

Western Kentucky University

From the Selected Works of Simon Funge

January, 2017

Teaching Branch Campus Students: Challenges and Opportunities for Educators in Higher Education

Simon Funge

Whitney Harper, *Western Kentucky University*



Available at: https://works.bepress.com/simon_funge/7/

2017 EQRC

Ethnographic & Qualitative Research Conference

Teaching branch campus students: Challenges and opportunities for educators in higher education



Simon Funge, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor



Whitney Harper, Ph.D.
Assistant Professor

What is a Branch Campus?

- The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) (2006) defines a branch (or regional) campus or institution as **“A campus or site of an educational institution that is not temporary, is located in a community beyond a reasonable commuting distance from its parent institution, and offers full programs of study, not just courses”** (p. G-1).
- Number of **branch campuses may be expanding** even as the use of online and other interactive courses have increased.
- Higher percentage of **nontraditional students** who are place-bound, commute, may be older, and strive to balance multiple responsibilities including work and care for families.

Sources: Bozick & DeLuca, 2005; Choy, 2002; Compton, Cox, & Lanaan, 2006; Fonseca & Bird, 2007; Hoyt & Howell, 2012; Knefelkamp & Stewart, 1983; Mindrup, 2012; Oliaro & Trotter, 2010; Wolfe & Strange, 2003.

From the Literature: Opportunities & Challenges

Opportunities:

- 1. Working closely with students**
- 2. Friendly environment**
- 3. Autonomy**
4. Cross-disciplinary collegiality
- 5. Cooperation** re: student concerns
6. Greater **involvement in change efforts** at campus and in community

Challenges:

- 1. Negative perceptions** from parent campus
- 2. Professional isolation**
- 3. Demands on time and energy**
- 4. Limited collegiality across disciplines**

Sources: Bird, 2007; Fonseca & Bird, 2007; McGrath, 2012; Merzer, 2008; Mindrup, 2012; Poling, LoSchiavo, & Shatz, 2009; Wolfe & Strange, 2003

Purpose of Study

- An exploration of the unique **experiences and perceptions of social work educators teaching branch campus students**
 - **Resident** (at the branch campus) **and non-resident faculty**
- Investigated the **perceived advantages and disadvantages of teaching branch campus students**
- **Aim to inform social work (and other higher education) programs re: challenges and opportunities** related to supporting faculty members who teach branch campus students

Methodology: Sampling

- **542 total universities with social work education programs in U.S.**
 - No database of social work education programs at branch campuses
 - Investigation identified **89 branch campus programs**
- **Online survey** distributed to faculty who teach branch campus students
- **81 respondents from 26 states**
 - **60 provided written responses**
 - **37 resident (branch campus) faculty**
 - **23 non-resident faculty**

Methodology: Thematic Analysis

- Thematic analysis was used to identify patterns across the data set of the advantages and disadvantages from both the resident and non-resident faculty of teaching on a branch campus.
- The process of coding took place in six phases. The researchers:
 - 1) familiarized themselves with the data;
 - 2) created a grid and generated initial codes;
 - 3) searched for themes among the codes;
 - 4) reviewed and defined the themes;
 - 5) named the themes; and
 - 6) reported out the final themes to each other.
- This approach best emphasizes the perceptions of the survey participants and captures their experiences as faculty members at branch campus social work programs.

Themes: Advantages

Resident Faculty

Autonomy & Ownership of Program
Supportive Environment
Connection to Students
Location/Close to Home
Removed from University Politics
Smaller Setting
Opportunities for Interdisciplinary Collaboration
Meeting Needs of Underserved Students
Students with Diversity of Life Experience
Motivated Students

Non-resident Faculty

Connection to Students
Students with Diversity of Life Experience
Motivated Students
Location/Close to Home
Smaller Setting

Themes: Disadvantages

Resident Faculty

Isolation
Lack of Understanding by Parent Campus
Lack of Resources & Support of Travel
Lack of Collaborative Opportunities
Heavier Workload

Non-resident Faculty

Lack of Resources & Support
Students Unmotivated & Unprepared
Lack of Connection with Students
Travel
Heavier Workload

Major Themes

- ***Connection to Students***
- ***Recognition by the Parent Campus***
- Themes present for both comparison groups (Resident and non-resident) and expressed in both categories (Advantages and disadvantages)

Major Themes: Connection to Students

Resident Faculty

- “Because of smaller cohorts, I get to know each student well.”
- “Closer relationships with students.”
- “I meet the needs of underserved students.”
- “Students are more diverse and have life experience.”
- “Very motivated students.”
- “Students feel isolated, left out of main campus activities.”

Non-Resident Faculty

- “Students are older and have more life experience.”
- “Students are too busy to find time for school work.”
- “Students are hostile at regional campuses.”
- “Students are underprepared for level of work, less professional.”
- “Students act entitled, don’t feel need to be to class on time, don’t read, not hardworking when transferring from an CC.”

Major Themes: Recognition by the Parent Campus

Resident Faculty

- “Have more autonomy and ownership of program.”
- “Lack of understanding by department in teaching load.”
- “Department meetings don’t address regional campus discussions/items.”
- “Feel disconnected from communication given at main, and from colleagues.”
- “Lack of collaboration opportunities but same research expectations.”

Non-Resident Faculty

- “University meets needs of underserved students.”
- “Lack of resources and support for faculty (advising, technology, supplies).”
- “Lack of interaction with other department faculty.”
- “Additional work load for those who have other university responsibilities.”
- “Additional work load for those who have other university responsibilities.”

Discussion

- *The broader systemic problems* identified by faculty teaching at a branch campus can inform institutions on tailoring policies, procedures and resources that may better foster a supportive culture that values branch campus faculty.
- *Cultivating more opportunities for collaboration* between parent and branch campus faculty to foster a better understanding of the workload and ameliorate feelings of isolation may enhance branch campus faculty job satisfaction.
- Institutions that *develop a comprehensive menu* of faculty developmental offerings can help to build a faculty who can weather challenges and offer creative solutions (Wolfe & Strange, 2003).

References

- Bird, C. P. (2007, August 11). Why branches matter. [Web blog] Retrieved from <http://branchcampus.blogspot.com/2007/08/why-branches-matter.html>
- Bozick, R., & DeLuca, S. (2005). Better late than never? Delayed enrollment in the high school to college transition. *Social Forces*, 84(1), 531-554. Retrieved March 14, 2014, from EBSCOhost/Education Full Text (H. W. Wilson).
- Choy, S. P. (2002). *Condition of education 2000: Nontraditional undergraduates*. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics. Retrieved from <http://nces.ed.gov/pubs2002/2002012.pdf>
- Compton, J. I., Cox, E., & Laanan, F. S. (2006). Adult learners in transition. *New Directions for Student Services*, 114, 73-80. doi: 10.1002/ss.208
- Ellis, B., Sawyer, J., Gill, R., & Medlin, J. (2005). Influences of the learning environment of a regional university campus on its international graduates. *The Australian University Researcher*, 32(2), 65-85. Retrieved March 14, 2014, from EBSCOhost/ERIC.
- Fonseca, J. W., & Bird, C. P. (2007, October). Under the radar: Branch campuses take off. *University Business*. Retrieved from <http://www.universitybusiness.com/article/under-radar-branch-campus-take-off>
- Hoyt, J. & Howell, S. (2012). Why students choose the branch campus of a larger university. *The Journal of Continuing Higher Education*, 60(2), 110-116. doi: 10.1080/07377363.2012.687304
- Knefelkamp, L. L., & Stewart, S. S. (1983). Toward a new conceptualization of commuter students: The developmental perspective. In S. S. Stewart (Ed.), *Commuter students: Enhancing their educational experiences* (pp. 61-69). New Directions for Student Services No. 24. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- McGrath, G. (2009). Attracting and retaining students at a campus of a multicampus system: Engagement and athletics. In S. Schuman (Ed.). *Leading America's Branch Campuses* (pp. 97-126). Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Education.
- Merzer, M. R. (2008). *Perceptions of inter-campus relations by branch campus and main campus student affairs administrators in multicampus public universities in Florida*. (Doctoral dissertation). (Order No. 3302751, Florida Atlantic University). ProQuest Dissertations and Theses. Retrieved from <http://search.proquest.com/docview/304568393?accountid=15150>. (304568393).

References

- Mindrup, K. S. (2012). *Academic and social experiences of undergraduate college students at a branch campus: A case study*. (Doctoral dissertation). (Order No. 3516744, The University of Iowa). *ProQuest Dissertations and Theses*. Retrieved from <http://search.proquest.com/docview/1030440349?accountid=15150>. (1030440349).
- National Association of Branch Campus Administrators (n.d.) *NABCA Definitions and Organizational Models*. Panama City, FL: NABCA. Retrieved March 14, 2014 from <http://www.nabca.net/assets/nabca-definitions---models.pdf>
- National Center for Education Statistics. (2006). *Postsecondary Education Facilities Inventory and Classification Manual (FICM): 2006 Edition* (NCES 2006-160). U.S. Department of Education. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics.
- Oliaro, L., & Trotter, C. (2010). A comparison of on-campus and off-campus (or distance) social work education. *Australian Social Work*, 63(3), 329-344. doi: 10.1080/0312407X.2010.496866
- Pebko, P., & Huffman, D. (2011). *Developing a typology of branch campuses: Findings from the NABCA campus and center administrator survey*. *Metropolitan Universities*, 22(1), 48-64. Retrieved March 14, 2014, from EBSCOhost/ERIC.
- Poling, D. A., LoSchiavo, F. M., & Shatz, M. A. (2009). Regional campus success: Strategies for psychology faculty. *Teaching of Psychology*, 36, 194–196. doi: 10.1080/00986280902959788
- Wolfe, J. R., & Strange, C. C. (2003). Academic life at the franchise: Faculty culture in a rural two-year branch campus. *The Review of Higher Education*, 26(3), 343-362. doi: 10.1353/rhe.2003.0006

Thank You

Whitney Harper, PhD, MSW, whitney.harper@wku.edu

Simon Funge, PhD, MSW, simon.funge@wku.edu



Special thanks to:

- Larry Owens, EdD, CSW, Larry.owens@wku.edu
- Dana Sullivan, PhD, MSW, dana.sullivan@wku.edu