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1. Cause of North Korea Nuke Problem

The 2018 Korean Pyeong Chang Winter Olympic (Feb. 9 to 25, 2018) has been successfully finished. The foreign press acknowledged that Pyeong Chang Olympic was very successful by using the expression "It is just a flaw that there is no any flaw in the operation of Olympic." The Pyeong Chang Winter Olympic became a good chance to enhance Korea's position all over the world. The successful Olympic increased the brand value of Korea highly. The value cannot be converted into money.

The active participation of North Korea gave a brilliant shine to Olympic. The spirit of Olympic can be defined as promoting the peace and friendship and the participation of North Korea became a good chance to show the Olympic spirit all over the world. If the South Korea government had maintained a hostile relationship with North Korea like the previous South governments, Olympic might have been unsuccessful.

As soon as Olympic ended, however, the Korean peninsula is going into an unsettled situation due to the North Korea nuke. The visit to South Korea of Kim, Young-Chul who is known related to the explosion of Cheonan Ship (South Korea warship) made the Korean political circle divided deeply. The position of North Korea is that it cannot give up its nuke. On the other hand, the United States has a strong position that it cannot have a meeting with North Korea unless there is a precondition of giving up a nuke. Literally, North Korea and the United States are playing a kind of chicken game.

According to the press report on September 9, 2017, President Vladimir Putin participated in the BRICs summit which was held in China and stated in the press conference "North Korea which watched the example of fall of Iraq and Libya strongly believes that a nuke is an only way to prevent the fall of North Korea regime. The UN sanctions cannot make North Korea give up a nuke. The North Korea regime would not give up its military program in spite of the UN sanctions and military pressure by the United States. The excessive military pressure is a stupid approach and would result in driving North Korea into a corner. A small mistake could lead to a global disaster of mankind. The only way to resolve a North Korea nuke problem is a peaceful talk."

The statement of President Putin is confirmed by the fact that Mr. Kim Jong-un (North Korea leader) stated to the envoy of South Korea who visited North Korea on March 5, 2018. Mr. Kim made clear that he has intent to denuclearize North Korea if the safety of his regime were to be guaranteed. The global community and North Korea watched that the United States devastated Iraq by mobilizing its military power. Thus, North Korea cannot help relying upon a nuke to prevent the worst situation. The United States cannot be free from the responsibility in this respect.
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It cannot be justified in any case that military powers devastate other countries and kill numberless human beings under the pretext of eliminating chemical weapons. Especially, as the United States respects human rights and emphasizes the rule of law, it cannot be justified much more. The United States emphasize the rule of law as a democratic country in its territory whereas it uses a military strength without hesitation outside its territory. The twofold behavior of the United States is not reasonable from a legal and ethical perspective. It also is not helpful to the development of the global community.

The global community has been changed into one-day life zone. In terms of economic activities, the barriers between states are disappearing completely. That is, the global community has been changed into a circumstance where all states co-exist together. If the circumstance is changed, we need politics which corresponds to the changed circumstance. The international politics is the same in this respect.

In the age of mercantilism, it was normal that a powerful state invaded another state by mobilizing its military force and then made an economic exploitation. At that time, there was no international means to prevent such a behavior. As a result the importance of military force was magnified highly. However, the current international community has an international cooperation system. Nevertheless, if military powers still try to destroy the order of the international community by mobilizing its power unreasonably, they must be criticized from the international community.

After the United States invaded Iraq, the Middle East became more chaotic and as a result terror activities are running rampant all over the world. The use of military force became a boomerang.

As a result of sanctions by UN, North Korea is now completely isolated from the international community. But the problem is that North Korea has a nuke. Even a rat always flashes his fangs when backed into a corner. In a decisive moment, a nuclear war, not just a terror activity, can break out in Korea peninsula and it can spread all over the world.

The Heritage Foundation explained in its 2017 U.S. military power report published on November 16, 2016 that there are at least 3,582 nuclear warheads world-wide and it is presumed that North Korea has 8 nuclear warheads. The United States has 1,797, Russia has 1,582 and France, China, UK, Pakistan, India and Israel have 290, 250, 120, 120, 110, 110 nuclear warheads respectively. If these all nuclear warheads were used, any human being could not survive.
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A nuclear war means an end to mankind as there does not exist a means to protect human beings from a nuke. Accordingly, to study how to resolve a North Korea nuke problem and seek out a solution under the current situation is not just a task of only Korea but the international community.

2. Approach from the Standpoint of Social Science

The North Korea nuke problem cannot be resolved just by the ideology dispute. Arguments such as right wing, left wing, conservatism, and liberalism can never be helpful to this important matter. Such infantile arguments just cause a disharmony between the people.

Study is not just to follow what existed in the past but promote the development by analyzing a current problem and making out its solution. Natural science and social science are the same in this respect.

Curing a disease of human beings has nothing to do with the ideology dispute. Only by analyzing a cause of disease and developing a technique to eradicate a germ in issue, can we cure a disease. The ideology dispute does not cure a disease.

The pathological phenomenon of a society is the same. Only by analyzing a pathological phenomenon and seeking out a solution to resolve it, can we eradicate it. Accordingly, the North Korea nuke problem should also follow this approach. That is, we should exactly analyze a cause of this problem and seek out its solution. Thus, I am going to suggest my opinion on the North Korea nuke problem based on this approach of social science.

We should be able to control variables in order to suggest a solution from the standpoint of social science. When variables are controlled, prediction is possible. Then, when prediction is possible, we can persuade other persons for their consent. The control of variables becomes possible through the introduction of a system. Concluding a contract for a specific transaction is like introducing a system. Transaction parties can eliminate the uncertainty by concluding a contract (introduction of system).

As explained above, the reason why North Korea sticks to a nuke is to protect its regime. North Korea thinks that it can prevent the military invasion by the United State by doing so. The international community does not agree that North Korea owns a nuke. Especially, the United States has a very strong objection position. However, North Korea insists upon a nuke at the risk of nuclear war with the United States and the United States does not step back even an inch from its position.
If two countries go to the worst situation of nuclear war, there is a high possibility that the whole global community including the Korean peninsula can be exposed to the danger of nuclear war. Thus, the international community must seek out a solution to prevent the nuclear war between North Korea and the United States which puts the survival of mankind in danger.

3. Control of Variables

Currently North Korea and the United States are playing a chicken game. Nevertheless the international community does not suggest a clear solution to this matter. Although UN imposes economic sanctions against North Korea, there is no clear effectiveness yet.

It is clear that the isolation policy by the international community becomes a big burden to North Korea, but it could not prevent the danger of nuclear war. In case where the international community continues to press North Korea, nobody can predict if any serious accident will occur. Thus, the isolation policy cannot be a solution to this important matter.

The important variable which affects the survival of mankind as well as the Korean people is a nuclear war. If this variable is not controlled, a nuclear war can occur. This important variable came into existence due to the safety of North Korea regime. As a result, first of all, it is very important to resolve a problem of regime safety.

North Korea regime problem ⇒ Danger of nuclear war

Accordingly, in order to control the important variable, we should analyze factors which affect this variable and make out a system under which these factors do not affect this variable.

North Korea’s regime safety and nuke problem is closely related to the invasion into Iraq and Libya of the United States. If there is no a clear system which can prevent the North Korea invasion by the United States, North Korea will never give up a nuke. Therefore, the international community should introduce a system which makes North Korea give up its nuke by preventing the United States’ invasion against North Korea. It should include systems such as “Peace Agreement between Related States” and “Step-by-step Approach toward Unification of the Korean peninsula”.
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4. Unification Process of Germany and Related Data

The Berlin Wall fell on November 9, 1989 and on October 3, 1990 just after one year West Germany and East Germany completed its unification.

Stimulated by the unification of Germany, the Korean government has gathered a lot of related data from Germany and studied a South-North Korea unification approach by taking the German case a role model through a seminar, etc. Civil groups have also actively participated in this process. We can access related data with ease through internet, etc. I introduce only parts which are related to the topic of this Article out of these data.

When Hungary and Austria completely opened its border on September 10, 1989, approximately 30,000 East Germany citizens escaped into West Germany through Hungary and Austria. After that, approximately 220,000 East Germany citizens moved into West Germany via Czech Republic and Poland, etc. until the Berlin Wall was opened. While East Germany citizens escaped to West Germany via Hungry, East Germany citizens’ strong desire for democratization began to blow up. ***

Mass escape and democratization protest which took place simultaneously in East Germany caused the fall of Berlin Wall, and East Germany citizens' request for unification of Germany began to expand from the fall of Berlin Wall on November 9, 1989. Then, more than 2,000 East Germany citizens moved into West Germany every day in January 1990 and it gave a big blow to the society of East Germany.

Thus, the people of East Germany recognized it as a national crisis and performed the first free general election in East Germany on March 18, 1990 in order to resolve the crisis through the early general election. In the early general election of Mary 18, 1990, the conservative party alliance "Allianz für Deutschland" which promised to push forward the early unification with West Germany got a sweeping victory and formed a new government which is the first peaceful change of government since East Germany was founded. After that, the detailed discussion concerning the unification of East and West and its approaches began. ***

West Germany had a meeting with Mikhail Gorbachev, and two sides agreed upon the unification in principle. The, the unification negotiation with West Germany could be performed formally and concretely.
After the basic treaty between West and East Germany was concluded in 1972, the Policy toward East by West Germany focused on the coexistence of West and East and the humanlike living of East Germany citizens rather than the actual unification. Thus, it is very difficult to find out a unification approach in the Policy toward East. It is not until the possibility of unification became clear by the democratic revolution of East Germany that the concrete method for unification (10 Items Plans) was made. However, the step-by-step unification method which was suggested in the 10 Items Plans could not be realized due to the sudden change of circumstance in East Germany.¹

The factor which had a great effect on the unification of Germany is Perestroyka (Open and Renovation Policy) which was pushed ahead by Mikhail Gorbachev. As a result, East-European states came to push ahead the democratization without reading the countenance of the Soviet Union. East Germany did so and the Berlin Wall fell. After the first free general election, the government of Lothar de Maiziere was established and the atmosphere of unification was ripening.

On this chance, West Germany started to push ahead the economic cooperation with the Soviet Union and the active diplomacy with neighboring states by utilizing its strong economic power. As a result, the 2 plus 4 conference between West Germany, East Germany, the United States, the United Kingdom, France and the Soviet Union was held for the discussion of unification from early 1990. Then, the unification treaty was concluded in the end of August 1990 and the unification process was completed on October 3, 1990. ***

On December 2, 1990 after the unification, Germany performed the general election and Premier Helmut Kohl finished the composition of the unified government. Premier Helmut Kohl had to recover the before-unification economy of East Germany which was almost on the verge of bankruptcy, reduce an economic gap problem between West and East Germany citizens and resolve the property right caused by the socialism system of East Germany, etc.²

From the German unification case, we can understand that Germany experienced many difficulties because it did not prepare for the unification in advance. The suddenly-made unification measures were not effective and caused the aftereffects of unification.

² [Kim, Min-Seok "Preceding Task for Unification of the Korean Peninsula from the Standpoint of the Unified Germany," November 29, 2014]
Unfortunately the German case study does not give us an exact answer concerning how to eliminate the aftereffects of unification. However, the difficulties and aftereffects which Germany had experienced during the unification process could be an important lesson to Korea.

In this context, we examine how the government and society of West Germany pushed ahead the unification process with East Germany from November 9, 1989 to October 3, 1990 and which unification topics two sides discussed during this period. Further we study how to organize our unification policy in preparation for the prospective unification of Korean peninsula based on the German unification case. For this purpose, we focus on the successful accommodation into South Korea of North Korea system and classify the related topics into six fields as follows:

**First,** in the legal and system field, we need to prepare for a legal and systematic mechanism in order to institutionalize the exchange and cooperation between South and North. It includes the establishment of permanent representatives, sisterhood relationship between local governments, trade between South and North, co-development of border area, and environment cooperation, etc.

**Second,** in the politics and administration field, we need to reorganize the power and administrative structure. That is, we need to review whether or not we will maintain a centralism & local autonomy or introduce a federal system in preparation for the unification.

**Third,** in the economic field, we need to perform several tasks. We should maintain a healthy finance and study a method to satisfy the financial demand which is necessary for the unification.

**Fourth,** in the social field, we need to reorganize the social insurance and welfare system. That is, we should review every social security system and make a revenue supply plan in preparation for the unification.

**Fifth,** in the field of manpower cultivation, we need to train a specialist per field who can execute each policy.

**Sixth,** in the field of education, we need to perform a systematic unification and democracy education. For the preparation of unification and the formation of national consensus concerning unification policy, it is necessary to strengthen the school and social education. Also, we should
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establish an infrastructure to educate North Korea citizens after the unification and resolve a cultural discrepancy and conflict between North and South.³

The above contents can be summarized as follows: 1) The Germany unification was not expected at all and thus there was no enough preparation for the unification. 2) Mikhail Gorbachyov' Perestroyka had a great effect on the unification of Germany, and the unification was completed under the consent of the Soviet Union. 3) Although West Germany invested an enormous amount of tax revenue in order to increase the economic level of East Germany, the harmonization between West and East was not easy. 4) In case where the Korean peninsula is unified in the future, it is necessary that Korea should successfully perform an integration work in the fields such as law & system, politics, administration, economy, social coordination, manpower cultivation and unification education. Thus, it can be easily understood that the unification process is not a simple work.

5. Difference between Korean and Germany

Germany was divided after the World War II like Korea. Germany was divided into four parts by the United States, the United Kingdom, France and the Soviet Union according to the results of the Yalta Conference (February 1945). However, West Germany was made by the integration of parts that were ruled by the United States, the United Kingdom and France. Then, West Germany was separated from East Germany.

In the Moscow Conference (December 1945), the United States, the United Kingdom and the Soviet Union determined the trusteeship on Korea. As a result, Korea was divided into South (control of the United States) and North (control of the Soviet Union).

It is clear that there would be a great difference between Korea and Germany from the standpoint of unification process. The difference is as follows:

First, there was no war between West and East Germany after its division whereas there was a tragic war (1950.6.25) between South and North. Thus, the strong feeling of hostility between South and North exists.

Second, there is a difference between South and North in terms of freedom of religion which is a core of liberal democracy. West Germany also was under the communism system of the Soviet Union like

North Korea. Nevertheless, the religious activity was guaranteed in East Germany. On the other hand, North Korea denies it. Angela Merkel who is a current Germany Premier was born in West Germany and went to East Germany following her father (minister). She spent most of childhood therein. From this fact, we can know that there was a freedom of religion in East Germany. Accordingly, if the religious discrepancy could not be resolved, the unification process between South and North would not be smooth.

**Third**, West Germany was the richest state in Western Europe in 1990 when the unification was finished and East Germany was also the richest state in the Communist bloc. At the time of unification the national income of East Germany was approximately $3,000.

On the other hand, it is expected that South Korea’s national income will exceed $30,000 in 2018, and North Korea’s national income is approximately $1,900 now. The German government announced in May, 2014 that it had invested Euro 2 trillion on East Germany in cash for 24 years after the unification. Accordingly, in case where South Korea and North Korea will be unified, South Korea should bear an enormous unification expense as there is a big difference of national income between two states and it will make the unification process difficult.

**Fourth**, Germany was unified 45 years after its division whereas Korea has been divided for 73 years after its division. There was an active private exchange between West Germany and East Germany after its division whereas there was no such active exchange in Korea. Thus, there exists a sense of difference between South and North in many respects including politics, culture and society, and it seems that the swift unification would be difficult.

**Fifth**, Germany faced an abrupt unification as Mikhail Gorbachyov pushed ahead Perestroyka and agreed on the unification of Germany. On the other hand, not only Russia but also China cannot control North Korea now. Thus, the possibility is very low that the political change of neighboring states will lead to the North Korea’s internal unrest and the unification of the Korea peninsula.

Sixth, North Korea has developed nuclear weapons in spite of economic difficulties for the purpose of protecting its regime from outside pressure and has a very strong pride on it. Thus, it is not likely that North Korea will give up its nuke by the pressure of international community, and the possibility would be very low that North Korea is unified with South Korea which has a position of denuclearization.
6. Current Situation of the United Nations

The United Nations is currently managing the North Korea nuclear issue and has adopted many resolutions in relation to this issue. Separately from UN’s actions, the United States has strongly pressurized North Korea by imposing its independent sanctions against North Korea. Two states have exchanged provocative comments against each other and the situation of Korea peninsula is now very unstable.

There are currently 194 member states in the United Nations. Nevertheless North Korea is especially showing its hostile feeling toward the United States. The reason is because the United States is playing a leading role in the United Nations in relation to the sanctions against North Korea.

The United States often exercises its power unreasonably in the international community and it makes UN member states uncomfortable. One example is that President Trump recently acknowledged Jerusalem as a capital of Israel in spite of the strong objection of UN member states. Not only that, but the United States invaded Iraq on the pretext of chemical weapons. As a result, 9,200 Iraq soldiers, 7,299 Iraq civilians, 139 US soldiers and 33 UK soldiers died in 29 days after the invasion. The life of human beings was like that of a fly.

In addition, in spite of strong resistance from trade partner states, the United States is causing a trade war without hesitation by using customs duty bomb on steel and aluminum.

The United Kingdom invaded Iraq which had no chemical weapons together with the United States but acknowledged that the Iraq invasion by the coalition force was quite wrong based on the Chilcot report which was published in 2016. Premier Tony Blair who determined the invasion against Iraq acknowledged that he was responsible for this wrong decision. However, the United States did not acknowledge any wrongdoing yet. I think that the United States is not qualified for mentioning the human rights problem of North Korea.

The name "United Nations", coined by United States President Franklin D. Roosevelt was first used in the Declaration by United Nations of 1 January 1942, during the Second World War, when representatives of 26 nations pledged their Governments to continue fighting together against the Axis Powers.

In 1945, representatives of 50 countries met in San Francisco at the United Nations Conference on International Organization to draw up the United Nations Charter. The Charter was signed on 26 June
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1945 by the representatives of the 50 countries. The United Nations officially came into existence on 24 October 1945, when the Charter had been ratified by China, France, the Soviet Union, the United Kingdom, the United States and by a majority of other signatories. In conclusion, the United Nations was made by major victorious nations as the center.⁴

Just as each state has its own constitution, the United Nations has also the UN Charter which plays a role of constitution. The preamble of UN Charter provides “We the people of the United Nations determined to 1) save succeeding generations from the scourge of war *** 2) reaffirm faith *** in the equal rights of men and women and of nations large and small *** 3) to ensure that armed force shall not be used, save in the common interest, and 4) to employ international machinery for the promotion of the economic and social advancement of all peoples.”

Article 1 of the UN Charter provides “The purposes of the United Nations are to maintain international peace and security and take effective collective measures for the prevention and removal of threats to the peace ***; to develop friendly relations among nations based on respect for the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples.” Also, paragraph 4, Article 2 of the UN Charter provides “All members shall refrain in their international relations from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of any state, or in any other manner inconsistent with the purposes of the United Nations.”

Accordingly, we can easily understand that a series of behaviors which are being performed by the United States in the international community are in conflict with the UN Charter. The United States discards its original intention to pursue the peace of international community and is being changed into a state which disturbs the order of international community and destroys the rule of law.

The UN Charter promulgated the equal right of nations large and small. That is, all member states shall have equal rights in exercising their rights regardless of whether it is small or large. Nevertheless the United States is doing very unreasonable behaviors as if the UN is its own monopoly stage.

As soon as the UN General Assembly determined that Jerusalem cannot be acknowledged as a capital of Israel, the United Stated substantially decreased its share of UN expenses. Trump administration cut its FY2018 to 2019 UN budget as much as $285 million and notified the UN of its position unilaterally disregarding the position of the UN.
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The UN member states (193 as of 2017) should bear the UN general & PKO expenses considering their GNP and national income per capita, and the share ration per state as of 2017 is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Share Ration</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Share Ration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The United States</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>3.784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>9.680</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>3.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>7.921</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2.921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>6.389</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>2.443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>4.859</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>2.337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The United Kingdom</td>
<td>4.463</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>2.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>3.823</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reason why the share ratio per state is different is because the expense burden is determined considering GNP and national income per capita. This is the same principle as the equity of taxation which requires that a taxpayer whose income is higher pays more taxes.

Accordingly, if the United States arbitrarily cuts its UN expense burden because the UN member states do not agree with its position, it is just like arguing that it must exercise the rights of ten votes (not one vote) as it bears more UN expenses than other member states. Such argument would not accord with the principle of the UN Charter which guarantees the equal right of member states. The United States have sold an enormous amount of arms to its allies and enjoyed all kinds of benefits by utilizing its strong power within the UN since the World War II. As a result the US economy has developed highly. The United States should not forget it.

Even thought the United States does not pay the UN expenses, the function of the UN would not stop. If the UN could be more effectively operated, member states would try to make a great effort for the peace of mankind although they should bear more expenses than now.

In order for the international community to co-exist peacefully, member states should treat each member based on the principle of equality and resolve problems with which the international community face in a peaceful way as stated in the UN Charter.

The international community should not tolerate the unreasonable behaviors of the United States which disregards the rights of member states any longer. Along with the start of Trump administration, the United States suffered big damages to its role as a leading country in the international community and is rushing up to the unrecoverable situation. The international community should not allow a
mediator role of important international dispute to the United States any longer unless the United States follows the principle of the UN Charter.

7. Reform of the UN Operation System

The UN Security Council consists of 5 permanent members (the United States, the United Kingdom, Russia, China and France) and 10 non-permanent members. Non-permanent members are elected for two-year terms. Permanent members are all the victorious states of the World War II. They control the Security Council by using their veto rights.

The World War II ended in 1945. After that, 73 years went on. Nevertheless, the status of permanent members in the UN is very powerful. Although Japan and Germany are in the second place and the fourth place respectively in terms of UN expense sharing ratio, two states did not become a permanent member as they are a defeated nation.

Just as every person has a right of one vote in the democratic society, the system of UN must be democratized in order for every member state to exercise one-state-one-vote right as long as a member state bears the UN expenses. By doing so, the international community can make a cooperative effort for the peaceful co-existence.

It is true that the UN has been controlled by a few military powers disregarding the principle of equality which is stated in the preamble of UN Charter. Every member state bears the UN expenses according to the principle made by the UN. Nevertheless certain states become a permanent member which can exercise its privileged rights whereas other member states should read permanent members’ countenance. This kind of UN operation is never reasonable.

There is a big difference between World War II and current circumstance. Unlike the age of 70 years ago when the barrier between states was very high, the current global community became one-day life zone and the barrier between states has completely disappeared in terms of personal exchange and commerce.

The number of UN member states was 50 in 1945 and is 193 now. The situation of every state affects other states since every state is interrelated with each other economically and legally under the international rule. Thus, the necessity is greatly increased that every member state should closely cooperate for the peaceful co-existence.
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There could not exist a privileged right in a true democratic society. Likewise a privileged right must not also exist in the UN which must acknowledge the equal right of member states. Member states can participate in the decision-making process more actively under such a circumstance and the smooth communication between member states can guarantee the smooth execution of UN resolutions.

8. Solution to North Korea Nuke Problem (Conclusion of Peace Agreement for Denuclearization)

The North Korea issue contains the danger of a nuclear war. If a nuclear war should break out due to the wrong judgment by both North Korea and the United States, all mankind could face the end of the world. The nuclear issue of North Korea is not only that of both North Korea and the United States but also that of all mankind. Thus, all member states must resolve this issue putting their heads together.

As provided in the UN Charter, the principal role of UN is to promote the peaceful co-existence of international community. Accordingly, the North Korea nuclear issue should be resolved by the active control of the UN. The nuclear issue of North Korea is not only that of the United States. It is very natural that all member states must exercise their equal rights according to the UN Charter. The North Korea nuclear problem and the Korean unification problem should be resolved reflecting the opinion of every member state.

In the Moscow Conference (December 1945), the United States, the United Kingdom and the Soviet Union determined the trusteeship on Korea and the Korean peninsula was divided into two parts. It seems that the United States, the United Kingdom and the Soviet Union which are the victorious states of the World War II determined so in order to maintain the order of international community without considering the intent of Korean people. It is judged that this kind of unfortunate history was made as the Korean peninsula was under the colonial rule of Japan at that time.

However, Korea is now quite different from Korea of 70 years ago. The national power of Korea has greatly increased on the strength of phenomenal economic growth. Korea is making a great contribution to the development of international community as a thirteenth state in terms of payment of the UN expenses. If any military power thinks that it can control Korea with ease like 70 years ago, it is a very unreasonable way of thinking which is not in accordance with the UN Charter. The current international community is quite different from that of after the World War II.

Currently the UN consists of 193 member states. Almost all states all over the world joined the UN and bear the UN expenses for the development of international community. The Korean peninsula
nuclear issue is closely related to the destiny of mankind. Thus, this issue must be resolved reflecting the opinion of 193 member states.

After the 6.25 War, South and North concluded the Armistice Agreement on July 27, 1953. That is, Korea is under a kind of ceasefire situation. Since South and North have never concluded a peace agreement, there still exists a possibility that another war between South and North will break out again.

If a nuclear war should break out in the Korean peninsula, the Korean peninsula would face the most serious disaster. As a nuclear war is very dangerous and its disaster scope is very extensive, several states including China, Russia and Japan can be exposed to the disaster. As a result, if a nuclear war in Korea were extended, all mankind could face its end.

Currently 18,000 foreign companies are doing business and several millions of foreigners are staying in South Korea. If the Korean peninsula is exposed to the nuclear war, almost state all over the world can be an interested party to this nuclear war. Thus, I would like to suggest a grand principle for the denuclearization of Korean peninsula as follows:

First, under the conditions of step-by-step denuclearization of North Korea, South Korea, North Korea, China, Russia, Japan, the United States shall conclude the Multilateral Peace Agreement (Non-aggression Pact).

Second, after the Peace Agreement was ratified under the consent of UN member states, the UN shall withdraw its sanctions against North Korea reflecting the denuclearization process of North Korea.

Third, once the denuclearization process of North Korea is completely under the control of the UN, the US armed force staying in South Korea shall withdraw from South Korea.

9. Step-by-Step Unification of Korean Peninsula

As set forth above, there is a big difference between Korea and Germany in terms of unification process. As long as North Korea maintains its current ideological system, it is factually impossible that South Korea and North Korea are unified into one state from a legal perspective. The reason is that the fundamental rights of human beings such as freedom of thought and freedom of religion are not guaranteed in North Korea.
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Accordingly, because the constitutional value of South and North is quite different from each other, two states cannot become one state legally. Nevertheless the economic exchange is possible. Of course, if North Korea gives up its communism and adopts the ideology of free democracy, it is possible for South and North to be unified like Germany. From the standpoint of current situation, it is expected that it will require a substantial time for South and North to be unified.

North Korea suggested the establishment of "Federal Republic of Korea" on October 10, 1980. The reason why South Korea cannot accept this suggestion is that it is impossible for South and North to share the constitutional value under the current situation.

Because West Germany and East Germany allowed the personal and economic exchange between two states and were ready for sharing the constitutional value with each other at that time, the sudden unification was possible. If we examine the unification process of Germany, we can know that the unification process should go through a very complex course such as the integration of law, politics, economy, society, culture and education, etc. Thus, if we should expect a sudden unification, it would be just a daydream.

In case where the peace agreement is concluded and the sanctions of the UN are withdrawn, North Korea can freely trade with South Korea and UN member states and improve its system step by step to contribute to the development of international community. If this kind of process is continued, South and North can narrow a gap of ideology. As a result, South and North can achieve the unification and have a chance to make a great contribution to the international community. The Korean peninsula must take an approach of step-by-step unification unless there is a special change of circumstance. [THE END]