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ABSTRACT Mutation studies previously showed that the lentivirus lytic peptide (LLP2) sequence of the cytoplasmic C-terminal tail of the HIV-1 gp41 envelope protein inhibited viral-initiated T-cell death and T-cell syncytium formation, at which time the HIV life cycle the gp41 protein is embedded in the T-cell membrane. In striking contrast, the mutants did not affect virion infectivity, during which time the gp41 protein is embedded in the HIV envelope membrane. To examine the role of LLP2/membrane interactions, we applied synchrotron x-radiation to determine structure of hydrated membranes. We focused on WT LLP2 peptide (+3 charge) and MX2 mutant (−1 charge) with membrane mimics for the T-cell and the HIV-1 membranes. To investigate the influence of electrostatics, cholesterol content, and peptide palmitoylation, we also studied three other LLP2 variants and HIV-1 mimics without negatively charged lipids or cholesterol as well as extracted HIV-1 lipids. All LLP2 peptides bound strongly to T-cell membrane mimics, as indicated by changes in membrane structure and bending. In contrast, none of the weakly bound LLP2 variants changed the HIV-1 membrane mimic structure or properties. This correlates well with, and provides a biophysical basis for, previously published results that reported lack of a mutant effect in HIV virion infectivity in contrast to an inhibitory effect in T-cell syncytium formation. It shows that interaction of LLP2 with the T-cell membrane modulates biological function.

INTRODUCTION

The HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein (Env) is synthesized as a 160 kDa precursor, gp160, and then proteolytically cleaved into gp120 and gp41, which remain noncovalently associated in a trimer arrangement. HIV infection begins when gp120 contacts the CD4 receptor on the T-cell host, which is followed by contact with a second chemokine receptor, and then fusion of the HIV virion and T-cell membranes, catalyzed by the gp41 fusion protein. The gp41 fusion protein contains a long (150 AA) cytoplasmic C-terminal tail (CTT) that is a common feature of lentiviruses but is significantly longer than the CTT of other retroviruses. Three conserved amphipathic, primarily α-helical segments in the CTT referred to as lentiviral lytic peptides (LLP1, LLP2, and LLP3) have been shown to bind and perturb membranes (1–5). LLP2 is highly cationic with a preferential incorporation of arginine residues and it possesses a large hydrophobic moment (6). To understand the function of the CTT, deletions of the entire CTT have been carried out with different results depending upon cell type. For so-called permissive cell types (HeLa, COS, 293T, MT-4, and M8166), deletion has little effect on Env incorporation into virions (7,8). However, the majority of T-cell lines (including CED(12D-7), Jurkat, MT-2, H9, and SupT1) are nonpermissive in that CTT deletion results in a 10-fold reduction in Env incorporation and a complete blockage of viral replication (9). Murakami and Freed found the block to be at the early stage of Env incorporation (7).

Less drastic changes in the CTT have been carried out using rational site-directed mutations. Kalia et al. (10), for instance, produced a mutant MX2 of LLP2 where two highly conserved arginine residues (R3 and R21) were replaced with negatively charged glutamic acid, thus decreasing the net charge from +3 to −1. In virions derived from 293T cells, MX2 mutation showed no loss of Env expression or infectivity (10). These events occur when the gp41 protein is embedded in the HIV envelope membrane. In contrast, when the gp41 protein is in the T-cell membrane, both viral-initiated cell death and syncytium formation (cell-cell fusion) were greatly decreased in H9 cells by the MX2 mutant (10); this was not a case of permissive versus nonpermissive cell lines, because this inhibition was also found in permissive 293T cells using a luciferase expression fusion assay (10). In addition to these functional variations, it was demonstrated that the MX2 Env expressed at the T-cell surface displayed significant differences in reactivity with monoclonal antibodies compared to wild-type (WT) Env proteins (11). Changes in antigenicity of the MX2 mutant Env correlated with neutralization resistance of the mutant virus, which defined the LLP2 domain as a critical determinant of Env structure and antigenicity (11). However, a mechanism for the alteration of cell-cell but not virus-cell functionality by the MX2 mutant was not evident from the mutational studies. Indeed, a priori it would have seemed that the CTT should not affect Env activity because it is on the opposite side of the membrane.
In the current work, we have investigated LLP2 variants in lipid bilayers to learn how changes in structure and properties correlate with biological function. We used our pioneering method of x-ray diffuse scattering (12–15) from oriented, fully hydrated peptide/lipid mixtures that provides both structural and bending flexibility data. As model HIV-1 and T-cell membranes, we used membrane mimics composed of 10 lipids and cholesterol based on previous determinations (16,17), as well as total lipids extracted from HIV membranes. Two additional HIV membrane mimics lacking negatively charged lipids (HIV-neg) or cholesterol (HIV-chol) were also investigated to probe the importance of negatively charged lipids and cholesterol in the HIV virion membrane. The primary peptides were WT and MX2 (WT mutant). We also studied CracWT (WT with Crac motif), CracWTpal (CracWT with palmitoylated cysteine), and CracMX2pal (MX2 mutant with Crac and palmitoylated cysteine). These peptides were specifically designed to investigate the role of the charge change from +3 in the WT to −1 in the MX2 mutant (10), as well as the effect of a Crac, or cholesterol-binding motif (18) immediately preceding the LLP2 sequence. Crac motifs were first discovered in the benzodiazepine receptor (19) and have a sequence that binds cholesterol (18,20). The peptide variants also tested the role of the palmitoylated cysteine, which precedes the Crac motif (21,22). Our data reveal that all peptide variants interact strongly with the T-cell membrane mimic, as observed by changes in structure and bending modulus, whereas the peptides only interacted weakly with the HIV membrane mimic or with the HIV extracted lipid membrane. Our work provides a structural basis for the effects seen in mutation studies (10), namely that LLP2 is a modulator of biological activity in T-cell membranes and not in HIV envelope membranes. It suggests that the T-cell membrane mediates inside-outside signaling by LLP2 peptides to the ectodomain of the Env protein.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Samples**

Purified lipids were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL) and used without further purification. Membrane mimic mixtures were prepared by first dissolving lyophilized lipids in chloroform and then mixing these stock solutions in proportions following (16,17); (17) used H9 cells for the HIV and T-cell lipide analysis with conditions that preserved the phosphoinositides, but because the cholesterol content was not clearly stated, we also used (16), which used 293T cells. Table 1 shows our lipid compositions, where PO indicates palmitoyloleoyl. Chemical structures of lipids are shown in Fig. S1 in the Supporting Material.

HIV-1 virions were procured from the Biological Products Core Laboratory, AIDS and Cancer Virus Program, Frederick, MD, where the virus was attenuated by 1 nM Aldrithiol-2. HIV-1 NL4-3 was produced on host cell line SUPT1-CCK5 CL.30, Lot P4166. 113 × 0.25 ml aliquots (total capsid = 5.7 mg and total protein = 52.3 mg) were sent to our BSL-2+ facility at the University of Pittsburgh, where we carried out the Bligh/ Dyer (23) lipid extraction. The recovered bottom lipid phase was washed with authentic upper phase according to the procedure. 33 mg of pure HIV lipids were collected from this preparation and divided into 4 mg aliquots for x-ray sample preparation. Organic solvents were removed by vacuum drying at room temperature.

Peptides were purchased from the Peptide Synthesis Facility (University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA). Mass spectroscopy revealed >95% purity. Lentivirus lytic peptide-2 (LLP2 peptides corresponding to residues #768–788 in the gp160 protein in HXB2) variants were: WT H2N-YHRLDLILLIVTRELLGR-COOH, MX2 mutant H2N-YHELRLDLILLIVTRELLGRCOOH, CracWTpal H2N-C(pal)-LFLYHRDLILLIVTRELLGRCOOH, and CracMX2pal H2N-C(pal)-LFLYHELRLDLILLIVTRELLGRCOOH. The peptide structures are shown lined up in Fig. S1. A low synthetic yield of CracMX2pal prevented using it in all experiments. Peptides and lipids were dissolved in HPLC chloroform/trifluoroethanol (TFE) (1:1 v:v) using it in all experiments. Peptides and lipids were dissolved in HPLC chloroform and mixed together in molar ratios 20:1 or 40:1 (lipid/peptide). 4 mg of lipids were divided into 0.25 ml aliquots (total protein 0.25 ml aliquots for x-ray sample preparation. Organic solvents were removed by vacuum drying at room temperature.

**TABLE 1 Lipid composition of membrane mimics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipid</th>
<th>HIV</th>
<th>HIV-chol</th>
<th>HIV-neg</th>
<th>T-cell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C 16 Ceramide</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy Phosphoinositol (PI)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain PI(4,5)P2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:0 diHydroSphingomyelin (dHSM)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO-Phosphoethanolamine (POPE)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO-Phosphoserine (POPS)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmalogon PE</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg Sphingomyeline (Egg SM)</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO-PhosphoCholine (POPC)</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (Chol)</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>29.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Methylene mimics are HIV, HIV-chol (HIV without cholesterol), HIV-neg (HIV without negatively charged lipids), and T-cell. PO stands for palmitoyloleoyl.

**Volume determination**

Volumetric of lipid mixtures with and without peptides in fully hydrated multilamellar vesicles were determined at 37 ± 0.1°C using an Anton-Paar USA DMA5000M (Ashland, VA) vibrating tube densimeter (26).

**X-ray scattering and liquid crystal analysis. Low-angle x-ray scattering (LAXS)**

LAXS data from oriented fluid phase lipid mixtures at 37°C were obtained at the Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source (CHESS) with the scattering geometry shown in Fig. S2 using previously described methods (12,15). The analysis of diffuse LAXS from oriented stacks of fluctuating fluid bilayers has been previously described (13). Typical beam width was 0.25 mm and beam height was 1–1.2 mm. Typical wavelength was 1.1775 Å with a total beam intensity of ~1–5 x 1011 photons/mm2. Typical sample-to-charge-coupled device distance was 376 mm LAXS and 159 mm wide-angle x-ray scattering (WAXS), calibrated using a silver behenate standard with D-spacing 58.4 Å. Temperature was controlled at 37°C with a Julabo F25 (Allentown, PA).
Structural analysis

The x-ray \( F(q_z) \) data were fit to the Fourier transform of a model of the electron density profile with components using a scattering density profile (SDP) procedure (27). The SDP procedure guarantees an important relation between the molecular area \( A \) and the zeroth-order x-ray form factor \( F(0) \) (28):

\[
AF(0) = 2(n − μW V),
\]

where \( V \) is the measured volume of the lipid/peptide mixture, \( n = n_L + n_{lep}r/(1-f) \) is the total, \( n_L \) is the lipid and \( n_{lep} \) is the peptide number of electrons, \( f \) is the mole fraction of peptide/peptide + lipid and \( ρ_p = 0.3323e/A^2 \) is the electron density of water at 37°C. Informed by our volume measurements, we constrained the ratio of peptide volume/lipid volume. We also constrained the Gaussian sigma widths of the lipid headgroup peaks to 2–5 Å, the methyl trough Gaussian sigma to 3–5 Å (corresponding to full width at half-maximum of 7–12 Å), and the width of the hydrocarbon interface to 2.4 Å. The peptide width was initially not constrained. The difference in distance \( DH_1 \) between the maximum in the electron density profile and the Gibbs dividing surface for the hydrocarbon region, was constrained to 3.7 Å. Otherwise, the locations of the components remained free to provide estimates for the head-head distance and the position of the peptide in the membrane mimic as previously described (29). The smallest \( χ^2 \) in the SDP model fit determined the peptide position. Estimated uncertainties in these positions are addressed in Fig. S3.

WAXS

The analysis of WAXS data has been previously described (14,30). Briefly, the orientational order parameter, \( S_{wax} \), is similar to an NMR order parameter and is obtained as described in Fig. S4.

Circular dichroism spectroscopy

Samples for CD were prepared by spreading 0.3 mg peptide/lipid mixture in chloroform/TFE (1:1) onto one inside face of a quartz cuvette to make a thin film and solvents were removed under vacuum. 100 μl milli-Q water was introduced and hydration occurred through the vapor in sealed cuvettes at room temperature for 24 h. Our samples were purposely misoriented during spreading onto the cuvette side to minimize orientation effects on CD spectra (31,32), and two cuvette orientations, vertical and inverted, were used to sample different parts of the hydrated film. 8–10 scans were collected with a Jasco 715 on each sample from 260 to 180 nm at 100 nm/minute and averaged. CD data in mean residue ellipiticity were used to sample different parts of the hydrated film. The analysis of WAXS data has been previously described (14,30). Briefly, the orientational order parameter, \( S_{wax} \), is similar to an NMR order parameter and is obtained as described in Fig. S4.

RESULTS

LAXS

Oriented stacks of ~1800 membrane mimics were hydrated through the vapor and synchrotron LAXS was obtained as shown in Fig. 1, a and b, for the T-cell and HIV membrane mimics, respectively. Comparison shows that the diffuse patterns are quite different; most notably, the HIV membrane mimic is missing the second diffuse scattering lobe compared to the T-cell membrane mimic. This requires that these membrane mimics have different quantitative structures (see Fig. S5).

Structurally, raw diffuse scattering, as in Fig. 1, is quantified by the bilayer form factor \( F(q_z) \), which is the Fourier transform of the electron density profile along the bilayer normal, perpendicular to the membrane mimic surface. Fig. 2 shows \( F(q_z) \) obtained for the T-cell and HIV membrane mimics with and without the LLP2 variants; the raw data from which these form factors are derived are shown in Fig. S6. Fig. 2 a clearly shows that the T-cell mimic form factors are affected by the addition of these peptides. A relative decrease in intensity occurs in the second lobe (near \( q_z = 0.3 \text{ Å}^{-1} \)) and a relative increase occurs in the 4th and 5th lobes (near \( q_z = 0.6 \) and 0.7 Å\(^{-1} \)). These changes in form factors in the T-cell membrane mimic samples prove that the peptides are binding to the T-cell membrane mimic and affecting its structure. Form factor results were similar for the 20:1 samples.

Table 2 summarizes the net charges and lamellar repeat D-spacings for all the samples. Our experimental setup allows us to change the hydration level, which then changes D. For the well-hydrated samples reported here these changes in D do not affect the \( F(q_z) \) in Fig. 2. However, the D values are informative regarding electrostatic charge. Stacks of bilayers with sufficient net charge swell to very large values of D; this is often called membrane unbinding (35), not to be confused with unbinding of peptides from membranes. As an infinite D-spacing would disorient the sample, an osmotic pressure was imposed to limit the actual D-spacing of samples that would unbind. A U is written next to the osmotically imposed actual D-spacing in Table 2 for those samples that were in the process of unbinding.
The T-cell membrane mimic has a net charge of $-0.2e/lipid$ and the HIV membrane mimic has a net charge of $-0.15e/lipid$; both membranes unbind. Adding the negatively charged MX2 mutants increases the negative charges so those membrane mimics continue to unbind. Adding WT LLP2 with three positive charges to T-cell membrane mimics changes the net charge/lipid to $-0.125 e/lipid$ for 40:1 (unbound) and $-0.05$ for 20:1 (bound); for HIV samples the net charge/lipid is $-0.075$ for 40:1 and 0 for 20:1 (both bound). Results for the other WT modifications, except CracWT(20:1), suggest that the charge threshold for unbinding occurs for net charge between $-0.075$ and $-0.125 e/lipid$.

In contrast to the T-cell, the HIV form factors in Fig. 2b exhibit negligible differences for any of the LLP2 analogs compared to the HIV membrane mimic. Similar to the HIV mimic samples, the HIV extracted lipid samples are also missing the second diffuse lobe, and this pattern is not affected by the peptides as shown by the example in Fig. S6. This surprising result at first suggested that the peptides had not been incorporated into our samples. However, that would have resulted in membrane unbinding for all the HIV samples, which is contrary to the membrane binding (finite D spacing) that occurs when the WT peptides were added (see Table 2). Furthermore, it appears that the peptides, at least those positively charged, remain weakly bound to the bilayer to reduce its net negative charge and bring about the finite D spacing. Note that although the peptide would change the electron density of the water space, our subsequent analysis indicates that such changes are consistent with the negligible differences in $|F(q_z)|$ in Fig. 2b.

### Electron density profiles

To estimate where the peptides reside within the T-cell membrane mimic and to verify our observation that the peptides do not enter the HIV membrane mimic, the SDP model fitting program was used (27). The SDP program fits the Fourier transform of a real space electron density $\rho(z)$ model (27) to the $F(q_z)$ data. Fig. 3a shows an example of the goodness of the fit to the x-ray form factor data. Fig. 3b shows the component groups in the T-cell samples. As shown, the WT peptide resides in the T-cell headgroup region, whereas MX2 localizes further into the hydrocarbon interior. At 40:1 (lipid/peptide mole ratio) both peptides thin the T-cell membrane mimic, with MX2 causing the greatest (3 Å) thinning. Electron density profiles for the 20:1 T-cell samples showed similar thinning and peptide locations. For the HIV membrane mimics, the peptides only weakly associated with this mimic and the lipid structures were unchanged, consistent with unchanged form factors in Fig. 2b; LLP2 has an electron density close to water, so there is insufficient contrast to localize its position or width. The electron density profiles for the 20:1 and 40:1 HIV samples were similarly unchanged.

### Bending modulus $K_C$

Table 3 shows the values of the bending modulus, $K_C$, for three different membrane mimics: T-cell, HIV, and HIV-neg, and for the HIV extracted lipid membrane. The energy required to bend a membrane a fixed amount is proportional

---

**TABLE 2 Net charge and lamellar D-spacings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Net charge (e)</th>
<th>D-spacing (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lipid/peptide mole ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>20:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-cell membrane mimic</td>
<td>$-0.20$</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/WT</td>
<td>$-0.05$</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/MX2</td>
<td>$-0.25$</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/CracWTpal</td>
<td>$-0.05$</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/CracWT</td>
<td>$-0.05$</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV membrane mimic</td>
<td>$-0.15$</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/WT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/MX2</td>
<td>$-0.20$</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/CracWTpal</td>
<td>$-0.075$</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/CracWT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/CracMX2pal</td>
<td>$-0.20$</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Samples are T-cell mimics with WT (wild-type), MX2 (WT mutant), CracWTpal (WT with a cholesterol-binding Crac motif and palmitoylated cysteine) and CracWT (WT with a Crac motif), and HIV mimic with WT, MX2, CracWTpal, CracWT, and CracMX2pal (MX2 with a Crac motif and palmitoylated cysteine). U indicates unbinding of the D-spacing.
to $K_C$ (36). Estimated errors in $K_C$ ($\pm 0.5$) were obtained from data taken at different lateral positions (Fig. S2) on the same sample.

The T-cell membrane mimic had a $K_C$ value near 7 \times 10^{-20} \text{ J}$ (Table 3), which is typical of commonly studied lipids (37). The fact that the $K_C$ values in the T-cell samples were sensitive to these peptides and their concentrations is further confirmation that these peptides strongly bind into the T-cell membrane mimic. When WT was added, $K_C$ decreased considerably, and it decreased even more when MX2 was added. Adding Crac to WT increased $K_C$, whereas the further addition of palmitic acid reduced $K_C$. An interesting result for the HIV membrane mimic and for the HIV-neg membrane mimic as well as for the HIV extracted lipid membrane is that their $K_C$ values are quite low (Table 3) compared to many lipid bilayers (37,38) of the same thickness (39). For this study, the most important result is that the peptide variants had little effect on the $K_C$ values of the HIV membrane mimic and of the HIV extracted lipid membrane. This supports the conclusion from the form factor results that these peptides do not perturb the HIV membrane mimic.

**TABLE 3 Bending and orientational results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>T-cell mimic</th>
<th>HIV mimic</th>
<th>HIV-neg mimic</th>
<th>HIV extracted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_C$</td>
<td>$S_{xray}$</td>
<td>$K_C$</td>
<td>$S_{xray}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/WT(40:1)</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/WT(20:1)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/MX2(40:1)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/MX2(20:1)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/CracWTpal(40:1)</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/CracWTpal(20:1)</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/CracWT(40:1)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/CracWT(20:1)</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/CracMX2pal(40:1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/CracMX2pal(20:1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Membrane mimics and samples are as in Table 2, but also include HIV-neg (HIV mimic without negatively charged lipids) and HIV extracted lipid membranes. $K_C$ units are $10^{-20} \text{ J}$.

This sample did not reach full hydration.
Sxray

Table 3 also shows the values of the Sxray order parameter obtained by analyzing WAXS data as shown in Fig. 4 for the membrane mimics and in Fig. S4 for all the samples. Sxray is analogous to the NMR SCD order parameter in that it reports molecular orientational order (14,30). Sxray is somewhat smaller for the T-cell membrane mimic than for the HIV and HIV-neg membrane mimics, which is consistent with its lower cholesterol content (30% vs. 45%) (30). The main point of the Sxray results is that, within uncertainty, none of the LLP2 variants significantly changed Sxray of the HIV membrane mimic, consistent with their not entering the HIV membrane mimic. When the CracWTpal peptide was added to the T-cell mimic, the membrane order increased, but it did not increase when just CracWT was added, demonstrating the importance of the palmitoyl group, but not the Crac motif, for increasing membrane order.

CD

CD spectra obtained from hydrated thin films were analyzed for secondary peptide structure. An example of the DichroWEB fit to the CD spectrum from hydrated HIV membrane mimic/CracMX2pal (20:1) is shown in Fig. S7 a. The results of our analysis (Table S1) indicate that the peptides in all three membrane mimics were primarily α-helical, but with significant β-strand and random coil. CD spectra for the 20:1 membrane mimic/peptide samples are shown in Fig. S7, b–d. Interestingly, the helical content of the LLP2 peptides in solution with no lipid was smaller (Table S2); this difference between membrane and solution CD further supports the conclusion drawn from x-ray D-spacing data that LLP2 associates, albeit weakly, with the HIV mimic.

DISCUSSION

Our most important result is that LLP2 interacts strongly with lipid bilayers that mimic the T-cell membrane and only weakly with bilayers that mimic the HIV membrane or with HIV extracted lipid membranes. This result is most obvious in our LAXS form factor data F(qz) shown in Fig. 2. It is supported by our results in Table 3 that the bending modulus decreases with the addition of LLP2 to the T-cell but not to the HIV membrane mimics or extracted HIV lipid samples, and it is consistent with our results for the effect on the order parameter Sxray obtained from wide angle (WAXS) scattering in Table 3. This dramatic difference in interaction of all of the LLP2 variants with the T-cell mimic compared to the HIV mimic with two different lipid compositions was at first astonishing to us. However, Dick et al. (40) similarly found that HIV-1 Gag protein (and the shorter MA segment) strongly preferred lipids with both acyl chains unsaturated over those with only one chain unsaturated, and the addition of cholesterol increased Gag binding and led to closer packing of phospholipids. Nevertheless, it is important to note that LLP2 does interact, albeit weakly, with the HIV mimic, as indicated by its effect on the lamellar D-spacing and by its having greater helical content than in solution. More detailed discussion regarding this weak binding is elaborated in the last paragraph in the Supporting Material. The cartoon in Fig. 5 summarizes the interaction of LLP2 WT and the mutant MX2 with the two membrane mimics.

![Figure 4](image.png)

**FIGURE 4** WAXS from lipid membranes at 37°C, a, T-cell, b, HIV, c, HIV-neg, and d, HIV-chol. In d, an intense (white) gel phase peak (qz ≈ 1.5 Å⁻¹) and diffuse La (fluid) phase scattering (qz ≈ 1.4 Å⁻¹) indicate gel-fluid phase coexistence.

![Figure 5](image.png)

**FIGURE 5** Cartoon showing differences in the interaction of LLP2 with T-cell and HIV membranes. (a) WT LLP2 binds to the outer headgroup region and thins the T-cell membrane. (b) MX2 LLP2 binds more deeply into the hydrocarbon interior and thins the T-cell membrane to a greater extent. (c) WT and MX2 LLP2 only weakly bind to the HIV virion membrane.
This result for the interaction of LLP2 explains, at a biophysical level, why there is a clear difference in the biological effects of the MX2 R->E mutations in LLP2. Although the MX2 mutant did not alter Env incorporation or infectivity, which involve the HIV virion membrane, it did inhibit viral-initiated T-cell death and syncytium formation, which involve only the T-cell membrane (10). In the latter case, there are Env proteins located on the surface of an infected T-cell membrane, but they are not yet localized to a high-cholesterol domain, such as during budding of the new HIV virion. Many of these surface Env proteins get endocytosed before they can be incorporated into virions, but some of them bind to CD4 receptors on other T-cells, causing a large, multinucleated cell that eventually dies. It is remarkable that a peptide in a cytoplasmic location can affect what happens on the exterior of the membrane. Our result implies that interaction with a membrane is important for LLP2 to have a biological effect. This is consistent with the hypothesis that the membrane transduces information embedded in the CTT sequence to the major part of the Env protein that resides on the other side of the membrane. When there is only a weak interaction with the HIV membrane mimic, the MX2 mutant has no effect. When LLP2 interacts with the T-cell membrane mimic our results show that the MX2 mutant embeds differently into the T-cell mimic than the WT. Such a difference is well correlated with the functional inhibition of syncytium formation by the MX2 mutant.

A theoretical challenge is to understand how the cytoplasmic CTT influences the extracellular domain of the gp41 Env protein. A potential biophysical mechanism involves the lateral pressure profile of the membrane (41), which would be affected locally by embedded LLP2 and which would then affect other nearby parts of gp41, such as the transmembrane domain (TMD). Perturbation of the TMD of gp41 could affect the conformational changes necessary for fusion as several studies with modified TMDs have demonstrated inhibition of fusion (42-44). With regard to the T-cell membrane, the deeper embedding of MX2 would alter the lateral pressure profile compared to WT and that would affect the TMD of the gp41 Env protein differently, correlating well to the inhibition of cell-cell fusion upon mutation. It is important to emphasize in this theory that it is the difference in the lateral pressure profile between WT and MX2 that modulates biological function, not that MX2 binds more deeply and therefore might affect the membrane more than WT. Finally, with regard to the HIV membrane mimic, the very weak interaction of LLP2, either WT or MX2, predicts that MX2 would have little effect on Env incorporation or infectivity, as observed.

Another potential mechanism might have been the softening of the T-cell membrane mimic by LLP2, which could then have led to highly curved fusion intermediates, as we have hypothesized for the HIV FP23 fusion peptide (39). However, in this work, the bending modulus decreases more with MX2 than with WT, and it increases above that of the T-cell mimic when the Crac motif is added; therefore our data contradict membrane softening as the biophysical mechanism for LLP2’s effect.

It is of interest to correlate the difference in the interaction of LLP2 with the lipid compositions of the membrane mimics. The HIV membrane mimic has less disaturated chain lipid dihydrophosphomyelin (1.7 compared to 2.7 mol %) and less negatively charged phosphoinositol (1.3 mol %) compared to the T-cell (6.3 mol %), decreasing the overall negative charge in the T-cell (0.2e/lipid) to 0.15e/lipid in the HIV membrane mimic. However, the largest difference is 45 mol % cholesterol in the HIV membrane mimic compared to 30 mol % in the T-cell membrane mimic. With such a high concentration of cholesterol it is surprising that the HIV membrane mimic has such a low bending modulus, K_C, which indicates more flexibility than the average single component lipid bilayer. This low K_C does not depend on negative charge, because HIV-neg had an equally low K_C, which is consistent with other studies that have shown that lipid negative charges have a negligible effect on K_C (46,47). Because the K_C of the HIV membrane mimic is quite low, this softer membrane more easily forms highly curved intermediates during fusion with its host cell (48). Previously, Kol et al. (49) found that the immature HIV virion that had CTT engaged with the p55^Gag was twice as stiff as the mature virion that had the CTT disengaged from the p55^Gag. Our study did not involve p55^Gag, but our result that our HIV membrane mimic and HIV extracted lipids are quite soft when there are no other associated proteins is consistent with Kol et al. (49). Although it may seem counterintuitive that membranes with high cholesterol concentration can be soft, we have shown before that the effect of cholesterol depends on the chain length and saturation of the lipids, not just on the cholesterol concentration (50,51).

It is also of interest to consider the orientation and conformation of LLP2. Our CD results (Fig. S7 and Table 1) show ~55–70% α-helix for all LLP2 variants in T-cell, HIV, and HIV-neg membrane mimics. A helical structure was observed in WT LLP2 from several clades of HIV-1 gp41 in 10 mM sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) or 60% trifluoroethanol, although helical content was not quantified in that study (52). The differences in helical content are small, so secondary structure appears unlikely to be the primary cause of differences in the effects of WT and MX2 in the T-cell mimic. All of the peptides are more helical when associated with membrane mimics than in the aqueous phase (see Table S1 and Table S2). LLP2 helices have large hydrophobic moments and would therefore be expected to orient either with the long axis parallel to the surface of membranes as shown in Fig. 5, or as transmembrane bundles consisting of more than one or two peptides. Transmembrane bundles have had prominent in-plane scattering along the q_r direction.
such as occurs for alamethicin (53) and no such scattering was observed for any of our LLP2 samples.

Given our result that LLP2 peptides interact only weakly with the HIV membrane mimic, they would be more likely to oligomerize in solution along their hydrophobic faces, consistent with a study by Lee et al. (54) that has implicated LLP2 in Env multimerization. Other studies have implicated LLP2 interacting with p55Gag during virion formation (55–58). Env incorporation into cholesterol-rich raft-like domains could occur by the interaction of LLP2 with the MA portion of p55Gag, which binds to rafts as other studies have shown (59–63), but our data are silent on these possibilities.

Although natural and experimental variations in Env gp120 and gp41 ectodomain sequences have been studied in detail as determinants of Env structure and functional properties, the current data indicate a need to more rigorously evaluate the role of natural CTT variations as a determinant of Env phenotypes, including antibody neutralization sensitivity, due to its inside-outside signaling ability (64). In addition, the defined role of CTT-membrane mimic interactions as a determinant of overall Env conformation may in part explain the failure of experimental immunizations with HIV Env proteins engineered with deletions of the entire CTT to reduce cytoxicity and increase the levels of Env protein expression (65). These experimental immunizations with CTT-deleted Env constructs have in general elicited high levels of antibody to the vaccine protein, but relatively low levels of antibody reactivity with the native viral Env protein that fail to neutralize viral infectivity. It is possible that the CTT truncations substantially alter the conformation of the vaccine Env protein compared to the native full length viral Env protein. Thus, these data indicate the importance of designing HIV vaccines to express full-length membrane-associated Env protein to maximize the production of antibody responses that recognize and inactivate the native Env structure on the surface of viral particles and infected cells.
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SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
Supplementary Figure 1. Structures of lipid components of membrane mimics (from Avanti Polar Lipids WEBsite) and LLP2 variants. The residues with the cholesterol-binding Crac motif are underlined.
Supplementary Figure 2. LAXS geometry. The x-ray beam enters a humidity chamber (not shown) and impinges upon the stacked, membrane mimic sample (shown as white) centered on a silicon wafer which rotates from -1.6 to 7 to -1.6 degrees in one second during the 30-60 second data collection. The scattered intensity is collected on a 2D CCD detector. For WAXS, the data are collected at a positive 0.5° fixed angle, and at -0.5° for the background, which are then subtracted from each other. The sample is translated horizontally to avoid radiation damage.
Supplementary Figure 3. Estimating the uncertainties $\delta z_{\text{pep}}$ in the positions $z_{\text{pep}}$ of the WT and MX2 peptides in the T-cell membrane mimic (40:1). The symbols show $\chi^2$ as a function of $z_{\text{pep}}$ obtained by constraining $z_{\text{pep}}$ while allowing the other model parameters to fit using the SDP procedure. The solid lines are parabolic or cubic fits to $\chi^2(z_{\text{pep}})$. The three models considered were similar SDP models, but there were differences among the constraints. Differences compared to those listed in Materials and Methods were: WT in T-cell mimic Model 1, peptide width free; Model 2, peptide width fixed to 2.8, phosphate headgroup width fixed to 2.0; Model 3, peptide width free, headgroup widths free, DH1 constraint loosened to $t=0.1$ from 0.05. MX2 in T-cell mimic Model 1, peptide width free; Model 2, peptide width free, $r$ (ratio of terminal methyl to methylene volumes) free, $r_{12}$ (ratio of peptide to methylene volumes) free; Model 3, peptide width free, $r$ free, $r_{12}$ free, DH1 constraint loosened to $t=0.1$ from 0.05. The independently estimated errors $\delta z_{\text{pep}}$ for each model (shown in parentheses above) were obtained in a standard way by incrementing the chi-square value from its minimum, adding 1 and reading the peptide position where the fitted curve intersected the straight line at $+1$ (shown in insets). These errors were generally smaller than the error obtained from the average best positions for the three models. We suggest that $\delta z_{\text{pep}} = \pm 0.5$ Å is a conservative estimate.
Supplementary Figure 4. WAXS from T-cell membrane mimic samples (top row), HIV membrane mimic samples (middle row) and HIV-neg membrane mimic samples (bottom row) all at a lipid:peptide ratio of 20:1. The white ellipse at $q_r \approx 1.4$ Å$^{-1}$ is the hallmark of the liquid-ordered phase (in all samples). $S_{\text{xray}}$ was calculated following the procedure of Ref. (14). Mosaic spread $\alpha$ decreases the apparent value of $S_{\text{xray}}$. We have calibrated this decrease by smearing ideal data with a mosaic function and subtracting the $S_{\text{xray}}$ obtained from the smeared data from the known $S_{\text{xray}}$ for the unsmeared data with $\alpha=0$. Mosaic spread $\alpha$ was quantified using the 5th low-angle lamellar order that appears above the beam stop in the WAXS data (see Figure 4 and Supplementary Fig. 4). This was done by fitting the integrated intensity data of the 5th lamellar order along constant $q$ to obtain a Lorentzian width $\omega_L$, and then applying the following equation:

$$\omega_L^2 = \alpha^2 + 4(0.5^\circ - \theta_B)^2$$

where $\theta_B$ is half the scattering angle of the 5th order peak and $0.5^\circ$ is the incident angle of the beam.
Supplementary Figure 5. Total electron density profiles of T-cell and HIV membrane mimics. The higher electron density near 11 Å in the HIV membrane mimic is due to its higher cholesterol content (45% compared to 30% in the T-cell mimic). The lower electron density in the HIV headgroup is due to a larger area/unit cell in the HIV membrane mimic. These profiles result from structural modeling using the SDP program as described in Materials and Methods.
Supplementary Figure 6. LAXS from membrane mimics with and without peptides: T-cell mimic with LLP2 peptide variants at 20:1 (first row) and 40:1 (second row) lipid:peptide mole ratios, and HIV mimic with 20:1 (third row) and 40:1 (fourth row). Like the HIV mimic samples, the HIVextract(40:1)/CracWTpal (upper right) also has the second diffuse lobe missing. This HIV extract(40:1)/CracWTpal sample is the best oriented of the HIV extracted lipid samples; due to poor orientation and low signal-to-noise ratios in the other HIV extracted lipid samples, a precise structural analysis was not possible, even though Kc values were able to be obtained (see Table 3 in main paper.) Also shown is HIV-neg membrane mimic (on right). This HIV-neg membrane mimic pattern was not altered by the addition of peptides or by increasing the hydration time. Due to insufficient diffuse data in the HIV-neg membrane mimic samples, a precise structural analysis was not possible, even though Kc values were able to be obtained (see Table 3 in main paper.)

The laterally elongated shape of diffuse lobes for both T-cell and HIV mimic/peptide samples in Supplementary Fig. 6 is due to a slightly larger mosaic spread, or misalignment, of these samples and does not affect structure. LAXS results from HIV-chol mimic samples are not shown, since there was a phase coexistence of gel and fluid phases (see Fig. 4.d), and our liquid crystal fitting analysis requires a single phase and single D-spacing. LAXS results from all of the HIV–neg membrane mimic samples are also not shown, since there was insufficient diffuse data for structure determination (see one example in Supplementary Fig. 6, on upper right).
Supplementary Figure 7. CD spectra of LLP2 variants in membrane mimics. (a) Data for HIV/CracMX2pal and fit to data using the CDSSTR function in the DichroWEB on-line program (see Materials and Methods), (b) T-cell membrane mimic samples, (c) HIV membrane mimic samples, and (d) HIV-neg membrane mimic samples. Spectra are from either the vertical or inverted cuvette position. Cuvette background data were subtracted from these spectra. Traces are scaled vertically to separate the data for visual comparison.

Supplementary Table 1. CD results for 20:1 lipid/peptide samples. The unoriented 0.1 μm thick samples were hydrated through the vapor as described in Methods. The CDSSTR fitting function of DichroWEB was used to analyze the CD spectra using basis set #4.
Supplementary Table 2. Summary of CD fitting results using CDSSTR function in DichroWEB of LLP2 variants in water (0.008 mg/ml). All LLP2 peptides are primarily β-strand in aqueous solution, with random coil as the second highest component. The α-helical content increases to become the dominant motif when the LLP2 peptides associate with membranes (see Supplementary Fig. 7 and Supplementary Table 1). Similar results were obtained with the CONTINLL fitting algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>α-Helix (%)</th>
<th>β-Strand (%)</th>
<th>Random (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>10 ± 3</td>
<td>56 ± 5</td>
<td>33 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MX2</td>
<td>13 ± 5</td>
<td>59 ± 12</td>
<td>29 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CracWTpal</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
<td>58 ± 5</td>
<td>34 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CracWT</td>
<td>28 ± 3</td>
<td>42 ± 4</td>
<td>29 ± 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have emphasized in the text that weak binding of LLP2 to the HIV mimic would reduce the net membrane charge, thereby reducing the total repulsive interaction that then tips the balance of intermembrane forces from unbinding to binding. Such a reduction of net bilayer charge would not occur if LLP2 were completely dissociated from the HIV mimic. Here we consider a variant within this latter hypothesis that the LLP2 is completely dissociated and not weakly bound. This variant recognizes that LLP2 and its associated counterions act as a salt between the bilayers and this would also reduce the electrostatic repulsive interaction through Debye screening, without reducing the net bilayer charge. If this way of reducing the electrostatic repulsion were most important, then the bilayer D spacing should become finite whether positively charged WT is added or whether negatively charged MX2 is added. In contradiction, the D spacing was unbound when MX2 was added, whereas it became finite when WT was added, thereby supporting our interpretation that LLP2 is weakly bound to the HIV membrane mimic.