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ABSTRACT

The midsummer boundary layer (BL) circulation and afternoon thunderstorm convection on the leeside of Puerto Rico is studied using observations and high-resolution models. Satellite infrared data help to identify cases on 5 and 14 June 2010 when midday surface temperatures show a 2°C gradient between land and sea and afternoon cloud-top temperatures < −60°C. Acoustic sounder profiles are analyzed for climatology, wind shear, turbulence, and diurnal cycles in the 40–300-m layer. Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model simulations indicate that sea-breeze flow is entrained into convective cells near Mayaguez, Puerto Rico. The simulated BL wind shear is too weak (0.5 × 10⁻² s⁻¹) in comparison with the acoustic sounder (2 × 10⁻³ s⁻¹). Model 900-hPa winds are southeasterly and spread simulated convection too far north in comparison with radar. The pattern of near-surface winds in the island wake triggers afternoon thunderstorms near Mayaguez. A feature of the confluent circulation around Puerto Rico is opposing shear zones on the leeward corners of the island and a sea breeze of 5 m s⁻¹ over the west coast during midday.

1. Introduction

Atmospheric boundary layer (BL) confluence often triggers moist convection along shear lines associated with frictional drag and surface heat fluxes (Wilson and Schreiber 1986). Over the Florida peninsula dual sea-breeze circulations generate convergence and afternoon thunderstorms (Cooper et al. 1982; Blanchard and López 1985; Wakimoto and Atkins 1994; Kingsmill 1995). Deep summer convection over the western Pacific Ocean islands was related to confluent circulations under daytime heating, with large-scale easterly flow opposed by low-level westerly sea breezes (Liu and Moncrieff 1996; Carbone et al. 2000; Keenan et al. 2000; Nordeen et al. 2001). Smith et al. (1997) have studied the convective streamers that extend downstream from subtropical islands. Narrow wakes under strong trade winds were compared with broad wakes under lighter flow (Schar and Smith 1993a,b; Grubišić et al. 1995). An island’s frictional drag and daytime heating can produce confluent shear zones that organize the convection (Etling 1989; Jury et al. 2009, hereinafter J09). Observation and modeling around the Hawaiian Islands have revealed a long wind shadow (Smith and Grubišić 1993; Burk et al. 2003; Carlis et al. 2010; Nguyen et al. 2010) and cloud bands along the leading edges (Smolarkiewicz et al. 1988; Rasmussen et al. 1989) with Froude number of less than 0.5. An understanding of these processes can aid short-range local weather forecasts.

Puerto Rico is an island in the central Caribbean, 18.1°–18.4°N, 67.5°–65.5°W (70 km × 200 km), with a zonal mountain ridge of greater than 600 m in elevation (Fig. 1a). The land surface vegetation fraction is greater than 0.7 except in late winter and the island is bordered by the warm Caribbean Sea on its southern flank where surface temperatures exceed 28°C in summer. Trade winds prevail 85% of the time and there are few islands...
Puerto Rico's topography generates confluent BL circulations that organize convective clouds in bands during the afternoon (Malkus 1955; Pearce et al. 1956). In steady easterly flow, a simple model exhibited a trapped leeside wave near Mayaguez, Puerto Rico (Bennett et al. 1998), and northeasterly (southeasterly) trade winds along the north (south) coast during midday. The confluent convection over the western interior of Puerto Rico is so frequent that mean annual rainfall doubles in comparison with that found on the upstream coast. Our study is motivated by the need for more accurate short-range wind and streamflow forecasts. We make use of the Advanced Research Weather Research and Forecasting model (ARW-WRF) to simulate changes in BL circulations and summer convection under trade wind conditions, as in Pagowski et al. (2005) and Chiao (2006).

Our primary focus is to analyze the BL confluence over the west coast of Puerto Rico and associated diurnal convection. We seek to characterize BL wind and turbulence profiles in the island wake, where daytime sea breezes play an important role. To achieve our objectives we compare 12-km operational and 1-km simulated WRF model products with observations from a mesonetwork of automatic stations, National Weather Service (NWS) radar, and satellite products. In an effort to improve the observing system, the University of Puerto Rico meteorology program positioned a Scintec Doppler acoustic sounder at Mayaguez airport (MAZ) in 2010 to obtain hourly measurements. In section 2, the data and analysis methods are outlined. Section 3 provides the results, divided into background diurnal cycle, case study observations and model features, and profile intercomparison. Section 4
provides a concluding discussion. This paper extends the work of J09 through detailed analysis of Doppler acoustic sounder data in conjunction with numerical model wind profiles.

2. Data and analysis methods

Mayaguez is located on a bay in the center of the west coast of Puerto Rico (Fig. 1a). Nearby there are a few automatic weather stations, and rain and river gauges maintained by the National Ocean and Atmosphere Administration (NOAA), those reporting via the WunderGround Internet site, and the University of Puerto Rico Mayaguez. Scintec Doppler acoustic sounder measurements were made at Mayaguez airport every hour, consisting of profiles of wind direction, speed, and “sigmaW” at elevations from 40 to 300 m. (Product information on the profiler could be found online at the time of writing at http://www.scintec.com/english/Web/Scintec/Details/A033000.aspx.) The profiler obtained a data capture rate of greater than 75% during the month of June 2010 from 40 to 140 m. There are a number of other routine measurement systems including 12-hourly radiosonde and Aircraft Meteorological Data Relay (AMDAR) aircraft profiles at San Juan, Puerto Rico, and NOAA meteorological buoys in shelf waters. The National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) assimilate the local and satellite data into various operational and reanalysis products.

Satellite infrared data were analyzed to describe land surface heating and isolated afternoon thunderstorms that develop near Mayaguez. The Climate Prediction Center morphing technique (CMORPH) multisatellite product (Joyce et al. 2004) provides background information on rain rates every 3 h for a 25-km grid cell over Mayaguez. We study flow patterns and surface heat fluxes around the island using operational WRF model analyses drawn from the NCEP NOAA Operational Model Archive and Distribution System (NOMADS) and NOAA “Ready” Internet sites. A context is provided by averaging certain data for the mean diurnal cycle in June 2010, a midsummer month that has strong solar forcing and prevalent trade winds seldom interrupted by synoptic weather disturbances. In our earlier work (J09) cases were selected when trade winds prevailed, and afternoon island rainfall coincided with clear skies over the surrounding ocean. Here, we have additional insights on the Mayaguez sea breeze from a mesoscale observing system and the Doppler acoustic sounder. Two cases were selected for detailed analysis: 5 and 14 June 2010, and 1-km research WRF model simulations were made as outlined below.

Modeling of case studies

Numerical simulations are performed using WRF-ARW, version 3 (detailed information on the WRF model may be found at www.wrf-model.org). Our simulations are configured with an outer domain that encompasses the island of Puerto Rico and about 100 km of surrounding ocean. A total of 61 unequally spaced layers in the vertical were employed with the lowest model level 10 m above ground and the uppermost at 50 hPa. Two different model forcing data (i.e., initial and time-dependent lateral boundary conditions) were examined, the first using the 12-km operational WRF model assimilated data known as the North American Mesoscale (NAM) product. However, these forcing data resulted in ~30% overpredicted surface winds. Alternatively, we employed the hindcast 32-kmEta Model product known as the North American Regional Reanalysis (NARR; Mesinger et al. 2006) as the forcing data. Although NARR precipitation is low over the Antilles islands (Jury 2009), the simulations gave realistic kinematic fields and form the basis of our results. Surface temperature fields from Eta data assimilation correspond to collocated station data (from 28.5°C offshore to 37°C at the warmest leeward point at 1400 LST). Assimilated soil moisture fraction increased from 0.3 on the leeward plains to >0.6 on the windward mountains on case days, as compared with station measurements from 0.4 to 0.6, and a satellite [Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer for Earth Observing System (AMSR-E)] June 2010 average of 0.8.

The model had 18 h of spinup time prior to convection. The Mellor–Yamada–Janjic (MYJ) BL scheme is employed. In the MYJ scheme (Janjic 2001), the parameterization of turbulence in the BL represents a nonsingular implementation of the Mellor–Yamada turbulence closure model (Mellor and Yamada 1982) through the full range of atmospheric turbulent regimes. We used the WRF atmospheric radiation scheme of Mlawer et al. (1997), which accounts for longwave and shortwave transfer and interactions between the atmosphere, clouds, and surface. No cumulus parameterization is used; precipitation is produced from grid-scale condensation and convection determined from an explicit moisture scheme that includes ice-phase micro-physics (the WRF single-moment 3-class ice scheme). We employed a nested grid with outer and inner domains of 3-km resolution (160 × 84 grid points) and 1-km resolution (272 × 109 grid points), respectively. The atmospheric component is coupled to a land surface model that includes four soil layers, and solves the water and energy balance equations at the land surface; the
Noah land surface model is employed with initialization from NARR soil moisture fields in the 0–2-m layer. We make comparative interpretations of operational 12-km model, 1-km model simulation, and observations from the harbor weather station and the airport Doppler acoustic sounder. The processes underlying leeside convection were evaluated from 1-km-resolution WRF fields of low-level winds, equivalent potential temperature, and radar reflectivity fields.

We investigate the spatial structure of prevailing easterly winds across the island, and how these affect BL height (defined by lapse rate and turbulence) and afternoon convection for two summer cases, with a focus on sea breezes on the lee side of Puerto Rico.

3. Results

a. Background diurnal cycle and vertical structure

Midsummer diurnal convection in the Caribbean coincides with a direct solar angle, subsidence from the Hadley cell, and evaporative losses of >6 mm day$^{-1}$ that deplete soil moisture. Figure 1a includes the 100-m-level wind rose from Doppler acoustic sounder for June 2010, and illustrates the prevailing easterly trade winds with a combined occurrence of 58%. The northwest sea-breeze prevalence is 15% with speeds above 3 m s$^{-1}$ much of the time. The sea breeze is more frequent at 40 m (24%) than at 200 m (7%) during June 2010, suggesting it undercuts the trade winds at times. As the sea breeze is predominantly in the northwest sector, Mayaguez falls within the wind shear regime associated with the northwest corner of the island. The infrared satellite image and surface weather reports in the case of 14 June 2010 illustrate the confluent afternoon circulation and isolated thunderstorm (Fig. 1b). Coastal winds of >5 m s$^{-1}$ turn 45° onshore; and the air mass is characterized by $T = 31^\circ$C and $T_d = 25^\circ$C. Cloud-top temperatures of less than −60°C indicate a convective depth of 13 km [175 mb (hPa)] and radius of ≈35 km at 1400 LST. The daytime convergence and orographic lifting by the upslope wind component are likely important for the development of afternoon thunderstorms.

Diurnal averaged rainfall in the Mayaguez area for June 2010 from satellite and the operationalEta product are analyzed in Fig. 2a. The CMORPH satellite estimate shows a mean rise from 1400 to a peak at 1700 LST (3 mm h$^{-1}$) and a gradual decline thereafter. The Eta Model representation has light rainfall in the morning typical of a marine environment, and follows with a terrestrial peak near 1700 LST that is approximately one-half of the satellite value averaged over June 2010. In earlier work, the Mayaguez sea breeze was found to enhance convection within the prevailing trade wind flow (J09). The mean frequency distribution of June 2010 zonal winds at Mayaguez harbor is analyzed in Fig. 2b. Considering all times of day, weak easterly winds from −1 to 0 m s$^{-1}$ are most prevalent, suggesting the calm island wake overlies the station on average. Focusing on the midday period, it is found that sea breezes from +3 to +4 m s$^{-1}$ are just as frequent as calm to light trade winds in June 2010. Intercomparison of diurnal air temperature range over the leeward coastal plains reveals morning to afternoon values from 25° to 31°C in station, Eta, and WRF data. Minor differences are attributable to heterogeneous cooling by afternoon showers.

The diurnal cycle of turbulence as measured by the Doppler acoustic sounder at Mayaguez airport (3 km inland) is analyzed in Figs. 2c,d for the two cases: 5 and 14 June 2010. There is an alternation between calm nocturnal conditions and midday heating, as expected. SigmaW values of 0.1 m s$^{-1}$ at sunrise jump above 0.8 m s$^{-1}$ by 1100 LST in the BL above 150 m, as solar radiation exceeds 1000 W m$^{-2}$. In the 5 June case, turbulent conditions continue until sunset at MAZ, whereas on 14 June convective rainfall and decreases in shortwave radiation cool the BL (e.g., Fig. 6c), terminating afternoon turbulence by 1500 LST. Diurnal cycling of rainfall is evident in the CMORPH rain rate and Anasco streamflow time series (Fig. 2e), in addition to intermittent synoptic forcing by easterly waves. The streamflow rises on 5 June with a large thunderstorm bringing $3.9 \times 10^5$ m$^3$ of rain volume to the catchment (Table 1). Another peak in streamflow occurs following the second case of 14 June with $5.8 \times 10^5$ m$^3$. The synoptic cycling is related to the passage of weak troughs (J09). Diurnal peaks of rainfall, governed by island heating and confluent circulations, are seen on 15 of 20 days in June 2010.

The composite SLP map on sea-breeze days (Fig. 3a) corresponds to an ~1026-mb North Atlantic Ocean anticyclone in midbasin position (~35°N, 30°W) and lower pressure (1010 mb) north of Panama (10°N, 80°W) and over the adjacent continents. Trade winds are typically 6 m s$^{-1}$ near Puerto Rico on sea-breeze days with an anticyclone ridge westward toward the Caribbean, but subtropical troughs and African easterly waves are generally weak then. Inspection of upper-level charts reveals that a ridge lies north of Puerto Rico on the case days.

NWS radiosonde and AMDAR aircraft profiles at San Juan on the northeast coast offer background on the vertical structure. The 0800 LST skew T soundings (Figs. 3b,c) exhibit lapse rates between moist and dry adiabatic
and thus conditionally unstable. Dewpoint depressions are a rather constant ~10°C and are largest near 720 mb on 5 June. Winds shift from easterly to westerly above that layer. On 14 June, there was a vertical gradient in dewpoint depression from low values (<5°C) below 800 mb to >15°C above 700 mb, with a stable layer between. Easterly winds prevailed aloft on 14 June. AMDAR profiles are analyzed for 1400 LST (Figs. 3d–f).

**TABLE 1. Contrasts between the two case-study days.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CAPE at 0800 LST</th>
<th>Upper winds</th>
<th>Trade winds</th>
<th>Sea breeze</th>
<th>Harbor temperatures*</th>
<th>11–14-h Qh flux</th>
<th>14–17-h rain vol</th>
<th>Lightning strikes</th>
<th>Island wake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 June</td>
<td>1860 J kg⁻¹</td>
<td>Westerly</td>
<td>5 m s⁻¹</td>
<td>6.5 h</td>
<td>28.3°C am 30.5°C pm</td>
<td>150 W m⁻²</td>
<td>3.9 × 10⁶ m³</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18.05°N south</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 June</td>
<td>2490 J kg⁻¹</td>
<td>Easterly</td>
<td>7 m s⁻¹</td>
<td>3.3 h</td>
<td>30.9°C am 25.8°C pm</td>
<td>240 W m⁻²</td>
<td>5.8 × 10⁶ m³</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>18.25°N north</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Here, am indicates 1000 LST and pm indicates 1600 LST.
Fig. 3. (a) Composite North Atlantic SLP map for case days. San Juan NWS radiosonde skew T plot at 0800 LST for (b) 5 and (c) 14 Jun 2010. San Juan 1400 LST AMDAR profiles for (d) speed, (e) direction, and (f) temperature with the dashed line being the BL inflow height.
indicate a 400-m-deep BL layer where island friction and midday heating deflects the trade winds on both days. A weak stable temperature layer (or inversion) is found at 400 m for the 14 June case, which coincides with a reduction in speed and a change in direction from 70° in the BL to 115° aloft.

b. Island-scale features

The island-scale circulation is studied from operational WRF wind fields. Trade winds of 080° at 5 m s⁻¹ prevailed on 5 June (Fig. 4a), whereas on 14 June directions were 085° at 7 m s⁻¹. Although differences

FIG. 4. Operational WRF-model-analyzed (a) 1000-mb marine winds (m s⁻¹) at 1400 LST for two case days: 5 and 14 Jun 2010; (b) 1400 LST NWS radar reflectivity on the same days as (a); (c) 1400 LST 1-km resolution WRF-model-simulated radar reflectivity. Map scales vary.
seem minor, the Froude number $F = U/NH$ (where $U$ is the wind, $N$ is the Brunt–Väisälä frequency, and $H$ is the mountain height; see J09) is $\sim 0.7$ on 5 June and the island is larger. The angle of the trade winds causes the downstream confluence to be in a more southerly position $(18.05^\circ N)$ on 5 June relative to 14 June $(18.25^\circ N)$. Convective development over Puerto Rico is analyzed from 1-km WRF-model-simulated radar reflectivity at 1400 LST for the two case days, in comparison with NWS radar reflectivity. On 5 June, the NWS radar indicates a more central axis for convection (Fig. 4b). Reflectivity was slightly higher on 14 June consistent with more intense rainfall (cf. Fig. 1e, Table 1) than 5 June. The WRF simulation for 5 June exhibited more echoes of $>40$ dBZ under weaker trade winds. In both cases, afternoon thunderstorms were simulated to spread over the northwest quadrant of the island, but radar data indicate a narrow east–west-oriented cloud band.

A feature of the confluent circulation around Puerto Rico is the cyclonic–anticyclonic shear zones over the northwest and southwest corners of the island, respectively. The meridional component of the trade winds is analyzed from operational WRF model fields as time–latitude Hovmöller plots over western Puerto Rico (on $67^\circ W$) in Fig. 5a. Inward upshear components develop on either side of the island between 1100 and 1700 LST that extend 50 km north (−$V$) and south (+$V$). On 5 June, the two shear zones were more isolated, whereas on 14 June they merged with stronger marine flow. Sensible heat flux $Q_h$ maxima coincide with $V$ magnitudes, and reach peak values of 150 W m$^{-2}$ on 5 June and 240 W m$^{-2}$ on 14 June. Flux values here are comparable to those found by Marshall et al. (2004) over Florida. Wind fluctuations as indicated by +$V$ winds near $18^\circ N$ at 1100 and 1700 LST on 14 June. The operational WRF model 3-h time series (Figs. 5b,c) for two points near Mayaguez (cf. Fig. 4a) indicates a peak in surface fluxes at 1100 LST ($Q_h = 50$ W m$^{-2}$ on the bay and 100 W m$^{-2}$ on the mountain; latent heat flux $Q_e = 100$ W m$^{-2}$ on the bay and 400 W m$^{-2}$ on the mountain), followed by increased zonal wind (5 June only) and afternoon rainfall. On 5 June, rain $>5$ mm h$^{-1}$ remained inland but was of longer duration (1400–2000 LST), while on 14 June, rain $>10$ mm h$^{-1}$ affected both bay and mountain points in a shorter burst (1400 LST). The operational WRF model at 12-km resolution does not reproduce a sea breeze on 14 June, while on 5 June it affects both bay and mountain points.

c. Observations at Mayaguez

Sea breezes at the Mayaguez harbor station on 5 and 14 June 2010 (Figs. 6a–d) had varied characteristics (cf. Table 1). Wind directions switched from trade winds (90$^\circ$) to westerly by 0800 LST on 5 June and from 1000 LST on 14 June. The sea breeze abated later on 5 June (1800) than on 14 June (1400), so total duration was 6.5 h as compared with 3.3 h. There was an inertial tendency causing wind directions to rotate 30$^\circ$ from southwest to northwest through midday. On 5 June, convection passed south of the station, interrupting the sea breeze from 1500 to 1600 LST. A large thunderstorm swept through the area on 14 June. Onshore wind speeds increased after 1100 LST with turbulent momentum transfer, and speeds peaked at 5 m s$^{-1}$ around 1400 LST in both cases. Air temperatures were muted by morning clouds on 5 June, eventually reaching an afternoon peak of greater than 30°C. On the other hand, air temperatures rose steeply on 14 June to a maximum before 1000 LST. As the sea breeze commenced, evaporative cooling over Mayaguez Bay induced a 1.5°C drop in air temperature. With 1200 LST coastal air temperatures of 29.8°C compared with inland values of 32.1°C, the sea-to-land gradient is 2.3°C (2.3 km)$^{-1}$. As the afternoon thunderstorm passed by, there was a sudden 5°C temperature drop. These fluctuations are supported by shortwave radiation time series at the nearby University station (Fig. 6d). Both days saw the expected Gaussian increase in solar radiation during the morning, with isolated clouds more evident on 5 June. By midday solar radiation spiked above 1000 W m$^{-2}$, but, as convection formed around 1400 LST, values declined sharply on 5 June to 300 W m$^{-2}$ and 14 June to <100 W m$^{-2}$.

d. Simulation results

Figure 7 shows the 1-km WRF-model-simulated vertical section and 10-m wind field for 5 June 2010 at 1200, 1300, and 1400 LST. The model develops a 600-m-deep, 5 m s$^{-1}$ sea breeze that fans out from Mayaguez Bay. The sea breeze penetrates less than 20 km inland, where it is entrained into convection spreading from the central mountains. The sea-breeze air mass is characterized by $\theta_e$ values of 352 K, about 3 K above ambient. Offshore trade wind flow above the sea breeze is 5 m s$^{-1}$ in the layer 1500–2000 m and is characterized by $\theta_e$ of 332 K, according to the simulation. Changes from midday to afternoon are evident in the deepening of convection that punctuates the kinematic structure via condensation–evaporation and associated weakening of the land–sea temperature gradient. In the 10-m wind field, there is a gradual strengthening of the northern shear zone, so winds in Mayaguez Bay swing from westerly (1200) to northwesterly (1400). As a result, the sea breeze does not penetrate inland, but southward against the southern shear zone.
FIG. 5. Operational WRF-model-analyzed (a) 10-m $V$ wind (shaded) and sensible heat flux (contours; W m$^{-2}$) as latitude–time Hovmöller and terrain profile on 67$^\circ$W (dashed in Fig. 1a). Operational WRF-model-analyzed 3-h rain, zonal winds, and surface fluxes at (b) bay and (c) mountain points (cf. Fig. 4a) for (left) 5 and (right) 14 Jun 2010.
In contrast, the 14 June simulated 10-m wind field (Fig. 8) exhibits broad southeasterly flow in the south instead of anticyclonic shear, so confluence is farther north. The sea breeze over Mayaguez Bay has a depth of 700 m (where $U$ changes sign) and speed of 5 m s$^{-1}$, and penetrates $>20$ km inland where it is drawn into convective elements after 1300 LST. The tendency for the Mayaguez sea breeze to swing to northwesterly is repeated in this case. The offshore trade wind flow above the sea breeze is stronger (8 m s$^{-1}$), deeper (2–3 km), and drier ($\theta_e = 322$ K) in contrast with 5 June. Nevertheless, a noteworthy feature is that in both events some afternoon thunderstorms occur within the island interior over the western part of the island rather than the leeside confluence zone. It appears the thermal forcing from the surface may play an important role.

For wind profiles during the sea breeze at MAZ, the 1-km WRF model indicates a depth of 600 m where wind speeds decline and wind directions shift from northwest to southeast (Figs. 9a,b). The WRF simulates a maximum of $\sim 5$ m s$^{-1}$ near the 100-m level. Intercomparisons with acoustic sounder data (Figs. 9c,d) indicate the sea-breeze strength below 200 m is overpredicted by $\sim 2$ m s$^{-1}$ but directions are close to observed. Acoustic sounder profiles are analyzed to a depth of 300 m in Figs. 10a,b. Wind speeds show a trend of increasing shear as the sea breeze strengthens to a maximum of 5 m s$^{-1}$ at 1400 LST in both cases. The directional profiles identify the longer duration of the sea breeze on 5 June (6 h) than on 14 June (3 h). Model-simulated wind shear in the 40–200-m layer was too weak ($0.5 \times 10^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) in comparison with acoustic sounder data ($2 \times 10^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$).

4. Concluding discussion

The midsummer BL circulation and afternoon thunderstorm convection over Mayaguez were studied using observations and high-resolution models. Patterns of BL temperature and confluence were evaluated as triggers for thunderstorms that form in the island wake, as in Nordeen et al. (2001). Satellite infrared data were used to identify cases on 5 and 14 June 2010 when 1100 LST surface temperatures show a 2°–3°C increase from coast to inland and 1500 LST cloud-top temperatures reach $\sim 60°C$. Considering a simplified equation for scale analysis of the sea breeze: $\Delta U = [(gH/\theta)d\theta/dx]dt$ (Pielke and Segal 1986), where $dt = 7.2 \times 10^3$ s is the time interval from calm to peak sea breeze, $g$ is gravity, $H$ is representative depth for the temperature gradient, $\theta$ is 1000-mb temperature (304 K), and $d\theta/dx$ is the observed 1200 LST land–sea air temperature gradient $+2°C (3 \text{ km})^{-1}$. Assuming $H \sim 20$ m, a $\Delta U$ of 5 m s$^{-1}$ is obtained at 1400 LST, consistent with

---

**Fig. 6.** Time series of 6-min (a) wind direction ($^\circ$), (b) wind speed (m s$^{-1}$), and (c) air temperature (°C) at Mayaguez harbor and (d) solar radiation (W m$^{-2}$) at the university: 5 and 14 Jun 2010. Station positions are given in Fig. 7b.
FIG. 7. The 1-km-resolution WRF-model-simulated vertical east–west section on MAZ [black dot in (b),(d),(f)] of zonal wind (black lines), equivalent potential temperature (red lines), and radar reflectivity (shaded) for (a) 1200, (c) 1300, and (e) 1400 LST 5 Jun 2010. (b),(d),(f) The 10-m wind field for same times as in (a),(c),(e). Harbor and university stations are open dots in (b).
observations and simulations shown here. Differences were found between the two cases: there was a shorter sea breeze on 14 June because of stronger winds and convection, with lower shortwave radiation (cf. Fig. 6d).

Acoustic sounder profiles were obtained to study diurnal winds and turbulence in the 40–200-m layer. Simulated wind shear is weak ($0.5 \times 10^{-2}$ $\text{s}^{-1}$) in comparison with acoustic sounder data ($2 \times 10^{-2}$ $\text{s}^{-1}$).
Perhaps surface friction is underestimated in the WRF input fields. In the operational 12-km WRF model, $z_0 = 0.36$ m in the grid cell of Mayaguez airport. Using the log-wind profile $U_z = U^* / k (\ln z / z_0)$ with friction velocity $U^* = 0.2$ m s$^{-1}$, we obtain wind shear consistent with our simulation that is much less than observed. Employing the Ekman wind solution $U_z = U_g [1 - e^{-az} \cos(az)]$ where $U_g$ is geostrophic wind, $a = (f/2K)^{0.5}$, $f$ is the Coriolis parameter, and $K$ is the eddy viscosity $= 5$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ for turbulent flow over rough terrain, we obtain a wind shear close to observed. This suggests the need to conduct further WRF simulations with varying surface roughness.
One-kilometer WRF simulations exhibit sea-breeze entrainment in convective cells near Mayaguez, yet cumulative rain volume was similar to the operational 12-km NAM analyses. A clear advantage of the finer resolution is an improved representation of confluent circulations and convection between northern and southern shear zones. In our 1-km simulation CAPE values were 20% overpredicted so model rainfall started too early (1300 LST) and convection spread too far north [Aguadilla (BQN)] under southeasterly 900–700-mb winds. We found that simulated 900-mb winds upstream at San Juan International Airport (SJU) remained easterly on 14 June, but on 5 June, the wind shift was from 100° to 160° over the 18-h model run. With the simulated flow out of alignment with the island, winds over the northwest corner weakened and could not “contain” the convection near Mayaguez. Hence, the evolving atmospheric state in the NARR initialization data misled the simulation. In reality, the Atlantic Ridge and associated trade winds persisted. Another issue is the exclusion of the downstream island of Hispaniola from the nested model domain, which may leave zonal flows less constrained.

The land surface modeling and assimilation of precipitation and satellite radiance profiles in the hindcast NARR fields provided better representation of boundary conditions around Puerto Rico than the operational
NAM fields, possibly because the latter is located at the corner of its domain. Moreover, the sea-breeze overestimate was 1–2 m s\(^{-1}\) with the NARR initialization while the trade winds were adequately captured. The wind bias would have been greater with NAM forcing data. It appears the dry bias using the NARR initialization on the leeside plain in the 1-km domain would result in stronger surface heating. Thus, the sea-breeze strength below 200 m is overpredicted. Subsequently, afternoon thunderstorms that occurred within the island interior may have been caused by the stronger surface heating. Thus, the initial soil moisture needs to be improved. Further uncertainty also derives from the WRF model SST, BL, parameterization, and cloud microphysics. To overcome any bias from NARR initialization, further simulations could make use of the climate forecast system reanalysis product (Saha et al. 2010). Sensitivity tests and quantitative validations using various parameterization schemes will be the focus of future research.
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