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Abstract

A compound two-dimensional monolayer mixing Mn atoms and 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) molecules was synthesized by supramolecular assembly on a Cu(100) surface under ultra-high-vacuum conditions. The interactions in the Mn(TCNQ)$_2$ network and in the full system are analyzed from a molecular orbital perspective and in the light of scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) imaging and simulations. Structural, electronic and magnetic properties are studied in detail using density functional theory (DFT) calculations. In the absence of Cu and depending on the theoretical method used, the TCNQ species can be formally described as either dianions TCNQ$^{2-}$ interacting with Mn$^{4+}$ cations according to ligand field theory (using GGA calculations) or, alternatively, as radical monoanions interacting with Mn$^{2+}$ cations in a high spin state (using GGA+U calculations). In the complete system including a Cu substrate, whatever theoretical method is used, TCNQs appear as dianions interacting with both Mn$^{2+}$ cations in a high spin state ($d^5$) and charged copper surface atoms.
1. Introduction.

Much interest has focused these last decades on organic charge-transfer (CT) salts that display unusual solid state properties such as metallic conductivity, superconductivity, magnetic and optical properties. The motivation behind research on these materials is twofold: (i) there is a wealth of fundamental solid-state chemistry and physics to be uncovered and (ii) there are potentially many far-reaching technological applications within the arena of molecular electronic devices.

During the past decades many salts of TCNQ (7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane) were studied. TCNQ is indeed the most famous organic π-acceptor component for these CT salts and the population of its lower molecular orbital controls its main electronic properties. This population can be 2e in the dianion TCNQ$^{2-}$, or 1e in a radical monoanion, or less than 1e in the presence of metal atoms. This depends on the stoichiometry and on the coordination (the terms dianion and monoanion are here used in their usual formal sense, with integer oxidation numbers; the “actual” atomic charges depend on how charge is partitioned between ions and can be non-integers). The radical monoanion structures for TCNE (tetracyanoethylene) and TCNQ are well characterized.

The radical may be stabilized by dimerization or by interaction with donors when forming salts. TCNE and TCNQ acceptor dianions have also been stabilized. The TCNQ dianion can combine with metal complexes, thus forming adducts. The existence of the TCNQ dianion in vacuum on the microsecond timescale was demonstrated in electron transfer from sodium vapor to monoanions of high translational energy. The charge transfer to the dianion allows oxidizing metal atoms to generate metal cations in a high spin state, thus causing a large magnetization.

TCNQ was chosen to coordinate with transition metal ions for preparing molecular magnets because large local superexchange interactions are expected between the high spin state of the metal ions and organic spin carriers. Recently we presented the assembly of a 2-dimensional Mn(TCNQ)$_2$ coordination network on a Cu(100) surface.
and characterized the network by combined STM, LEED and DFT studies, emphasizing the interpretation of the experiments \cite{16}. We concluded that a high magnetization occurs due to the presence of Mn$^{2+}$ ions in a high spin state. The current paper gives a more complete and detailed theoretical analysis of the various interactions in this particularly interesting system, based on DFT and DFT+U calculations and a more sophisticated approach to modeling STM.

2. Calculation details

In our DFT calculations, the structures were optimized with the VASP package \cite{17-19}, which uses a periodic approach with plane waves as basis set. The projected augmented wave method \cite{20,21} was adopted. The exchange correlation functional was treated within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew and Wang \cite{22,23} with the Vosko-Wilk-Nusair (VWN) interpolation of the correlation energy \cite{24}. The kinetic energy cutoff was set to 400 eV. Five-layer metal slabs, with 10 Å of vacuum between slabs, were used to simulate the surface.

The top three copper layers and all the adsorbate atoms were allowed to relax until the largest force component was smaller than 0.04 eV/Å, while the bottom two copper layers were fixed to the experimental bulk Cu geometry. Integrations in the first Brillouin zone were performed using a Monkhorst-Pack grid of (2x2x1) k-points. The details of the supercell employed will be given in the next section.

Since electronic correlations for the tightly bound localized states of the transition metals are often poorly described by standard LDA/GGA functionals, it is common practice to include Hubbard-type terms as in the so-called U approach. Therefore, we performed calculations for both the GGA and GGA+U formalisms \cite{25,26,27}. For the latter we follow the work of Dudarev et al \cite{27} and use a single effective parameter $U_{\text{eff}}=U-J$ for the Mn atoms. As a rough estimation, we set $U_{\text{eff}}$ for Mn to 4.2 eV \cite{28}.

The molecular calculations were performed using Gaussian 03 code \cite{29}. The hybrid density functional B3LYP (Becke, three-parameter, Lee-Yang-Parr) for the
exchange-correlation potential\textsuperscript{30} and the split-valence basis set 6-311++G(2df,2p) were adopted.

3. Experiment

Experimental work on self-assembled Mn(TCNQ)\textsubscript{2} networks on Cu(100) has been described in detail in a recent publication\textsuperscript{16} and is summarized briefly here. The experiments were conducted under ultrahigh vacuum conditions (<3x10\textsuperscript{-10} mbar) using single crystal Cu(100) samples, which were cleaned by cycles of sputtering and annealing. First TCNQ molecules and then Mn atoms were vapor deposited to the sample using Knudsen cell and electron beam evaporators, respectively. The sample was held at 160 K during the deposition steps, then annealed for 15 minutes at about 400 K before in situ characterization by STM, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, and low-energy electron diffraction.

STM imaging found a highly ordered network with Mn(TCNQ)\textsubscript{2} composition, shown and interpreted in Figure 1. The network lattice structure is described in detail below. Two symmetry-equivalent orientational domains of the lattice are observed on the surface which are distinguished by unit cell orientations of ± 8.1° from the [010] direction and opposite organizational chirality in the ordering of the TCNQ molecules around the Mn center (one orientational domain is shown in Fig. 1). The structures were imaged and found to be very stable at room temperature.

4. Description of the structure of Mn(TCNQ)\textsubscript{2} adsorbed on Cu(100)

The (5x5)R36.9° superlattice formed by the Cu atoms and the adsorbates is square, cf. the red unit cell in Figure 2; its unit cell contains 2 TCNQ molecules and a Mn atom in the overlayer, and 25 Cu atoms per substrate layer. The experimental image allows two slightly different and thus inequivalent models for this system, beyond the equivalent models obtained by simple rotational and mirror symmetry operations: we call them “aligned
model” and “twisted model”. They are physically difficult to distinguish, whether in terms of STM imaging, total energy, bond lengths, electronic structure or magnetic properties. The “aligned model” is shown in Figure 2 and discussed in the following, while the “twisted model” will be addressed in section 9.

There are C₄ symmetry axes at the centers of two different (yellow) squares formed by the 4 nitrogen atoms of adjacent TCNQ molecules. A Mn atom occupies the center of the smaller of these squares, while each of the other 4 N atoms forming the larger square is almost directly above a (second-neighboring) Cu atom. The smaller square has roughly the dimension of the primitive (1x1) unit cell of the Cu lattice and is slightly rotated relative to it. The other square, somewhat larger, almost matches the (√2x√2)R45° = c(2x2) cell of the Cu(100) lattice. The adjacent N atoms in these squares belong to different TCNQ molecules; along an edge of a square they belong to TCNQ molecules that have different orientation, while the diagonals of the square connect TCNQ molecules with the same orientation due to translation symmetry. The lattice vectors in terms of the 2D Cu(100) lattice vectors (aₓ,aᵧ) are (4 aₓ + 3 aᵧ) and (-3 aₓ + aᵧ), giving the superlattice matrix notation
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
4 & 3 \\
-3 & 4
\end{pmatrix},
\]
equivalent to the Wood notation (5x5)R36.9°, which reflects that the superlattice unit cell is rotated relative to the (1x1) cell of the Cu lattice (the integer dimensions 5 result from 5² = 4² + 3²). The cell parameter is therefore 5d = 12.78 Å, with d = 2.556 Å being the bulk Cu-Cu nearest neighbor distance (√2 d = a = 3.615 Å = Cu fcc unit cell parameter).

5. Geometry of the neutral and charged TCNQ molecule

The geometry of TCNQ in the gas phase shows alternating bonds in agreement with the localization of the double bonds on C₁-C₂ and C₃-C₃ as labeled in the Lewis structure for the neutral molecule shown in the insert of table1. This mainly originates from the phase relationship of the HOMO of the molecule (of B3U symmetry 3¹ as shown in figure 4). We can view it as the out-of-phase combination of all the bonding π
orbitals localized on each double bond.

In the presence of an electron donor (an Mn atom or the Cu surface), we expect TCNQ to become negatively charged, filling the LUMO of B2G symmetry, cf. figure 4. This orbital may be seen as the in-phase combination of all the antibonding $\pi^*$ orbitals localized on each double bond. The filling of this orbital reverses the bond length alternation observed in the ground state: every double bond is weakened, with elongated distances due to the filling of the $\pi^*$ orbitals; the $\sigma$ bonds connecting them acquire a partial $\pi$ bonding character due to the in-phase combination. This can be seen in table 1 for the monoanion radical and to a greater extent for the dianion, for the network and for the protonated TCNQH$_2$$^{2+}$, the latter being a simple local model of the TCNQ network. The optimized distances for these structures exhibit shortened C$_0$-C$_1$ distances, elongated C$_1$-C$_2$ distances and nearly equivalent distances within the ring. When the B2G orbital is completely filled, the dianion may be represented by the Lewis formulae displayed in figure 3. The weakening of the C$_1$-C$_2$ double bond to a single bond in the dianion state allows greater flexibility in bending this bond, which has been cited as a critical step for TCNQ bonding and the resulting self-assembled island formation on Cu(100) $^{32}$.

We can analyze the geometrical variations by considering three parts of the molecule. Let us refer to the representations shown in Figure 3. The central unit is a nearly 6-fold symmetric ring that resembles an aromatic ring, at variance with the neutral TCNQ whose ring is asymmetric. Secondly, the C$_0$-C$_1$-C$_0$ units remain coplanar with the central ring (before charge transfer) in order to allow conjugation. Two $\pi$ electrons occupy the lowest $\pi$ orbital of each C$_0$-C$_1$-C$_0$ unit that is isolobal to the allyle cation. The third part is composed of the CN groups whose $\pi$ orbitals must have an orientation perpendicular to that of the remaining $\pi$ system (C$_0$-C$_1$-C$_0$ units and central hexagonal ring), so the CN $\pi$ orbitals must be oriented within the TCNQ plane, since the C$_0$ carbons have sp hybridization as found in the allene structure. This in turn makes the electron pairs of the N atoms point out of the TCNQ plane, on both sides and orients
half of them such that they interact strongly with the underlying Cu atoms.

Figure 3 shows several representations of the dianion with indication of the formal charges; structure a) contains 2 allene-like structures and 2 cyano structures. Structure b) is more symmetrical and shows 4 nitrogen atoms with negative charges. Four N lone pairs were already present in the neutral TCNQ, so there is a total of 8 lone pairs in the dianion (two per N atom). The 4 other pairs arise from the 6 remaining electrons of the \( \pi \) conjugated system of the TCNQ (16 electrons minus the 10 that are still filling the conjugated \( \pi \) system) and from the 2 lone pairs of the dianion charge. In structure c), we have added four protons that take the place of the 4 Cu atoms and the Mn, making this model system isolobal to the adsorbed TCNQ.

6. Interaction between the 4 N atoms with 4 nearby Cu atoms or Mn.

A set of 4 N atoms forming any small square in figure 2 interacts through their N \( \sigma \) lone pairs and through the molecular \( \pi \) system. The interaction involving the 4 \( \sigma \) lone pairs is that of 4 independent atomic orbitals and is not k-dependent. By contrast, the delocalization of the \( \pi \) orbitals makes their phase relationship on the 4 interacting N atoms dependent on the orbital symmetry and on the k-point. In this case only, we expect band dispersion for the orbitals.

The set of four Cu atoms that are close to the 4 N atoms forms a square (cf. the mid-size square drawn in fig. 2a) and is characterized by 4 valence orbitals (4s) that can combine with A1, A2 and E symmetry (see figure 5). Similarly, the 4 nearby N \( \sigma \) lone pairs pointing toward the Cu atoms also generate 4 MO orbitals of the same local symmetry. There is always a good match between these orbitals which does not depend on the k-point and therefore, we do not expect band dispersion for the orbitals associated with this interaction.

When Mn is in the TCNQ plane, there is one strong \( \sigma \) interaction of A2 symmetry involving a d orbital (\( d_{x^2-y^2} \), calling x,y the directions to the N atoms) of Mn and the
2sp\textsubscript{\sigma} of the N (pointing in the direction opposite to C). This interaction is always present. To understand the electronic properties, we are however more interested in the d orbitals close to the Fermi level (E\textsubscript{F}) that are \pi orbitals (d\textsubscript{xz} and d\textsubscript{yz}) and can interact with the p\textsubscript{z} orbitals of the N atoms; these orbitals have local E symmetry and interact only when their phases match the phase relationship of the p\textsubscript{z}(N) orbitals. Periodicity introduces then a constraint between the phase relationships of the p\textsubscript{z}(N) orbitals on a diagonal of the square filled by the Mn atom: the N atoms belong to TCNQs that are related by translation symmetry; this imposes different relationships depending on the k-point and the symmetry of the MO. At \Gamma, the p\textsubscript{z}(N) orbitals along a diagonal are in phase for the B3U MO (D\textsubscript{2h} group). The periodicity and the MO symmetry preserve the phase, thus forming locally A1 and A2 patterns, cf. figure 5. This is reversed for the B2G orbital since the MO symmetry changes the phase. Therefore, interaction at \Gamma with Mn E orbitals is then only possible for B2G. At M, the relations are reversed since periodicity reverses the phases: in phase for the B2G (non-interacting) and out of phase for B3U (interacting).

7. Electronic description

In the following, we analyze stepwise the formation of the complete adsorption system. Without Mn and Cu, the layer of TCNQ gives sharp peaks in the density of states (DOS) associated with the molecular orbitals of the TCNQ. Each level is degenerate since there are 2 TCNQs per unit cell. The interaction between the molecules is negligible due to the large spacing between them, imposed by the positions given by the not-yet-present Cu substrate.

7.1 Electronic description of the Mn(TCNQ)\textsubscript{2} layer using GGA

When Mn is the only interacting metal (TCNQ with Mn but without Cu), the interstitial space filled by the Mn is small and the system remains planar with D\textsubscript{4h}
symmetry. The small size of the filled square results from adaptation to the unique interaction with Mn instead of sharing of electrons with the Cu atoms. The Mn-N distance is 1.876 Å, which is quite short compared to the sum of covalent radii (2.10 and 2.32 Å for the low- and high-spin states of Mn, resp.) or ionic radii (2.12 and 2.26 Å, resp.). Note however that these small distances do not mean that the unit cell is compressed by the substrate (the substrate is now absent, but its lattice constant here fixes the unit cell dimension); without Mn as “glue”, the four N of the unfilled square would repel each other and the optimized lattice constant (without Cu) would be slightly larger than in the presence of the Cu substrate (13.15 Å vs. 12.78 Å).

From GGA, the magnetization for the ground state is 1 μB. A high spin (HS) state with a magnetization of 3 μB lies 0.296 eV above this low spin (LS) state. The Mn donates 4 electrons and formally becomes Mn$^{4+}$ with a low spin configuration $d_{xy}^2d_{z^2}$ while the TCNQ molecules become dianions. The total spin, 1 μB, is entirely localized on the Mn ion and arises from the large spin split of the non-interacting $d_{z^2}$ states. The populations of the d orbitals of Mn are those predicted from ligand field theory. The $d_{xy}$ orbital is occupied and the $d_{xz}$, $d_{yz}$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals are empty.

The frontier orbitals are $\pi$ orbitals localized on the TCNQ dianion with some $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ contributions. Neglecting a very small overlap at M (see figure 6), the bands originating from them are filled or empty, in agreement with a dianion structure for TCNQ and excluding that of a monoanion radical. The HOMO of the TCNQ molecule is of B3U symmetry. The LUMO (B2G) is of particular interest due to the electron transfer to the TCNQ since it is populated for the dianion and becomes then the HOMO. Since there are 2 TCNQs per unit cell, we have 2 degenerate bands below $E_F$ that originate from this MO. The TCNQ system may be viewed as 2 chains propagating in the two perpendicular directions of the lattice, labeled $x+y$ and $x-y$ to orient the axes toward the ligands. The $\pi$ orbitals interact with the $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ orbitals of Mn. The bonding combinations are below $E_F$, and are mostly localized on the TCNQ orbitals;
the antibonding combination is above $E_F$, mostly localized on the Mn$^{4+}$ ion.

There is band dispersion since the B2G orbital interacts at $\Gamma$ (lower in energy) while it does not at $M$ (higher in energy), see Fig. 6. In figure 6, we also see these two bands below $E_F$ duplicated for majority spin (black) and minority spin (red). At $X(\pi,0)$, degeneracy is lifted, because the band which maintains the phase is interacting while the band which changes phase is not interacting. This leads to a “hysteresis shape” along the $\Gamma$-X-M direction. At the special k-point $X(\pi,0)$, the bands obtained by permuting the x and y axes would give the same pattern. Above $E_F$ we have the antibonding combinations of these orbitals, which are more localized on the $d_{xz}$, and of the $d_{yz}$ orbitals of Mn; they have the opposite dispersion. In figure 6, two flat bands of minority spin localized on the Mn ion are superposed on these $\pi$ orbitals at the Fermi level: the $d_{xy}$ spin orbital (occupied) and the $d_{z^2}$ spin orbital (unoccupied).

7.2 Electronic description of the Mn(TCNQ)$_2$ layer using GGA+U

The GGA+U method forces the Mn into a HS (high spin) state which is more stable than the LS (low spin) state by 0.675 eV. The Mn-N distance is increased from 1.94 to 2.09 Å (for the Mn LS and HS states, resp.), revealing weaker Mn-N interactions. Mn may be viewed as Mn$^{2+}$ with 5 unpaired d electrons leading to an atomic magnetic moment of 5$\mu_B$. Each TCNQ molecule is a radical anion with a spin of -1 $\mu_B$, providing a total magnetization for the system of +3 $\mu_B$. The spin in the TCNQ is delocalized since it arises solely from the B2G orbital split. The dominant contributions are on the 4 C$_1$ atoms (-0.35 |e|), the 8 N (-0.45 |e|) and the 4 C$_2$ (-0.17 |e|). The ferromagnetic arrangement between the Mn atom and the TCNQ radicals of majority spin (magnetization of +7 $\mu_B$) is 0.12 eV higher in energy than the antiferromagnetic arrangement of the Mn and TCNQ radicals (magnetization of +3 $\mu_B$). On the other hand, the ferromagnetic arrangement of the Mn centers is 0.19 eV lower in energy than their antiferromagnetic arrangement (for the doubled c(2x2) supercell built from two
(5x5)R36.9° unit cells).

The band dispersion is strongly modified by the addition of a Hubbard U (cf. Section 2), as shown in figure 7. The d(Mn) levels of the Mn$^{2+}$ ions are shifted away from the Fermi level (below for majority spin, above for minority spin) and only the TCNQ π orbitals remain in the energy range close to the Fermi level that splits the B2G band into a contribution of minority spin (below) and majority spin (above).

7.3 Electronic description of the full system using GGA+U

In the calculation for the full system (adsorption of TCNQ and Mn on 5 Cu layers), the total spin per unit cell is $\mu = 5 \mu_B$. The PDOS shown in Figure 8 shows a spin polarization that comes exclusively from the Mn atoms which should be seen as Mn$^{2+}$ ions with one electron on each d atomic level (d$^5$ in the HS state). The ferromagnetic configuration of the Mn$^{2+}$ ions is more stable than the antiferromagnetic configuration by only 5 meV (per Mn unit).

The Mn-N distances, 2.15 Å, which are larger than for the low spin state, are consistent with the absence of a strong field effect on the Mn. After optimization, the Mn atom does not remain in the plane of the TCNQ layer but moves toward the Cu substrate; it is thus oriented to interact favorably with the nitrogen electron pairs pointing down to the Cu. The Bader charge analysis also confirms the oxidation state +2 for Mn. The calculated value, +1.33 |e|, is large even though smaller than the formal charge due to delocalization. The charges on each TCNQ molecule, -1.38 |e|, and on the copper slab, 1.43 |e|, also reveal the dianion character of the molecules and the charge transfer from the Cu. We conclude from this that the formal electron transfer from Mn to the TCNQs is two electrons per unit cell. The TCNQ and the Cu do not participate in the spin polarization as confirmed by the PDOS analysis (see figure 8): spin up and spin down peaks occur at the same energy. The two TCNQs remain
symmetry equivalent and, being “closed shell” systems in which all the electrons are paired, should receive a total of 2 electrons each. The two missing electrons are given by the Cu atoms engaged in Cu-N bonds. The Bader analysis indeed shows a large global charge (+1.43 |e|) on them.

Note that the U correction is localized on Mn, and that for the full system the magnetic moment, the charge transfer and the Mn-N bond length are not sensitive to the U correction. For example, for $U_{\text{eff}} = 4.2\text{eV}$, the magnetic moment for the Mn atom is $\mu = 4.9 \, \mu_B$, while for $U_{\text{eff}} = 0 \text{eV}$ it becomes $\mu = 4.74 \, \mu_B$. The U factor is a correction to the functional for the Mn centers that was used previously to obtain a match between experimental and calculated STM images.\textsuperscript{25-27} The only obvious difference due to the U correction is the change of the Mn d PDOS, as shown in Figs. 8a and 8c. This widened band gap at the Mn atoms is essential for accurate simulation of the STM images, as compared with experimental results. The necessity of the Hubbard U correction may be a general effect for such surface systems of ligand coordinated metal centers, especially for the metals with tightly bound localized d orbitals.

The addition of 4 Cu atoms to the Mn(TCNQ)\textsubscript{2} layer at the positions of the nearby Cu atoms of the Cu layer makes the structure similar to TCNQH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2+}. The 4 Cu atoms always provide a good match with the N orbitals so there should not be strong band dispersion. Four N-Cu bonds are formed, two arising from a B3U orbital and two from a B2G orbital, so that, at every k-point, one of them has appropriate symmetry. Since the orbitals of the Cu atoms have different energy levels, the A1 and A2 orbitals are not degenerate. The A2 component is low in energy since it matches a Cu\textsubscript{4} molecular orbital that has a high energy level; the A1 component is high in energy since it matches a Cu\textsubscript{4} molecular orbital that has a low energy level. This is seen in figure 9 and is the reason for the 4 peaks of figure 8b.
8. Simulated STM images

Figure 10 shows simulated topographic STM images for both occupied states and empty states at various bias voltages. In the images for occupied and empty states at low bias (±0.5 V) the TCNQ molecules appear bright, but the empty states image shows the TCNQ orientation more correctly than the occupied states image (figure 2 shows the TCNQ orientation relative to the Mn-Mn lines of figure 10). At higher bias (±2.0 V), the empty states image shows the TCNQ orientation clearly, while the occupied states image shows an opposite orientation. This is because the occupied states images at high bias mainly show the phenyl ring of TCNQ, while the phenyl ring has a different orientation relative to the Mn-Mn line.

Some comments are warranted on the methodology of STM image simulation. In the current study we describe both the sample and the tip in a fully ab initio manner, while in our previous work we use extended Hückel type (EHT) parameters fitted to ab initio electronic structure results. If we can fit the EHT parameters well to the ab initio results, we can safely use the EHT description; however, the EHT parameter fitting itself is both time consuming and far from trivial given the large unit cell size. As a result and on balance, for the system studied here with its complex electronic structure, the full ab initio calculation is more efficient, in addition to being more accurate.

9. An almost-equivalent model

As mentioned in section 4, there are two possible inequivalent models for the system under study – the “aligned model” and the “twisted model” – which are difficult to distinguish experimentally.

The twisted model (cf. figure 11b) can be derived from the aligned model (figure 11a) as follows: first note that any TCNQ molecule bonds to two Mn atoms through two N atoms that are diametrically opposed within the molecule; now, leaving the Cu...
substrate and the Mn atoms fixed, rotate the TCNQ about the surface normal by about 80° so that its two other N atoms bond to Mn atoms (yielding figure 11b of opposite chirality but very similar local bonding). Another way to achieve this same result is: leaving the overlayer of TCNQs and Mn atoms fixed, mirror the Cu substrate about an Mn-Mn nearest-neighbor line (yielding figure 11c, which has the same chirality as figure 11a and is a mirrored copy of figure 11b).

The resulting twisted model differs as follows from the aligned model: while in the aligned model the long axis of the TCNQ molecule is lined up with a row of Cu atoms, this TCNQ axis in the twisted model is rotated about 10° from such a row of Cu atoms. This rotation is well within the uncertainty of interpretation of the STM image (Figure 1). The twisted model gives somewhat different C-Cu and H-Cu interactions, which are still very weak, but maintains essentially the same N-Mn and N-Cu bonds, which are still very strong (this can be appreciated in the side view of figure 11d).

Therefore the STM imaging, total energy, bond lengths, electronic structure and magnetic properties all remain very similar from aligned to twisted model. Specifically, the Cu-N bond is shortened by 0.006 Å, the Mn-N bond is lengthened by 0.007 Å, and the magnetic moment (about 4.9 μB in both models) is reduced by 0.03 μB. The twisted model is slightly better in energy, by about 0.05 eV per (5x5) unit cell, or by about kT per TCNQ at room temperature. So there is a chance that both orientations of TCNQ can coexist at room temperature, but again they may be difficult to distinguish in the STM image or by other means.

Consequently, the complete discussion which we presented for the aligned model in sections 1 to 8 remains valid to a high degree of accuracy for the twisted model.
10. Conclusions

The following picture of the co-adsorbed layer of TCNQ and Mn on Cu(100) emerges.

- Two very similar models can be identified, differing in a $\sim10^\circ$ reorientation of the TCNQ molecules that is difficult to distinguish experimentally.
- GGA calculations for the Mn(TCNQ)$_2$ layer favor a low spin state (1 $\mu_B$) with Mn$^{4+}$ interacting with TCNQ dianions, in agreement with ligand field theory.
- GGA+U calculations for the Mn(TCNQ)$_2$ layer give a high spin state (5 $\mu_B$) with Mn$^{2+}$ interacting with TCNQ radical anions with spin state of 1 $\mu_B$ that are opposite to the Mn$^{2+}$ spin. The atomic levels of the Mn atoms are then shifted away from the Fermi level.
- Both GGA and GGA+U calculations for Mn(TCNQ)$_2$/Cu(100) give a high spin state (5 $\mu_B$) with Mn$^{2+}$ interacting with TCNQ dianions. The Cu atoms provide the additional electrons that make the TCNQ into dianions.
- The TCNQ molecules have negative charges that impose a shift in the bond alternation of the double bonds. This shift orients nitrogen lone pairs toward the surface Cu atoms.
- The magnetization is 5 $\mu_B$ for Mn(TCNQ)$_2$/Cu(100); it is entirely localized on the Mn$^{2+}$ ions with possibly a ferromagnetic configuration (which is better by only 5 meV compared with an antiferromagnetic arrangement).
- Comparing with Mn-free adsorption of TCNQ on Cu(100), we find that the Mn atoms cause the TCNQ molecules to crowd more tightly on the surface: without Mn, each TCNQ occupies 14 (1x1) unit cells of the Cu(100), while with Mn it occupies only 25/2 = 12.5 such unit cells. The Mn “glue” draws the molecules closer together.
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### Tables

Table 1.

Bond distances in Å in neutral and charged systems, calculated by VASP and Gaussian as indicated, with sketch of the Lewis structure of free TCNQ showing atom labeling (N\textsubscript{Cu} refers to N atoms that bond to Cu in the full system). Values in parentheses are from Ref 9. The different VASP calculations for Mn[TCNQ]\textsubscript{2} (for high- or low-spin state of Mn, with GGA or GGA+U, with or without cell parameter optimization) give negligible variations of the bond lengths. TCNQH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2+} is the protonated form of the dianion shown in figure 3c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cu-N\textsubscript{Cu}</th>
<th>C\textsubscript{0}-C\textsubscript{1}</th>
<th>C\textsubscript{1}-C\textsubscript{2}</th>
<th>C\textsubscript{2}-C\textsubscript{3}</th>
<th>C\textsubscript{3}-C\textsubscript{3}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TCNQ (Gaussian 03) (values in parentheses from ref. 9)</td>
<td>1.153</td>
<td>1.422</td>
<td>1.382 (1.37)</td>
<td>1.443 (1.45)</td>
<td>1.349 (1.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCNQ (VASP)</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monoanion radical (Gaussian 03)</td>
<td>1.159</td>
<td>1.410</td>
<td>1.426</td>
<td>1.421</td>
<td>1.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn[TCNQ]\textsubscript{2} (VASP)</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dianion TCNQ\textsubscript{2} (Gaussian 03)</td>
<td>1.181</td>
<td>1.399</td>
<td>1.475</td>
<td>1.414</td>
<td>1.393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn[TCNQ]\textsubscript{2}/Cu(100) (VASP)</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCNQH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2+} (Gaussian 03)</td>
<td>1.153</td>
<td>1.373</td>
<td>1.491</td>
<td>1.396</td>
<td>1.385</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure captions

Figure 1.
High-resolution STM topograph of the Mn(TCNQ)$_2$ network, showing the [010] direction within the Cu(100) substrate surface, the (5x5)$R36.9^\circ$ unit cell of the self-assembled superstructure, and approximate outlines of Mn atoms (circles) and TCNQ molecules (ellipses). STM image recorded with -0.72 V sample bias and 0.26 nA tunneling current.

Figure 2.
(a) Top view and (b) oblique view of the “aligned model” of the adsorbed system Cu(100)-(5x5)$R36.9^\circ$-Mn+(TCNQ)$_2$. “Aligned” refers to the orientation of the long TCNQ axis being essentially parallel to rows of Cu atoms, unlike in the slightly different “twisted model” described in section 9. The system’s unit cell is drawn as a red square. The Cu, Mn, C, N and H atoms are shown as gray, purple, light blue, dark blue and yellow balls, respectively. A primitive (1x1) cell and a ($\sqrt{2}x\sqrt{2})R45^\circ = c(2x2)$ supercell of the Cu(100) surface are also shown as yellow squares in the top and side views; they roughly correspond to two squares formed by 4 N atoms. Only the outermost layer of Cu atoms is shown here for clarity, but a total of five layers were used in the calculation.

Figure 3.
Representations of the TCNQ dianion: a) shows allene-like C$_1$-C$_0$-N units and cyano structures (C$_0$N pairs); resonance makes these functions exchangeable; b) gives a more symmetrical representation showing resonance between two positive C$_0$-C$_1$-C$_0$ units (allyle-like); c) represents TCNQH$_4^{2+}$, also resembling TCNQ after adsorption. The orientation of the $\pi$ orbitals in the C=N bonds is perpendicular to that of the $\pi$ orbitals of the C$_0$-C$_1$-C$_0$ units and the phenyl rings (the N lone pairs are marked by heavy bars without indication of directionality), making the H atoms in structure c) move out of the molecular plane.
Figure 4.
Frontier orbitals of the TCNQ molecule. The HOMO (of B3U symmetry) is the out-of-phase combination of all the bonding $\pi$ orbitals localized on the bonds as appearing in the Lewis formula (C$_0$N, C$_1$=C$_2$, C$_3$=C$_3$, cf. table 1). The LUMO (of B2G symmetry) is the in-phase combination of all the antibonding $\pi\bar{\pi}$ orbitals localized on each double bond. This in-phase character appears between C$_0$ and C$_1$, C$_2$ and C$_3$ whereas nodes are present on the double bonds from the Lewis formula.

Figure 5.
Local symmetries for 4 Cu atoms. For the TCNQ orbitals labeled in the text as B3U, the patterns A1 and A2 are found at the $\Gamma$ point of the band structure and the pattern E at point M. This is reversed for TCNQ orbitals labeled as B2G. At $\Gamma$ the orbitals along a diagonal are in phase whereas at M they are out of phase.

Figure 6.
Bands and DOS projected on the Mn-d states for (TCNQ)$_2$Mn (low spin state, no Cu present). Note that the x and y labels of the d orbitals are defined for the ligand field and rotated relative to the cell vectors, i.e., the x and y directions are defined along Cu-Mn vectors.

Figure 7.
Bands and PDOS for (TCNQ)$_2$Mn with Hubbard U (high spin state, no Cu present).

Figure 8.

a) DOS for Mn(TCNQ)$_2$/Cu(100) projected on the Mn-d states, with U correction. Each of the 5 d Mn orbitals is occupied by a single electron. b) PDOS on the TCNQ (projection on C$_1$ atom). The TCNQ does not contribute to the spin polarization. There are 4 TCNQ levels in the range from $E_F$ to $E_F - 3.6$ eV, accounting for 8 electrons. c)
DOS for Mn(TCNQ)$_2$/Cu(100) projected on the Mn-d states, without U correction. Each of the 5 d Mn orbitals is occupied by a single electron.

Figure 9.
Weak band dispersion of the $\pi$ orbital levels below $E_F$ (left panel) and projection of the density for atom C$_1$ (right panel). This is calculated considering only 4 Cu atoms with Mn(TCNQ)$_2$.

Figure 10.
Simulated topographic STM images for both the occupied states (top panels) and the empty states (bottom panels) at various bias voltages V (small in left panels, large in right panels). Mn atoms are located at the four corners of the grey squares; the TCNQ molecules appear bright and the copper layer dark.

Figure 11.
(a) Top view of the “aligned model” (equivalent to figure 2a); (b) and (c) two equivalent representations of the “twisted model”; and (d) side view of the “twisted model”.
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(a) occupied states, $V = -0.5 \, V$

(b) occupied states, $V = -2.0 \, V$

(c) empty states, $V = +0.5 \, V$

(d) empty states, $V = +2.0 \, V$