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Trump's foreign policy can be a disaster to the US economy.

1. Trump's improvised statement is damaging the precious pride of the US people

Along with the globalization, the global community is being changed into one life zone. The political activities of one state affect those of other states and all states’ political activities are affected by the interaction of each state. Especially, the US foreign policy greatly affects the political activities of other states since the US is currently playing a leading role in the global community. Such a leading role is a pride of the US people. However, Trump's improvised statement as a US president candidate would pull down such a precious pride of the US people and embarrass the global community.

2. Analytical Study which Trump needs

Politics is situated at the top in the fields of social science. Accordingly a politician must have an ability to understand the social science. He should ascertain what problems his state faces through analytical study and clearly explain a solution of these problems to the people. If he could not do so, he would be just a political agitator.

Trump said that he will not get involved in the war between Korean, North Korea and Japan. He emphasized "If they do, they do. Good luck, enjoy yourself, folks". Further his opinion is that Korea and Japan can have nuclear weapons, and NATO is not necessary. Trump promised to the US people that he would make the US a great country once again. But his prospective foreign policy is quite contrary to his election pledge. The below statistical data would be helpful in explaining the reason.
The US is the number one arms exporter all over the world. The above table shows that the USA's share of the volume of arms exports was 31 percent in 2010-14. Also, it was known that the US arms export (from October 2011 to September 2012) exceeded US$50billion (based on the arms purchase agreement).

Korea purchased the arms of US$3.8billion from the US for the period of FY2009 to FY2013. Korea also shared the military expense of US$0.8billion with the US government in FY5015 and is going to share that of US$0.9billion in FY2016 with the US government.

Currently 29,041 US soldiers stay in South Korea and 49,430 US soldiers stay in Japan. Further 38,015 US soldiers, 11,425 US soldiers and 9,078 US soldiers respectively stay in Germany, UK and Italy. Total 172,344 US soldiers are staying overseas for the national interests of the US and the interests of international community.

The scale of military expenditure in the year 2014 is as below. The US spent 34% of total global military expenditure and its expenditure is much higher than China and Russia.

3. Sudden change of the US foreign policy is never desirable

Since the Second World War, the US has invested lots of military expense in order to end the cold war and maintain the world order. That is why the US is still spending lots of military expense. This trend cannot be changed suddenly since the US government has been playing a leading role in the international community and the international community is being operating under the principle of reciprocal trust based on the international legal system.

It could not be denied that South Korea has developed under the support of the US after the Korean
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War from the economic and military perspective. Some EU countries also must have enjoyed such benefit like Korea.

Thus, the US people could think why we should still sacrifice ourselves for the development of global community whereas other countries are enjoying the benefits given by the sacrifice of US government. It can make sense. However, although the US government has greatly contributed to the development of the global community for a long time as a global leader, it has also enjoyed a role of a global leader and an economic power due to such a contribution.

Thus, it would never be desirable for the US government to suddenly change its foreign policy which would cause lots of problems to the global community including the US. Accordingly, the US government needs a more reasonable approach to this matter in terms of harmonization with the global community.

4. Spread of nuclear weapon is a disaster to human beings

The international community can prosper only when each state acts based on the relationship of reciprocal understanding and trust. Otherwise the disorder would control the global community and as a result the global community could face a disaster. If every state tries to hold nuclear weapons, it can be a shortcut to the disaster since any state can freely use the nuclear weapons and it would become much more difficult to control the nuclear weapons.

Thus, the US government needs to play a role of global leader to prevent this kind of worst situation in advance. Otherwise the global community can face the disaster of nuclear weapons anytime.

5. Giving up a role of leader of the global community is against the interests of the US

If the US government gives up its military police function in the global community, its allied states must defend themselves. Under such a situation, it would be difficult for them to buy arms from the US if the US’ arms are not competitive, and they would be reluctant to share the unnecessary expenses by making the US soldiers stay in their territories if it is not effective. The nuclear weapons can be a more effective ways to protect their country. If all US allied states make such a decision, it will have a significantly negative effect on the military industry of the US and lots of US soldiers would become jobless.
If the US government loses its allied friends, severely weakens its military industry and make a total of 172,344 overseas US soldiers jobless, it would be impossible that ‘the US becomes a great country’ which is Trump's election pledge.

The military industry has a great ripple effect like the automobile industry creating lots of jobs. If buyers of US arms decrease substantially and lots of US soldiers become jobless, it will severely damage the US economy. Further if the US does not play a role of a leading country, it can also significantly weaken its power in the trade negotiation which is very important to the economic development of the US. Thus, Trump’s election pledge is directly against the interests of the US.

6. The enhancement of military power does not guarantee the economic development any longer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Import</th>
<th>Export</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>1.95trillion</td>
<td>2.21trillion</td>
<td>4.16trillion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2.27trillion</td>
<td>1.57trillion</td>
<td>3.84trillion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1.23trillion</td>
<td>1.49trillion</td>
<td>2.72trillion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>0.78trillion</td>
<td>0.81trillion</td>
<td>1.59trillion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>0.76trillion</td>
<td>0.69trillion</td>
<td>1.46trillion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>0.66trillion</td>
<td>0.57trillion</td>
<td>1.23trillion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>0.51trillion</td>
<td>0.55trillion</td>
<td>1.07trillion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There has been broadly two ways to economically develop a nation. One is to develop through the best economic policy under the circumstance, and another is to enlarge the economic scale of a nation through the enlargement of economic territory by a war. Although developed countries often used the latter way to enlarge their economic power in the past, this approach could not be utilized any longer nowadays.

Along with the development of science, the global community has been changed into a common living zone and it became possible that enterprises perform economic activities freely anywhere under the legal system which was jointly formed by the global community. Accordingly, it is rarely necessary for enterprises to rely on the military power of their government for the economic advance into another country unlike the past. The above table reflects this fact well.
The US government has spent lots of military expenses since the World War Two in order to end the cold war and maintain the world order. As of the year 2014, the US government spent 34% of the world military expense and it is a big burden to the US government under the changed global circumstances where the enhancement of military power does not guarantee the economic development any longer. Thus, the US government should seriously discuss this important matter with allied countries and seek out a reasonable solution. Under the changed global circumstance, the necessity of a war was substantially decreased. The military power is now necessary just for the police function (such as prevention of terrorism) other than a full-scale war as long as the globalization exists.

The global community is being operating under the agreed upon legal system and the US government needs to use a ‘step by step’ approach through amicable discussion with related countries. The sudden change of US foreign policy can make all global contributions of the US worthless.

7. Every country needs a leader with the leadership of harmonization & compromise

The thought that the economic effect can be obtained through the enhancement of military power has become old-fashioned. To try to spend more expenses on the enhancement of military power without economic effect is against the economic principle.

The more military expense would result in the more conflicts between countries. As a result, it would make the economic exchange between countries more difficult and cause the decrease of economic effect all over the world. The current international community has a quite different appearance from the past one. Thus, each country or the international community should pursue its economic development through the policy corresponding to the changed circumstance.

In order to succeed under the changed circumstance, a politician should have an ability to drive ‘harmonization’ and ‘compromise’ other than ‘conflict’, and we need a leader who has such an ability to develop our country and global community.

The control of variables is possible in the natural science and the engineering science and thus we can make out a complete solution. However, because there are so many variables in the social science and these variables continue to change and interact with each other, it is not possible to completely control these variables. This is the reason why we could not make out a complete solution concerning the political and economic problems of each country and the global community.
The assertion that the economic development can be achieved by utilizing certain economic policy is just an amateurism way of thinking. They think so as they don’t correctly understand the way of the world.

When you want to control variables in the social science, you should introduce the system to control these variables. For example, let’s assume that there are 100 variables relating to the current politics or economy and we should seek out a solution on the current political or economic problem. In this case, if we could completely control these 100 variables, it would be possible for us to make out a complete solution. But if we could not control even any one of these, it would be impossible to get any solution. The method to control a variable in the social science is to introduce a ‘system’. Politics and economy are all influenced by the activities of all human beings. Human beings’ activities affect even the natural environment like ‘global warming’.

Accordingly, introducing a system under which a human being acts with the predictability is a method to control a variable and we can get a solution when the control of variables becomes possible. That is to say, when all human beings act within the scope of the agreed upon ‘Legal System’, we can control variables and get a solution. Here lies the importance of ‘rule of law’.

If 90 variables out of the 100 variables can be controlled and the remaining 10 variables are uncontrolled due to the non-existence of system or the impossibility of system introduction, it would still be possible to get a meaningful solution to some extent. These uncontrolled 10 variables should be controlled through ‘harmonization’ and ‘compromise’ ability of a leader.

When an uncertain variable comes into being and there is no system to control it, controlling this uncertain variable is the role of a leader. He should persuade related persons through ‘harmonization’ and ‘compromise’

Where a leader does not exercise the leadership of harmonization & compromise and causes a conflict, it will have a bad effect even on the existing controlled variables and aggravate the situation severely. Here lies the reason why we need a leader who has an ability of harmonization and compromise.