PPARγ activation blocks development and reduces established neuropathic pain in rats
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A B S T R A C T  
Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) is emerging as a new pharmacotherapeutic target for chronic pain. When oral (3–30 mg/kg/day in chow for 7 wk) or twice-daily intraperitoneal (1–10 mg/kg/day for 2 wk) administration began before spared nerve injury (SNI), pioglitazone, a PPARγ agonist, dose-dependently prevented multiple behavioral signs of somatosensory hypersensitivity. The highest dose of intraperitoneal pioglitazone did not produce ataxia or reductions in transient mechanical and heat nociception, indicating that inhibitory effects on hypersensitivity were not secondary to adverse drug-induced behaviors or antinociception. Inhibitory effects on hypersensitivity persisted at least one week beyond cessation of pioglitazone administration, suggestive of long-lasting effects on gene expression. Blockade of PPARγ with GW9662, an irreversible and selective PPARγ antagonist, dose-dependently reduced the inhibitory effect of pioglitazone on hypersensitivity, indicating a PPARγ-dependent action. Remarkably, a single preemptive injection of pioglitazone 15 min before SNI attenuated hypersensitivity for at least 2 weeks; this was enhanced with a second injection delivered 12 h after SNI. Pioglitazone injections beginning after SNI also reduced hypersensitivity, albeit to a lesser degree than preemptive treatment. Intraperitoneal pioglitazone significantly reduced the nerve injury-induced up-regulation of cd11b, GFAP, and p-p38 in the dorsal horn, indicating a mechanism of action involving spinal microglia and/or astrocyte activation. Oral pioglitazone significantly reduced touch stimulus-evoked phospho-extracellular signal-related kinase (p-ERK) in lamina I–II, indicating a mechanism of action involving inhibition of central sensitization. We conclude that pioglitazone reduces spinal glial and stimulus-evoked p-ERK activation and that PPARγ activation blocks the development of and reduces established neuropathic pain.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Neuropathic pain is defined as the pain caused by a lesion or disease of the somatosensory system (Jensen et al., 2011). Nerve injury produces numerous neurobiological events in the peripheral and central nervous system that contribute to chronic pain (Taylor, 2009). The mechanisms in the dorsal horn that underlie enhanced pain signaling include post-translational modifications (Woolf and Mannion, 1999) and microglia and/or astrocyte activation (Milligan and Watkins, 2009). For example, nerve injury induces activation of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), as reflected by the phosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) and p38 in spinal microglia (Ji et al., 2009; Jin et al., 2003; Zhuang et al., 2005). Such mechanisms provide multiple pharmacological targets for the treatment of chronic pain, but further research is required to improve neuropathic pain relief beyond currently available analgesic drugs, which yield only limited beneficial outcomes and produce serious side effects (Finnerup et al., 2010).

As insulin sensitizers, synthetic peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) agonists of the thiazolidinedione (TZD) class, such as rosiglitazone and pioglitazone, remain an important pharmacotherapeutic class for the treatment of type II diabetes (Evans et al., 2004; Martens et al., 2002). Beginning with our finding that intrathecal administration of rosiglitazone reduced behavioral signs of allodynia and hyperalgesia in the spared nerve injury (SNI)
model of neuropathic pain (Churi et al., 2008), a growing number of studies report that systemic administration of TZDs reduce peripheral neuropathic pain (Jia et al., 2010; Maeda et al., 2008; Takahashi et al., 2011). PPARγ immunoreactivity is found in spinal cord and brain (Maeda et al., 2008; Moreno et al., 2004; Victor et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2006). Thus, the translational potential of future TZD drugs for neuropathic pain is particularly exciting. Pioglitazone has a particular advantage as an experimental drug in that it readily crosses the blood brain barrier (Maehiba et al., 1997) and thus has access to the PPARγ that is expressed in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord (Churi et al., 2008; Shibata et al., 2008). Furthermore, pioglitazone continues to be FDA-approved as a one-year therapy for diabetes. However, the existing literature provides only limited or conflicting information regarding the mechanism of anti-allodynic and anti-hyperalgesic action of TZDs such as pioglitazone (Jia et al., 2010; Maeda et al., 2008; Takahashi et al., 2011). Therefore, the present study evaluated timing, duration of action, and PPARγ-antagonist reversibility following oral and/or intraperitoneal administration. Most importantly, we tested the hypothesis that chronic administration of pioglitazone acts as a PPARγ agonist to inhibit the induction and/or maintenance phases of neuropathic pain.

To address this question, we used the intrathecal route to administer the selective PPARγ antagonist GW9662 before systemic pioglitazone. GW9662 has nanomolar affinity for PPARγ in binding experiments, with 10- and 600-fold less potency at PPARα and PPARδ, respectively (Leensniter et al., 2002). In addition, we evaluated the effect of pioglitazone on phosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (p-ERK) in the superficial dorsal horn. p-ERK has emerged as a marker of increased neuronal activation in response to peripheral stimulation in inflammatory and neuropathic pain models (Gao and Ji, 2009; Ji et al., 1999; Zhuang et al., 2005).

Events that induce hyperalgesia, such as nerve injury, activate glia in the spinal cord (Ji and Suter, 2007; Milligan and Watkins, 2009; Scholz and Woolf, 2007; Svensson and Brodin, 2010). Spinal glia express a variety of receptors for neurotransmitters and neuromodulators, and produce and release numerous signaling molecules that could ultimately contribute to central sensitization and chronic pain (Milligan and Watkins, 2009). Because PPARγ agonists reduce glial activation in vitro (Bernardo et al., 2000; Jiang et al., 1998; Ricote et al., 1998) and in vivo in brain (Heneka et al., 2005; Petrova et al., 1999; Schintu et al., 2009) and spinal cord (Sauerbeck et al., 2011; Shibata et al., 2008), it is conceivable that they would have similar effects in nociceptive regions of the dorsal horn, ultimately leading to reductions in pain. Therefore, we administered pioglitazone and used antibodies against cofilin and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) to assess the spinal expression of microglia and astrocytes, respectively. We also measured p-p38 which is predominantly expressed in microglia (Ji and Suter, 2007).

We found that chronic pioglitazone reduces spinal glial and ERK activation, and operates at PPARγ to block the development and maintenance of neuropathic pain. The current results substantially extend our understanding of the pharmacodynamics and mechanism of the anti-allodynic and anti-hyperalgesic actions of TZDs, and, most importantly, establish spinal PPARγ systems as a promising pharmacotherapeutic target for the treatment of neuropathic pain using new PPARγ agonists.

2. Methods

2.1. Animals

Male Sprague–Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA) weighing 200–300 g at the time of surgery were housed 2–3 per bedded cage on a 12-h light/dark cycle (7am/7pm) in a temperature (68–72 °F) and humidity-controlled room with food and water provided ad libitum. All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering, to reduce the number of animals used, and to utilize alternatives to in vivo techniques, in accordance to the guidelines set forth by the National Institutes of Health (NIH Publications No. 8023) and International Association for the Study of Pain regarding the proper treatment and use of laboratory animals. Tulane University and University of Kentucky Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees approved all experiments involving animals.

2.2. Spared nerve injury

Surgical anesthesia was achieved with isoflurane (5% induction, 1.5% maintenance in oxygen). As previously described (Decosterd and Woolf, 2000), an incision was made in the skin at the site of the trifurcation of the left sciatic nerve. The overlying muscles were retracted, exposing the common peroneal, tibial, and sural nerves. The common peroneal and tibial nerves were ligated with 6–0 silk (Ethicon, Somerville, NJ) and then the knot and adjacent nerve (2 mm) were transected. Care was taken to avoid disturbing the sural nerve. In sham surgery, the overlying muscles were retracted to expose the nerves and then the muscle was sutured leaving all nerves intact. For SNI and sham surgeries, the muscle was sutured with 4–0 absorbable sutures (Ethicon) and the skin was closed with 9 mm stainless steel wound clips. The day of SNI or sham surgery is referred to as day 0.

2.3. Behavioral tests of hyperalgesia and allodynia

Animals that received SNI were acclimated to a stainless steel grid within individual Plexiglas boxes for 60 min, and then first tested for mechanical allodynia, then cold allodynia, and then mechanical hyperalgesia. The observer (JM) was blinded to treatment by another experimenter.

2.3.1. Mechanical allodynia: von Frey

Mechanical allodynia was assessed using von Frey filaments (Stoelting, Inc., Wood Dale, IL). The sural innervation of the lateral aspect of the plantar surface of each hindpaw was mechanically stimulated with an incremental series of 8 monofilaments of logarithmic stiffness. Three areas of the sural receptive field were examined, in the following order: lateral heel, lateral mid-paw, and outermost digit. The 50% withdrawal threshold was determined using a modified up-down method of Dixon, as previously described (Chapman et al., 1994). First, an intermediate von Frey monofilament (number 4.31, exerts 2.0 g of force) was applied perpendicular to the glabrous skin, causing a slight bending. In the case of a positive response (immediate withdrawal of the paw) in any of the three areas tested, a filament exerting less force was tested. In the case of a negative response to all three areas of stimulation, a filament exerting a larger force was tested.

2.3.2. Cold allodynia: acetone

A drop of acetone was applied to the plantar surface of the hindpaw using a syringe connected to PE-90 tubing, flared at the tip to a diameter of 3.5 mm. Surface tension maintained the volume of the drop at 10–12 μL. The duration of time the animal would lift, shiver or lick its paw was recorded. Animals were observed for 30 s following each application of acetone. Three trials, with an interval of at least 3 min between each, were averaged.

2.3.3. Mechanical hyperalgesia: pin prick

Noxious pressure was applied to the lateral surface of the hindpaw using a safety pin to elicit a response. The duration of time the animal lifted, shook or licked its paw was recorded. Animals were observed for 30 s following each pin application. Three trials, with an interval of at least 3 min between each, were averaged.

2.4. Behavioral tests of transient nociception and ataxia

2.4.1. Mechanical nociception

Prior to baseline measurements, naive rats were gently restrained with a towel and handled for 4 consecutive days, 5 min/day. On the day of testing, animals were gently wrapped in a towel, and increasing pressure was applied to the left and then right hindpaw using the Paw Pressure Analgesia Instrument (Stoelting, Wood Dale, IL). Four observations were averaged.

2.4.2. Heat nociception

Animals that received sham surgery were acclimated to an 8” x 8” clear Plexiglas box on a Plexiglas floor (Ugo Basile, Italy) for at least 1 h. Absorbent paper towels, initially placed under the animal, were removed at least 30 min before testing. To facilitate acclimation and response reliability, fluctuations in room noise, vibrations and temperature were minimized. The thermal stimulus consisted of a radiant heat source (8 V, 50 W lamp, Ugo Basile, Italy) positioned under the glass floor directly beneath the hindpaw. When triggered, a timer was activated, and light passed through a small aperture at the top of a movable case. One day before testing, voltage intensity was adjusted to standardize the average latency to paw withdrawal at 8 ± 2 s. At specified time-points, the stimulus was applied to the left paw (ipsilateral to injury) and the latency to paw withdrawal was recorded. Three observations were averaged. If the rat did not respond within 20 s, the heat was discontinued to prevent tissue damage.
2.4.3. Ataxia
To test for possible effects of pioglitazone on motor coordination, naive rats were placed on an accelerating rotarod (Stoelting, Wood Dale, IL). The rotarod was set to accelerate to a constant rate over 5 min, from an initial speed of 4 rpm to a final speed of 40 rpm. Rats quickly learn to walk on the rotarod, reaching a plateau within several acceleration trials. Therefore, training trials were repeated 5–10 times, until the average Pre-drug latency to fall was approximately 180 s. One day later, we administered vehicle or pioglitazone. Each was administered i.p. twice daily at 9 am and 4 pm for 7 days. Animals were not exposed to the rotarod during this period. Post-drug testing was performed 2 h after the 9 am injection on day 7.

2.5. Drug administration, experimental timelines, and study design

2.5.1. Oral
Animals were randomly placed on research diets (Teklad 2018, Harlan Labs, Indianapolis, IN) that were factory customized to include Actos® (which contains 25% pioglitazone hydrochloride). The initial diet, begun 7 days before behavioral testing and surgery, was designed for rats weighing 250 ± 75 g. Assuming a daily food consumption average of 20 g, diets contained 0 (standard rat chow), 0.015, 0.15 or 1.5 g of Actos® per kg of food to yield daily doses of 0.3, 3.0 or 30.0 mg/kg/day, respectively. After 4 weeks, pioglitazone concentration was increased to account for increased weight (375 ± 75 g). After the Post-drug testing was performed 2 h after the 9 am injection on day 7.

2.6. Immunohistochemistry

Animals were deeply anesthetized with pentobarbital (Fatal Plus, 200 mg/kg i.p., Med-Vet International, Mettawa, IL) or isoflurane (for p-ERK studies) and perfused transcardially with 300 ml of room temperature 0.1M phosphate buffered saline (PBS) with heparin (10,000 USP units/L) followed by 300 ml of ice-cold fixative (10% buffered formalin). The cord was removed and post-fixed for 4 h in 10% buffered formalin and then cryoprotected (30% sucrose in 0.1M PBS for 36–96 h). Transverse sections (40 μm) were cut on a freezing microtome and collected in 0.1M PBS. The sections were then incubated in a primary antibody, either GFAP (1:1000, ab7779, Abcam, Cambridge, MA), cd11b (1:200, CBL1512, Chemicon, Billerica, MA), or p-ERK (1:200, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) or p-p38 (1:200, Cell Signaling Technology) overnight at room temperature on a slow rocker. The tissue was then washed three times in 0.1M PBS, and incubated in goat anti-rabbit or goat anti-mouse secondary antibody for either enzyme (1:200 dilution) or fluorescent (1:700 dilution) labeling. For enzyme labeling, tissue was incubated in biotinylated secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratory, West Grove, PA) for 2 h, washed in 0.1M PBS, exposed to avidin–biotin–peroxidase complex for 1 h, and the chromogen developed with 0.01% hydrogen peroxide and visualized with 0.05% diaminobenzidine. The tissue was then washed with 0.1M phosphate buffered distilled water (PB), mounted onto Superfrost Plus slides, air dried for at least 12 h, dehydrated in a 5 min, fraged alcohols, cleared in xylene and cover-slipped. For fluorescent labeling, tissue was incubated in a secondary antibody conjugated to Alexa488 (1:700, Molecular Probes, Grand Island, NY) for 90 min, washed in 0.1M PBS followed by 0.01M PB, mounted onto Superfrost Plus slides, air dried, and cover-slipped with Prolong Gold with DAPI mounting medium (Molecular Probes).

2.7. Quantification of immunohistochemistry

All images were captured with a Nikon Eclipse TE2000-E microscope. Unless otherwise specified, regions of interest included lamina 1–V of the dorsal horn at segments L4–L5.

GPAP- and cd11b-immunoreactivities were quantified with NIH ImageJ software. Integrated density was determined by thresholding the images using the default algorithm within ImageJ to reduce background and include positively stained cells in spinal cord dorsal horns from the L4–L5 lumbar region. Integrated density of the region of interest (ROI) is equal to the product of ROI area and mean gray value. The mean gray value represents the sum of the intensity values for all pixels above the threshold in the ROI divided by the number of pixels above threshold within the ROI. This method controls for differences in background between slices and subjects. For quantification of p-p38, an observer blinded to treatment manually counted punctate immunoreactive profiles in lamina 1–V. Six animals per group and 4–6 slices per animal were quantified for cd11b, GPAP, and p-p38.

Phosphorylated Extracellular Signal-regulated Kinase (p-ERK) in Fig. 7 was evoked by light-touch stimulation of the lateral hindpaw ipsilateral to spared nerve injury, followed by perfusion 10 min later. An observer blinded to treatment quantified the number of p-ERK positive profiles in lamina 1–V of the L4–L5 dorsal horn ipsilateral to stimulation. The average number of p-ERK+ profiles per slice was calculated in 3–8 slices per animal, n = 5–6 animals per group, and compared using an unpaired t-test with assumption of equal variance. The alpha value was pre-determined at p < 0.05 for statistically significant differences between groups treated with 0 or 30 mg/kg/d pioglitazone.

2.8. Data analysis and statistics

Differences between means were analyzed by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA): For behavioral studies, Drug/Dose was a grouping factor and Time was the repeated measure. If a significant interaction was found (p < 0.05), the ANOVA was followed by post-hoc Bonferroni tests. Area Under the Curve (AUC) was calculated using the trapezoidal method and effects of dose were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett multiple comparison test.

3. Results

3.1. Pioglitazone reduces the development of nerve injury-induced hypersensitivity

Previous studies indicate that oral gavage of pioglitazone reduced the heat hyperalgesia and/or mechanical allodynia associated with either L5 spinal nerve transaction in rats or partial sciatic nerve ligation (PSNL) in mice (Jia et al., 2010; Maeda et al., 2008). However, there were several limitations inherent in these studies: Tests were limited to 1–2 week periods of drug administration; they did not evaluate two key modalities of neuropathic pain (hypersensitivity to cold temperature and noxious mechanical stimulation); they involved a stressful oral gavage procedure; and they did not rigorously evaluate drug-induced behavioral side effects such as ataxia. We addressed these limitations with two experiments. First, we determined whether the non-stressful administration of pioglitazone (via rat chow) for an extended period of time (7 weeks) would reduce cold allodynia and mechanical hyperalgesia. Fig. 1A–C illustrates that oral pioglitazone dose-dependently reduced mechanical allodynia [F(3,290) = 4.7, p < 0.001], cold allodynia [F(3,290) = 6.7, p < 0.005] and mechanical hypersensitivity [F(3,290) = 19.3, p < 0.001]. Second, we determined whether repeated i.p. injection of pioglitazone beginning 15 min before SNI surgery, would reduce cold allodynia and mechanical hyperalgesia in the absence of ataxia. As illustrated in Fig. 1D–F, pioglitazone dose-dependently reduced the
development of mechanical allodynia \( F(3,182) = 17, p < 0.0001 \)
and reduced cold allodynia \( F(3,182) = 231, p < 0.0001 \)
and mechanical hyperalgesia \( F(3,182) = 93, p < 0.0001 \).

Fig. 2A–C illustrates that twice-daily pioglitazone (10 mg/kg/d, i.p.) in uninjured animals did not change paw withdraw latency to heat or paw withdraw threshold to noxious pressure, and did not reduce performance in the accelerating rotarod test \( p > 0.05 \). The non-significant decrease in rotarod latency from Day 0 to Day 7 was likely due to lack of continual exposure to the rotarod, and thus loss of performance, during drug or vehicle administration. Importantly, control and treatment groups did not differ on testing days \( p > 0.05 \). Taken together, these results indicate that chronic pioglitazone inhibits behavioral signs of neuropathic pain, and is not confounded by the stress of drug administration, transient nociception or disruption of motor coordination.

In a separate cohort of animals, we administered pioglitazone at 10 mg/kg/d and continued behavioral testing for one month after the end of the 7 day administration protocol. As illustrated in Fig. 3,
von Frey, cold, and pin prick thresholds remained elevated for at least 6 days after the cessation of pioglitazone. Significant statistical interactions of pioglitazone with time indicate that pioglitazone delayed the development of mechanical allodynia \( F(10,100) = 2.0, p < 0.05 \), cold allodynia \( F(10,100) = 9.9, p < 0.0001 \) and mechanical hyperalgesia \( F(10,100) = 3.0, p < 0.0001 \).

3.2. PPARγ mediates the anti-hypersensitivity actions of pioglitazone

To determine the contribution of PPARγ to the anti-allodynic and anti-hyperalgesic actions of pioglitazone, we administered GW9662 (0.1, 0.5 or 2 mg/kg/d) or vehicle 15 min before pioglitazone (10 mg/kg/d). As illustrated in Fig. 4A–C, GW9662 but not vehicle, dose-dependently reversed the inhibitory effect of chronic pioglitazone on mechanical allodynia \( F(5,280) = 29, p < 0.0001 \), cold allodynia \( F(5,280) = 45, p < 0.0001 \) and mechanical hyperalgesia \( F(5,280) = 58, p < 0.0001 \). In Fig. 4D–F, AUC bar graphs summarize the effect of pioglitazone (one-way ANOVA with subsequent Dunnett test versus pioglitazone + vehicle) on mechanical threshold \( F(5,40) = 55, p < 0.0001 \), cold withdrawal duration \( F(5,40) = 35, p < 0.0001 \), and pin prick withdrawal duration \( F(5,40) = 33, p < 0.0001 \) for days 1–13. When administered alone, GW9662 had no effect on the development of allodynia or hyperalgesia \( p > 0.05 \).

3.3. Preemptive and postinjury pioglitazone reduces neuropathic pain

Previous studies indicate that chronic administration of pioglitazone, begun before and continued for several days to weeks after nerve injury, dramatically reduced both the development and maintenance of hypersensitivity. To determine the importance of timing of drug administration to inhibition of hypersensitivity, and thus dissect the effects of pioglitazone on the development of vs. established chronic neuropathic pain, we administered pioglitazone 10 mg/kg/d at one or more of the following times relative to SNI surgery: a single injection 15 min before SNI; a single injection 12 h after SNI; and/or twice-daily injections begun 24 h after SNI and lasting through day 7. This experimental protocol is schematized in Fig. 5A. Pioglitazone reduced mechanical hypersensitivity \( F(5,180) = 23, p < 0.0001 \) (Fig. 5B), reduced cold allodynia \( F(5,180) = 53, p < 0.0001 \) (Fig. 5C), and reduced mechanical hyperalgesia \( F(5,180) = 70, p < 0.0001 \) (Fig. 5D). In Fig. 5E–G, data are presented as AUC bar graphs summarizing the effect of pioglitazone (one-way ANOVA with Dunnett test versus saline only) on mechanical threshold \( F(5,30) = 23, p < 0.0001 \), cold withdrawal duration \( F(5,30) = 57, p < 0.0001 \), and pin prick withdrawal duration \( F(5,30) = 76, p < 0.0001 \) for days 1–13.

3.4. Pioglitazone inhibits the dorsal horn expression of cd11b, GFAP, and p-p38

PPARγ agonists inhibit the activation of glial cells in the CNS (Carta et al., 2011; Sauerbeck et al., 2011). To test the hypothesis that repeated administration of pioglitazone inhibits nerve-injury induced activation of microglia and astrocytes in L4–L5 dorsal horn, we performed SNI and quantified the expression of cd11b and GFAP. We also evaluated phosphorylation of p38, another marker of the microglial contribution to neuropathic pain (Ji et al., 2009; Jin et al., 2003; Zhuang et al., 2005). As illustrated in Fig. 6, compared to saline controls, systemic pioglitazone significantly reduced the expression of cd11b, GFAP, and p-p38 (all \( p < 0.05 \)).

3.5. Pioglitazone inhibits the stimulus-induced expression of p-ERK

Non-noxious light touch produces activation (phosphorylation) of ERK in spinal cord neurons of nerve injured but not sham or naive animals (Gao and Ji, 2010a). Consistent with these reports, we found that light-touch stimulation of the spared sural receptive field increased p-ERK expression on the injured side of the lumbar dorsal horn \( p < 0.01 \); data not shown). We next tested the hypothesis that chronic oral administration of pioglitazone would reduce evoked p-ERK expression when tested 42 days after nerve injury. As illustrated in Fig. 7, pioglitazone (30 mg/kg/d) reduced p-ERK expression to approximately 50% of vehicle animals \( p < 0.05 \).
4. Discussion

A growing number of studies report that systemic administration of thiazolidinediones (TZDs) reduce peripheral neuropathic pain (Jia et al., 2010; Maeda et al., 2008; Takahashi et al., 2011). Here, we report that oral or twice-daily intraperitoneal pioglitazone, beginning before SNI and continuing for several weeks, dose-dependently prevented behavioral signs of mechanical and cold hypersensitivity.

4.1. Pioglitazone reduces the development of neuropathic pain

We found that the most effective treatment for preventing the development of allodynia was to start pioglitazone administration 15 min prior to SNI and continue delivery for 7 days. Remarkably, a single preemptive injection of pioglitazone 15 min before SNI substantially reduced hyperalgesia for at least 2 weeks, an effect that was enhanced even further with a second injection delivered 12 h after SNI. Consistent with our results, Takahashi et al. (2011) found that three daily injections of rosiglitazone before partial sciatic nerve ligation (PSNL) attenuated the development of mechanical hypersensitivity. Also, Jia et al. (2010) found that 2 weeks of oral pioglitazone, beginning one hour before L5 spinal nerve transection, reduced the development of mechanical hypersensitivity. Finally, Maeda et al. (2008) reported administration of pioglitazone (25 mg/kg/d) starting one week prior to PSNL produced a sizeable (though not statistically significant) decrease in mechanical hypersensitivity when tested one week later. Taken together with previous literature, our data indicate that chronic pioglitazone blocks the development of neuropathic pain.

4.2. Pioglitazone reduces the maintenance of neuropathic pain

Increased primary afferent discharge from injured nerves likely contributes to the development of behavioral signs of neuropathic pain (Taylor, 2001). However, while this ectopic activity largely

Fig. 4. PPARγ mediates the inhibitory actions of pioglitazone on neuropathic pain. The PPARγ antagonist GW9662 or its vehicle was administered 15 min prior to pioglitazone (10 mg/kg/d) or its vehicle. GW9662 reduced the anti-allodynic effects of pioglitazone on vF thresholds (A,D), cold alldynia (B,E), and pin prick hyperalgesia (C,F), but had no effect on its own (n = 4–12 per group). Values represent mean ± SEM. (A–C) Statistical significance (\(p < 0.05\) by Bonferroni post-tests after repeated measures 2-way ANOVA) between pioglitazone + vehicle and high (\(\star\)), high + medium (\(\phi\)), or high + medium + low (\(\sigma\)) doses of GW9662 + pioglitazone denoted by symbols in parentheses. (D–F) Statistical significance (\(p < 0.05\) by Dunnett multiple comparison test after 1-way ANOVA) versus pioglitazone + vehicle group denoted by (\(\bullet\)). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
subsides within several days (Liu et al., 2000), tactile and cold hyperalgesia remain constant for weeks. This suggests that other mechanisms are responsible for established neuropathic pain, such as spinal facilitation (Taylor, 2001) or descending facilitation from the rostral ventral medulla to the dorsal horn (Burgess et al., 2002). The current data indicate that when injections began after SNI, pioglitazone reduced established hypersensitivity, albeit to a lesser degree than preemptive treatment. Consistent with our results, Maeda et al. (2008) reported anti-allodynic effects of pioglitazone when administered 2 weeks after PSNL. Indeed, our studies indicate that 30–100 mg/kg doses of pioglitazone reverse mechanical allodynia and hyperalgesia and cold allodynia when first administered 2 weeks after SNI. We conclude chronic pioglitazone reduces established neuropathic pain.

4.3. Inhibition of neuropathic pain persists long after cessation of pioglitazone

PPARγ regulates gene transcription through several genomic mechanisms, such as ligand-dependent transactivation, ligand-
dependent transrepression and ligand-independent transrepression (Ricote and Glass, 2007). In agreement with previous studies using 25 mg/kg/d pioglitazone for 6 days (Maeda et al., 2008), we found that pioglitazone reduced nerve injury-induced hypersensitivity not only during its administration, but also for an additional week after its termination. Since pioglitazone is metabolized with a half-life of 8–9 h (Christensen et al., 2005), these effects are clearly mediated by long-term changes in gene expression associated with nuclear receptor activation and subsequent function as a transcription factor.

4.4. PPARγ mediates the inhibitory actions of pioglitazone on neuropathic pain

Our results indicate that blockade of PPARγ with GW9662 dose-dependently prevents the anti-allodynic and anti-hyperalgesic actions of pioglitazone. The robust effect of GW9662 speaks to the effective targeting of 10 mg/kg/d doses of pioglitazone at PPARγ; this dose is approximately 10-fold higher than the maximum daily dose (45 mg tablet) that is currently approved for use in humans. Although TZDs interact with non-PPARγ sites to exert numerous pharmacological effects (Feinstein et al., 2005; Sauerbeck et al., 2011), a strong body of evidence has emerged indicating that PPARγ mediates the reduction in pain-related behaviors elicited by TZDs after neuropathic injury. For example, GW9662 blocked the effect of pioglitazone and rosiglitazone in a rat model of spinal cord injury pain and a mouse model of peripheral neuropathic pain (Maeda et al., 2008; Park et al., 2007). Furthermore, Churi et al. (2008) demonstrated that the anti-allodynic effects of intrathecal rosiglitazone or 15d-PGJ2 (a putative endogenous PPARγ ligand) are blocked with intrathecal administration of the (less-selective) PPARγ antagonist, bisphenol A diglycidyl ether. Although we cannot rule out the possibility of non-PPARγ sites of action of pioglitazone, we conclude that PPARγ substantially contributes to the anti-hyperalgesic actions of pioglitazone.

4.5. Pioglitazone inhibits the stimulus-induced expression of p-ERK in SNI rats

In the absence of injury, non-noxious stimuli do not activate ERK in spinal cord neurons (Ji et al., 1999). By contrast, during cutaneous inflammation or after peripheral nerve injury (L5 spinal nerve ligation), light touch or movement elicits a robust expression of p-ERK in dorsal horn neurons, but not astrocytes nor microglia (Gao and Ji, 2010a; Wang et al., 2004). Thus, activation of p-ERK has emerged as a robust marker of sensitization of neurons to high-threshold sensory stimulation in the setting of nerve injury. We found pioglitazone reduced light touch-induced expression of p-ERK in the dorsal horn of SNI animals when neuropathic pain was already established. We conclude pioglitazone reduces stimulus-evoked ERK activation and suggest that PPARγ agonism reduces nerve injury-induced central sensitization of spinal cord neurons.
Activation of microglia in lumbar dorsal horn revealed a significant increase in markers of spinal microglia, including Iba1 and p-p38 (Ji and Suter, 2007; colleagues found that L5 spinal ligation increased markers of spinal and behavioral signs of neuropathic pain (Ji et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2011; Jin et al., 2003), and pharmacological inhibitors of p-p38 decrease the number of p-ERK positive profiles in rats fed standard chow versus pioglitazone-chow revealed a significant reduction in light touch-evoked spinal ERK activation (n = 6 per group). (\*\*\*) denotes significant difference (p < 0.05 via post-hoc t-tests). Scale bar = 100 μm.

possibly leading to its long-lasting anti-allodynic effects after peripheral nerve injury.

4.6. Pioglitazone inhibits microglial activation in lumbar dorsal horn

Microglia are intricately involved in the development of hyperalgesia (Abbadi et al., 2009; Ji and Suter, 2007; Milligan and Watkins, 2009; Scholz and Woolf, 2007). For example, Ji and colleagues found that L5 spinal ligation increased markers of spinal microglial activation, including Iba1 and p-p38 (Ji and Suter, 2007; Jin et al., 2003), and pharmacological inhibitors of p-p38 decrease behavioral signs of neuropathic pain (Ji et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2011; Yasuda et al., 2011). PPARγ immunoreactivity is expressed on microglia (Bernardo et al., 2005), and the current data indicate that pioglitazone decreased the expression of cd11b and p-p38. Our results are consistent with Park et al. (2007), who found that pioglitazone reduced microglia activation and the induction of proinflammatory genes including chemokines and cytokines after spinal cord injury. We speculate that pioglitazone acts at PPARγ to inhibit the development of neuropathic pain by decreasing microglial activation in the dorsal horn. Future studies using PPARγ antagonists are needed to test this hypothesis. It is important to note the possibility that peripheral PPARγ binding sites might contribute to the anti-hyperalgesic effects of systemic pioglitazone (Hasegawa-Moriyama et al., 2012; Maeda et al., 2008; Takahashi et al., 2011). Further studies are needed to distinguish peripheral versus spinal influence of PPARγ on neuropathic pain.

4.7. Pioglitazone inhibits the nerve-injury induced activation of astrocytes

Astrocyte activation contributes to the maintenance of hyperalgesia (Gao and Ji, 2010b; Scholz and Woolf, 2007; Svensson and Brodin, 2010; Tsuda et al., 2011). PPARγ receptors are expressed on astrocytes in the CNS (Moreno et al., 2004) and spinal cord (Diab et al., 2002), and the current results indicate that pioglitazone significantly decreased GFAP immunoreactivity in the lumbar dorsal horn. We speculate that pioglitazone inhibits the maintenance of neuropathic pain by decreasing astrocyte activation in the dorsal horn.

4.8. Pioglitazone reduces multiple modalities of neuropathic hypersensitivity in the absence of tolerance, side effects, or stress

In addition to the data discussed above, our results differ from and extend the impact of previous studies in several important ways. First, previous studies of TZD-induced reductions in neuropathic pain-like behavior were limited to non-noxious mechanical and heat modalities (Jia et al., 2010; Maeda et al., 2008; Takahashi et al., 2011). However, clinical neuropathic pain is associated not only with mechanical allodynia and, to a lesser degree, heat hyperalgesia, but also mechanical hyperalgesia and cold allodynia (Taylor, 2001). Our current results indicate that pioglitazone reduced hypersensitivity to multiple stimulus modalities: von Frey fibers or noxious pin as non-noxious and noxious mechanical stimuli, respectively; and plantar acetone application as a cold stimulus. We conclude for the first time that pioglitazone reduces mechanical hyperalgesia and cold allodynia in addition to mechanical allodynia.

Second, while previous studies delivered TZDs for less than one month (Jia et al., 2010; Maeda et al., 2008; Takahashi et al., 2011), we found that oral pioglitazone reduced mechanical and cold hypersensitivity throughout a 6 wk period of administration after injury, without decrement over time. We conclude that analgesic tolerance does not develop with PPARγ agonists such as pioglitazone, an advantage over conventional pharmacotherapeutic approaches to chronic pain such as opioid narcotics.

Third, we show that the anti-allodynic and anti-hyperalgesic effects of a PPARγ agonist such as pioglitazone are not confounded by behavioral side effects. Previous nerve injury studies did not rigorously include these important controls (Jia et al., 2010; Maeda et al., 2008; Takahashi et al., 2011). We found that pioglitazone did not produce ataxia or reductions in transient mechanical and heat nociception, indicating that anti-allodynic and anti-hyperalgesic effects were not secondary to adverse drug-induced behaviors or analgesia. Our results in rats are consistent with the finding that pioglitazone (Actos®) does not produce a high incidence of psychotropic side effects in humans.
Fourth, the oral route of administration used here avoided confounds associated with the stress of injection or gavage (Jia et al., 2010; Maeda et al., 2008; Takahashi et al., 2011). Stress can activate antinoceptive systems, a phenomenon termed stress-induced analgesia (SIA) (Carrive et al., 2011; Lewis et al., 1980).

Even when vehicle controls are included, one cannot disregard the possibility of an interaction between the anti-allodynic and anti-hyperalgesic effects of TZDs and SIA. By including pioglitazone within the rat chow, we can conclude for the first time that pioglitazone reduces pain-like hypersensitivity in the absence of stress due to injection or gavage.
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