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“[T]he unexamined life is not worth living.”

I. INTRODUCTION

With few exceptions, a user can sign up for an account and receive content and services without providing anything more than the credentials they wish to use at the site. Yet the companies that provide these services are valued in the billions of dollars. Users have a vague sense that Facebook, Google, and other internet companies make money through advertising, and indeed the sheer number of users is a big factor in attracting advertisers, but this is only a small part of the picture. The other, and perhaps even more valuable, source of revenue is the data that these companies collect about users’ preferences and demographics. In sum, data has become the currency of the internet.

An entire industry has developed around purchasing, analyzing, and even combining the data collected by Internet companies. This industry is largely responsible for allowing the
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Internet to evolve from a means of sharing information between universities into what it has become today.\(^8\) Both the gathering and the use of data collected online have begun to raise privacy concerns with regulators.\(^9\) They question whether consumers are really giving informed consent as they sign up for these services, and what limits should be placed on the use and sale of consumer data including whether consumers should have the opportunity to opt out.\(^10\)

Overly burdensome regulation could end the era of free online services as well as limit access to the wealth of data they produce.\(^11\) Currently, a patchwork of privacy regulations and laws have left marketing associations trying to fill the void with industry best practices to provide clear guidelines on gathering and using data while respecting consumers’ privacy.\(^12\) Although these guidelines are not binding, reputable companies have a strong incentive to adhere to them because they know that consumer trust is gained over years and lost in only instants.\(^13\) Less reputable companies and outright scammers gather data simply because they know it can be


\(^10\) Id.; Grant Gross, *FTC to Consider Stricter Online Privacy Rules*, PCWORLD (Dec. 7,2009), http://www.pcworld.com/article/183910/article.html (quoting FTC Chairman Jon Leibowitz, “How many consumers . . . have ever heard the names of the many ad networks that end up with their information in the process of targeting ads? . . . How many people understand the networks’ role?”)


\(^13\) Telephone Interview with Chuck Sharp, CEO, RightIntel (Sept. 15, 2014 10:00AM); see also Kashmir Hill, *How Target Figured Out A Teen Girl Was Pregnant Before Her Father Did*, FORBES (Feb. 16, 2012), http://www.forbes.com/sites/kashmirhill/2012/02/16/how-target-figured-out-a-teen-girl-was-pregnant-before-her-father-did/.
sold or used in phishing or identity theft schemes.\textsuperscript{14} Thus far, the FTC has focused on enforcement of companies’ self-imposed privacy policies and has yet to provide minimum requirements for those policies.\textsuperscript{15} Continued concerns have led academics to question whether the time for self regulation has passed.\textsuperscript{16} This paper considers how regulation similar to the UDAAP standard that currently protects the customers of financial institutions could be adapted to protect consumers online while allowing companies to leverage the valuable benefits that data can provide.

Part II gives a brief review of the benefits and risks created by the analytical power that big data can provide, current methods of collection and use, the legal framework currently in place, and why banking regulations would serve as a good model for the data industry. Part III gives an outline of risk based, disclosure oriented regulatory guidelines modeled after standards developed in the banking industry.

\section*{II. BACKGROUND}

\subsection*{A. The Power of Big Data}

\textsuperscript{14} Telephone Interview with Chuck Sharp, CEO, RightIntel (Sept. 15, 2014 10:00AM).


The basic object of statistical analysis is to use samples to generalize about a larger population. More data lends greater predictive power to the generalizations that result from the study. Big data gathered through the Internet not only provides access to a nearly endless supply of data points, but also allows data to be gathered on an individual basis, eliminating the need for generalizations. Both the generalizations obtained through analysis of large datasets and the individual data itself carry risks and benefits for consumers.

1. Benefits and Risks of Aggregate Data

Increasing predictive power through increasing sample size is the driving force behind data learning. Rather than programming the proper response to every problem an application might encounter, data learning allows a computer program to gather data until it learns how to respond. For example, in the 1950s an IBM engineer wrote a program that allowed him to play checkers against a computer. Because the computer only understood the concept of a legal move, he could easily beat it. After designing a process that allowed the computer to record the odds of winning given a board configuration, he was still able to beat it. But after allowing the computer to play against itself, it was able to produce enough data to develop accurate probabilities of winning based on each board configuration. This proceeded until Arthur
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Samuel could no longer win a single game against his computer opponent—he had designed a machine that was better than he was at the task he had taught it.24

Kenneth Cukier, the data editor of The Economist, noted that the same data learning processes that allowed a computer to learn checkers strategy could allow self-driving cars to learn the rules of the road or for vehicle warning systems to alert drivers to potentially dangerous conditions on the road without requiring us to explicitly handle programmatically each and every situation the program might encounter.25 But rather than just one car providing data, we might imagine if the data were shared between cars.26 The time it would take for a computer to learn the processes involved in driving would be far less, just as the process for learning checkers could have gone faster with multiple computers playing multiple games and sharing the results.

In the medical field, data learning was applied to create an algorithm that would identify cancerous cells within a biopsy.27 Through this process, the computer was able to learn twelve factors that it used to predict cancer, three of which were previously unknown.28 The sheer amount of data allowed the algorithm to recognize traits that traditional medical research had not been able to identify.29 The application of a similar processes using data from social networking sites linked with medical records could aid in measuring outcomes and conducting observational
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studies. The data could also aid in identifying and notifying people at risk for certain diseases.

Data can also allow businesses to operate more efficiently. According to a well-known anecdote among data scientists, through an analysis of supermarket customers’ purchases, statisticians identified a trend of diapers and beer being purchased frequently in the same transaction. As a result, stores began to place beer and diapers in closer proximity or in a place where customers would have to pass on their way to the checkout counter increasing beer sales. While the story itself may just be a thought experiment, Amazon put the principle in action through its “frequently purchased together” feature with wild success. Target, on the other hand, was the subject of outrage when they used similar analysis to predict that a teenage girl was pregnant and sent her coupons for baby items before she had told her parents.

These types of pattern recognition processes yield other insights into individual behavior and characteristics. A recent study in the Proceedings of the National Academies studied users’ Facebook “likes” to identify which “likes” were the strongest predictors of high intelligence. Among the top five was a page dedicated to curly fries. While it is very unlikely the fries
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themselves have any causative effect on intelligence, the researchers found that because a smart person had created this page, the way that the page propagated to his friends and on to their friends made it predictive of higher intelligence.\footnote{Jennifer Golbeck, The Curly Fry Conundrum: Why Social Media “Likes” Say More Than You Might Think, Remarks at TEDx MidAtlantic 2013 (Oct. 2013) (transcript available at https://www.ted.com/talks/jennifer_golbeck_the_curly_fry_conundrum_why_social_media_likes_say_more_than_you_might_think/transcript?language=en) (suggesting that homophily, the tendency for people to befriend those that are like themselves, might explain the relationship between high intelligence and curly fries).} These types of processes not only lend insight into the characteristics of individuals, but can also lend themselves to development of a kind of topology of how ideas and behaviors move through social networks.\footnote{Id.; Eric Gilbert and Garrie Karahalios, \textit{Predicting Tie Strength with Social Media}, 2009 PROCEEDINGS OF THE SIGCHI CONFERENCE ON HUMAN FACTORS IN COMPUTING SYSTEMS 211.}

Using data from social media, researchers were able to create mechanisms to predict political preference, gender, sexual orientation, religion, and age.\footnote{Kosinski, \textit{supra} note 35 at 5804.} At times, the content of the data itself directly reveals the attribute without the need for statistical analysis, for example, affiliation with gay groups like “Being Gay” or “Gay Marriage.”\footnote{Id.} The researchers noted that the process they use raises “negative implications, because it can easily be applied to large numbers of people without obtaining their individual consent and without them noticing. . . . One can imagine situations in which such predictions, even if incorrect, could pose a threat to an individual’s well-being, freedom, or even life.”\footnote{Id. at 5805.}

The crux of the danger that big data presents is that consumers are largely unaware of the consequences of the seemingly mundane things that they share everyday through their online activities.\footnote{Id.; Golbeck, \textit{supra} note 37.} Theoretically, analysis of the same, publically available data that researchers have used to predict an individual’s age, race and gender could also be used to predict drug and
alcohol use, propensity for criminal activity, any number of hiring criteria, or even credit risk. Companies could use these processes to discriminate based on characteristics that a consumer may not even have because of the generalizations involved in making the assessment. Even when the generalizations are correct, this also presents the risk of discrimination for protected classes because membership in that class could be predicted using data from social media and hidden within an algorithm, allowing organizations to hide discriminatory practices.

2. Benefits and Risks of Individualized Data

Predictive generalizations are not the only application of large datasets. Data can have an impact on an individual basis. In her TED talk, Talithia Williams shares the story of how tracking her individual data allowed her to make better decisions regarding her pregnancy. Based on decades of data, doctors estimate due dates for pregnant women assuming a 28 day menstruation cycle. Because she kept track of her cycle, Ms. Williams knew that she did not fit this generalization, and was able to determine that the decision to induce labor was premature. But individualized data like this may already be available without requiring us to gather it ourselves.
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Both individualized and aggregate data allows marketers to target their advertisements, reducing the cost to the company as well as the annoyance to the potential consumer.\textsuperscript{50} Marketers seek to identify a need and show the consumer how to fill it.\textsuperscript{51} They don’t want to market to uninterested consumers because it is expensive both in terms the cost of the advertisement as well as in terms of the potential business relationship.\textsuperscript{52} Data allows marketing to work more efficiently for all parties.\textsuperscript{53} Knowledge of a potential customer’s individual likes and dislikes eliminates the guesswork associated with aggregate data.\textsuperscript{54} A McKinsey report estimates the potential annual consumer surplus from using personal location data at $600 billion and the potential increase in retailers’ operating margins at 60\%.\textsuperscript{55}

However, individualized data presents risks similar to those for aggregate data. Instead of the risk of being wrongly categorized, individual data has the potential to invade user privacy when it is collected without the user’s knowledge, again, perhaps placing in jeopardy “an individual’s well-being, freedom, or even life.”\textsuperscript{56} Moreover, the kinds of data now routinely collected could be used to perpetrate identity theft. One researcher found that using data available for purchase online allowed him to link a user’s name to a full, nine-digit social security number in 86\% of his trials.\textsuperscript{57} The 2012 Global Internet User Survey found that the unexpected use of personal information disclosed online resulted in loss of money in 8\% of
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\textsuperscript{56} Kosinski, \textit{supra} note 35 at 5805.

\textsuperscript{57} David Goldman, \textit{Rapleaf is Selling Your Identity}, CNN Money (Oct. 21, 2010), http://money.cnn.com/2010/10/21/technology/rapleaf/ (the researcher asked not to be identified because of business dealings with several companies in the field).
cases, theft of personal information in 17% of cases, impersonation in 9% of cases, and credit damage in 4% of cases.\textsuperscript{58}

\textbf{B. Current Methods of Collection and Use}

\textit{1. Methods of Data Collection}

The familiar means of tracking user behavior is through the use of cookies.\textsuperscript{59} Upon logging into a service, a file containing a unique string of characters is installed on the user’s computer.\textsuperscript{60} This allows the site to recognize the user as they navigate the website without the need for reauthentication.\textsuperscript{61} What consumers might not know is that this file also gives the site the ability to log they way that they interact with the site.\textsuperscript{62}

Normally cookies only track interactions at a single website.\textsuperscript{63} “Supercookies,” as they have come to be called, have the ability to track a user across multiple websites and recreate cookies after a user deletes them.\textsuperscript{64} After the practice was publicized in the media, user outcry caused most companies to disclaim use of supercookies.\textsuperscript{65} Nevertheless, a new variety of supercookie has resurfaced among cell phone service providers using a similar method.\textsuperscript{66}


\textsuperscript{60} Id.


\textsuperscript{62} A.E.S., supra note 59.

\textsuperscript{63} See Cookies FAQ, supra note 61 (describing session, or transient cookies and permanent cookies).


\textsuperscript{65} Jackson, supra note 64.

Canvas fingerprinting is a new technology with the potential to replace supercookies.\(^{67}\) The “canvas” tag in a new version of the computer language used to program web sites includes a feature that allows forms to be drawn on the fly using a script that translates unique characteristics of the user’s computer into an image similar to a fingerprint.\(^{68}\) Through a process of recognizing variations in the way the form is drawn and hiding it from the user, web sites can recognize the user even after the user has deleted any cookies installed in their browser—all without notifying the user.\(^{69}\) Even after using opt-out pages, researchers determined that the fingerprinting method continued collecting data.\(^{70}\)

2. **Data Aggregation**

Beyond using data for different kinds of analysis or marketing, a growing area of user concern is the sale of data to companies that combine data from several sources and conduct aggregators.\(^{71}\) But not all aggregators appear to pose the same level of concern. To illustrate the variety of ways these aggregators function, consider two examples: Rapleaf and Factual.

Rapleaf was one of several companies in the business of constructing consumer profiles using data gathered from social media.\(^{72}\) They gathered email and physical addresses, income, and likes and dislikes including music habits from Pandora and wish lists from Amazon.\(^{73}\)
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company had detailed profiles for over 400 million web users. The data could be linked back to the individual user’s Facebook account, providing several means of contacting the user. The company offered a way for consumers to log in and view these profiles, but this offers little relief because few consumers even know they exist and the level of detail they provide. As David Goldman from CNN Money noted, “It’s one thing for a marketer to know you're 40 years old and subscribe to travel magazines; it's another for them to know you're leaving Saturday for a week in Italy.” Moreover, because the data can be linked with other data sets, the information can be traced back to a particular individual, allowing the aggregator to create detailed profiles of individual users. Malicious use of this data can result in serious consequences including loss of money, theft of personal information, impersonation, and credit damage.

Factual created a hub of data to allow the user experience for smartphone apps to be personalized for each user based on the user’s location. Businesses provide Factual with data about the location of the business and services. As a consumer uses an app at a place that Factual recognizes as a golf course, Factual might infer that the consumer is a golfer. Gil Elbaz, Factual’s founder assures us that “[a]ll of this can be done without knowing anything about who they are, their email address, or anything that’s privately identifiable . . . . We’re
simply in the background helping these companies make sense of the information they already have.”83

C. Current Legal Framework

The major regulator in the data industry is the Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”) but other regulators like the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau also exercise a role in enforcing the patchwork of laws intended to protect data under different circumstances.

Federal laws regulate privacy using a “sectoral rather than omnibus approach.”84 The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (“GLBA”) regulates financial data, requiring financial institutions to provide notice of their privacy policies and the opportunity to opt out of having information shared.85 The Fair Credit Reporting Act places limits on users and producers of consumer credit reports including imposing duties with regard to accuracy and dispute resolution.86 The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (“HIPPA”) regulates medical data, setting standards for its use and protection.87 The Controlling the Assault of Non-Solicited Pornography and Marketing Act (“CAN-SPAM”) regulates collection and use of email addresses.88 The Electronic Communications Privacy Act regulates data from the content of electronic communications.89

83 Id.
88 15 U.S. Code § 7701 et seq.
The FTC is calling for greater transparency and accountability for data brokers, but has largely declined to provide hard and fast rules, leaving privacy to be regulated according the policies of each individual company. While HIPPA and FCRA offer protections for health and financial data, there are no minimum standards, other than those companies choose to self-impose through their privacy policies, for generic types of data.

Some states have enacted data privacy laws. California requires companies to disclose to consumers when they share personal information with third parties, put reasonable security measures in place, and provide users under eighteen years old with a right similar to the EU’s “right to be forgotten.”

D. Looking to the Banking Industry

In a Forrester survey, consumers ranked banks as the type of company they consider most trustworthy when it comes to their data with 45% of respondents indicating that they trusted
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94 Cal. Bus & Prof Code §§ 22580–81. In light of data for services business model, this raises significant concerns for online companies. At its extreme, this law could allow consumers to receive a service and then demand to change the terms of the agreement under which those services were provided. Critics of this right in the EU equate it with “marching into a library and forcing it to pulp books.” Rory Cellan-Jones, EU Court Backs “Right to be Forgotten” in Google Case, BBC (May 13, 2014), http://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-27388289; see also Shaun A. Sparks, Comment: The Direct Marketing Model And Virtual Identity: Why the United States Should not Create Legislative Controls on the Use of Online Consumer Personal Data U18 Dick. J. Int'l L. 517, 541–542 (1999) (arguing that an opt-in mechanism for consumer data protection is an unconstitutional restriction of commercial speech).
banks. With banking’s reputation for honesty only beginning to recover after plummeting during the financial crisis in 2009 and 2010, we might well ask how banks are gaining trust.

First, Banks are required to make initial disclosures before allowing electronic transfers to occur and must provide an annual notice describing changes in terms and methods of resolving errors. The content is standardized and even the formatting must adhere to a set of clear guidelines provided by regulators. Second, The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (“Dodd-Frank”) requires financial institutions to refrain from any unfair, deceptive, or abusive acts or practices. These “UDAAP” standards have allowed regulators to hold financial institutions accountable. Unfair, deceptive, and abusive acts or practices are each associated with a separate legal standard. The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”) noted that their understanding of the “unfair” and “deceptive” was informed by the FTC’s understanding of those terms in the FTC Act. Ironically, the FTC could now look to the CFPB to expand their enforcement regime beyond companies’ self-
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imposed privacy policies. Indeed, data collectors and users could benefit substantially from a set of similar standardized disclosures and enforceable guidelines for the industry.

III. Analysis

The ubiquity of data and its use across industries and geographic boundaries require a standardized approach to protecting privacy. The system currently in place leaves consumers as well as companies relying on unenforceable industry best practices and guidelines. The FTC enforces the privacy policies that companies choose to provide, but each business has a different privacy policy so consumers are forced to either understand the complicated provisions on each website or risk forfeiting their privacy. A standardized set of minimum requirements would bring certainty to the market for both businesses and consumers.

In order to ensure that the exchange of access to online services in return for consumer data is meaningful and fair, the consumer must, at the very least, be kept informed of the actual price they are paying in exchange for the services they use online. But regulations need not go so far as those that govern health and financial data. Less sensitive data warrants less regulatory scrutiny. However, there should be a floor to the privacy afforded to users and rules to bring uniformity to disclosures. Regulations currently in place for financial institutions could serve as a model to produce a risk based, disclosure focused regulatory scheme for the data industry.

A. Adapting the Standards Based on User-Site Relationship, Information Sensitivity, and Use

As a preliminary matter, the rules governing data should adapt based on the wide variety of relationships between sites and users, data types, and data uses. The Organization for
Economic Cooperation and Development’s benchmark for fair information collection begins by recommending disclosure of the purpose of the data collection and obtaining consent before data is collected.\footnote{Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, OECD Guidelines on the Protection of Privacy and Transborder Flows of Personal Data 14 (2013), available at http://www.oecd.org/sti/ieconomy/2013-oecd-privacy-guidelines.pdf.} In the 2012 version, they noted that prior affirmative consent in all cases would be impractical.\footnote{Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, OECD Guidelines on the Protection of Privacy and Transborder Flows of Personal Data (2013), available at http://www.oecd.org/internet/ieconomy/oecdguidelinesontheprotectionofprivacyandtransborderflowsofpersonaldatalbbie.htm (“The knowledge or consent of the data subject is as a rule essential, knowledge being the minimum requirement. On the other hand, . . . there are situations where for practical or policy reasons the data subject's knowledge or consent cannot be considered necessary.”).} This makes especially good sense in cases where the relative risk to consumers is diminished. The FTC could consider the following as factors that play into determining the level of risk to the consumer: (1) whether the user sets up an account, (2) the type of data collected, and (3) whether data is sold to or shared with third parties.

When a consumer sets up an account, it suggests the expectation of a permanent relationship. A user should therefore expect the website to gather more information as a part of the terms of use after appropriate disclosures are provided.\footnote{See infra Part III.B.1.} But when the consumer chooses not to set up an account, the assumption is that the relationship is only temporary. The account signup process gives users the opportunity to consider whether, and to what extent, to allow the site to track their activities—provided they are presented with appropriate disclosures as a part of that process. Under these conditions, the risk that consumers are being tracked unwittingly is greatly diminished and the consumer can then be expected to manage what they choose to reveal. Requiring an account sign up when the site conducts persistent tracking methods thus diminishes the level of risk to the consumer.
Likewise, collection of certain kinds of data pose more risk to consumer privacy than others. Knowing that a particular consumer is a golfer or reads articles about constitutional law presents a low amount of risk. Data that includes methods to contact the individual or information that allows the data to be linked with other datasets presents a greater risk to consumers. Analysis of nonidentifying data yields generalizations about different types of consumers, while analysis of personally identifying data (PID or PII) allows greater access into the personal details of an individual’s life.

Users decide what sites they will visit and what information they will share. Selling data to other sites presents a greater risk of invading privacy because the user no longer has control over which sites have their data. The fact that a site sells or shares data presents a greater risk to consumer privacy because the consumer loses control of the data after it is sold.

The regulatory requirements should consider these factors when determining which requirements to impose and the extent of the requirements. For example, the fact that a site sells or shares data would tend toward requiring prior, affirmative disclosure, but if the site were only sharing non-identifying information, the diminished risk might make a link to the policy online sufficient.

B. Potential Regulatory Requirements

Regulations should provide uniform disclosures that alert consumers to the scope of the data collection and use, when consumers must be given the opportunity to opt out of collection
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and stop the use, and limits on the kinds of data that can be collected based on the apparent purpose of the data.

1. Disclosures

Any regulatory system for data gathering should focus on disclosure. Surveys show that consumers are not upset by the fact that they are being tracked, indeed many enjoy the benefits.\textsuperscript{108} It is the fact that data is being gathered without their knowledge that poses the problem.\textsuperscript{109}

Upon signing up to use a web site, users, perhaps unwittingly, consent to an exchange of the site’s services for their personal information.\textsuperscript{110} In order to make an informed decision, consumers must be alerted to the terms of this exchange. Advocates for the self-regulatory scheme currently in place argue that privacy policies are sufficient to alert users to this payment.\textsuperscript{111} But because there are no minimum requirements for these policies, users must bear the transactional costs in time and effort to understand the policies of each site they use or risk forfeiting their privacy.

Before allowing consumers to set up electronic transfers or apply for card accounts, financial institutions must provide consumers with disclosures substantially in the same form as the model forms provided in the rule.\textsuperscript{112} Recently, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau began testing a new form for prepaid card disclosures after finding that the varying disclosures
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\item \textsuperscript{112} 12. C.F.R. \textsection 1005.7.
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produced by each company made it difficult for the consumer to make comparisons. Likewise, a standardized disclosure provided as a part of the signup process for a website could disclose its privacy practices regarding types of information it tracks and the way the information can be used. For example, consider CapitalOne’s privacy statement, which includes a list of the personal information collected and a box that gives a list of how it uses personal information and discloses the opportunity to opt out. This includes whether they sell data to third parties.

As argued above, the regulatory scheme should adjusted based on the level of privacy risk. Due to the sensitive nature of financial data, the GLBA requires disclosures on a yearly basis. For more mundane types of data, disclosure upon signup with access to revoke consent through a settings page is probably sufficient. If a site does not gather personally identifying information, even less would be required—a notification on the page with a link to the site’s privacy policy is probably sufficient.

2. Opt Out and Revocation of Consent

In banking regulations, one concern that has arisen with requiring banks to obtain consent from customers before providing overdraft services is whether banks will attempt to coerce consumers into opting into the service. Regulations require banks to offer the same terms,

116 See, e.g., Cookies Info, THE ECONOMIST, http://www.economist.com/cookies-info (The Economist provides a link to this page in a header that also states that, by using the site, the user consents to the cookie policy).
conditions, and features to consumers that opt out.\textsuperscript{118} This is perhaps because regulators take the position that overdraft programs are inherently harmful to consumers.\textsuperscript{119}

CapitalOne’s privacy statement explains that the consumer cannot opt out of every type of data use.\textsuperscript{120} Likewise, web sites provide services based on the assumption that they will be able to benefit from the user’s data.\textsuperscript{121} If a user were given power to completely withdraw all consent, some sites would not be able to provide the service without charging a fee.\textsuperscript{122} A site should be able to decide whether to offer its services on a fee basis, or simply deny services. Companies should not, however, have the ability to attract users using a favorable privacy policy and then coerce them into opting in to data sharing to continue receiving the service. Likewise, users should not be able to use a “right to be forgotten” to change the terms of service after the fact.\textsuperscript{123}

3. \textit{Usage Based Data Collection}

Some web sites gather much more information about users than is necessary to allow the site to function.\textsuperscript{124} For example, the FTC issued a consent order to the makers of a flashlight app that gathered and transmitted the “devices’ precise geolocation along with persistent device identifiers to various third parties.”\textsuperscript{125} The FTC focused on the fact that end user license
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agreement ("EULA") misrepresented that consumers had the right to opt out of this data
collection, labelling this an unfair and deceptive practice.\textsuperscript{126} The problem with this practice goes
much deeper than any misrepresentation in the EULA.

The HIPPA privacy rule requires entities to limit the use and disclosure of protected
health information to the minimum necessary to accomplish the intended purpose.\textsuperscript{127} Likewise,
when a consumer agrees to allow a company to collect their data in exchange for the use of that
company’s services, there is an expectation that the data gathered will be related to—or at the
very least commensurate with—that company’s services. For example, a user would expect a
mapping program to track location data, but the user would not expect a flashlight app to track
their location. In order to keep the exchange between users and web sites fair, data collection
must be commensurate with the services rendered to the user.

\textbf{C. Enforcement}

\textit{1. UDAAP as the Measuring Stick}

UDAAP standards developed in the banking industry could aid the FTC in enforcing the
standards they promulgate. Until now, FTC actions have focused on enforcing businesses’ self-
imposed privacy policies, arguing unfairness and deception when the businesses fail to do so.\textsuperscript{128}
UDAAP could offer a more robust set of guidelines over data collection practices with regard to
unfairness, deception, and abuse.

\textsuperscript{126} \textit{Id.}
\textsuperscript{127} 45 C.F.R. §§ 164.502(b), 164.514(d).
\textsuperscript{128} See Goldenhores, supra note 125 at 75351.
Under UDAAP, a practice is unfair when (1) it causes injury, (2) the injury is not reasonably avoidable, and (3) the injury is not outweighed by a countervailing benefit to the consumer or to competition. A practice is deceptive when it (1) misleads or is likely to mislead a consumer, (2) the consumer’s misinterpretation would be reasonable under the circumstances, and (3) the deception is material to the “consumer’s choice of, or conduct regarding the product or service.” Abusive practices interfere with the consumer’s ability to understand a term or condition of the service or takes unreasonable advantage of a consumer’s (1) lack of understanding regarding risks, costs, or conditions; (2) inability to protect that consumer’s best interests; or (3) reasonable reliance on the business to act in the consumer’s best interests. With few, minor changes, these same standards could serve as standards for the data collection and analysis industries.

The injury requirement in the unfairness standard usually focuses on the loss of money. Here, the currency involved in the exchange is the user’s data. Unfairness in data collection and use should therefore focus on the opportunity for the consumer to avoid being tracked and whether the data gathered is in proportion with the services provided. For example, failing to disclose the data that will be tracked as a result of using the site or setting up an account, is unfair because the consumer is unwittingly giving away their data. Likewise, a service’s data gathering is also unfair when it is not associated with or proportional to the benefit that the user

\[\text{\textsuperscript{129}} \text{UDAAP Bulletin, supra note 102 at 2.}\]
\[\text{\textsuperscript{130}} \text{Id. at 3.}\]
\[\text{\textsuperscript{131}} \text{Id. at 4.}\]
\[\text{\textsuperscript{132}} \text{Zuckerman, supra note 8.}\]
receives. For example, a flashlight app’s gathering of location data is unfair because it fails to provide a commensurate benefit in exchange for that data.  

Deception occurs when a user is misled in a material way with regard to the exchange taking place. The FTC already enforces this part of UDAAP as they evaluate EULAs and take action when companies fail to adhere to them. But this standard could also extend to the form of the disclosures that would be required. Consumers should be able to assume that sensitive data cannot be collected without prior, and effective, disclosure. Depending on the relative sensitivity of the data, if a disclosure fails to alert consumers that they are being tracked, the disclosure might be considered not only unfair, but potentially deceptive because the user is being materially misled as to the price that they are actually paying in exchange for the services.

The abuse standard under UDAAP has been the most troublesome for the financial industry, but it need not pose the same problems in the data industry. Abuse occurs when the business takes unreasonable advantage of the user’s relative position with regard to understanding the costs and risks associated with the exchange. One of the common definitions of abuse is “improper or excessive use.” In the data collection industry, abuse could therefore focus on improper uses of the data rather than abuse of the user providing it. A data company’s failure to adequately secure data or use of the data to harm a consumer’s credit (without first disclosing that the data gathered may be used in this way at the time of collection) could each be considered abusive.

133 See Goldenshores, supra note 125 at 75351.

134 Id. at 75351.


2. Data Broker Registry

To aid enforcement, the FTC could also consider creating a registry for companies that purchase and aggregate data. Because of the risk of identity theft and the distance between consumer and data holder, a regulator is needed to ensure consumers are protected.

Federal law allows states to impose registration requirements on businesses that receive funds from consumers for the purposes of transferring the funds by wire, check, draft, facsimile, courier, etc.\textsuperscript{137} The purpose of the registration is to aid investigation of money laundering and ensure that consumers are protected from financial loss. Similarly, the aggregation of personally identifying information lends itself to the risk of identity theft. Safety and soundness requirements should be imposed to mitigate the risk of identity theft because the consumer has no direct relationship with these businesses and therefore has no control over their actions.

IV. Conclusion

Even after the financial crisis, financial institutions are still the most trusted entities with regard to personal data. Regulations that have proven effective in the financial industry can be adapted into a risk based, disclosure oriented regulatory framework that will protect consumers while avoiding undue burden on Internet companies. The requirements under this framework should adapt based on the level of risk that a practice presents to consumers and should include disclosure and opt-out requirements as well as restrictions on data collection based on the service

the data collector provides in exchange. UDAAP can serve as an effective measure for the FTC to apply as it enforces these requirements.