San Jose State University

From the SelectedWorks of Sogol Jahanbekam

July 30, 2014

Antimagic-type labelings

Sogol Jahanbekam, University of Colorado, Denver



Available at: https://works.bepress.com/sogol-jahanbekam/21/

Antimagic-type Labelings

Sogol Jahanbekam

University of Colorado Denver

Rocky Mountain-Great Plains Graduate Research Workshop in Combinatorics Summer 2014

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Definition

A graph with m edges is called antimagic if its edges can be labeled with $1, \ldots, m$ such that the sums of the labels of the edges incident to each vertex are distinct.





▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ ▲国▼ めんら

Observation

• (Hartsfield and Ringel [1990]) Cycles are antimagic.

• (Hartsfield and Ringel [1990]) Paths of length at least 2 are antimagic.

• (Hartsfield and Ringel [1990]) Complete graphs are antimagic.

• (Hartsfield and Ringel [1990]) Wheels are antimagic.

Some non-antimagic graphs

• Any graph having a K_2 -component is not antimagic.

• Any graph having at least two isolated vertices is not antimagic.

• Theorem (Wang, Liu, and Li [2012]) mP_3 with $m \ge 2$ is not antimagic.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



• Conjecture (Hartsfield and Ringel [1990]) Every tree except K_2 is antimagic.

• Conjecture (Hartsfield and Ringel [1990]) Every connected graph of order at least 3 is antimagic.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Results

• Theorem (Alon, Kaplan, Lev, Roditty, and Yuster [2004]) There exists an absolute constant *C* such that every graph with *n* vertices and minimum degree at least *C log n* is antimagic.

 Theorem (Alon, Kaplan, Lev, Roditty, and Yuster [2004]) If G has n vertices, n ≥ 4, and Δ(G) ≥ n − 2, then G is antimagic.

• Theorem (Alon, Kaplan, Lev, Roditty, and Yuster [2004]) All complete partite graphs, but *K*₂, are antimagic.

Results

• Theorem (Yilma [2011]) *n*-vertex graphs of order at least 9 with maximum degree at least *n* - 3 are antimagic.

• Theorem (Cranston [2009]) Every regular bipartite graph with degree at least 2 is anitmagic.

• Theorem (Cranston, Liang, and Zhu [2013]) Regular graphs of odd degree, but K₂, are antimagic.

• Theorem (Eccles [2014+]) If a graph has no isolated edges or vertices and has average degree at least 4468, then it is antimagic.

• Conjecture (Eccles [2014+]) If a graph has no isolated edges or vertices and has average degree at least $\sqrt{2}$, then it is antimagic.

Let k be a positive integer and G be a graph.

Definition

We say that G is k-antimagic if there is an injection $f: E \to \{1, \ldots, |E| + k\}$ such that for any two distinct vertices u and v, $\sum_{e \in \Gamma(v)} f(e) \neq \sum_{e \in \Gamma(u)} f(e)$.

Definition

We say G is weighted-k-antimagic if for any vertex weight function $g: E \to \mathbb{N}$ there is an injection $f: E \to \{1, \dots, |E| + k\}$ such that for any two distinct vertices u and v, $g(v) + \sum_{e \in \Gamma(v)} f(e) \neq g(u) + \sum_{e \in \Gamma(u)} f(e).$

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

facts

• (Wong and Zhu) Not all graphs are weighted-0-antimagic.

• (Wong and Zhu) Not all graphs are weighted-1-antimagic.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Open Problems

 Question (Wong and Zhu [2011]) Is there a constant k such that every connected graph G ≠ K₂ is weighted-k-antimagic?

• Question (Wong and Zhu [2011]) Is it true that every connected graph $G \neq K_2$ is weighted-2-antimagic?

• Question (Wong and Zhu [2011]) Is there a connected graph *G* with an odd number of vertices which is not weighted-1-antimagic?

Theorem (Combinatorial Nullstellensatz) (Alon [1999])

Let f be a polynomial of degree t in m variables over a field \mathbb{F} . If there is a monomial $\prod x_i^{t_i}$ in f with $\sum t_i = t$ whose coefficient is nonzero in \mathbb{F} , then f is nonzero at some point of $\prod S_i$, where each S_i is a set of $t_i + 1$ distinct values in \mathbb{F} .

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

 It is an easy exercise using the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz to prove that every *n*-vertex connected graph with *n* ≥ 3 is weighted-(2*n* − 3)-antimagic.

• Theorem (Wong and Zhu [2011]) every *n*-vertex connected graph with $n \ge 3$ is weighted- $\lfloor \frac{3n}{2} \rfloor$ -antimagic.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• Theorem (Wong and Zhu [2011]) If G has a universal vertex and $G - newK_2$, then G is weighted-2-antimagic.

• Theorem (Wong and Zhu [2011]) If G has a prime number of vertices and has a Hamilton path, then G is weighted-1-antimagic.

Antimagic labeling of directed graphs

Definition

In an edge-labeling of a digraph D, the oriented vertex sum of a vertex v is the sum of labels of all edges entering v minus the sum of labels of all edges leaving it.

• Definition

An antimagic labeling of a directed graph D with n vertices and m edges is a bijection from the set of edges of D to the integers $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that all n oriented vertex sums are pairwise distinct.

Example



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

Question (Hefetz, Mütze, and Schwartz [2009]) Is every connected digraph on at least four vertices antimagic?

• Theorem (Hefetz, Mütze, and Schwartz [2009]) There exists a constant *C* such that for every undirected graph on *n* vertices with minimum degree at least *C log n* every orientation is antimagic.

• Theorem (Hefetz, Mütze, and Schwartz [2009]) Every orientation of W_n is antimagic.

• Question (Hefetz, Mütze, and Schwartz [2009]) Given any undirected graph G, does there exist an orientation of G which is antimagic?

• Conjecture (Hefetz, Mütze, and Schwartz [2009]) Every connected undirected graph admits an antimagic orientation.

• Theorem (Hefetz, Mütze, and Schwartz [2009]) Almost every undirected *d*-regular graph admits an orientation which is antimagic

• Theorem (Hefetz, Mütze, and Schwartz [2009]) Every regular graph of odd degree has an antimagic orientation.

 Theorem (Hefetz, Mütze, and Schwartz [2009]) Every *n*-vertex regular connected graph of even degree having a matching of size \[\frac{n}{2}\] has an antimagic orientation.

• Theorem (Hefetz, Mütze, and Schwartz [2009]) For every orientation of complete graphs, wheels, and stars with at least 4 vertices, there exists an antimagic labeling.

• Theorem (Hefetz, Mütze, and Schwartz [2009]) There exists an absolute constant *C* such that any *n*-vertex undirected graph *G* with minimum degree at least *C log n* has an orientation which is antimagic.

Neighbor sum Distinguishing Index

Definitions

- A proper [k]-edge colorings of a graph G is called neighbor sum distinguishing if for any pair of adjacent vertices x and y the sum of colors taken on the edges incident to x is different from the sum of colors taken on the edges incident to y.
- The smallest value k for which G has a neighbor sum distinguishing coloring is called Neighbor sum distinguishing index of G and is denoted by nsdi(G).

Example



・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ モ ト ・ モ ト

æ

We have $nsdi(C_5) = 5$.

Theorem (Flandrin, Marczyk, PrzybyLo, Saclé, Woźniak [2013]) nsdi(P_k) = 3 for all k ≥ 3.

 Theorem (Flandrin, Marczyk, PrzybyLo, Saclé, Woźniak [2013]) nsdi(C_m) = 3 when 3|m.

Theorem (Flandrin, Marczyk, PrzybyLo, Saclé, Woźniak [2013]) nsdi(C_m) = 4 when 3 ¼m and m ≠ 5.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

• Theorem (Flandrin, Marczyk, PrzybyLo, Saclé, Woźniak [2013]) $nsdi(K_{n,n}) = n + 2$ when $n \ge 2$.

Theorem (Flandrin, Marczyk, PrzybyLo, Saclé, Woźniak [2013]) nsdi(K_{n,p}) = n when n ≥ 2 and n > p.

Theorem (Flandrin, Marczyk, PrzybyLo, Saclé, Woźniak [2013]) Let T be a tree of order at least 3 and maximum degree Δ. We have nsdi(T) = Δ, when vertices of degree Δ in T form an independent set. nsdi(T) = Δ + 1, otherwise.

Conjecture (Flandrin, Marczyk, PrzybyLo, Saclé, Woźniak [2013]) $nsdi(G) \le \Delta(G) + 2$, where G is a connected graph of order at least 3 and $G \ne C_5$.

Conjecture (Flandrin, Marczyk, PrzybyLo, Saclé, Woźniak [2013]) $nsdi(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$, where G is a connected graph of order at least 3 and $G \neq C_5$.

Theorem (Flandrin, Marczyk, PrzybyLo, Saclé, Woźniak [2013]) $nsdi(G) \leq \frac{7\Delta(G)}{2}$ for any graph G with $\Delta(G) \geq 2$.

Theorem (Wang and Yan [2014]) $nsdi(G) \le \frac{5(\Delta(G)+2)}{2}$ for all graphs having no pendant edges.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Theorem (Dong and Wang [2012]) $nsdi(G) \le max\{2\Delta(G) + 1, 25\}$ for all planar graphs having no pendant edges.

Theorem (Dong and Wang [2012]) $nsdi(G) \le max\{2\Delta(G), 19\}$ for all planar graphs G with $mad(G) \le 5$.

Theorem (Wang, Chen, and Wang [2014]) $nsdi(G) \le max\{\Delta(G) + 10, 25\}$ for all planar graphs having no pendant edges.

Neighbor Sum Distinguishing Index

Definitions

• A proper [k]-edge colorings of a graph G is called neighbor distinguishing if for any pair of adjacent vertices x and y the set of colors taken on the edges incident to x is different from the set of colors taken on the edges incident to y.

• The smallest value k for which G has a neighbor distinguishing coloring is called neighbor distinguishing index of G and is denoted by ndi(G).

Neighbor Sum Distinguishing Index

Definitions

• A proper [k]-edge colorings of a graph G is called neighbor distinguishing if for any pair of adjacent vertices x and y the set of colors taken on the edges incident to x is different from the set of colors taken on the edges incident to y.

• The smallest value k for which G has a neighbor distinguishing coloring is called neighbor distinguishing index of G and is denoted by ndi(G).

 $\Delta(G) \leq \chi'(G) \leq ndi(G) \leq nsdi(G)$

Conjecture (Zhang and Wang [2002]) $ndi(G) \le \Delta(G) + 2$, where G is a graph of order at least 6 having no pendant edges.

Conjecture (Zhang and Wang [2002]) $ndi(G) \le \Delta(G) + 2$, where G is a graph of order at least 6 having no pendant edges.

Theorem (Hatami [2005]) $ndi(G) \le \Delta(G) + 300$ for for any graph G with $\Delta(G) > 10^{20}$ having no pendant edges.

Theorem (Hatami [2005]) $ndi(G) \le max\{14, \Delta(G) + 2\}$ for any planar graph G having no pendant edges.

So the Conjecture is valid for all planar graphs having maximum degree at least 12.

Thank you very much!