

University of Missouri-St. Louis

From the Selected Works of Nigam Rath

1996

$[(\text{CO})\text{H}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{-Arachno-OsB}_3\text{H}_8]$

Nigam Rath, *University of Missouri-St. Louis*

J. Bould

L. Barton



Available at: <https://works.bepress.com/nigam-rath/344/>

Stoe & Cie (1988a). *DIF4. Diffractometer Control Program*. Version 6.2. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.

Stoe & Cie (1988b). *REDU4. Data Reduction Program*. Version 6.2. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.

Taga, O., Masaki, N., Osaki, K. & Watanabe, T. (1971). *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **22**, 2981–2984.

Wiebenga, E. H. (1949). *Z. Kristallogr.* **102**, 193–206.

Acta Cryst. (1996). **C52**, 1388–1390

[(CO)H(PPh₃)₂-*arachno*-OsB₃H₈]

J. BOULD, N. P. RATH AND L. BARTON

Department of Chemistry, University of Missouri, St Louis, Missouri 63121, USA


(Received 22 September 1994; accepted 22 December 1995)

Abstract

A single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of the species 2-carbonyl-2-hydrido-*trans*-2,2-bis(triphenylphosphine)-2-osma-*arachno*-tetraborane confirms the structure as a tetraborane(10) butterfly with a *trans*-[(CO)H(PPh₃)₂Os] group replacing a BH₂ group at a wing-tip or 2-position. It is compared with the previously reported ruthenaborane analogue (CO)H(PPh₃)₂-*arachno*-RuB₃H₈.

Comment

During our investigations of the chemistry of metallaboranes, we have prepared the osmahexaborane (CO)(PPh₃)₂OsB₅H₉, which is available in *ca* 80% yield from the reaction of LiB₅H₈ and OsHCl(CO)(PPh₃)₃ (Bould, Greenwood & Kennedy, 1983). We have found that the residues from the preparation show, from ¹¹B NMR, evidence of other metallaborane products and we have attempted to identify some of these. The products include the title compound, (I), an *arachno*-osmatetaborane cluster, and what appear to be a novel *arachno*-osmapentaborane cluster and a novel *nido*-osmadecaborane cluster. Compound (I) is formed in a very low yield (2%) and is more conveniently available in 65% yield from the reaction of TiB₃H₈ and OsHCl(CO)(PPh₃)₃ (Bould *et al.*, 1983).



The structure (Fig. 1) is typical of an *arachno* metallatetraborane with the metal in the 'wing-tip' position of the cluster with a distorted octahedral disposition of the ligands about the metal center (Kennedy, 1984; Alcock, Burns, Claire & Hill, 1992). The Os moiety thus replaces a BH₂ group in B₄H₁₀. This is in contrast to the 1-metallatetraboranes in which the metal moiety replaces a BH₃ group in the 1-position (Bould, Greenwood, Kennedy & McDonald, 1985; Bould, Kennedy & McDonald, 1992; Housecroft, Owen, Raithby & Shaykh, 1990). The overall dimensions of the cluster are very similar to those of the structurally characterized ruthenaborane analogue (CO)H(PPh₃)₂-*arachno*-RuB₃H₈ (Alcock *et al.*, 1992) (Table 3), with some small differences.




Fig. 1. The molecular structure and atomic labeling scheme for [(CO)H(PPh₃)₂OsB₃H₈]. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 30% probability level. The metal hydride position was located and refined, and is shown as a sphere of arbitrary size.

The *M*—B(1) and *M*—B(3) distances in the osmahexaborane are equal whereas the ruthenaborane shows a lengthening of the Ru—B vector *trans* to the metal hydride of 0.045 Å, which has been attributed by Alcock *et al.* (1992) to the *trans* effect of the hydride.




Fig. 2. An illustration of the metallaborane core of the molecule, including the H-atom positions.

The slightly larger e.s.d.'s for the osmaborane could possibly obscure a similar but smaller *trans* effect in the osmaborane. The P(1)—M(2)—P(2) angle for the *trans* phosphine ligands is significantly closer to 180° in the osmaborane [172.21 (7)°] than in the ruthenaborane [161.8 (1)°] although, as with the latter compound, the deviations from the ideal octahedral angles are greater for the phosphine [P(2)] in the *cis* position with respect to the *endo*-terminal H atom H(4') (Fig. 2).

Experimental

The reaction between LiB₅H₈ and [OsHCl(CO)(PPh₃)₃] was carried out on a 0.5 mmol scale in tetrahydrofuran (thf) solution essentially according to the literature method (Bould *et al.*, 1983) using the same conditions and similar procedures. Compound (I) was obtained as follows: the thf was removed under vacuum, the solid obtained redissolved in CH₂Cl₂/pentane solution (1:3), filtered through silica gel, the filtrate applied to a preparative thin-layer-chromatography plate and developed using diethyl ether/pentane (1:1) as the mobile phase giving two bands (under UV illumination) at *R_f* = 0.8 (identified by NMR spectroscopy as Ph₃PBH₃) and *R_f* = 0.5 [compound (I), 9 mg, 0.011 mmol, 2% yield]. Colourless crystals of (I) were obtained by slow diffusion of pentane into a dichloromethane solution of the compound at 273 K.

Crystal data

[Os(B₃H₈)(H)(CO)-
(C₁₈H₁₅P)₂]

M_r = 784.25

Monoclinic

*P*2₁/*n*

a = 17.116 (4) Å

b = 9.960 (3) Å

c = 21.416 (5) Å

β = 108.59 (2)°

V = 3460 (2) Å³

Z = 4

D_m = 1.505 Mg m⁻³

Data collection

Siemens R3/mv diffractometer

ω/2θ scans

Absorption correction:

ψ scan (SHELXA;

Sheldrick, 1993)

T_{min} = 0.319, *T_{max}* =
0.756

6347 measured reflections

6129 independent reflections

4231 observed reflections

[*F* > 4σ(*F*)]

Refinement

Refinement on *F*²

R(*F*) = 0.0471

wR(*F*²) = 0.1129

S = 0.935

6129 reflections

Mo Kα radiation

λ = 0.71073 Å

Cell parameters from 20
reflections

θ = 8.5–11.0°

μ = 3.806 mm⁻¹

T = 298 (2) K

Hexagonal plate

0.4 × 0.2 × 0.1 mm

Colorless

R_{int} = 0.05

θ_{max} = 25.0°

h = 0 → 20

k = 0 → 11

l = -25 → 24

3 standard reflections

monitored every 100
reflections

intensity decay: 50%
(crystal decay)

w = 1/[σ²(*F_o*²) + 0.0588*P*²]

where *P* = (*F_o*² + 2*F_c*²)/3

(Δ/σ)_{max} = 0.004

Δρ_{max} = 0.987 e Å⁻³

Δρ_{min} = -0.924 e Å⁻³

400 parameters
H-atom parameters not
refined

Extinction correction: none
Atomic scattering factors
from *International Tables
for X-ray Crystallography*
(1974, Vol. IV)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$$U_{eq} = (1/3)\sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* a_i a_j$$

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	<i>U_{eq}</i>
Os(2)	0.4224 (1)	0.1135 (1)	0.1744 (1)	0.034 (1)
P(1)	0.3630 (1)	0.2057 (2)	0.0684 (1)	0.032 (1)
P(2)	0.4979 (1)	0.0382 (2)	0.2810 (1)	0.039 (1)
O	0.5722 (5)	0.0358 (9)	0.1355 (3)	0.084 (2)
C(1)	0.2900 (5)	0.0976 (8)	0.0093 (4)	0.034 (2)
C(2)	0.2073 (5)	0.1209 (9)	-0.0124 (4)	0.048 (2)
C(3)	0.1546 (6)	0.0315 (11)	-0.0558 (5)	0.067 (3)
C(4)	0.1845 (7)	-0.0807 (10)	-0.0792 (5)	0.064 (3)
C(5)	0.2671 (6)	-0.1025 (9)	-0.0579 (4)	0.057 (2)
C(6)	0.3196 (6)	-0.0183 (9)	-0.0137 (4)	0.049 (2)
C(7)	0.3080 (5)	0.3657 (8)	0.0616 (4)	0.038 (2)
C(8)	0.3035 (6)	0.4372 (9)	0.1157 (4)	0.056 (3)
C(9)	0.2678 (7)	0.5645 (10)	0.1089 (5)	0.063 (3)
C(10)	0.2343 (6)	0.6206 (10)	0.0473 (5)	0.057 (2)
C(11)	0.2385 (5)	0.5504 (9)	-0.0071 (4)	0.044 (2)
C(12)	0.2726 (5)	0.4240 (8)	-0.0016 (4)	0.043 (2)
C(13)	0.4389 (5)	0.2521 (8)	0.0285 (4)	0.034 (2)
C(14)	0.5069 (6)	0.3253 (10)	0.0634 (4)	0.052 (2)
C(15)	0.5660 (6)	0.3694 (10)	0.0355 (5)	0.059 (3)
C(16)	0.5555 (6)	0.3387 (10)	-0.0294 (5)	0.056 (2)
C(17)	0.4889 (6)	0.2691 (10)	-0.0637 (5)	0.062 (3)
C(18)	0.4291 (6)	0.2267 (10)	-0.0376 (4)	0.056 (2)
C(19)	0.4878 (6)	-0.1432 (9)	0.2926 (4)	0.049 (2)
C(20)	0.5037 (7)	-0.2275 (10)	0.2466 (4)	0.062 (3)
C(21)	0.4935 (7)	-0.3642 (11)	0.2491 (5)	0.074 (3)
C(22)	0.4668 (7)	-0.4204 (11)	0.2964 (6)	0.077 (3)
C(23)	0.4510 (7)	-0.3375 (12)	0.3420 (5)	0.072 (3)
C(24)	0.4641 (6)	-0.1993 (11)	0.3421 (4)	0.055 (3)
C(25)	0.4850 (5)	0.1188 (9)	0.3541 (4)	0.044 (2)
C(26)	0.5383 (5)	0.0859 (10)	0.4175 (4)	0.053 (2)
C(27)	0.5324 (6)	0.1509 (11)	0.4716 (4)	0.060 (3)
C(28)	0.4737 (7)	0.2496 (11)	0.4659 (4)	0.064 (3)
C(29)	0.4225 (6)	0.2862 (10)	0.4040 (4)	0.058 (3)
C(30)	0.4272 (5)	0.2212 (9)	0.3487 (4)	0.044 (2)
C(31)	0.6072 (6)	0.0679 (10)	0.2979 (4)	0.050 (2)
C(32)	0.6655 (6)	-0.0332 (14)	0.3018 (5)	0.078 (3)
C(33)	0.7464 (9)	-0.001 (2)	0.3086 (7)	0.119 (7)
C(34)	0.7739 (9)	0.126 (3)	0.3156 (7)	0.128 (8)
C(35)	0.7192 (9)	0.2238 (18)	0.3131 (6)	0.111 (5)
C(36)	0.6362 (6)	0.1987 (14)	0.3040 (5)	0.076 (3)
C(37)	0.5126 (6)	0.0668 (10)	0.1503 (5)	0.061 (3)
B(1)	0.2822 (5)	0.1129 (11)	0.1801 (4)	0.039 (2)
B(3)	0.3085 (6)	-0.0444 (11)	0.1563 (5)	0.046 (3)
B(4)	0.2745 (7)	-0.0289 (12)	0.2286 (5)	0.055 (3)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

P(1)—C(1)	1.820 (8)	P(2)—C(19)	1.839 (9)
P(1)—C(13)	1.827 (8)	O—C(37)	1.202 (11)
P(1)—C(7)	1.834 (8)	Os(2)—C(37)	1.837 (12)
P(2)—C(31)	1.813 (10)	Os(2)—P(1)	2.358 (2)
P(2)—C(25)	1.834 (8)	Os(2)—P(2)	2.359 (2)
O—C(37)—Os(2)	179.1 (8)	P(1)—Os(2)—B(1)	85.4 (2)
B(3)—B(1)—B(4)	62.2 (6)	C(37)—Os(2)—B(1)	60.2 (4)
B(3)—B(1)—Os(2)	68.9 (4)	P(2)—Os(2)—B(1)	101.2 (2)
B(4)—B(1)—Os(2)	106.7 (6)	C(1)—P(1)—C(13)	104.9 (3)
B(1)—B(3)—B(4)	59.8 (5)	C(1)—P(1)—C(7)	103.8 (4)
B(1)—B(3)—Os(2)	69.1 (4)	C(13)—P(1)—C(7)	99.6 (3)
B(4)—B(3)—Os(2)	105.4 (6)	C(1)—P(1)—Os(2)	115.4 (3)
C(37)—Os(2)—B(3)	119.6 (4)	C(13)—P(1)—Os(2)	113.3 (3)
P(1)—Os(2)—B(3)	91.2 (2)	C(7)—P(1)—Os(2)	117.8 (2)
P(2)—Os(2)—B(3)	96.5 (2)	C(31)—P(2)—C(25)	98.9 (4)
C(37)—Os(2)—P(1)	88.3 (3)	C(31)—P(2)—C(19)	105.6 (4)
C(37)—Os(2)—P(2)	86.6 (3)	C(25)—P(2)—C(19)	105.8 (4)

C(37)—Os(2)—B(3)	119.6 (4)	C(31)—P(2)—Os(2)	110.7 (3)
P(1)—Os(2)—B(3)	91.2 (2)	C(25)—P(2)—Os(2)	120.6 (3)
P(2)—Os(2)—B(3)	96.5 (2)	C(19)—P(2)—Os(2)	113.4 (3)

Table 3. A comparison of selected distances (Å) and angles (°) for [(CO)H(PPh₃)₂OsB₃H₈] and [(CO)H(PPh₃)₂RuB₃H₈]

	M = Os	M = Ru
B(1)—B(3)	1.75 (2)	1.738 (9)
B(1)—B(4)	1.783 (14)	1.802 (10)
B(3)—B(4)	1.827 (13)	1.808 (9)
M(2)—B(1)	2.441 (8)	2.439 (6)
M(2)—B(3)	2.437 (10)	2.484 (6)
P(1)—M(2)—P(2)	172.21 (7)	161.8 (1)
B(1)—B(4)—B(3)	58.0 (5)	57.6 (3)
B(1)—M(2)—B(3)	42.1 (3)	41.3 (2)
M(2)—B(1)—B(3)/B(1)—B(3)—B(4)	123.5	124.4

The structure was solved by the Patterson method. Full-matrix least-squares refinement was carried out by minimizing $\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2$. The non-H atoms were refined anisotropically to convergence. All H atoms connected to B atoms were located from the difference Fourier synthesis and were included in the final refinement. The positional parameters of the hydride H(2) were refined. The phenyl H atoms were calculated using a riding model (AFIX43 in SHELXL93; Sheldrick, 1993b). All H atoms were assigned fixed isotropic displacement parameters of 0.08 Å². Attempts were made to resolve the disorder resulting in larger displacement ellipsoids for C(33)—C(36), but the disorder models could not be refined successfully.

Data reduction and structure solution were carried out using the SHELXTL-Plus (VMS) software package (Sheldrick, 1991). Least-squares refinement was achieved by using SHELXL93.

We thank the National Science Foundation, the Missouri Research Board and the University of Missouri, St Louis, for financial support of this work.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: SZ1031). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Alcock, N. W., Burns, I. D., Claire, K. S. & Hill, A. F. (1992). *Inorg. Chem.* **31**, 4606–4610.
- Bould, J., Greenwood, N. N. & Kennedy, J. D. (1983). *J. Organomet. Chem.* **249**, 11–21.
- Bould, J., Greenwood, N. N., Kennedy, J. D. & McDonald, W. S. (1985). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* pp. 1843–1847.
- Bould, J., Kennedy, J. D. & McDonald, W. S. (1992). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **196**, 201–207.
- Housecroft, C. E., Owen, S. M., Raithby, P. R. & Shaykh (1990). *Organometallics*, **9**, 1617–1623.
- Kennedy, J. D. (1984). *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **32**, 520–679.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1991). *SHELXTL-Plus*. Release 4.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993a). *SHELXA93. Empirical Absorption Correction Program*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993b). *SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures*. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1996). **C52**, 1390–1392

trans(S)-(S-Methyl-D-penicillaminato)(D-penicillaminesulfinato)cobalt(III) Monohydrate

TOSHIAKI YONEMURA,^{a*} TAKAJI YASUI,^a KEN-ICHI OKAMOTO^{b*} AND JINSAI HIDAKA^c

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Akebono-cho, Kochi 780, Japan, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan, and ^cDepartment of Industrial Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Kinki University in Kyushu, Iizuka, Fukuoka 820, Japan

(Received 25 April 1995; accepted 17 November 1995)

Abstract

The title complex {[3-methylthio-D-valinato(1-)]-[3-sulfinato-D-valinato(2-)]cobalt(III) monohydrate, [Co(C₅H₉NO₄S)(C₆H₁₂NO₂S)].H₂O}, containing thioether and sulfinato moieties, has two different types of donor S atoms situated in *trans* positions to each other. The Co atom is octahedrally surrounded by the N, O and S atoms of each tridentate ligand. The complex molecule has been resolved spontaneously by crystallization. The absolute configuration of the coordinated S atom in the thioether ligand is *R*(S). The lengthening of the Co—S distances [2.376 (3) and 2.366 (3) Å] for the thioether ligand depends on the strong *trans* influence of the sulfinato group.

Comment

In the course of our investigations on the stereochemical and spectrochemical properties of Co^{III} complexes with sulfur-containing ligands, we have recently prepared the title complex, (I). It is difficult to prepare stably the *trans*(S) isomer of a Co^{III} complex with two thiolate and/or sulfinate groups because of the *trans* influence of these donor S atoms. Moreover, reports on the investigation of Co^{III} complexes containing two different types of donor S atoms are scarce. In order to elucidate the geometry adopted by (I), we have determined its structure crystallographically.

