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Abstract. A new computational approach for determining the parameters that characterize the locations of trajectories of point targets in a 3-D space is described. The targets of concern are dim, unresolved point targets moving along straight paths across the same field of view. Since the target's signal-to-noise ratio is low and the spatial extent of the target is less than a pixel, one must rely on integration over a target track that spans many image frames. The proposed method estimates these parameters by transforming the entire set of time-sequential images of a constant field of view into the projection space by using a modified Radon transform. Since the 3-D (spatiotemporal) data can be decomposed into 2-D multiple-view representations along arbitrary orientations, the Radon transform enables us to analyze the 3-D problem in terms of its 2-D projections. When this generalization of the Hough transform-based algorithm using the Radon transform is applied to a set of real infrared images, it produces promising estimation results even under noisy conditions. The noise in the images is assumed to be additive white Gaussian.
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1 Introduction

Remote sensing of small moving objects in a time-sequential set of digital image data is a problem that has received much attention in recent years. Examples include ground-based sensing of satellites, meteors, and asteroids and detection of airborne targets such as aircraft in satellite-acquired imagery.1-3 This paper is directed toward the last example, tracking a small, dim moving target in a three-dimensional (two spatial and one temporal) space. Image data acquired using a focal-plane array of infrared or optical sensors is often used. The sensor array collects a time-sequential set of two-dimensional image frames, each of which contains background clutter, noise from the electronics, and targets, if present. Although the target size varies—depending on the actual target size, the satellite platform altitude, the target speed, and the optics—of particular interest in this work are targets whose size is on the order of one pixel.

In any one frame, however, it is difficult to distinguish a target from the background and noise, because the target is small and may be dim. Since the sensor collects each image frame periodically and the target is moving, the target track appears in a set of spatiotemporal 3-D data as a straight line or other curve. The motion of the target against the relatively static background is one of the main features that distinguish the target from the background; thus a target can be detected and tracked by extracting its trajectory from the background and the noise. For satellite imagery, it is reported that frame registration is possible to the 0.1-pixel level.4 Because a target's position changes much faster than that of the background, temporal filtering is most advantageous if background changes are not too severe. After preprocessing, the 3-D data set is typically processed further to increase the effective target signal-to-noise ratio by integrating along the target trajectory. One common method is to project the 3-D data along the temporal axis onto a plane defined by two spatial axes (x and y) to create the so-called track map.5 Depending on the target speed, the accentuated trajectory in the track map appears as a straight line or some other curve; hence the target trajectory can then be estimated using a method such as the Hough transform.6,7 Unfortunately, there is a problem with using a projection to create a 2-D image from the 3-D data. The projection usually causes a reduction in the effective signal-to-noise ratio from that of the original
One approach to overcoming this problem is to use the original 3-D volume of data as a whole.\textsuperscript{8-10}

In this paper, we present a projection-based transformation method derived from the Radon transform as an alternative approach to estimating the location of the 3-D target trajectory. The Radon transform produces a set of \((N-1)\)-dimensional projections from an \(N\)-dimensional function. Although there is an increase in the number of computations needed to compute the projections, by taking a set of projections at arbitrary orientations, it can effectively increase the track estimation capability. In other words, by taking a number of 2-D projections from the entire set of 3-D data, we can decompose the 3-D spatiotemporal data into 2-D multiple-view representations along arbitrary orientations. This enables us to analyze the 3-D problem in terms of 2-D projections and allows us to reconstruct the estimated 3-D target trajectory in the original spatiotemporal space using back projection.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains a description of the fundamental theories related to the projection-based transformation method. Section 3 contains a description of the proposed method that utilizes a modified version of the Radon transform and 2-D line tracking using the Hough transform. The backward projection scheme for 3-D reconstruction is also included. Finally, the results of the computer simulation follow in Section 4.

2 Fundamental Theory

In this section the Radon transform is described as a basis for the projection method. Introduced early in the twentieth century,\textsuperscript{11} the Radon transform theory has demonstrated its usefulness in applications for pattern recognition and feature extraction,\textsuperscript{12} nuclear medicine and imaging by magnetic resonance,\textsuperscript{13} and geophysics and seismographics.\textsuperscript{14} Fundamental to most of these applications is that the Radon transform enables feature extraction and classification at high speed by converting \(N\)-dimensional computation to a sequence of \((N-1)\)-dimensional operations.\textsuperscript{15} For example, given a sequence of images (3-D data), the Radon transform relieves the system’s computational bottleneck by keeping the efficient feature extraction operations in a number of 2-D Radon planes.

Although the Radon transform can be defined for any \(N\)-dimensional general function,\textsuperscript{16} in this paper the special cases of the 2-D and 3-D Euclidean (or image) space are considered. Computing the Radon transform consists in computing the projections of an image along a particular pattern, e.g., a straight line or a plane. Mathematically, the problem can be stated as follows. Let \(G(x,y)\) represent a 2-D general function. As shown in Fig. 1, a line running through \(G(x,y)\) is called a ray. The integral of \(G(x,y)\) along a ray is called a \textit{ray integral}, and a set of ray integrals forms a \textit{projection}. The equation for an individual ray is given by

\begin{equation}
\kappa = y \cos \phi - x \sin \phi
\end{equation}

where \(\kappa\) is the perpendicular distance of the ray from the origin. The integral of the function \(G(x,y)\) along this ray may be expressed as

\[R(\kappa;\phi) = \int \int G(x,y) \, dx \, dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\kappa} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x,y) \, dx \, dy\]

The projection data are often represented in a format referred to as a sinogram, which is indexed by the variables \(\kappa\) and \(\phi\). To illustrate this, consider a unit-intensity point at \((x_0,y_0)\). From Eq. (1),

\begin{equation}
\kappa = y_0 \cos \phi - x_0 \sin \phi
= \sqrt{x_0^2 + y_0^2} \cos[\phi + \tan^{-1}(y_0/x_0)]
\end{equation}

Each point in image space maps to a sinusoidal curve in Radon space whose amplitude and phase are given in Eq. (3). The value along this sinusoid is the value of the point at \((x_0,y_0)\). The sinogram is just the summation of weighted sinusoids due to a collection of points in the image space. The Radon transform in this format is closely related to the Hough transform, which is a line and curve detection technique. The related literature on the Radon transform and its relationship to the Hough transform can be found in Ref. 17.

Similarly to the 2-D Radon transform, a general 3-D function of \((x,y,t)\) can be decomposed into a set of 2-D projection planes that are parametrized by an arbitrary two-component vector. Mathematically, the 3-D Radon transform can be written as

\[R(\kappa;\mathbf{n}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\mathbf{x}) \delta(\kappa - \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}\]

for a three-dimensional volume (or object) \(G(\mathbf{x})\), where \(\mathbf{x} = [x,y,t]\), \(R(\kappa;\mathbf{n})\) denotes the surface integral of \(G(\mathbf{x})\) over the plane \(\kappa = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{n} = [n_1,n_2,n_3]\) denotes a unit vector normal to the plane, and \(\kappa\) is the distance of the plane from the origin. The Radon problem is to determine \(G(\mathbf{x})\) given the set of integrals \(R(\kappa;\mathbf{n})\) for all \(\kappa\) and \(\mathbf{n}\). The geometry of the problem is illustrated in Fig. 2, from which
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Using Eqs. (4) to (7), the 3-D Radon transform of \( G(x,y,t) \) can be expressed as
\[
R(K;\theta) = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} G(b) \cos \theta \cos \phi \sin \theta \sin \phi \sin \eta \cos \phi \sin \theta \left( r \sin \phi - x \cos \psi \right) dr d\phi d\theta,
\]
where \( R(K;\theta) \) is just the cross-sectional area \( A(K;\theta) \) of the body as a function of the direction of viewing \( (\theta,\phi) \), and \( K \) is the distance from the origin. Thus, knowing the areas of \( A(K;\theta) \) for all \( K \) and \( (\theta,\phi) \), one can obtain \( G \) in terms of the cross-sectional area of the body\(^{18}\).

\[
G(r,\theta,\phi) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{\partial^2 A(K;\theta,\phi)}{\partial K^2} \cos \eta \sin \phi \, d\phi \, d\theta,
\]
where \( K = r \cos \theta \cos \phi \cos \psi + \sin \theta \sin \phi \cos \psi + \sin \phi \sin \psi \).

In the next section, the generalized Hough-transform-based projection method using the Radon transform is described. Here, the transformation geometry becomes relatively simple because we are dealing with straight lines in the 3-D spatiotemporal image space.

3 Generalized Projection-Based Method

In our generalized projection-based algorithm the Radon transform provides a mechanism for obtaining the projections at arbitrary orientations. In our approach, however, the Radon transform is modified into a simpler form utilizing the symmetry, aligning the aspects of the projection, and incorporating the maximum-value projection scheme.\(^{19}\) As shown in Fig. 3, the modified Radon transform can be written as follows:

\[
P_m(\kappa_\phi,\tau) = \max_{t=0}^{N-1} T(x,y,t) \delta(\kappa_\phi - y \cos \phi + x \sin \phi),
\]
where the projections are taken along
\[
\kappa_\phi = y \cos \phi - x \sin \phi.
\]

For the 3-D volume of image data composed of \( N \) time-sequential frames with a possible target trajectory \( T(x,y,t) \), we let \( P_m(\kappa_\phi,\tau) \) denote a set of parallel projections taken along a series of parallel projection planes defined by the Dirac delta function of Eq. (11). The series of projection planes in this case is perpendicular to the \( xy \) plane. As a function of \( \kappa_\phi \) and \( \tau \) for a given value of \( \phi \), \( P_m(\kappa_\phi,\tau) \) will be referred to as the parallel projection of \( T(x,y,t) \) for angle \( \phi \).

In order to take full advantage of the Radon transform and the straight-line geometry of the target trajectory, we could take another set of projections along the series of projection planes that are perpendicular to the \( yz \) plane. By changing Eq. (11) to

\[
k_\gamma = t \cos \gamma - y \sin \gamma
\]

another unique set of projections can be obtained as follows:

\[
P_m(\kappa_\gamma,\nu) = \max_{t=0}^{L-1} T(x,y,t) \delta(k_\gamma - t \cos \gamma + y \sin \gamma),
\]
where \( L \) is the spatial resolution of the digital image data. For simplicity of formulation, although the image can be of any size, we have assumed that the image is an \( L \times L \) square. The visualization of Eq. (13) is similar to what is given in Fig. 3. It is evident that in our projection-based transformation the temporal-axis index \( t \) and the projection space index \( \tau \) are equivalent geometrically. A similar equivalence can be seen for the indices \( x \) and \( \nu \) in Eq. (13). In addition, both of the projection angles \( \phi \) and \( \gamma \) are assumed to be within the range from 0 to 90 deg.

For an arbitrary number of projections in the data, given the numbers of projections for the two orientations \( C_\phi \) and \( C_\nu \), respectively, the projection angles \( \phi \) and \( \gamma \) are defined as follows:
\[ \phi = i \Delta \phi \quad \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, C_\phi - 1 \] (14)

and

\[ \gamma = j \Delta \gamma \quad \text{for} \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, C_\gamma - 1 , \] (15)

where

\[ \Delta \phi = \frac{90 \text{ deg}}{C_\phi - 1} \] (16)

and

\[ \Delta \gamma = \frac{90 \text{ deg}}{C_\gamma - 1} . \] (17)

Once the total of \( C_\phi + C_\gamma \) parallel projections are obtained using Eqs. (10)–(17), the target track parameters for each one of the projections are estimated by using the straight-line-finding 2-D Hough transform. The straight line in the Cartesian coordinate (image space) system can be parameterized in several ways, depending on the application. In this paper, we have used the normal parametrization as follows:

\[ \rho_0 = x \cos \theta_0 + y \sin \theta_0 , \] (18)

where \( \rho_0 \) is the normal distance from the line to the origin, and \( \theta_0 \) is the angle of the normal line with respect to the \( x \) axis. Equation (18) defines a transformation from the image space to the Hough parameter space in which the coordinate axes are indexed by the Hough-space parameters \( \rho \) and \( \theta \) as shown in Fig. 4. The \( \rho \theta \) Hough parameter space, which is implemented as an accumulator array in which each bin represents a quantized value of \( \rho \) and \( \theta \), is incremented wherever the sinusoidal curve corresponding to an image point passes through the specific set of points of the \( \rho \theta \) plane corresponding to its \((\rho, \theta)\) values. At the end of the accumulation process, if a peak in the Hough parameter space is detected, a line is assumed and its location is given by the \((\rho, \theta)\) values associated with the accumulator array bin.

In the final stage, the estimate of the 3-D target trajectory in the original 3-D image space is then obtained by back-projecting the tracks estimated for each one of the projections, i.e., \((\rho_i, \theta_i)\) for \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, C_\phi - 1 \), and \((\rho_j, \theta_j)\) for \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, C_\gamma - 1 \). The backward projection for the 3-D reconstruction, as shown in Fig. 5, is expressed as follows:

\[
\overline{T}(x, y, t) = \sum_{i=0}^{C_\phi - 1} \overline{T}_i(\kappa_\phi, \tau) \delta(\kappa_\phi - y \cos \phi + x \sin \phi) \\
+ \sum_{j=0}^{C_\gamma - 1} \overline{T}_j(\kappa_\gamma, \nu) \delta(\kappa_\gamma - t \cos \gamma + y \sin \gamma) ,
\] (19)

where \( \overline{T}_i(\kappa_\phi, \tau) \) and \( \overline{T}_j(\kappa_\gamma, \nu) \) are the estimated 2-D target track functions obtained by the 2-D inverse Hough transformation for \( C_\phi + C_\gamma \) projections taken along projection angles \( \phi \) and \( \gamma \), respectively.

\[
\overline{T}_i(\kappa_\phi, \tau) = \overline{\rho}_i - \kappa_\phi \cos \overline{\theta}_i - \tau \sin \overline{\theta}_i = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 0, \ldots, C_\phi - 1
\] (20)

and

\[
\overline{T}_j(\kappa_\gamma, \nu) = \overline{\rho}_j - \kappa_\gamma \cos \overline{\theta}_j - \nu \sin \overline{\theta}_j = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad j = 0, \ldots, C_\gamma - 1 .
\] (21)

Then \( \overline{T}(x, y, t) \), after thresholding, is the reconstructed 3-D target trajectory function. The backward projection hence can be viewed as an accumulation of extracted features found in the projection space onto the original spatiotemporal 3-D image space. In our case, the 2-D straight-line track features estimated in the projections were accumulated backward along the parallel projection planes and created the volume of the reconstructed 3-D track estimate. The convergence of 3-D track estimation from the projection data is limited by the input image signal-to-noise ratio and the discretization.
errors (data sampling and quantization). Discretization errors occur when the data are recorded discretely and when there is a projection onto a plane that is not parallel to one of three coordinate axes.

In the next section we present the simulation results for the generalized projection-based transformation method for estimating 3-D (spatiotemporal) locations of trajectories traveled by unresolved targets. The simulations are performed using the set of real infrared image data.

4 Simulation Results

Simulations were done to demonstrate the performance of our projection-based transformation method for estimating the tracks of unresolved targets using the real infrared unclassified data provided by the Naval Research Laboratory (HiCamp data shown in Fig. 6). The primary target track geometry consists of nonmaneuvering straight lines. The objective is to study the behavior of our projection-based tracking system as a function of the number of projections, the signal-to-noise ratios, and the number of image frames. Each data set has a frame size of 32 × 32 pixels and up to 46 frames in the temporal direction to accommodate the longest possible track of a target moving at a speed of one pixel per frame. Since an image sequence with a real target in the scene was not available, the point targets were synthetically generated and superimposed into the time-sequential set of data with a variable amount of white, Gaussian noise, denoted by N. Under the assumption that a target has a spatial extent of less than a pixel, the targets were added as follows:

\[ I_{x,y,t} = \begin{cases} 
\alpha C + (1 - \alpha)B_{x,y,t} + N, & x,y,t \in T(x,y,t), \\
B_{x,y,t}, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases} \tag{22} \]

where \( T(x,y,t) \) is the trajectory function embedded in the image data \( I_{x,y,t} \), \( B_{x,y,t} \) is the image background intensity, and \( C \) is the target intensity. The variable \( \alpha (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1) \) controls the contribution of the target to the pixel; for \( \alpha = 1 \), the target covers the whole pixel.

Given the image sequence model of Eq. (22), the target signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is defined as follows:

\[ \text{SNR} = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{(\alpha^2/M) \sum_{x,y,t} (C - B_{x,y,t})^2}{\sigma_N^2} \right), \tag{23} \]

where \( M \) is the total number of image frames in the 3-D data, and \( \sigma_N^2 \) is the noise variance.

A number of simulation runs have been made using the projection-based track localization algorithm. For many of the simulations the target locations are estimated early in their trajectories with 15 data frames or less. Three of the more difficult cases are presented in Table 1, respectively. These three runs are 'worst cases' using a 45% \( (\alpha = 0.45) \) target contribution.

Table 1 summarizes the first target simulation, where the correct trajectory is at \( \rho = 26, \theta = 45 \) deg, and \( t = 0 \). When this trajectory is expressed in terms of the spatiotemporal 3-D motion vector \((1.0, -1.0, 1.0)\) in the \( x, y, \) and \( t \) directions, respectively. For SNRs above 10.0 dB, most of the good estimates of \( \rho \) and \( \theta \) are found within 20-data-frame time (FRM = 20), while for the 3-D motion vector of the target, good estimates are found within 25 frames. The tracking performance, however, did not increase appreciably when the number of projections (PROJ) was increased from three to five. For PROJ = 3, the projections are taken along \( x, y, \) and \( t \) directions, respectively. Figures 7 shows the 3-D reconstruction of the estimated target trajectory for PROJ = 3, FRM = 25, and SNR = 11.9 dB. The estimated 3-D motion vector is \((1.00, -0.96, 0.90)\), with the corresponding Hough-parameter estimates \( \rho = 26, \theta = 46 \) deg, and \( t = 24 \). The reconstructed trajectory is found on average 0.82 pixels away from the actual trajectory.

The second simulation is for the trajectory at \( \rho = 4, \theta = 135 \) deg, and \( t = 0 \), in which the target moves with the 3-D motion...
Table 2 The 3-D track parameter estimation of a target using Hi-Camp: the target has the true 3-D motion vector (1.0, -0.5, 1.0) in x, y, and t directions, respectively, and the 2-D Hough-space parameters \( \rho = 4, \theta = 64 \) degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJ</th>
<th>FRM</th>
<th>SNR = 17.5 dB</th>
<th>SNR = 14.3 dB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROJ</td>
<td>FRM</td>
<td>3-D</td>
<td>HP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.00, 0.95, 0.95</td>
<td>4.136°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.00, 1.02, 0.46</td>
<td>4.136°</td>
<td>1.00, 1.02, 0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.00, 1.00, 1.00</td>
<td>4.136°</td>
<td>1.00, 1.00, 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.00, 1.00, 1.00</td>
<td>4.136°</td>
<td>1.00, 1.01, 0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.00, 0.95, 0.95</td>
<td>4.136°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.00, 1.00, 1.00</td>
<td>4.136°</td>
<td>1.00, 1.00, 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.00, 1.00, 1.00</td>
<td>4.136°</td>
<td>1.00, 1.00, 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.00, 1.00, 1.00</td>
<td>4.136°</td>
<td>1.00, 1.01, 0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR = 12.7 dB</td>
<td>SNR = 11.3 dB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.00, 1.71, 0.25</td>
<td>10.136°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.00, 1.02, 0.46</td>
<td>4.136°</td>
<td>1.00, 0.48, 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.00, 1.03, 0.58</td>
<td>4.137°</td>
<td>1.00, 0.98, 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.00, 1.01, 0.97</td>
<td>4.136°</td>
<td>1.00, 0.98, 0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.00, 0.28, 0.27</td>
<td>9.106°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.00, 1.00, 0.46</td>
<td>4.136°</td>
<td>1.00, 0.48, 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.00, 1.03, 0.58</td>
<td>4.137°</td>
<td>1.00, 0.98, 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.00, 1.01, 0.97</td>
<td>4.136°</td>
<td>1.00, 1.01, 0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 The 3-D track parameter estimation of a target using Hi-Camp: the target has the true 3-D motion vector (1.0, -0.5, 1.0) in x, y, and t directions, respectively, and the 2-D Hough-space parameters \( \rho = 4, \theta = 64 \) degrees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJ</th>
<th>FRM</th>
<th>SNR = 18.0 dB</th>
<th>SNR = 15.0 dB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROJ</td>
<td>FRM</td>
<td>3-D</td>
<td>HP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.00, -0.49, 0.95</td>
<td>14, 64°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.00, -0.52, 1.01</td>
<td>14, 62°</td>
<td>1.00, -0.52, 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.00, -0.49, 0.97</td>
<td>14, 64°</td>
<td>1.00, -0.49, 1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.00, -0.52, 1.01</td>
<td>14, 63°</td>
<td>1.00, -0.52, 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.00, -0.49, 0.95</td>
<td>14, 64°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.00, -0.52, 1.01</td>
<td>14, 62°</td>
<td>1.00, -0.52, 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.00, -0.41, 0.97</td>
<td>14, 63°</td>
<td>1.00, -0.49, 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.00, -0.52, 0.99</td>
<td>14, 63°</td>
<td>1.00, -0.52, 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR = 12.8 dB</td>
<td>SNR = 11.6 dB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.00, -0.49, -0.25</td>
<td>26, 53°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.00, -0.52, 1.01</td>
<td>14, 61°</td>
<td>1.00, -0.52, 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.00, -0.49, 0.97</td>
<td>14, 64°</td>
<td>1.00, -0.49, 1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.00, -0.52, 1.01</td>
<td>14, 63°</td>
<td>1.00, -0.52, 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.00, -0.49, -0.25</td>
<td>26, 53°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.00, -0.52, 1.01</td>
<td>14, 61°</td>
<td>1.00, -0.52, 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.00, -0.41, 0.97</td>
<td>14, 63°</td>
<td>1.00, -0.49, 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.00, -0.52, 0.99</td>
<td>14, 63°</td>
<td>1.00, -0.52, 0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the simulations it was found that for good parameter estimation three projections taken parallel to three coordinate axes are adequate for most of the cases, and taking more projections would not increase the estimation performance appreciably.

All these experiments were performed under the assumption that the Hi-Camp data themselves are noiseless. Additive white noise, as defined in Eq. (22), was synthetically generated and added to the Hi-Camp image sequence data in order to obtain the desired signal-to-noise ratios. Since it is likely that the inherent noise might be involved during the data acquisition time of the Hi-Camp data, we have actually been dealing with noisier cases in the simulation runs than those of the SNRs specified above. Inherent-noise analysis of the Hi-Camp data, however, is outside the scope of this paper. Projection-based algorithm analysis for the real noise cases may be considered for future research.

5 Conclusions
The focus of this paper has been on the 3-D track parameter estimation of small, dim, moving targets embedded in a sequence of digital images, utilizing the modified Radon transform. The theoretical aspects of the Radon transform as a projection mechanism were investigated. This provided a basis for the 3-D projection-based transformation trajectory localization algorithm. The simulations using the Hi-Camp real infrared data were run to test the performance of the proposed algorithm. The results show that the spatiotemporal 3-D target trajectory estimation is possible down to 9.0 dB within a time of 25 data frames. For most of the cases, the number of projections taken along both of \( \phi \) and \( \gamma \) was found to be three. Furthermore, except for the time required to compute the forward and backward projections, the amount of data to be processed can be reduced significantly. Since these projections are basically orthogonal projections, the technique further enables 3-D target trajectory estimation and reconstruction in real time.
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