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ANNOTATION

Accounts receivable management should contribute to realization of basic financial purpose of an enterprise which is the realization of such strategy which is linked with its owner (or its stakeholders) wealth maximization. The enterprise performance and value maximization strategy realization is more effective when it is realized in the most efficiency way. It is also executed with a focus on risk and uncertainty (Gentry 1988, Michalski 2012). This paper presents the consequences that can result from operating risk to determine the level of accounts receivable in the enterprise. The change in the level of accounts receivables in an enterprise increases net working capital level and influence costs of holding and managing accounts receivables. As illustration material is used data collected from 2009 and 2010 financial statements of over 3000 Polish enterprises.
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INTRODUCTION

The topic of the paper is linked with scientific inquiries in the area of global financial markets and financial systems in the discussion how the post crisis changes influence current accounts receivable management in firms. The general attention of the paper is paid to the European financial and sovereign debt crisis, changing the cost of capital rate for the firm and in the result their decision in the choice the most suitable accounts receivable policy. The impact of the financial crisis in the Polish enterprises makes the accounts receivable management more flexible because the managers rather prefer to be more careful and should use more flexible accounts receivable approach to gain higher level of sale. The main contribution of the paper is to check if the model expected changes, had the place. The empirical data from Polish firms shows STAR/ASSETS relation growth, that inform about more flexible accounts receivable policy choice. Similarly STAR/CA relation growth confirms more flexible accounts receivable policy choice. The same way STAR/EBIT relation growth means more flexible choice in accounts receivable policy. That means the empirical data from Polish firms for 2009-2010 years suggests that for Polish managing teams risk aversion has stronger influence on current assets investment policy than pure economic indicators. The financial aim of the enterprise is maximization of enterprise value. Financial literature contains information about numerous factors that influence enterprise financial efficiency. Among those contributing factors is the extent of the net working capital and the elements shaping it, such as the level of cash tied up in accounts receivable, inventories, the early settlement of accounts payable, and operational cash balances (Michalski 2010). Not all enterprises has to do with all aspects of liquidity decisions or current assets management. Part of them serve their clients only as service
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providers with no or almost no inventory cycle presence. Other enterprises use do not produce anything but only redistribute final products, but many of them have full or almost full operating cycle with inventories of raw materials, final products inventories, accounts receivables and cash (Michalski 2009).

**AIM AND METHODOLOGY**

The paper discuss the level of accounts receivable investment which are the result of trade credit terms. The decision whether to extend the trade credit terms, is a compromise between limiting the risk of allowing for the payment postponement from unreliable purchasers and gaining new customers by way of a more liberal enterprise trade credit policy (Michalski 2007). This decision shapes the level and quality of accounts receivable. Robishek (Robishek 1965, Gentry 1988, Michalski 2008d) discuss risk involved to accounts receivable decisions, which must be accepted by financial institutions pledging of accounts receivable of the enterprise. Smith (Smith 1973, Gentry 1988) predicts and Michalski (Michalski 2008a) shows that portfolio theory may be used to decrease accounts receivable risk. Friedland (Friedland 1966, Gentry 1988) agree with, that current assets could be viewed in portfolio context. Pringle and Cohn (Pringle 1974, Gentry 1988) and later Michalski (Michalski 2012, Michalski 2008c) try to adapt the CAPM theory to working capital elements. Bierman and Hausman (Bierman 1970, Gentry 1988) discuss the granting policy of an enterprise and shows that trade credit policy requires balancing the future sales gains against possible losses. Lewellen, Johnson and Edmister (Lewellen 1972, Lewellen 1973, Gentry 1988) explain how and why traditional devices used for monitoring accounts receivable should be changed by new and better ones. Freitas (Freitas 1973, Gentry 1988, Michalski 2008b) shows relation between liquidity and risk during accounts receivable management. The question discussed in this article concerns the making decisions by enterprises in accounts receivables area.

If holding accounts receivable on a level defined by the enterprise provides greater advantages than negative influence, the enterprise efficiency will grow. Changes in the level of accounts receivable affect the efficiency of the enterprise. To measure the effects that these changes produce, we use the following formula, which is based on the assumption that the enterprise efficiency is the sum of the future free cash flows to the enterprise ($\Delta FCF$), discounted by the rate of the cost of capital financing the realization of enterprise performance and value maximization strategy:

$$\Delta V = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{\Delta FCF}{(1 + CoC)^t},$$

where $\Delta V = \text{enterprise efficiency increase (measured as enterprise value growth)}$; $\Delta FCF_t = \text{future free cash flow growth in period } t$; and $CoC = \text{cost of capital financing the strategy serving here as discount rate}$.

To estimate changes in accounts receivable levels, we accept discount rate equal to the average weighted cost of capital (CoC). Such changes and their results are strategic and long term in their character, although they refer to accounts receivable and short run area decisions, see: (Maness, Zietlow 1998, pp. 62-63; Michalski 2008c). The basic financial aim of the enterprise is not only the enterprise value creation but as close as possible realization of the performance and value maximization strategy of that enterprise. For assessment of financial decision enterprises, should be used rules claiming that the higher risk should be linked with the higher cost of capital rate used to evaluate the future results of current decision. That is positively connected with the level of efficiency and effectiveness in realization of the enterprise performance and value maximization strategy. Cost of financing accounts
receivables policy is a result of the risk included to the enterprise strategy of financing and/or investment in accounts receivables (Michalski 2008b).

During estimation of the free cash flows, the holding and increasing of accounts receivables ties up money used for financing accounts receivables. If accounts receivables level increases, the enterprise must utilize and tie up more money, and this decreases free cash flows. Production level growth necessitates increased levels of cash, inventories, and accounts receivable. A part of this growth will be covered with current liabilities that automatically grow with the growth of production and sales. The remaining cash requirements (that are noted as net working capital requirements increase: ΔWC) will require a different form of financing.

Accounts receivables policy decisions changing the terms of trade credit create a new accounts receivable level. Consequently, accounts receivable policy has an influence on enterprise efficiency. This comes as a result of alternative costs of money tied in accounts receivable and general costs associated with managing accounts receivable. Both the first and the second involve modification of future free cash flows and as a consequence the enterprise efficiency changes (Michalski, 2008b).

Case. The enterprise managing team forecasts that its cash revenues without changing current policy will be at the level CR0 = 100000 €. According to the same forecast VC = 40% × CR. Forecasted operating costs of accounts receivable management in the enterprise, kAAR = 28%. Cost of capital rate, CoC = 14%. Without change of accounts receivable policy 40% of the enterprise customers use 1% cash discount paying on the 10th day. The remaining customers pay at the 30th day. Forecasted bad debts losses are 2% of CR. The changes of accounts receivables policy (from 1/10, net 30 to 2/7, net 45) considered by enterprise managing team will result: 60% of enterprise customers use 2% cash discount paying on the 7th day. The remaining customers will pay at the 45th day. Forecasted bad debts losses will grow up to 3% of CR. Forecasted cash revenues after accounts receivable policy change reach CR1 = 140000 €. The effects of changes in accounts receivable policy would be felt for seven years. The horizon could be, according to enterprise forecast finite or infinite and depends on information collected by managing team.

Without change of accounts receivables policy, 60% of cash revenues is collected on the 30th day, the rest: 40% will be regulated up to the 10th day, so the ACP0 is:

ACP0 = 0.6 × 30 + 0.4 × 10 = 22 days. The ACP1 after change is:
ACP1 = 0.4 × 45 + 0.6 × 7 = 22.2 days.

That is why expected increase of average level of accounts receivable is:

ΔAAR = (22,2 - 22) × \frac{140000}{360} + 0.4 × 22,2 × \frac{100000}{360} = 2544 €. Therefore as a result of trade credit policy change, the average state of accounts receivable will grow for 2544 €.

Then is possible to forecast ΔEBIT:

(1 - T) × ΔEBIT = 40000 × 0.6 - 28% × 2544 - (3% × 140000 - 2% × 100000) + -(2% × 140000 × 60% - 1% × 100000 × 40%) = 19808 € = ΔNOPAT = FCFi,7

Next the managing team of the enterprise can estimate change in the enterprise efficiency:

ΔV = -2544 + \frac{19808}{0,14} × \left(1 - \frac{1}{1,14^7}\right) + \frac{2544}{1,14^7} = 83415 €.
RESULTS

There are possible three ways of managing of accounts receivable. The restrictive policy with as small as possible levels of accounts receivables, the flexible policy with as liberal policy in accounts receivables as needed to activate the cash revenues collection and the moderate accounts receivables policy in the middle.

More restrictive solutions are cheaper thanks to smaller costs of managing accounts receivables but they are also linked with higher level of operational risk. That results with higher cost of capital from financing and smaller efficiency from free cash flows generated by enterprise operations. On the other side, more flexible solutions are linked with lower level of operational risk. That results with lower cost of capital from financing and higher efficiency from free cash flows generated by enterprise operations.

Generally aimed on realization of the performance and value maximization strategy enterprises, should to choose more safe and more flexible accounts receivable policies. In fig. 1. there is data collected for Polish enterprises, for years 2009 and 2010. We can observe the levels of accounts receivables for enterprises which maintain inventories and manage the account receivables (the firms without positive levels of inventories and accounts receivables were excluded).

Fig. 1. Short-term receivables in Polish enterprises in 2009 & 2010. Logarithmic scale.

Source: [MPB]

Table 1. Short-term receivables levels in Polish enterprises in 2009.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2009</th>
<th>EBIT</th>
<th>STAR</th>
<th>LTAR</th>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>STAR / Assets</th>
<th>CA</th>
<th>STAR/CA</th>
<th>STAR / receivables</th>
<th>STAR / EBIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size of population</td>
<td>2849</td>
<td>2849</td>
<td>2849</td>
<td>2849</td>
<td>2849</td>
<td>2849</td>
<td>2849</td>
<td>2849</td>
<td>2849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arithmetic mean</td>
<td>3 292 702</td>
<td>9 589 012</td>
<td>5 674 661</td>
<td>40 395 776,53</td>
<td>19,41%</td>
<td>22 333 618,37</td>
<td>42,55%</td>
<td>62,02%</td>
<td>291,22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>8 373 759</td>
<td>12 843 123</td>
<td>11 398 657</td>
<td>73 017 824,23</td>
<td>17,94%</td>
<td>27 795 580,40</td>
<td>46,36%</td>
<td>52,98%</td>
<td>155,93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>1 335 471</td>
<td>5 041 646</td>
<td>1 902 299</td>
<td>26 226 223</td>
<td>19,13%</td>
<td>23 528 211</td>
<td>37,27%</td>
<td>72,59%</td>
<td>328,35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winsorized mean</td>
<td>2 082 807</td>
<td>6 156 786</td>
<td>2 786 793</td>
<td>32 720 427</td>
<td>18,94%</td>
<td>15 841 739</td>
<td>35,13%</td>
<td>68,58%</td>
<td>257,52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truncated mean</td>
<td>7 484 347</td>
<td>10 515 659</td>
<td>10 587 520</td>
<td>96 510 084</td>
<td>20,43%</td>
<td>48 215 828</td>
<td>42,22%</td>
<td>65,24%</td>
<td>261,42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [MPB]

Table 2. Short-term receivables levels in Polish enterprises in 2010.
CONCLUSIONS

The main contribution of the paper is to check if the accounts receivable management model expected changes had the place. The empirical data from Polish firms shows STAR/ASSETS relation growth, that inform about more flexible accounts receivable policy choice. Similarly STAR/CA relation growth confirms more flexible accounts receivable policy choice. The same way STAR/EBIT relation growth means more flexible choice in accounts receivable policy. That means the empirical data from Polish firms for 2009-2010 years suggests that for Polish managing teams risk aversion has stronger influence on current assets investment policy than pure economic indicators.

Fig. 2. Short-term receivable policy changes in Polish enterprises in 2009 & 2010.

Source: [MPB]


Accounts receivable management decisions are very complex. On the one hand, too much money is tied up in accounts receivables, because of an extreme liberal policy of giving trade credit. This burdens the enterprise with higher costs of accounts receivable service with additional high alternative costs. Additional costs are further generated by bad debts from risky customers. On the other hand, the more liberal accounts receivable policy could help enlarge inflows from cash revenues. Data used for our calculations comes from over 3000 Polish enterprises and their financial statements. Because not all of them use accounts receivables management in connection to real operational cycle with inventories was used only that of them which have positive levels of accounts receivables. For our information is helpful median and winsorized mean, which show that short term accounts receivable to total assets was in 2009 and 2010 years in Polish enterprises near to 19,4% ; the relation short term assets to earnings before interests and taxes was in 2009 and 2010 years near to 264% (truncated mean). That shows that firms generally use rather stable policy in managing accounts receivable.

BIBLIOGRAPHY


dr Grzegorz Michalski, Assistant Professor, Department of Corporate Finance and Value Management, Wroclaw University of Economics, Wroclaw, Poland, ul. Komandorska 118/120, Z-2, KPPIZW; PL53-345 Wroclaw, Poland, ph. +48503452860, fax +48717183313, Grzegorz.Michalski@ue.wroc.pl; http://michalskig.com/
Edited by Mgr. Petr Červinek
Technical collaboration: Mgr. Petr Červinek

© 2012 Masarykova univerzita

International conference European Financial Systems 2012 focused on prevailing scientific inquiries in the area of global financial markets and financial systems. Particular attention was paid to the European financial and sovereign debt crisis, comprising the possibilities of its resolution and options of its prevention in the future. Fruitful discussions covered recent findings and trends in banking, insurance, financial engineering, and financial management alongside with developments in accounting practices and taxation systems.

International Conference Board
Chairman: Ing. Petr Valouch, Ph.D., Head of Department of Finance, ESF MU
Members: prof. Dr. Ing. Dana Dluhošová – VŠB TU Ostrava,
prof. Ing. Viktória Čejková, PhD. – ESF MU,
prof. Ing. Eva Horváťová, CSc. – NHF EU Bratislava,
prof. Dr. Dirk Kaiser – FHS Bochum,
prof. Ing. Eva Kislingerová, CSc. – VŠE Praha,
prof. Ing. Anna Majtánová, PhD. – NHF EU Bratislava,
prof. Ing. Oldřich Rejnuš, CSc. – ESF MU,
doc. Ing. Eva Kafková, PhD. – PHF Košice,
doc. Ing. Jaroslav Sedláček, CSc. – ESF MU,
Ing. František Kalouď, CSc., MBA – ESF MU,
Ing. Peter Krištofík, Ph.D. – UMB Banská Bystrica,
Ing. Zuzana Křížová, Ph.D. – ESF MU,
Ing. Iveta Ratmanová, Ph.D. – VŠB TU Ostrava,
Ing. František Řezáč, Ph.D. – ESF MU
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Dorota Adamek-Hyska, Piotr Tworek – Cost budgeting methods in construction projects in Poland .......................................................... 6

Beáta Blechová – The analysis of the corporate financial and tax accounting in the EU and their harmonization ................................................. 12

Gábor Bóta, Mihály Ormos – A Nonparametric Serial Correlation Test of the Central and Eastern European Stock Markets .................................. 18

Viktória Čejková, Svatopluk Nečas – The Insurance Market in Europe .......................................................... 24

Petr Červinek, Jana Hvozdenšká – Empirical Test of the CAPM Using Linear Regression .......................................................... 30

Oleg Deev, Veronika Kajurová, Daniel Stavárek – Stock market bubbles investigation in the Czech Republic .......................................................... 35

Michal Fabus – The application of OCA criteria on the European Monetary Union .......................................................... 41

Monika Foltyn-Zarychta – Biases in Contingent Valuation Method Used for Investment Project Appraisal .......................................................... 45

Monika Garguláková – Analysis of bankruptcy and secure risk management models and application for their extension .......................................................... 51

Radim Gottwald – Impact of Selected Factors on the Dividend Policy of Joint-Stock Company .......................................................... 57

Igor Hudák, Eva Kaťková – Determination of the general value of selected engineering enterprises .......................................................... 62

Zdeněk Hustak – The Alternative Investment Funds Managers Directive and the Regulation on Venture Capital Funds - selected comments .......................................................... 67

Erika Mária Jamborová – Agency theory and its application in the business of the financial sector in Slovakia .......................................................... 73

Magdalena Jasiniak – The effects of domestic and foreign enterprises’ financial activity in host country economy – comparative analysis .......................................................... 79

István Joó, Mihály Ormos – Disposition Effect: Do Hungarian investors keep their mistakes? .......................................................... 85

František Kalouda – Claim Paid Position in Rank of Alternative Source of Funding .......................................................... 91

Mária Klimiková, Martin Vovk – The Banking Sector under the Conditions of the Crisis .......................................................... 97

Veronika Krsikova, Lukas Rybka – Audit Risk in the Context of the Audit Profession .......................................................... 102

Jan Krajiček – Banks and Cash Management .......................................................... 107

Veronika Krčelinová, Jiřina Krajičková, Pavla Vanduchová – Application of operations research method to solve increase in unemployment .......................................................... 113

Zuzana Kržková, Eva Hýblová – Changes in Reporting of Goodwill in Mergers & Acquisitions .......................................................... 119

Katarzyna Lewkowicz-Grzegorczyk – Tax progression as an instrument of income redistribution .......................................................... 125
Dagmar Linnertová, Martin Cupal, Oleg Deev – Investigation of the Weekend Effect on the Prague Stock Exchange ........................................................................................................ 131

Makarova Vasilisa – The methodology for the risk detection in non-public companies. Russia, NRU HSE ................................................................................................................ 136

Magdalena Markiewicz – The importance of offshore financial centres in the financial system in the times of crisis ....................................................................................... 142

Grzegorz Michalski – Efficiency of accounts receivable management in Polish institutions ....................................................................................................................... 148

Peter Mokrička, Petr Červínek – Structured Products and Modern Portfolio Theory .......... 154

Mihály Ormos, Gábor Bóta – Investigation of weak-form efficiency in the CEE region applying variance ratio test ............................................................................................. 160

Gabriela Oškrdalová – Analysis of skimming in the Czech Republic and protection techniques against skimming .......................................................................................... 166

Dalibor Pánek – Trends in the organization of financial supervision over the ocean .......... 172

Radoslaw Pastusiak – The use of VaR in the process of transaction system risk reduction: the example of derivatives ............................................................................. 176

Oldřich Rejnuš – Risk Factors of Contemporary „Financial Crisis“ and Their Influence on Future Development of World Economy ................................................................. 182

František Řezáč – The impact of the financial and debt crises on the investment activities of insurance companies .................................................................................... 188

Jaroslav Sedláček, Kristýna Kuhrová – An Analysis of Unsuccessful Mergers in the Czech Environment ................................................................................................................ 194

Vladimir Shatrevich – Cost management evolution for manufacturing companies .......... 201

Miroslav Sponer – Segmentation of corporate clients in a bank .............................................. 207

Jiří Strouhal – Applicability of IFRS in the Practice of Czech SMEs: Insight of Czech Accounting Profession Representatives ................................................................. 214

Boris Sturc, Natalia Zoldakova – Selected macroeconomic factors and their relationship to impaired loans of Deutsche Bank ............................................................................. 220

Petr Valouch, Maria Králová – Influence of a merger on the value of assets of merged companies in the Czech Republic ................................................................................... 226

Eva Vávrová – Current approaches to assess the financial performance of a commercial insurance company ...................................................................................................... 232

Jitka Veselá, Soňa Poláková – The success of the speculative bubbles burst prediction using Artificial Neural Networks .................................................................................. 237