

Fall 2010

# Canonical representation for approximating solution of fuzzy polynomial equations

M. Salehnegad  
Saeid Abbasbandy  
M. Mosleh  
M. Otadi





## **Canonical representation for approximating solution of fuzzy polynomial equations**

**M. Salehnegad<sup>1</sup>, S. Abbasbandy<sup>2</sup>, M. Mosleh<sup>1</sup>, M. Otadi<sup>1\*</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Firuozkooh branch,  
Firuozkooh, IRAN

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad  
University, Tehran, 14778, Iran

---

### **Abstract**

In this paper, the concept of canonical representation is proposed to find fuzzy roots of fuzzy polynomial equations. We transform fuzzy polynomial equations to system of crisp polynomial equations, this transformation is perform by using canonical representation based on three parameters Value, Ambiguity and Fuzziness.

**Keywords:** Key words and phrases: Fuzzy number; Canonical representation; Polynomial equations

---

\* Corresponding author, email: [mahmood\\_otadi@yahoo.com](mailto:mahmood_otadi@yahoo.com)

## 1. Introduction

Polynomials play a major role in various areas such as mathematics, engineering and social sciences. The real solution of fuzzy polynomial equation and Dual fuzzy polynomial equation is investigated by Abbasbandy and Otadi [1-2].

In this paper, we are interested in finding fuzzy roots of fuzzy polynomial equation like  $A_1x + A_2x^2 + \dots + A_nx^n = A_0$

Where  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n$  and  $x$  are fuzzy numbers .

In this paper, we propose a new method for solving fuzzy polynomial equation based on canonical representation which is introduced by Delgado et.al [4-5] they introduced three real indices called Value, Ambiguity and Fuzziness to obtain simple fuzzy numbers that could be used to represent more arbitrary fuzzy numbers. Therefore, we use these parameters and transform fuzzy polynomial by three crisp polynomial .

Then by solving this crisp system, we can find fuzzy roots of fuzzy polynomial.

## 2. Basic Concepts

**Definition 2.1:** A fuzzy number is a fuzzy set such as

$U : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow I = [0, 1]$  that satisfies :

- 1-  $u$  is upper semi-continuous ,
- 2-  $u(x) = 0$  outside some interval  $[a, d]$ ;
- 3- There are real numbers  $b, c$  such that  $a \leq b \leq c \leq d$  and
  - (a)  $u(x)$  is monotonically increasing on  $[a, b]$ ,
  - (b)  $u(x)$  is monotonically decreasing on  $[c, d]$ ,
  - (c)  $u(x) = 1, b \leq x \leq c$

The membership function  $u$  can be expressed as:

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} u_L(x) & a \leq x \leq b \\ 1 & b \leq x \leq c \\ u_R(x) & c \leq x \leq d \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $u_L: [a, b] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and  $u_R: [c, d] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  are left and right membership functions of a fuzzy number  $u$ .

An equivalent parametric form is also given in [6] as follows.

**Definition 2.2:** A fuzzy number  $u$  in parametric form is a pair  $(\underline{u}, \bar{u})$  of functions  $\underline{u}(r)$ ,  $\bar{u}(r)$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ ,

That satisfies the following requirement:

- 1-  $\underline{u}(r)$  is a bounded monotonically increasing left continuous function.
- 2 -  $\bar{u}(r)$  is a bounded monotonically decreasing left continuous function,
- 3-  $\underline{u}(r) \leq \bar{u}(r)$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ .

**Definition 2.3,[4]:** The increasing function  $s: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with property that  $s(0)=0$  and  $s(1)=1$  is a reducing function and if  $\int_0^1 s(r) dr = \frac{1}{2}$ , we call it a regular reducing function.

**Definition 2.4:** [4] Let  $u$  be a fuzzy number with parametric form  $(\underline{u}(r), \bar{u}(r))$  and let  $s: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a reducing function. Then the Value of  $u$  (with respect to  $s$ ) is

$$Va l(a) = \int_0^1 s(r) [\underline{u}(r) + \bar{u}(r)] dr,$$

Then the Ambiguity of  $u$  (with respect to  $s$ ) is

$$Amb(u) = \int_0^1 s(r)[\bar{u}(r) - \underline{u}(r)] dr$$

and for  $s(r) = r$ , the Fuzziness can be assessed by

$$Fuzz(u) = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} [\bar{u}(r) - \underline{u}(r)] dr + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 [\underline{u}(r) - \bar{u}(r)] dr.$$

### 3. Approximate Solution Of Fuzzy Polynomial Equation.

In this section we are going to split a fuzzy polynomial and find its approximate solution by solving the associated split system.

**Definition 3.1:** We define associated split system as follow:

$$(1) \text{Val}(A_1x + A_2x^2 + \dots + A_nx^n) = \text{Val}(A_0)$$

$$(2) \text{Amb}(A_1x + A_2x^2 + \dots + A_nx^n) = \text{Amb}(A_0)$$

$$(3) \text{Fuzz}(A_1x + A_2x^2 + \dots + A_nx^n) = \text{Fuzz}(A_0)$$

Then we have:

$$(4) \text{Val}(A_1) \text{Val}(x) + \text{Val}(A_2) \text{Val}(x^2) + \dots + \text{Val}(A_n) \text{Val}(x^n) = \text{Val}(A_0)$$

$$(5) \text{Amb}(A_1) \text{Amb}(x) + \text{Amb}(A_2) \text{Amb}(x^2) + \dots + \text{Amb}(A_n) \text{Amb}(x^n) = \text{Amb}(A_0)$$

$$(6) \text{Fuzz}(A_1) \text{Fuzz}(x) + \text{Fuzz}(A_2) \text{Fuzz}(x^2) + \dots + \text{Fuzz}(A_n) \text{Fuzz}(x^n) = \text{Fuzz}(A_0)$$

By solving (4),(5),(6) we have  $(\text{Val}(x), \text{Amb}(x), \text{Fuzz}(x))$ ;

Then we want to obtain a symmetric trapezoidal representation for  $x$ . let  $T=(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$  be a symmetrical trapezoidal number and suppose that its defining parameters are written as  $b_1=m-c-d$ ,  $b_2=m-c$ ,  $b_3=m+c$ ,  $b_4=m+c+d$ , and  $s(r) = i(r) = r$  again. It is easy to see that

$$\text{Val}(T)=m, \text{Amb}(T)=\frac{d}{3} + c, \text{ and } \text{Fuzz}(T)=\frac{d}{2}, \text{ solving for } c \text{ and } d, \text{ we obtain}$$

$$d = 2 \text{Fuzz}(T) \quad , \quad c = \text{Amb}(T) - \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\text{Fuzz}(T).$$

Suppose now we are given a fuzzy number  $x$  with parameters  $\text{Val}(x)=x_v$ ,  $\text{Amb}(x)=x_a$ , and  $\text{Fuzz}(x)=x_f$ , then from the above results we can construct a symmetrical trapezoidal number  $T$  such that  $\text{Val}(T)=x_v$ ,  $\text{Amb}(T)=x_a$ , and  $\text{Fuzz}(T)=x_f$  provided  $c \geq 0$ , or equivalently,  $x_a - \frac{2}{3}x_f \geq 0$ .

Otherwise,  $x_a - \frac{2}{3}x_f < 0$ ,  $x$  will not have a canonical trapezoidal representation. To define a canonical representation for fuzzy numbers for which  $c < 0$ , we will make use of what we call (symmetrical) quasi-trapezoidal numbers. The parametric representation of a typical quasi-trapezoidal number is as follow;

$(\underline{u}(r), \bar{u}(r))$ :

$$\underline{u}(r) = \begin{cases} m - s - t & \text{if } r = 0 \\ \frac{rt}{h} - t - s & \text{if } 0 < r < h \\ m - s & \text{if } h \leq r \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad \bar{u}(r) = \begin{cases} m + s + t & \text{if } r = 0 \\ -\frac{rt}{h} + t + s & \text{if } 0 < r < h \\ m + s & \text{if } h \leq r \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Carrying out the appropriate integrations, we easily obtain the parameters for  $w$ ,

$$\text{Val}(w)=m, \text{Amb}(w)=\frac{th^3}{3} + s, [3]$$

$$\text{Fuzz}(w) = \begin{cases} th & \text{if } h \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ t\left(\frac{-1}{2t} + 2 - h\right) & \text{if } h \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Observe that if  $h \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then for  $s$  near 0, the ratio  $\frac{\text{Fuzz}(w)}{\text{Amb}(w)}$  approaches  $\frac{3}{h^2}$ , and,

consequently it will be the case that whenever  $\frac{\text{Fuzz}(w)}{\text{Amb}(w)}$  is large, we can find non-

negative number  $h, s, t$  to construct a quasi-trapezoidal number  $w$  with the same Value, Ambiguity, and Fuzziness as the original fuzzy number. The challenge here, however, is that different combinations of  $h, s, t$  can yield the same value of  $\text{Val}(w)$ ,  $\text{Amb}(w)$ , and  $\text{Fuzz}(w)$ . if a particular canonical formula is required, then one might consider that for

which  $h$  is maximum, i.e, the "most trapezoidal" quasi-trapezoidal feasible number. In the case, we have

$$\text{Val}(w) = th, \text{ Amb}(w) = \frac{th^3}{3} \text{ and } \text{Fuzz}(w) = \frac{h^2}{3} + s.$$

#### 4. Numerical Examples

**Example 4.1:** Consider the following fuzzy polynomial

$$(0, 1, 2, )x^2 + (2, 3, 5)x = (2, 7, 13)$$

where  $x$  is a fuzzy number.

Now according to section 3 obtain:

$$x_v = 2, x_a = \frac{1}{3} \text{ and } x_f = \frac{1}{2}.$$

It is easy to see that  $m = 2, c = 0, d = 1$

we obtain  $x = (1, 2, 2, 3)$ .

**Example 4.2. [7]:** Suppose a corporation wishes to set aside around one million dollars ( $A = 1, 0.2, 0.2$ ) to be invested at interest rate  $R$  so that after one year they may withdraw approximately 250,000 dollars

( $S_1 = (0.25, 0.05, 0.05)$ ) and after 2 years, the amount that is left will accumulate to about 900,000 dollars ( $S_2 = (0.9, 0.3, 0.3)$ ). Find  $R$  so that  $A$  will be sufficient to cover about  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ .  $R$  will be a fuzzy number whose support lies in  $[0, 1]$ .

After one year the amount in the account will be  $A + AR$ .

After withdrawing  $S_1$  the amount at start of the second year is

$$(A - S_1) + AR.$$

At the end of the second year the accumulated total is

$$[(A - S_1) + AR] + [(A - S_1) + AR]R \quad \text{or} \quad AR^2 + BR + D$$

where  $B = 2A - S$  and  $D = A - S$

since multiplication distributes over addition for positive fuzzy numbers.

Therefore, we must solve

$$AR^2+BR+D=S_2$$

or

$$(1, 0.2, 0.2)R^2+(1.75, 0.45, 0.45)R+(0.75, 0.25, 0.25)=(0.9, 0.3, 0.3)$$

where R is fuzzy number.

Now according to section 3 obtain:

$$X_v=0.08, \quad x_a=3.66 \times 10^{-4}, \quad x_f=0.002$$

it is easy to see that  $m=0.08$ ,  $c=0.001$ ,  $d=0.004$  we obtain:

$$x=(0.075, 0.079, 0.081, 0.085).$$

## 5. Conclusion

Solving fully fuzzy polynomial equations (FFPEs) by using Canonical representation(CR) is presented in this paper. We transformed fuzzy polynomial equations to system of crisp polynomial equations, this transformation is performed by using canonical representation based on three parameters Value, Ambiguity and Fuzziness.

## Reference

- [1] Wazwaz A.M., The tanh method: Exact solutions of the Sine–Gordon and Sinh–Gordon equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 167, 1196–1210, 2005.
- [2] Maliet W., Hereman W. The tanh method: I. Exact solutions of nonlinear evolution and wave equations. *Phys Scr*, 54(56), 3–8, 1996.
- [3] Wazwaz A.M., The tanh and the sine–cosine methods for a reliable treatment of the modified equal width equation and its variants. *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simu*, 11(1), 48–60, 2006.
- [4] Biazar J., Ghazvini H., Exact solutions for non-linear Schrödinger equations by He's homotopy perturbation method *Physics Letters A*, 366, 79–84, 2007.
- [5] He J.H., Application of homotopy perturbation method to nonlinear wave equations, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, 26, 695–700, 2005.
- [6] He J.H., Variational iteration method—a kind of non-linear analytical technique: some examples, *Int. J. Nonlinear Mech*, 34, 699–708, 1999.
- [7] He J.H., Variational iteration method for autonomous ordinary differential systems, *Appl. Math. Comput*, 114, 115–123, 2000.
- [8] Biazar J., Babolian E., Nouri A., Islam R., An alternate algorithm for computing Adomian Decomposition method in special cases, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 38 (2-3), 523–529, 2003.



- [9] He J.H., Wu X.H., Exp-function method for nonlinear wave equations, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 30, 700–708, 2006.
- [10] Zhang S., Application of Exp-function method to a KdV equation with variable coefficients, *Phys. Lett. A* 365, 448–453, 2007.
- [11] biazar J., ayati z., Application of Exp-function method to Equal-width wave equation, *Physica Scripta* ,78, 045005, 2008.
- [12] Wang M.L., Exact solutions for a compound KdV-Burgers equation, *Phys. Lett. A* 213, 279, 1996.
- [13] Abdou M.A., The extended F-expansion method and its application for a class of nonlinear evolution equations, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, 31, 95-104, 2007.
- [14] Wang M.L., Li X.Z., Zhang J.L., The  $\left(\frac{G'}{G}\right)$  expansion method and travelling wave solutions of nonlinear evolution equations in mathematical physics, *phys. Lett. A* 372, 417–423, 2008.
- [15] Zhang S.A., generalized  $\left(\frac{G'}{G}\right)$ - expansion method for the mKdV equation with variable coefficients. *Phys Lett A* 372(13),2254–7, 2008.
- [16] Boiti M., Leon J.J.P., Pempinelli F., Spectral transform for a two spatial dimension extension of the dispersive long wave equation, *Inverse Probl.* 3 , 371–387, 1987.
- [17] Paquin G., and Winternitz P., Group theoretical analysis of dispersive long wave equations equation in two space dimensions, *Physica D*, 46,122-138, 1990.
- [18] Lou S.Y., Nonclassical symmetry reductions for the dispersive wave equations in shallow water. *J Math Phys*, 33,4300–5, 1992.
- [19] Lou S.Y., Similarity solutions of dispersive long wave equations in two space dimensions, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* ,18, 789-802, 1995.
- [20] Lou S. Y., Symmetries and algebras of the integrable dispersive long wave equations in (2+1)- dimensional spaces, *J. Phys. A*, 27, 3235-3243, 1994.
- [21] Zhang J. F., BBlund transformation and multisoliton-like solution of the (2+1)-dimensional dispersive long wave equations, *Commun. Theor. Phys.*, 33, 577-582, 2000.
- [22] Kong C., Wang D., Song L., Zhang H., New exact solutions to MKDV-Burgers equation and (2 + 1)-dimensional dispersive long wave equation via extended Riccati equation method, *Chaos Solitons and Fractals* xxx,xxx–xxx, 2007.