavity formation contribution was calculated using the scaled particle theory of Pierotti.

Chemical equilibrium models were used in this study to calculate aqueous phase species distributions. All calculations of this type were performed using the GMIN chemical equilibrium program developed by A. R. Felmy. The GMIN application package includes chemical models, based on the Pitzer electrolyte equations, which calculate liquid–solid–gas equilibria in complex brine systems by globally minimizing the free energy of a system at constant temperature and pressure. The mathematical algorithms used to solve for equilibrium are described in the work of Harvie and Weare. Thermodynamic data for all Fe(II) and Fe(III) species were taken from Martell and Smith.

III. Gas-Phase Enthalpies of Formation

The enthalpies of formation of (p-CH$_3$H$_4$Cl)$_2$–CH–CCl$_4$, (p-CH$_3$H$_4$Cl)$_2$–CH–CCI$_2$, (p-CH$_3$H$_4$Cl)$_2$–CH–CHCl$_2$, (p-CH$_3$H$_4$Cl)$_2$–C=CCl$_2$, (p-CH$_3$H$_4$Cl)$_2$–CH–CCI$_2$OH, (p-CH$_3$H$_4$Cl)$_2$–CH–CCI($=$O), and (p-CH$_3$H$_4$Cl)$_2$–CH–COOH were calculated by using an isodensic strategy based on the following reaction:

\[
(p-C_6H_4Cl)_2-R + 2C_6H_4 + CH_4 \rightarrow
(p-C_6H_4Cl)_2-R + 2C_6H_4 + CH_4
\]

\[
(p-C_6H_4Cl)_2-R + 2C_6H_4 + CH_4 \rightarrow (C_6H_4Cl)_2 + 2C_6H_4 + CH_4
\]

with $R = \text{CH–CCl}_3, \text{CH–CCI}_2, \text{CH–CHCl}_2, \text{C=CCl}_2, \text{=CH–CCI}_2, \text{CH–CCI}_{(=}O), \text{=CH–COOH}. For each compound, the reaction enthalpy of eq 5 was calculated from the electronic, thermal, and vibrational energy differences at

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>refinement levels</th>
<th>points per atom</th>
<th>cavity surface points</th>
<th>molecular surface area (Å$^2$)</th>
<th>electrostatic solvation energy (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>409.1</td>
<td>-8.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>363.7</td>
<td>-13.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>354.2</td>
<td>-14.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>2812</td>
<td>353.8</td>
<td>-15.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The errors associated with these calculations are expected to be small, because eq 5 has an equal number of like bonds on the left-hand and right-hand sides of the reaction. In addition, the errors associated with the dispersion interaction between the two bridged phenyl groups are expected to largely cancel, since both sides of eq 5 contain two bridged phenyl groups.

Table A1 contains high-quality ab initio estimates for the enthalpies of formation of the unknown (p-CH$_2$Cl)$_2$-R compounds calculated by using the theoretical isodesmic reaction, eq 5, reported in Table A1. The various ab initio energies needed for the isodesmic calculations and isodesmic reaction energies calculated are given as Supporting Information. Several of the (p-CH$_2$Cl)$_2$-R compounds calculated may have a variety of isomeric forms. Specifically, for (p-CH$_2$Cl)$_2$-CH-CHCl$_2$, (p-CH$_2$Cl)$_2$-CH-CCl$_2$OH (p-CH$_2$Cl)$_2$-CH-CCl(=O), and (p-CH$_2$Cl)$_2$-CH-CClO, different isomers are yielded upon rotation of the R1 fragment of (p-CH$_2$Cl)$_2$-CH-R1. Relative ab initio energies for several low-lying isomers found in our calculations are given in the Supporting Information. One strategy would be to use only the lowest energy isomers. The errors associated with this approximation are not expected to be significant, since the low-lying isomers were calculated to be within 1 kcal/mol of the lowest lying isomer or were inaccessible to interconversion (see section IV). However, rather than using only the lowest energy isomers, we chose instead to estimate a canonical vibrational partition function, Q, for each compound, including in it the rotational energy levels associated with rotation of the R1 fragment and the chlorophenyl fragments (see Section IV). The enthalpy correction (Supporting Information) is then calculated by using the following formula.

$$\Delta H = RT \frac{d\ln Q}{dT} + RT$$

Experimental and high level ab initio total energy values used to determine these enthalpies of formations are given in Table A1 and as Supporting Information. All quantities are in kcal/mol. See eq 3.1 and 3.2 for definitions of isodesmic reactions.
TABLE 3: Isodesmic Reaction Energies (kcal/mol) to Benchmark the Computational Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>isodesmic reactions</th>
<th>exp</th>
<th>LDA/DZVP2</th>
<th>PBE96/DZVP2</th>
<th>B3LYP/DZVP2</th>
<th>PBE0/DZVP2</th>
<th>MP2/cc-pVdz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C2H2+CH4 → CH2CH3+CH</td>
<td>-5.71</td>
<td>-7.02</td>
<td>-5.59</td>
<td>-5.59</td>
<td>-5.11</td>
<td>-6.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(CH2C=C) → (H2C=C)(H2) + CH</td>
<td>-2.39</td>
<td>-2.97</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>-4.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3CH2+CH2 = CH2 + HIC2H5Cl + 2CH4</td>
<td>-8.10</td>
<td>-9.99</td>
<td>-6.87</td>
<td>-5.40</td>
<td>-7.58</td>
<td>-10.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3Cl+CH2 = CH2 + CH2Cl</td>
<td>-5.37</td>
<td>-7.52</td>
<td>-5.65</td>
<td>-4.21</td>
<td>-4.84</td>
<td>-7.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6H6+2CH3Cl → 2CH2CCl2</td>
<td>-16.90</td>
<td>-16.31</td>
<td>18.62</td>
<td>-20.73</td>
<td>-19.37</td>
<td>-12.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3=CH2+CH2Cl → CH=CHCl+CH3H + C6H6</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2CH3CHCl → CH3CHCl+CH3Cl</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3CH(CCl3)+CH3Cl → CH3CHCl+CH3Cl</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3CCl3+CH4 → CH2CHCl+CH3Cl</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>-1.33</td>
<td>-3.35</td>
<td>-2.84</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3Cl+CH2 = CHCl+CH2Cl</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>-1.62</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>5.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average error</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maximum error</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Enthalpy differences (kcal/mol) of (a) PBE96/DZVP2, (b) B3LYP/DZVP2, (c) PBE0/DZVP2, and (d) MP2/cc-pVDZ calculations relative to SVWN3/DZVP2 calculations.

IV. Gas-Phase Entropies

The gas-phase standard virtual entropies of the (p-C6H4Cl)-R compounds were calculated by using formulas derived from statistical mechanics. Results based on accurate structures and frequencies can often provide more accurate values than those determined by direct thermal measurements. However, the situation is complicated for the (p-C6H4Cl)-R compounds as these molecules contain internal degrees of freedom (torsions) that may not be well described by normal vibrations. Significant coupling between the torsional and other vibrational degrees of freedom may be present. For example, (p-C6H4Cl)-CH=CCl3 contains three loosely rotating fragments (i.e., R1, R2, and R3), where R1 is the rotating trichloromethyl fragment, and R2 and R3 are the dangling chlorophenyl fragments which may also rotate. Estimating accurate entropies in this situation can be a computationally very demanding task, requiring a detailed knowledge of the coupled torsional potentials of the molecule, which could involve sampling many configurations. Therefore, entropy estimates for the compounds in this study are not expected to be as accurate as for those entropy estimates of smaller organochlorine compounds such as CCl4, which do not have low-energy torsions. However, given the difficulty associated with calculating the partition function with full anharmonicity, these should be reasonably reliable.

There are two limiting cases for the motion of molecules that contain rotating tops. The first limiting case is that where the barrier impeding the rotation of a fragment is very high; the second is that the rotation is essentially unhindered. For highly hindered rotations, the fragment will not rotate except at...
extremely high temperatures. In this case, the rotation can be considered as a torsional oscillation at ambient temperatures and thus can be treated as a regular vibration in its contribution to the entropy. For nearly free rotation (the second limiting case), one must treat the contribution to the entropy in a different way and add an entropy contribution due to each internal bond rotation, for example, by using the expressions proposed by Pitzer and Gwinn.\textsuperscript{58,62} Unfortunately, for (p-(C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}Cl)\textsubscript{2})-R compounds, neither one of these limits may be entirely satisfactory.

In the current study, we explicitly solved for the energy levels of the rotational Schrödinger equation of each rotor (R1, R2, and R3) and then used this as input into a canonical partition function of the bond rotation to estimate its entropy. The rotational Schrödinger equation for a rotor is written as\textsuperscript{63}

$$\frac{-\hbar^2}{2I_r} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial q^2} + V(q)\psi = \epsilon_i \psi$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $I_r$ is the reduced moment of inertia, and $V(q)$ is the rotational potential. For molecules containing a single top attached to a rigid frame, the reduced moment of inertia is\textsuperscript{58,62}

$$I = I_{\text{top}} \left[ 1 - \sum_{i=A}^{N} \left( \frac{\lambda_{\text{top-A}}}{I_A} + \frac{\lambda_{\text{top-B}}}{I_B} + \frac{\lambda_{\text{top-C}}}{I_C} \right) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

with $I_{\text{top}}$ the moment of inertia of the top itself, $\lambda_{\text{top-A}}$ the cosine of the angle between the axis of the top and the axis of the principle moment of inertia $I$ of the whole molecule, and $\lambda_{\text{top-B}}$ and $\lambda_{\text{top-C}}$ the projections of the top onto the axes of the other principle moments of inertia $I_B$ and $I_C$. The potential energy surfaces for torsions were calculated by rotating each fragment while keeping the other rotating fragments fixed at the optimized geometry. Each rotational potential energy surface, $V(q)$, was mapped out using LDA/DZVP\textsuperscript{2} energy calculations in $\Delta \theta = 5^\circ$ rotating increments (72 points). The energy levels of the rotational Schrödinger equation were solved by fast Fourier transforming the extended rotational potential energy surface

$$\tilde{V}(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} V(i) \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i j k}{N}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

and then diagonalizing the following Hamiltonian matrix using $N = 1152$ points (high-frequency modes of the rotational potential energy surface were set to zero by linearly interpolating $V(q)$ to use $N = 1152$ points)

$$H(i,j) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2I_r} \delta_{ij} + \tilde{V}(\text{mod}(i-j,N)), & 0 \leq i \leq N/2, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N/2 \\ \tilde{V}(\text{mod}(i-j+N,N)), & 0 \leq i \leq N/2, \quad N/2 < j < N \\ \tilde{V}(\text{mod}(i-j-N,N)), & N/2 < i < N, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N/2 \\ \frac{1}{2I_r} (i-N)^2 \delta_{ij} + \tilde{V}(\text{mod}(i-j,N)) & N/2 < i < N, \quad N/2 < j < N \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

to obtain rotational energy levels, $\epsilon_i$. A large number of rotational levels were needed to ensure that the calculation of the canonical partition function was converged.

The entropy of the rotor is then calculated by using the following formulas:\textsuperscript{58}

$$S_\text{rot} = \ln Q_\text{rot} + R T \frac{d(\ln Q_\text{rot})}{dT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

$$Q_\text{rot} = \exp\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{-\epsilon_i}{RT} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where $\sigma$ is the number of indistinguishable positions of the rotor (e.g., $\sigma = 3$ for the R1 = CCl$_3$ rotor). Potential energy plots for these hindered rotations are shown in Figures 2 and 3. The barriers for interconversion of the R2 and R3 fragments are quite large for all of the compounds; however, the potential surfaces are very flat near the minima resulting in harmonic frequencies of 50 cm$^{-1}$ or less. In contrast, the rotation barriers of the R1 fragments are large for some of the compounds ((p-(C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}Cl)\textsubscript{2})-CH=CCl$_3$, (p-(C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}Cl)\textsubscript{2})-CH=CHCl$_2$, (p-(C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}Cl)\textsubscript{2})-C=CCl$_2$, (p-(C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}Cl)\textsubscript{2})-CH=CCl$_2$-OH) and low for the others ((p-(C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}Cl)\textsubscript{2})-CH=CCl$_3$*, (p-(C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}Cl)\textsubscript{2})-CH=CHCl$_2$*, (p-(C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}Cl)\textsubscript{2})-C=CCl$_2$*, (p-(C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{4}Cl)\textsubscript{2})-CH=CCl$_2$-OH). Clearly, a higher dimensional rotational energy surface that contains a combination of all three rotating fragments will have probably have lower barriers than those found with each top interacting statically with the other two tops without relaxing their torsional modes. The separable approximation that we have made thus represents higher bounds
defines an upper bound to the entropy of the rotating fragment. Conversely, setting

\[ V(\text{s}) \]

\[ \text{TABLE 5: Hindered Rotation Entropy Estimates} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>compd</th>
<th>S\text{hindered} \text{°}</th>
<th>S\text{free} \text{°}</th>
<th>\Delta S\text{free-hindered} \text{°}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2)-CH-CCl\text{I}</td>
<td>11.45</td>
<td>23.34</td>
<td>11.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2)-CH-CHCl\text{I}</td>
<td>12.03</td>
<td>23.56</td>
<td>11.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2)-CH-CCl\text{I}</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>23.50</td>
<td>9.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2)-C=CCl\text{I}</td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>15.31</td>
<td>6.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2)-CH-CCl\text{I}OH</td>
<td>10.96</td>
<td>25.24</td>
<td>14.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2)-CH-CCl(=O)</td>
<td>15.51</td>
<td>24.28</td>
<td>8.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2)-CH-COOH</td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>23.58</td>
<td>7.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ V(\text{cm}^3/\text{mol-K}) \]

\[ S^\text{°}((\text{p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2}-\text{CH}-\text{CCl}\text{I})) = S^\text{°}((\text{p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2}-\text{CH}-\text{CCl}\text{I})) - (14S^\text{°}(\text{C-graphite}) + 5S^\text{°}(1/2\text{Cl}_2)) \] (19)

\[ \Delta G^\text{°}((\text{p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2}-\text{CH}-\text{CCl}\text{I})) = \Delta H^\text{°}((\text{p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2}-\text{CH}-\text{CCl}\text{I})) - T\Delta S^\text{°}((\text{p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2}-\text{CH}-\text{CCl}\text{I})) \] (20)

The solvation thermodynamics of (p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2)-CH-CCl\text{I}, (p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2)-CH-CCl\text{I}, (p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2)-CH-CHCl\text{I}, (p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2)-C=CCl\text{I}, (p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2)-CH-CCl\text{I}OH, (p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2)-CH-CCl(=O), and (p-C\text{H}_6\text{Cl}_2)-CH-COOH were estimated by using the procedure outlined above. The noncovalent electrostatic contributions to the solvation energy at various electronic structure levels using the COSMO model are given in Table 6. These calculations were performed by using the optimized gas-phase geometries at the specific computational level. Differences between the various electronic structure levels are negligible in these calculations. The average absolute differences from COSMO LDA/DZVP2 calculations are 0.51, 0.79, and 0.59 kcal/mol, respectively, for the COSMO PBE96/ DZVP2, COSMO B3LYP/DZVP2, and COSMO PBE0/DZVP2 calculations. Calculated solvent accessible surface areas, based on gas-phase geometries from LDA/DZVP2 calculations, and three different
1.90 kcal/mol was added to the SCRF free energies of solvation. The errors in determining reaction energies, these errors are expected to largely cancel since the compounds are structurally very similar. However, for our purposes here which is to determine the agreement is quite good. Sitkoff44 the compounds in this study which is obtained by adding the estimate provided for (p-

approximations to the cavity and dispersion contributions are given in Table 7. Differences between the three different cavity dispersion models are as large as 9 kcal/mol. These differences are quite sizable and neither of these models may be entirely satisfactory. However, for our purposes here which is to determine reaction energies, these errors are expected to largely cancel since the compounds are structurally very similar. The solvent accessible surface areas are within 30 Å² (out of ~500 Å²) of each other, and the corresponding ΔGₖ(cav+disp) are within 0.2, 0.8, and 2.6 kcal/mol of each other in the Sitkoff,44 Honig42 and G98 models, respectively.

Table 7 also contains a correction associated with changes in internal rotation that will occur upon solvation. This correction assumes that the solvent completely constrains all three hindered rotations in these compounds. In our previous studies, on smaller and less complicated organochlorine compounds such as CCl₄, we were able to safely neglect the effect that changes in internal vibration and rotation might have on solvation. However, for the compounds in this study the corrections to the solvation energy, associated with changes in internal rotation about the three rotors, may be quite sizable. In a worst case scenario, the solvent will completely constrain the three rotors and reduce the molecular entropy. As shown in Table 7, this could lead to solvation energies being destabilized by 3–5 kcal/mol. In addition, all the compounds in this study contain two very low frequency bending modes (<100 cm⁻¹) that may also be constrained by solvation. One is a breathing mode between the two chlorophenyl groups and the other is a side-by-side motion of the two phenyls. Constraining these modes could lead to the solvation energies being stabilized by an additional 1–4 kcal/mol. Table 8 lists the overall free energies of solvation for all the compounds in this study which is obtained by adding the Sitkoff44 ΔGₖ (cav+disp) + ΔGₖ (entropy loss) (Table 7) to the ΔGₖ (electrostatic) term (Table 6) plus an additional value of 1.90 kcal/mol. The value of 1.90 kcal/mol was added to the overall free energy of solvation, because the standard state in the gas phase in the COSMO model is 1 mol/L at 298.15 K rather than at 1 bar of pressure at 298.15 K. The −5.4 kcal/mol estimate provided for (p-C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CCl₃ is a few kcal/mol less than the values of Henry’s Law constants determined from experiment,64,65 which places the solvation energy between −1.7 kcal/mol and −2.8 kcal/mol. However, considering the approximations and errors associated with estimating the solvation energies together with the fact that (p-C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CCl₃ contains very low frequency bending modes that may also be constrained by solvation, the agreement is quite good.

VI. Aqueous-Phase Gibbs Free Energies of Reaction

Table 9 shows the aqueous-phase Gibbs free energies of reaction for the hydrogenolysis (eq 1a and 1b), dehydrochlorination (eq 2), and hydrolysis (eq 3a and 3c) reactions involving DDT and its metabolites. The reaction energies in Table 9 were calculated by subtracting the overall free energies of solvation from the G°(aq) values (Table 6). The values of 1.90 kcal/mol less than the values of Henry’s Law constants determined from experiment,64,65 which places the solvation energy between −1.7 kcal/mol and −2.8 kcal/mol. However, considering the approximations and errors associated with estimating the solvation energies together with the fact that (p-C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CCl₃ contains very low frequency bending modes that may also be constrained by solvation, the agreement is quite good.

### Table 7: Solvent Accessible Surface Areas (SAS) and Non-Electrostatic Solvation Energies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>compd</th>
<th>SAS (Å²)</th>
<th>ΔGₖ(cav+disp) (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>ΔGₖ (entropy loss) (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(p-C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CCl₃</td>
<td>501.5</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>12.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CHCl₂</td>
<td>490.3</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>12.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CCl₂</td>
<td>490.6</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>12.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−C≡CCl</td>
<td>486.3</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>12.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CCl₂OH</td>
<td>493.0</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>12.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CCl(≈O)</td>
<td>478.5</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>11.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−COOH</td>
<td>469.7</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>11.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 8: Total Solvation Energies ΔGₖ(total) (kcal/mol)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>compd</th>
<th>COSMO/LDA/DZVP2</th>
<th>COSMO/PBE96/DZVP2</th>
<th>COSMO/B3LYP/DZVP2</th>
<th>COSMO/PBE0/DZVP2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(p-C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CCl₃</td>
<td>−6.12</td>
<td>−5.62</td>
<td>−5.18</td>
<td>−5.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CHCl₂</td>
<td>−11.76</td>
<td>−11.05</td>
<td>−10.61</td>
<td>−10.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CCl₂</td>
<td>−6.96</td>
<td>−6.32</td>
<td>−5.90</td>
<td>−6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−C≡CCl</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CCl₂OH</td>
<td>−10.61</td>
<td>−10.97</td>
<td>−10.97</td>
<td>−11.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−CCl(≈O)</td>
<td>−6.65</td>
<td>−5.72</td>
<td>−6.02</td>
<td>−5.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p-C₆H₄Cl)₂−CH−COOH</td>
<td>−12.83</td>
<td>−12.48</td>
<td>−11.50</td>
<td>−11.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For the SCRF theory calculations to conform to the standard state of 1 bar of pressure at 298.15 K, a constant value of 1.90 kcal/mol was added to the SCRF free energies of solvation.

### Table 9: Heats of Reaction (kcal/mol) for Hydrogenolysis, Dehydrohalogenation, and Hydrolysis Processes in the Aqueous Phase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LDA/DZVP2</th>
<th>PBE96/DZVP2</th>
<th>B3LYP/DZVP2</th>
<th>PBE0/DZVP2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1a)</td>
<td>−59.07</td>
<td>−60.28</td>
<td>−61.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1b)</td>
<td>−106.09</td>
<td>−106.25</td>
<td>−106.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1a+1b)</td>
<td>−165.16</td>
<td>−166.53</td>
<td>−167.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>−29.43</td>
<td>−31.11</td>
<td>−32.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3a)</td>
<td>−36.56</td>
<td>−36.70</td>
<td>−37.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3b)</td>
<td>−43.40</td>
<td>−45.35</td>
<td>−46.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3c)</td>
<td>−39.90</td>
<td>−40.88</td>
<td>−39.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3a+3b+3c)</td>
<td>−119.86</td>
<td>−122.93</td>
<td>−123.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE A2: Experimental Aqueous-Phase Free Energies of Formation (kcal/mol) Used in Computations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>compd</th>
<th>( \Delta G_f^\circ ) (298.15 K, \text{aq})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e(^+)</td>
<td>64.0 (^{c})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(^+)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl(^-)</td>
<td>-31.36 (^{d})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH(^-)</td>
<td>-37.58 (^{e})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(_2)O</td>
<td>-56.675</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) \( \Delta G_f^\circ (\text{e}) = -34.6 \text{ kcal/mol from ref} 70, 71. ^{b} F_\text{cl}^\circ = 98.6 \text{ kcal/mol calculated from } \Delta G_f^\circ (H^+) = -263.98 \text{ kcal/mol}^{22} \text{ and } \Delta G_f^\circ (H(g)) = 362.58 \text{ kcal/mol}^{20}. ^{c} \Delta G_f^\circ (\text{aq}) obtained from experimental ref 69.

Cl\(_2\) - R\(_2\)(aq), obtained from Table 8. In agreement with the systematic differences seen in Figure 1, very little difference was seen between the different DFT levels in the reactions energies. The largest standard deviation in reaction energies was 1.93 kcal/mol for the overall hydrolysis reaction, eq (3a+3b+3c). The largest absolute difference was 4.98 kcal/mol between the SVWN5/DZVP2 and B3LYP/DZVP2 reaction energies of overall hydrolysis reaction, eq (3a+3b+3c).

Our results clearly show that the dehydrochlorination and hydrolysis reactions have strongly favorable thermodynamics in the standard state, as well as under a wide range of pH conditions. At first glance, it might be expected that these hydrolysis reactions have strongly favorable thermodynamics.

Fe\(_{\text{III}}\), including Fe(OH)\(_2\)(aq), pollutants, aqueous Fe(II) is oxidized to various forms of Fe\(_{\text{III}}\), including Fe(OH)\(_2\)(aq), photolysis, or alkali metals. A reductant that has more direct environmental significance is aqueous Fe(II). During a redox reaction involving organic pollutants, aqueous Fe(II) is oxidized to various forms of Fe(III), including Fe(OH)\(_2\)(aq), Fe(OH)\(_3\)(aq), as well as to a variety of stable solids from Fe(OH)\(_2\)(aq) to goethite. The first chemical equilibrium simulations considered the aqueous phase oxidation of Fe(II) species by 4,4'-DDT to form 4,4'-DDD.

For example, at pH = 3 the dominant species in solution are Fe\(^{2+}\)(aq) and Fe(OH)\(_2\)(aq), and the resulting redox reaction is

\[
\text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 4,4'-\text{DDT}_\text{(aq)} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow
\]

4,4'-DDD, including Fe(OH)\(_2\)(aq), Fe(OH)\(_3\)(aq), as well as to a variety of stable solids from Fe(OH)\(_2\)(aq) to goethite.

The first chemical equilibrium simulations considered the aqueous phase oxidation of Fe(II) species by 4,4'-DDT to form 4,4'-DDD. Just as with the dehydrochlorination and hydrolysis reactions, we found that hydrolysis reactions (eq 1a and 1b) coupled with the oxidation of Fe(II) to Fe(OH)\(_2\)(aq), Fe(OH)\(_3\)(aq), and goethite are thermodynamically favorable across the entire pH range. The formation of 4,4'-DDD was strongly favored by the equilibrium constants for the redox reactions. For example, at pH = 3 the dominant species in solution are Fe\(^{2+}\)(aq) and Fe(OH)\(_2\)(aq), and the resulting redox reaction is

\[
\text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{aq}) + 4,4'-\text{DDT}_\text{(aq)} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow
\]

4,4'-DDD. Just as with the dehydrochlorination and hydrolysis reactions, we found that hydrolysis reactions (eq 1a and 1b) coupled with the oxidation of Fe(II) to Fe(OH)\(_2\)(aq), Fe(OH)\(_3\)(aq), and goethite are thermodynamically favorable across the entire pH range. The formation of 4,4'-DDD was strongly favored by the equilibrium constants for the redox reactions. For example, at pH = 3 the dominant species in solution are Fe\(^{2+}\)(aq) and Fe(OH)\(_2\)(aq), and the resulting redox reaction is

Figure 4. Calculated reduction of 4,4'-DDT for various Fe(II) oxidation reactions.

2Fe\(^{2+}\)(aq) + 4,4'-DDT\(_\text{(aq)}\) + 2H\(_2\)O \rightarrow

4,4'-DDD, including Fe(OH)\(_2\)(aq), Fe(OH)\(_3\)(aq), as well as to a variety of stable solids from Fe(OH)\(_2\)(aq) to goethite. The results of chemical equilibrium calculations show some notable effects of pH. Under acidic conditions, the equilibrium constant for the reduction of 4,4'-DDT to form (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))\(_2\)-CH—CCI\(_2\)(aq) is unfavorable, log \( K = -15.2 \) for the reaction.

Fe\(^{2+}\)(aq) + (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))\(_2\)-CH—CCI\(_2\)(aq) \rightarrow

(p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))\(_2\)-CH—CCI\(_2\)(aq) + Fe\(^{3+}\)(aq) + Cl\(^-\)(aq). (22)

but is favorable under strongly basic conditions (i.e., log \( K = +9.2 \) ) for the following reaction.

Fe(OH)\(_4\)\(^2-\)(aq) + (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))\(_2\)-CH—CCI\(_2\)(aq) \rightarrow

(p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))\(_2\)-CH—CCI\(_2\)(aq) + Fe(OH)\(_4\)\(^2-\)(aq) + Cl\(^-\)(aq). (23)

In Figure 4 we show the results of chemical equilibrium calculations of redox reactions involving aqueous Fe(II) (arbitrary initial concentration 0.001 M FeCl\(_2\)) and its ability to form Fe(OH)\(_2\)(aq), Fe(OH)\(_3\)(aq), and goethite in reactions with 4,4'-DDT. From these results, the stability region for the (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))\(_2\)-CH—CCI\(_2\)(aq) species is a key variable in the hydrogenolysis reaction. 4,4'-DDT is stable over (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))\(_2\)-CH—CCI\(_2\)(aq) until the solution pH reaches 6 to 7 for solution phase reactions (no solid precipitation allowed) and pH = 5 to 6 if the precipitation of the more stable goethite is allowed. At higher pH values, (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl\(_2\))\(_2\)-CH—CCI\(_2\)(aq) is stable and DDT should be reduced by Fe(II) species if present in the chemical system. Because of the uncertainty in our thermodynamic estimates, these pH profiles are approximated and must be treated with a degree of skepticism, and errors on the order of 3 pH units are likely. For example, a difference of \(-0.7 \) pH unit is seen between the LDA and B3LYP estimates which have overall reaction differences of 1.9 kcal/mol.

VII. Summary

Ab initio electronic structure theory, canonical ensemble entropy formulas, and self-consistent reaction field theory were...
used to estimate the thermochemical properties, \( \Delta H_f^\circ \) (298.15 K), \( S^\circ \)(298.15 K, 1 bar), and \( \Delta G_f^\circ \)(298.15 K, 1 bar), of 4,4'-DDT and its metabolites: (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl)\(_2\)CH−C≡C\(_6\)H\(_4\)CH2−CH−CCl\(_2\), (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl)\(_2\)CH−C≡CCl\(_2\), (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl)−CH−CCl\(_2\), (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl)−C≡C\(_6\)H\(_4\)OH, (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl)−CH−CCl(=O), and (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl)−CH−COO. The most difficult computational step in our thermodynamic estimations was determining the gas-phase enthalpies of formation, \( \Delta H_f^\circ \) (298.15 K). For this, a strategy based on isodesmic reactions was used to reduce the error associated with determining \( \Delta H_f^\circ \) (298.15 K). This strategy was reliable, especially for predicting overall reaction energies. Even though differences of up to 12 kcal/mol were seen in the values of \( \Delta H_f^\circ \) (298.15 K) predicted from different ab initio methods, the relative energy differences and overall reaction energies were consistent within a few kcal/mol. To determine which \( \Delta H_f^\circ \) (298.15 K) values were the most reliable, we compared the accuracy of the different ab initio levels for several similar isodesmic reactions that contain molecules used in our isodesmic strategy. These limited tests showed that LDA/DZVP2 calculations performed the best overall, and surprisingly the gradient-corrected DFT methods performed the worst overall.

The calculated thermochemical properties allowed us to estimate the equilibrium product distributions as a function of pH for the hydrolysis, dehydrohalogenation, and hydrolysis of 4,4'-DDT. Our chemical equilibrium calculations showed that the dehydrochlorination and hydrolysis reactions have strongly favorable thermodynamics in the standard state, as well as under a wide range of pH conditions. In contrast with these results, chemical equilibrium calculations for the first reductive dehalogenation step in which the reductant was aqueous Fe(II) showed that the formation of the intermediate (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl)\(_2\)−CH−CCl\(_2\),aq species was strongly dependent on pH. Our results showed that 4,4'-DDT is stable over (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl)\(_2\)−CH−CCl\(_2\),aq near pH = 6 and below if no solid precipitation is allowed and near pH = 5 and below if goethite precipitates. Furthermore, our results showed that at higher pH values, (p-C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Cl)\(_2\)−CH−CCl\(_2\),aq is more stable than 4,4'-DDT.

The results of this study demonstrate that ab initio electronic structure methods in combination with chemical equilibrium methods can be used to calculate the reaction energetics and equilibrium constants of a potentially large number of reactions involving organic compounds in solution, including large and complex molecules such as 4,4'-DDT for which experimental data are unavailable, and can be used to help identify the potentially important environmental degradation reactions. Finally, it is important to emphasize that the thermodynamic quantities presented here are studies to determine if a reaction is even allowed or not. Equally important in understanding these reactions are the height and shape of kinetic barriers existing between the reactants and products including the role of solvent on the reaction pathways. We are currently extending our studies to investigate the role of kinetics for these reactions.
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