Iowa State University

From the SelectedWorks of Matthew E. O'Neal

October, 2003

Does Landscape Diversity Slow the Spread of Rotation-Resistant Western Corn Rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae)?

D. W. Onstad, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
D. W. Crwoder, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
S. A. Isard, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
E. Levine, Illinois Natural History Survey
J. L. Spencer, Illinois Natural History Survey, et al.



Available at: https://works.bepress.com/matthew_oneal/19/

Does Landscape Diversity Slow the Spread of Rotation-Resistant Western Corn Rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae)?

D. W. ONSTAD,^{1, 2} D. W. CRWODER,² S. A. ISARD,³ E. LEVINE,⁴ J. L. SPENCER,⁴ M. E. O'NEAL,⁵ S. T. RATCLIFFE,⁶ M. E. GRAY,⁶ L. W. BLEDSOE,⁷ C. D. DI FONZO,⁵ J. B. EISLEY,⁸ AND C. R. EDWARDS⁷

Environ. Entomol. 32(5): 992-1001 (2003)

ABSTRACT A behavioral change in some western corn rootworm (Diabrotica virgifera virgifera LeConte) populations is threatening the effectiveness of crop rotation, a successful management strategy for controlling this pest. We created a set of simple meteorologic and behavioral models that can be used to predict the spread of the beetle infesting soybean (*Glycine max* (L.)) throughout the midwestern United States. We used data collected in Illinois, IN, MI, and Ohio to create maps of observations to evaluate the model. We displayed data on the maps using detection thresholds for western corn rootworm in soybean fields of 10 or 20 beetles per 100 sweeps and one or two beetles per yellow sticky trap per day. Counts greater than a detection threshold represent populations with a lack of fidelity to corn (Zea mays L.) and adapted to circumvent corn-soybean rotation. Some of the models invoked a landscape-diversity function that included the proportion of noncorn, nonrotated soybean vegetation on farmland in each county (i.e., extra vegetation). The best model for the period from 1997 to 2001 is based on heavy-storm data, with distance that beetles spread each year reduced by the proportion of extra vegetation in a county. This version is superior to a previously published model and to two new models that do not consider landscape diversity. Most of the models predicted spread at too high a rate between 1997 and 2001, compared with observations, but a few new models with rates of spread reduced by a landscape-diversity function matched the observations relatively well. Results suggest that the conclusions based on a linear model using proportion of extra vegetation as the key parameter are likely to be robust. Thus, we hypothesize that as the landscape diversity represented by the proportion of noncorn and nonrotated soybean vegetation in a geographic region increases, the rate of regional spread of the rotation-resistant western corn rootworm decreases over several years.

KEY WORDS Diabrotica virgifera virgifera, dispersal, crop rotation, landscape ecology

THE WESTERN CORN ROOTWORM (*Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* LeConte) is the most serious insect pest of corn grown after corn (*Zea mays* L.) in the mid western United States (Levine and Oloumi-Sadeghi 1991). The adults of the univoltine western corn rootworm are present in cornfields from June through frost. From late July through September, oviposition occurs primarily in cornfields; few eggs are normally laid in other crops. The eggs overwinter in the soil, and hatch begins in late May and early June. The larvae can survive only on the roots of corn and on the roots of a limited number of grasses (Levine and Oloumi-Sadeghi 1991). Thus, growers have managed western corn rootworm by rotating corn crops with soybean crops (*Glycine max* (L.)) or another noncorn crop.

The model proposed by Onstad et al. (2001) for the resistance of western corn rootworm to rotation emphasizes the widespread adoption of a corn-soybean rotation within a landscape that is primarily composed of these two crops. In areas of intensive corn-soybean rotation, larvae from eggs that are oviposited into and overwinter in soybean emerge in a cornfield the following spring. Alternatively, eggs laid in cornfields hatch the following year in a nonhost field (i.e., soybean). Because western corn rootworm larvae do not feed on soybean, and eggs do not exhibit an extended diapause (Levine and Oloumi-Sadeghi 1996, Levine et

The ideas expressed in this paper may not represent the policies of the USDA-ARS.

¹ E-mail: onstad@uiuc.edu.

² Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801.

³Department of Geography, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801.

⁴ Center for Economic Entomology, Illinois Natural History Survey, 607 E. Peabody Dr., Champaign, IL 61820.

⁵ Department of Entomology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824.

⁶ Department of Crop Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801.

 $^{^7}$ Department of Entomology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907.

 $^{^{8}}$ Department of Entomology, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210.

993

al. 2002), there is selection pressure in a landscape predominately rotated between corn and soybean that favors western corn rootworm that lay eggs in soybeans. Accordingly, O'Neal et al. (1999) found a higher percentage of adult females in soybean fields than in cornfields during August, when western corn rootworms likely lay most of their eggs (Hein and Tollefson 1985). As of 1997, more than 90% of the cropland in east-central Illinois is rotated corn and soybean, and < 10% is continuously grown corn (Onstad et al. 2001). Furthermore, Onstad et al. (2001) used a model based on a single-gene locus for rotation-resistance to explain the occurrence of this phenomenon in east-central Illinois 16 yr after the western corn rootworm invaded Illinois. Although efforts to separate rotation-resistant individuals from the wild type have not been successful (Spencer et al. 1999, Hibbard et al. 2002, O'Neal et al. 2002), the sudden appearance and spread of injury to rotated corn is strong evidence for a rotation resistant population. Since the precise behavioral mechanism is not yet known, we use the expression "rotation-resistant western corn rootworm" to refer to populations that can persist in a landscape of rotated corn and soybean through an expansion of their ovipositional range to include soybeans.

Onstad et al. (1999) described the first dozen years of the geographic spread of rotation-resistant western corn rootworm. Model results supported the hypothesis that the population of western corn rootworm infesting soybean originated in Ford County, IL. The predictions of the simple model fit an independent set of observations well on three of four fronts or directions up to 1997.

Onstad et al. (2001) used a population-genetics model to show that landscape diversity in the form of noncorn, nonrotated soybean vegetation could slow the development of resistance to crop rotation. This process results from the fitness cost of the rotationresistant, polyphagous phenotype distributing eggs randomly to soil covered by any vegetation. In the model, all larvae hatching outside of cornfields in subsequent years will die, reducing the fitness of the phenotype. In this article, we improve the first model of Onstad et al. (1999) and use it to study hypotheses that attempt to explain the spread of resistance before and after 1997. Our primary hypothesis is that increased landscape diversity slows the rate of regional spread of the rotation-resistant western corn rootworm over several years.

Materials and Methods

Observations of Spread. We used data collected in Illinois, IN, MI, and Ohio to create maps of observed infestation of soybean by western corn rootworm. Data from 1986 to 1997 were reported by Onstad et al. (1999). For the period from 1998 to 2001, we collected trap data for Illinois, OH, and Michigan. These are Pherocan AM yellow sticky trap captures (O'Neal et al. 2001), based on at least four traps per soybean field, deployed from mid July through late August to mon-

Table 1. Number of counties (range of fields per county) sampled for 1998-2001

Year	Illinois		т. 1 а	Malin b	Ohio ^b	
	Traps Sweeps		Indiana	Michigan		
1998	27 (1-52)	43(1-7)	62(1-3)	$9(1-5)^{c}$	59(1-39)	
1999	25(1-58)	48 (1-8)	62(1-3)	26(1-9)	61(1-23)	
2000	35(1-64)	57(1-6)	61(2-3)	18(1-11)	31(1-14)	
2001	9 (1-16)	99 (2-8)	62 (1-3)	11 (1-5)	19 (1–10)	

^a Only sweep-net data

^b Only trap data

 c In 1998, 18 counties (1–20 fields per county) also had sweep-net data.

itor adult rootworm activity. For the same period for Illinois and Indiana, we also measured beetle captures in 100 sweeps of a sweep net (38-cm diameter) in soybean fields.

The number of counties sampled each year per state is shown in Table 1, along with the range in the number of fields sampled per county. Indiana had roughly the same number of counties sampled each year, while the number of counties sampled in Illinois, MI, and Ohio varied over the 4-yr period. Although the counties of DuPage and Cook in Illinois and Marion in Indiana were within our predicted area of infestation and are surrounded by counties that exceeded detection thresholds, they were never sampled from 1986 to 2001 due to the difficulty in finding soybean fields in the Chicago and Indianapolis metropolitan areas.

We created maps showing counties with soybean fields infested by western corn rootworm. We use the highest capture value from each county to create the maps and evaluate our model. To avoid including spurious captures of beetles, which at low numbers may simply represent adults straying or accidentally blown into a field and subsequently caught in a net or trap, we used two detection thresholds when creating the maps (Onstad et al. 1999). From 1979 to 1982, the maximum captures of western corn rootworm beetles ranged from less than 10 to almost 16 per 100 sweeps in soybean fields (Levine 1995). Therefore, we concluded that the detection thresholds should be 10-20 beetles per 100 sweeps (Onstad et al. 1999). Any Illinois or Ohio data based only on damage to corn observed before 1997 is automatically considered above a detection threshold (Onstad et al. 1999).

We used linear regression with a computer spreadsheet (Excel, Microsoft 2002) to relate data for the highest average beetles/trap/d for a county (MAXATC) to data for the maximum number of beetles/100 sweeps for a county (MAXSC) and, thereby, determine detection thresholds based on trap captures. Illinois was the only state in which both measurements were made for the same counties and years. For the 90 matching observations during 1998 and 2001, the equation and the standard errors for the coefficients are

$$MAXATC = 2.75 + 0.035MAXSC$$

$$(0.53)$$
 (0.006) [1]

with an $r^2 = 0.27$; both coefficients were significantly different from zero (P < 0.0001). The best regression was obtained with the observations in 2000 (n = 31). For 2000, the regression improves to

$$MAXATC = 0.72 + 0.062MAXSC$$
(0.83) (0.008) [2]

with an $r^2 = 0.66$, and only the slope was significantly different from zero (P < 0.0001). We used equation two to calculate the detection thresholds for average trap capture. The values that match 10 and 20 beetles/ 100 sweeps are 1.34 and 1.96, respectively. We simplified these to one and two beetles/trap/d for the maps. A county was marked as infested on the map if either the sweep net or sticky-trap data were above the detection threshold. We believe that counts greater than a detection threshold represent populations that lack fidelity to corn and are adapted to the soybean-corn rotation (Spencer et al. 1999).

Modeling Migratory Movement. Beetles can fly several km using their own power and the assistance of wind. For the period from 15 July to 31 August, 2001, we obtained data for temperature, wind speed, and direction. We obtained these data from Bondville, Peoria, and St. Charles in Illinois (Midwestern Regional Climate Center, IL, State Water Survey, Champaign, IL); Columbia City, Farmland, Lafayette, and Oolitic in Indiana (Purdue Automated Agricultural Weather Stations Network, http://shadow. agry.purdue.edu/sc.zen-geog.html); Delaware, Miami, and Northwestern in Ohio (Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center, http://www. oardc.ohio-state.edu/centernet/weather.htm); and East Lansing and Grand Junction in Michigan (Michigan Automated Weather Network, http://www. agweather.geo.msu.edu/mawn/). The wind speed data were stratified into 18 directional sectors, each 20°. We used data recorded under the following two conditions to represent the long-distance flight times. First, we only used data recorded during the day between the hours of 0900 and 1200, and 1600 and 1900 because western corn rootworms do not fly at night and were observed to have a low level of flight activity during the afternoon (Isard et al. 2000). Second, because Witkowski et al. (1975) observed western corn rootworms flying only between 22.2°C and 27.0 °C, we included only wind speed data recorded between these temperatures. Based on these criteria, 1,944 hourly observations were used to calculate wind supported movement during a hypothetical summer.

We determined from the data of Coats et al. (1986) that sustained (migratory) flights by beetles > 30 min occurred during 0.8% of observation hours under optimal flying (i.e., flight mill) conditions. Dividing 1,944 h by the 12 weather stations gives an average value of 162 h of potential flight activity per location. Sustained flight is expected to occur during only 0.008 by 162 = 1.30 h. At the average of three km/h flight

Table 2. Wind data summarized for 12 weather stations

Sector ^a	Ν	$Weights^b$	Mean wind speed km/h	Km flown in 1.3 h ^c		
0-20	89	0.61	8.1	10.2		
20 - 40	77	0.52	8.1	9.4		
40 - 60	93	0.63	10.3	12.4		
60-80	102	0.69	9.5	12.5		
80-100	99	0.67	7.5	10.5		
100-120	88	0.60	7.7	9.9		
120-140	87	0.59	6.5	8.9		
140-160	131	0.89	6.4	11.3		
160-180	127	0.86	8.5	13.5		
180-200	125	0.85	7.4	12.1		
200-220	119	0.81	6.8	11.0		
220-240	147	1.00	8.0	14.3		
240-260	109	0.74	8.4	12.0		
260-280	140	0.95	8.4	14.3		
280-300	98	0.67	7.6	10.5		
300-320	89	0.61	7.7	10.0		
320-340	115	0.78	6.7	10.7		
340-360	109	0.74	6.9	10.5		
Totals	1944	N/A	7.8	N/A		

^{*a*} Degrees with 0 and 360 representing winds moving from north to south, 90 from east, 180 from south, and 270 from west. ^{*b*} Weight equals N/147.

 c Km flown is weight \times speed \times 1.3 h + 3.9 km (distance flown in 1.3 hrs without wind assistance)

mill speed for sustained flights (Coats et al. 1986), a beetle can fly 3.9 km without wind support during the 1.30 h.

The wave front of beetle dispersal will be determined by those flying downwind. Although beetles actively fly upwind, we do not expect them to fly as far as those assisted by the wind. Table 2 shows the number of observations that have dominant vectors in the 18 directions (total 1,944) and mean wind speed for those dominant vectors. The mean wind speeds for the 18 directions ranged from 6.4 km/h to 10.3 km/h, with an overall weighted mean of 7.8 km/h. The final column presents the calculated distance flown with wind support. We assigned a weight of one to the direction with the highest number of observations. The beetles flying to the northeast between 220° and 240° are expected to have the highest chance of flying 1.30 h on migratory flights. Other directions give beetles fewer opportunities to fly the maximum possible distance. The ratio of number of observations to the maximum number determines the weight for each of the directions. To calculate wind supported flight, we add 3.9 km to each of the distances that the wind blows over 1.30 h in each of 18 directions based on the average wind speed.

Modeling Eastward Movement by Storms. We used observations of heavy rainstorms and heavy raincells (i.e., areas through which rain passes) to calculate probability distributions for storm tracks. Huff and Angel (1992) described the orientation of 260 eastward moving heavy storms, with mean rainfall more than 2.54 cm, and the movement of heavy raincells in all directions, to calculate probability distributions of storm movement considered typical for the mid western United States.

Following the storm-data analysis of Onstad et al. (1999), we used the maximum distance of 33 km to

Table 3. Storm data used in model

Sector ^a	Storms ^b	Distance ^c
Heavy storm model		
240-260	30%	33.0
260-280	21%	23.1
280-300	20%	22.0
300-320	12%	13.2
Raincell model ^{d}		
$210-240^{e}$	16%	24.0
$240-270^{e}$	22%	33.0
$270-300^{e}$	20%	30.0
$300-330^{e}$	13%	19.5

 a 0 and 360 = from north, 90 = from east, 180 = from south, 270 = from west

^b Percentage of storms observed for each sector based on Tables 22 and 23 in Huff and Angel (1992).

 c Distance in km dispersed each year with the maximum of 33 given to the sector with highest percentage and the distances for the others equal 33 \times %/max %.

^{*d*} Distances used for sectors 200°–210° and 330°–340° were based on wind data from 200°–220° degrees and 320°–340° degrees, respectively.

 e Sectors were 30° instead of 20° based on data presented by Huff and Angel (1992).

simulate the rate of spread of the eastern wave front or leading edge each year. This wave front rate is hypothesized to be valid for any threshold of measurement used to define the spread of the western corn rootworm. The sector from 240° to 260° had the highest number of observations based on eastward moving heavy storms (30%), and the sector from 240° to 270° had the highest number of observations based on the movements of raincells (22%). Based on these data, we used the maximum value of 33 km to model the distance traveled in these sectors. The distances for all other sectors of the heavy storm model in Table 3 were then calculated as $(\mathbb{Z}/30) \times 33$, in which Z is the percentage of storms observed for a given sector. The distances for the raincell model in Table 3 were calculated as $(\mathbb{Z}/22) \times 33$. Only sectors with higher movement based on storms compared with wind are presented in Table 3 and used in the modeling.

Modeling Movement Based on Vegetation. We obtained data on farmland and vegetation for each county in the four states for 1997 from the USDA Census of Agriculture (USDA 1997). To determine the total amount of land covered by vegetation that will not likely be rotated to cornfields, we used the following approach. We defined any land planted to vegetation other than continuous corn or rotated corn-soybeans as extra vegetation. We defined "F" as acreage of farmland, "C" as acreage of corn, and "S" as acreage of soybean per county. The acreage of corn was calculated as the total acreage of corn grown for grain and seed plus the acreage grown for silage and green chop. For counties with more corn than soybeans in a given year, we defined acreage of extra vegetation, "E," as F - (C + S). For counties with more soybeans than corn in 1997, all the corn is assumed to be rotated, and the amount of land planted to rotated soybeans equals the amount of land planted to corn. We included the difference between the acreage of soybeans and corn as extra vegetation because the additional land planted to soybeans is assumed to be not rotated with corn and, therefore, is treated as extra vegetation. Therefore, for these counties, E =(F - (C + S) + (S - C)) or E = (F - 2C). We then calculated the proportion of extra vegetation per county as EV = E/F.

The calculated values of extra vegetation (i.e., proportion of farmland in county) are presented in Fig. 1. Figure 1 shows the eight categories used in the standard model. East central Illinois and western Indiana have the lowest levels in the region. The proportions increase in Ohio, MI, and northeastern and southern Indiana.

Simulation Technique. We used the observations of Onstad et al. (1999) to determine the starting point of our model as the one km² grid cell 6.4 km north of Piper City (Pella Township, Ford County, IL) and to define 1986 as year one of our model. We simulated the model for 16 yr from 1986 to 2001 using ArcGrid computer software (ESRI 2002), calculated on a desktop computer (Dell, Austin, TX). Each year is a time step, and one km² is the size of each grid cell in the model.

Each cell was assigned a value for proportion of extra vegetation. All cells whose centers were contained within a given county were assigned the value for extra vegetation associated with that county. Because of restrictions of the computer software, we had to define categories of cells. We did this because the function we used within the software to simulate the wave front was not designed to input a unique value for each cell but, instead, performed calculations on large groups of cells. We defined eight categories for proportion of extra vegetation: 0-0.10, 0.10-0.20, 0.20-0.30, 0.30-0.40, 0.40-0.50, and 0.50-60, 0.60-0.70 and 0.70–1.00. For each year, each cell used as a possible source of dispersal was grouped into one of these categories. To simplify computations, all map cells other than those defined as Lake Michigan or Lake Huron can be sources for insect dispersal.

The distances presented in Tables 2 and 3 were used as maximum distances of movement for each sector from 0° to 360° . We simulated the wave front based on these radii and the value for extra vegetation of each cell. We defined a linear model based on the heavy storm data presented in Table 3 as our standard model. This model used a linear effect to adjust the radii used for each cell, depending on which category of extra vegetation that cell was in. For all cells within each category, the maximum radius for each sector was multiplied by (1 - MEV), where MEV represents the mean value of extra vegetation for that category. For each year, the ArcGrib program calculates the distances traveled for each sector separately for each of the eight categories based on these adjusted radii. The adjusted radii used for each category extend from the center of all cells included within that category. If it encompasses the center of another cell, that cell is completely included in the area infested for that year, and the wave front is rounded off to the nearest cell.



Fig. 1. Percentage of extra vegetation on farmland in each county based on USDA Census of Agriculture data. IL, Illinois; IN, Indiana; KY, Kentucky; MI, Michigan; OH, Ohio; WI, Wisconsin.

Figure 2 shows a visualization of the model calculation of three different sectors.

Sensitivity Analysis. To test the sensitivity of our standard model and hypothesis, we ran multiple vari-



Fig. 2. Calculation of dissemination from a one km² source cell (shaded black). Only three of the 18, 20° sectors are shown. All cells with a center encompassed by any wedge are considered infested and sources for dispersal for the next year.

ations of the model. We used the lowest value of extra vegetation (LEV) for each category to reduce the distance the wave front spreads each year. For all cells within each category, the maximum radius for each sector was multiplied by (1 - LEV). We also ran the model with a quadratic effect and using a power of 1.5 to adjust the radii of each category. These models multiplied the maximum radius of each sector by $(1 - \text{MEV}^{**2})$ or $(1 - \text{MEV}^{**1.5})$. Another variation used a threshold value of EV. As usual, 1-MEV was used to adjust the radii of all cells within categories of extra vegetation >0.30, but all cells with extra vegetation <0.30 did not have the radii adjusted (multiplier of 1).



Fig. 3. Counties with soybeans infested by western corn rootworm at 10 beetles/100 sweeps or 1.0 beetles/trap/d (a), or 20 beetles/100 sweeps or 2.0 beetles/trap/d (b), with initial year of observation indicated by color and shading. IL, Illinois; IN, Indiana; KY, Kentucky; MI, Michigan; OH, Ohio; WI, Wisconsin.

Alternative Hypotheses. We tested other hypotheses that might explain the decrease in the rate of spread of rotation-resistant (soybean inhabiting) western corn rootworm. First, we repeated all simulations described previously using the raincell storm data rather than heavy storm data (Table 3) in the model. Second, to test the validity of our models based only on wind/storm data and behavioral characteristics of the western corn rootworm, we ran each model without extra vegetation as a parameter and with no limiting factors. These models tested the hypothesis that wind/storm data alone was sufficient to model the spread of western corn rootworm populations. Third, we tested the effect of an environmental factor besides landscape diversity that could reduce the distance of dispersal uniformly in all directions, by running each model without extra vegetation as a parameter but with a constant limiting factor of 0.15 or 0.20. For these models, the maximum radius of each sector was multiplied by 0.85 or 0.80, respectively, regardless of the value of extra vegetation for that cell. These models tested the hypothesis that there is another environmental factor besides landscape diversity that could better predict the rate of spread of western corn rootworm populations.

Quantitative Comparison of Simulations. For each year from 1997 to 2001, we measured the area of all counties that exceeded either of our two detection thresholds. We focused on these years because the quantity and quality of the sampling data before 1997 did not permit a formal analysis. For each model, we measured the area of counties over a given threshold that were included within the predicted wave front for that year (i.e., correctly predicted). We then measured the area of counties included within the predicted wave front for each year but which did not exceed either one or both thresholds, giving us the area the model overpredicted. To evaluate quantitatively each model, we used Y = (A - B)/T, where A is area correctly predicted by model inside of wave front, **B** is area overpredicted by model, and **T** is total area of counties over the detection threshold. A perfect model would produce a Y value of 1.0.

We ranked our 17 models starting with a rank of one for the model having the highest Y. We tested our rankings using a Spearman rank correlation coefficient and a Kendall ô-test using statistical software (SAS Institute).

Results

Figure 3 presents the observed infestations of soybean by western corn rootworm over time in Illinois, IN, MI, and Ohio. The counties are shaded according to the year during which western corn rootworm adults were first observed in soybean. The rootworm has expanded its range in Illinois to the west, north, and south. The southern, eastern, and northern fronts in Indiana, OH, and Michigan, respectively, did not change much after 1997. This result indicates that some factor has limited the spread of the rootworm on these fronts. The number of counties with observa-

Table 4. Model comparison using normalized measures of area, Y, averaged over 1997-2001

Multiplier	Detection threshold		Average for	Overall	
for model ^a	10	20	both thresholds	rank	
Heavy storm model					
1-MEV	0.66	0.65	0.66	1	
1-LEV	0.67	0.64	0.65	2	
1-MEV for $EV > 0.3$	0.64	0.59	0.62	3	
1-MEV**1.5	0.63	0.59	0.61	5	
0.80	0.58	0.63	0.61	6	
0.85	0.56	0.59	0.57	8	
1-MEV**2	0.60	0.54	0.57	9	
1.0	0.51	0.41	0.46	12	
Raincell model					
0.80	0.61	0.61	0.61	4	
1-MEV	0.60	0.60	0.60	7	
0.85	0.53	0.49	0.51	10	
1-LEV	0.51	0.48	0.49	11	
1-MEV**1.5	0.50	0.38	0.44	13	
1-MEV for $EV > 0.3$	0.44	0.33	0.39	15	
1-MEV**2	0.34	0.26	0.30	16	
1.0	0.19	0.00	0.09	17	
1999 $Model^c$					
1.0	0.51	0.36	0.43	14	

 $\mathbf{Y}=(\mbox{Area Correctly Predicted-Area Overpredicted})/\mbox{Total Area of Counties over Threshold.}$

^{*a*} MEV is mean proportion of extra vegetation in a category, LEV is lower value for proportion of extra vegetation in a category, and EV is the proportion of extra vegetation in a county.

^b Rank based on average Y for both detection thresholds.

^c Based on Onstad et. al (1999).

tions greater than the lower and higher detection thresholds are 77 and 70 in 1997, and 99 and 91 in 2001, respectively.

Examination of our observation maps using spatial analysis tools within the ArcMap software program (ESRI 2002) showed that the rate of spread of the western corn rootworm variant was significantly slower from 1998 to 2001 than from 1986 to 1997. Analysis of the counties over the higher detection threshold indicated that the rate of spread from 1986 to 1997 was \approx 27 km/yr to the east and 8.5 km/yr to the west. From 1998 to 2001, the rate of spread slowed to \approx 16 km/yr to the east and 7.75 km/yr to the west. With the lower detection threshold, the rate of spread from 1986 to 1997 was \approx 33 km/yr to the east and 8.5 km/yr to the west. From 1998 to 2001, the seat and 8.5 km/yr to the west. From 1998 to 2001, the seat and 8.5 km/yr to the west. From 1998 to 2001, the seat and 8.5 km/yr to the west. From 1998 to 2001, the seat and 8.5 km/yr to the west. From 1998 to 2001, the seat and 8.5 km/yr to the west. From 1998 to 2001, the seat and 8.5 km/yr to the west. From 1998 to 2001, the seat and 8.5 km/yr to the west. From 1998 to 2001, the seat and 8.5 km/yr to the west. From 1998 to 2001, the seat and 8.5 km/yr to the west. From 1998 to 2001, the seat and 8.5 km/yr to the west. From 1998 to 2001, the seat and 8.5 km/yr to the west. From 1998 to 2001, the seat and 8.5 km/yr to the west. From 1998 to 2001, the seat and 8.5 km/yr to the west. From 1998 to 2001, the seat and 8.5 km/yr to the west. From 1998 to 2001, the seat and 8.5 km/yr to the west at a rate of 7.75 km/yr.

The quantitative comparison of all the models is presented in Tables 4 and 5. The Spearman coefficient between the ranking based off the thresholds of 10 beetles/100 sweeps and 20 beetles/100 sweeps indicated a high degree of concordance, $r_s = 0.91176$, and was significantly different from zero (P < 0.0001). The Kendall ô between the two thresholds also indicated a high degree of concordance, i.e., t = 0.77941, and was statistically significant (P < 0.0001). Based on these results, we determined that the rankings of our models based on the two thresholds were highly correlated and that using the average ranking between the two thresholds was appropriate.

Table 5. Yearly analysis of top seven models using normalized measures of area, Y, averaged over both detection thresholds

	1997		1998		1999		2000		2001	
Multiplier for model	Y	Rank								
Heavy storm model										
1-MEV	0.60	7	0.63	6	0.67	4	0.69	1	0.70	1
1-LEV	0.60	6	0.64	3	0.68	1	0.68	2	0.66	3
1-MEV for $EV > 0.3$	0.61	5	0.63	5	0.67	3	0.62	4	0.57	6
1-MEV**1.5	0.57	11	0.60	8	0.65	5	0.63	3	0.60	4
0.80	0.59	8	0.62	7	0.63	7	0.53	6	0.67	2
Raincell model										
0.80	0.69	2	0.71	1	0.67	2	0.45	8	0.52	9
1-MEV	0.70	1	0.67	2	0.65	6	0.44	9	0.57	7

Y = (Area Correctly Predicted-Area Overpredicted)/Total Area of Counties over Threshold. Ranks are overall rankings compared to all 17 models in Table 4.

The best model for the period between 1997 and 2001 is the standard model (Table 4) based on heavystorm data, with distance that the beetle spread each year reduced by MEV (i.e., mean proportion of extra vegetation for each of the eight categories). This version is clearly superior to the old model of Onstad et al. (1999) and to the two new models that lack a landscape-diversity function (multiplier of 1.0, Table 4). Most models predicted spread at too high a rate between 1997 and 2001. Therefore, they over predicted the spread for this period, as indicated by the Y values < 0.60 in Table 4. In general, the models based on the raincell data of Table 3 did not perform well.

Quantitative analysis of Table 5 indicates that the top three models overall ranked fifth to seventh in 1997. The heavy-storm models improved over time, and the raincell models lost accuracy with time. Thus, as the rate of spread in the rotation-resistant population declined, the heavy-storm models performed better than the raincell models. This trend indicates that a raincell model would likely continue to be less accurate over time. The top two models in Table 4 (i.e., heavy-storm models with multipliers of 1-MEV and 1-LEV) maintained Y values greater than 0.60 throughout the 5-y period. All other models had Y values less than 0.60 in some years (Table 5).

Figure 4 shows how the results of the heavy-storm models compare with the observations. The dark contour lines represent the twelfth (inner line for 1997) and sixteenth (outer line for 2001) years of the model simulations. The standard model (Fig. 4a) performs relatively well by 2001 but failed to predict the earlier infestations in Ohio. Switching to a multiplier of 1-LEV produced a slightly better prediction on the eastern front in Ohio and Michigan (Fig. 4b). The use of a threshold level of extra vegetation (30%) improved the 1997 predictions, especially in Ohio, but, by 2001, the predicted wave front is too far southeast, southwest, and even in Wisconsin (Fig. 4c). The results with a multiplier of 1 - MEV**1.5 were similar to those with the 30% threshold (Fig. 4d). The model based on the constant reduction of 20% in distance traveled per year (i.e., a reduction similar to values of EV in the central part of the region [Fig. 1]), has

results for 2001 that were second only to those of the standard model (Fig. 4e; Table 5), and were certainly better on the western edge.

While comparing the results of the best raincell models with the observations, both models performed very well up to 1997 (Fig. 5). The model with the same multiplier (1-MEV) as our standard model overpredicts the spread into Ohio and Wisconsin in 2001 (Fig. 5a). The other raincell model has a constant reduction of 20% in distance traveled each year. It predicts the western edge well in 2001 but pushes the northeastern, eastern, and southern fronts much farther than the other top models (Fig. 5b).

Raincells refer to individual convective cells or what we conceptualize as big cumulus clouds/thunderstorms, while heavy storms refer to the larger system (typically referred to as a front) in which many raincells are usually embedded. Updrafts associated with raincells are much more localized, and may draw fewer western corn rootworms into the cells compared with the more extensive updrafts associated with the convection cells that line the front and essentially sweep across the central United States. Thus, one possible explanation for why the heavy storm models outperformed the raincell models over time in our modeling is that most of the western corn rootworms move in association with larger systems.

The results shown in Tables 4 and 5, and in Figures 4 and 5 suggest that a few hypotheses are worth investigating in the future. Note that changing the multiplier from (1 - MEV) to (1 - LEV) had very little effect on the heavy-storm model results (Fig. 4; Table 4). This effect suggests that the conclusions based on a linear model using extra vegetation as the key parameter are likely to be robust. Thus, we hypothesize that as the landscape diversity represented by the proportion of noncorn and nonrotated soybean vegetation in a geographic region increases, the rate of regional spread of the rotation-resistant western corn rootworm decreases over several years. We also hypothesize that the rotation-resistant western corn rootworm cannot persist over the long-term in small areas with high landscape diversity. The size of the area and the amount of vegetation must be quantified in the future.





Fig. 4. Comparison of heavy storm model results with observations (20 beetles/100 sweeps or 2.0 beetles/trap/d), with the dark contours representing the 12th (1997) and 16th (2001) years of the simulations. Multipliers used in the model are 1 - MEV (a), 1 - LEV (b), 1 - MEV for EV >0.3 (c), $1 - MEV^{**1.5}$ (d), and 0.80 (e). IL, Illinois; IN, Indiana; KY, Kentucky; MI, Michigan; OH, Ohio; WI, Wisconsin.

Discussion

Factors other than landscape diversity may help explain the spatial distribution of rotation-resistant western corn rootworm. Onstad et al. (2001) suggested that a landscape with at least 20% continuouslygrown corn could also slow the development of rotation resistance. O'Neal et al. (2002) showed that western corn rootworm feeding on corn foliage was influenced by corn phenology. Corn phenology was also observed to influence the consumption of soybean leaves. More soybean leaf area was consumed in the presence of corn from late reproductive stage (postanthesis) than younger, vegetative stage corn. O'Neal et al. (2002) suggested that the larger numbers of western corn rootworm found in soybean than cornfields, as observed by O'Neal et al. (1999), may thus be due primarily to dispersal from cornfields with a growth stage that is unattractive as a feeding site.



Fig. 5. Comparison of raincell model results with observations (20 beetles/100 sweeps or 2.0 beetles/trap/d), with the dark contours representing the 12th (1997) and 16th (2001) years of the simulations. Multipliers used in the model are 1-MEV (a) and 0.80 (b). IL, Illinois; IN, Indiana; KY, Kentucky; MI, Michigan; OH, Ohio; WI, Wisconsin.

Future modeling should attempt to clarify corn phenology and the proportion of continuously grown cornfields in each county, but this would require extensive data collection.

It is noteworthy that the modeled maximum rate of spread of 33 km/yr is less than the 44–125 km/yr, observed during the original west to east invasion of western corn rootworm into such states as Illinois, IN, MI, and Ohio during the 1970s (Ruppel 1975, Clement et al. 1979, Metcalf 1983, Onstad et al. 1999). Of course, the early invasion did not involve a major fitness cost associated with oviposition outside of cornfields.

Our best models did not predict the infestation of counties in central Michigan, but this lack of accuracy may be explained by the following observations. In 1999 and again in 2001 in Clinton County, central Michigan, we observed high numbers of western corn rootworm in a soybean field but did not observe significant root injury, or large numbers of adults emerging from this field when it was rotated to corn the following year. Thus, it is difficult to determine whether this is a rotation-resistant population. Bordering this particular soybean field was corn grown for silage, which can be chopped in August, as was the case in 1999. In Clinton County, 30% of the farms raise cattle; nearly a third are dairy farms. This result contrasts with Berrien County, southwestern Michigan, in which large numbers of beetles have been trapped. In Berrien County, only 9% of the farms raise cattle, with only 25 dairy farms (less 2% of the total number of farms in the county). None of the soybean fields monitored in Berrien County were bordered by silage corn.

Our analysis was limited by the resolution of our observations and the scale at which we could feasibly represent these data. Five teams, each consisting of one to three scientists, have collected the observations in the past. This process is probably adequate to study a large-scale phenomenon. However, only three to five fields could typically be sampled in each county. Because of the resolution of the samples and the county-level reporting of USDA Census of Agriculture data (for extra vegetation), we chose to analyze the observations and model results using a county as our basic spatial unit. A more sophisticated geographic information system would use maps of farmland, parks, and forests to represent vegetation better. A more systematic collection of samples, perhaps based on a grid, could be used in the future to measure the rotation-resistant beetle population. However, in both cases, more time, money, and labor would be required.

Because of the limitations in our data described previously, we decided not to refine the models further. We did try to find the best-fitting model by systematically varying exponents and thresholds for MEV or LEV. We did not try to find a better variable than either of these. A constant multiplier other than 0.80 and 0.85 may have been better, but we believe that the models should not be considered precise tools. We emphasize their qualitative characteristics and ecological relevance.

Two aspects of climate should be investigated in the future. Future modeling work could limit flight hours to times at which wind speed greater than the canopy (1.0-1.5 m) is <1.5-2.0 m/s. Van Woerkom et al. (1983) and Isard et al. (1999) observe little or no flight activity greater than these wind-speed thresholds. However, wind speed is rarely recorded at these heights at weather stations. Furthermore, Isard et al. (1999) observed flight activity below 22.2°C and above 27.0°C. Thus, future modeling could explore the consequences of relaxing the limits used in the current modeling effort.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Ronald L. Blackwell and John L. Obermeyer in Indiana during the collection of much of the field data. We also thank Mark Joselyn for his assistance. This project was funded by the Illinois Council on Food and Agricultural Research (C-FAR) through a Sentinel grant, the Illinois Soybean Program Operating Board (ISPOB), the USDA-ARS through the Corn Rootworm Areawide Management Program via specific cooperative agreement number 58-5447-0-304, and several Hatch projects.

References Cited

- Clement, S. L., G. Szatmari-Goodman, and B. M. Drees. 1979. The status and control of Ohio corn rootworms. Ohio Rep. Res. Dev. Agric. Home Econ. Nat. Resour., Ohio Agric. Res. Devel. Ctr., Wooster 64: 8–10.
- Coats, S. A., J. J. Tollefson, and J. A. Mutchmor. 1986. Study of migratory flight in the western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Environ. Entomol. 15: 620– 625.
- ESRI. 2002. ArcInfo Grid, version 8.2 ed. ESRI, Redlands, CA.
- Hein, G. L., and J. J. Tollefson. 1985. Seasonal oviposition of northern and western corn rootworms (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in continuous cornfields. J. Econ. Entomol. 78: 1238–1241.
- Hibbard, B. E., E. Levine, D. P. Duran, N. M. Gruenhagen, and J. L. Spencer. 2002. Electroantennogram response of two western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) adult populations to corn and soybean volatiles. J. Entomol. Sci. 37: 69–76.
- Huff, F. A., and J. R. Angel. 1992. Rainfall Frequency Atlas of the Midwest. Illinois State Water Survey, Champaign. Bull. 71: 37.
- Isard, S. A., M. A. Nasser, J. L. Spencer, and E. Levine. 1999. The influence of weather on western corn rootworm flight activity at the borders of a soybean field in east central Illinois. Aerobiologia 15: 95–104.
- Isard, S. A., J. L. Spencer, M. A. Nasser, and E. Levine. 2000. Aerial movement of western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae): diel periodicity of flight activity in soybean fields. Environ. Entomol. 29: 226–234.
- Levine, E. 1995. Rootworm problems in first-year corn: An increasing problem?, pp. 133–135. In 1995 Illinois Agricultural Pesticides Conference: Cooperative Extension Service, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, IL.
- Levine, E., and H. Oloumi-Sadeghi. 1991. Management of diabroticite rootworms in corn. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 36: 229–255.
- Levine, E., and H. Oloumi-Sadeghi. 1996. Western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) larval injury to corn grown for seed production following soybeans grown for seed production. J. Econ. Entomol. 89: 1010– 1016.
- Levine, E., J. L. Spencer, S. A. Isard, D. W. Onstad, and M. E. Gray. 2002. Adaptation of the western corn rootworm to crop rotation: Evolution of a new strain in response to a management practice. Am. Entomol. 48: 94–107.

- Metcalf, R. L. 1983. Implications and prognosis of resistance to insecticides, pp. 703–733. In G. P. Georghiou and T. Saito (eds.), Pest Resistance to Pesticides, Plenum, New York, NY.
- Microsoft. 2002. Excel, version. Microsoft Corp., XP Professional, Seattle, WA.
- O'Neal, M. E., C. DiFonzo, and D. Landis. 2002. Western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) feeding on corn and soybean leaves affected by corn phenology. Environ. Entomol. 31: 285–292.
- O'Neal, M. E., M. E. Gray, S. Ratcliffe, and K. L. Steffey. 2001. Predicting western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) larval injury to rotated corn with Pherocon AM traps in soybeans. J. Econ. Entomol. 94: 98–104.
- O'Neal, M. E., M. E. Gray, and C. Smyth. 1999. Population characteristics of a western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) strain in east-central Illinois corn and soybean fields. J. Econ. Entomol. 92: 1301–1310.
- Onstad, D. W., M. G. Joselyn, S. A. Isard, E. Levine, J. L. Spencer, L. W. Bledsoe, C. R. Edwards, C. D. Di Fonzo, and H. Willson. 1999. Modeling the spread of western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) populations adapting to soybean-corn rotation. Environ. Entomol. 28: 188–194.
- Onstad, D. W., J. L. Spencer, C. A. Guse, S. A. Isard, and E. Levine. 2001. Modeling evolution of behavioral resistance by an insect to crop rotation. Entomol. Expt. Appl. 100: 195–201.
- Ruppel, R. F. 1975. Dispersal of western corn rootworm, Diabrotica virgifera Le Conte, in Michigan (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). J. Kansas Entomol. Soc. 48: 291–296.
- SAS Institute. 2002. SAS, version 8.2 ed. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Spencer, J. L., S. A. Isard, and E. Levine. 1999. Free flight of western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) to corn and soybean plants in a walk-in wind tunnel. J. Econ. Entomol. 92: 146–155.
- [USDA] U.S. Dept of Agriculture. 1997. National Agricultural Statistics Service. 1997 Census of Agriculture, vol. 1. Washington, D.C., Geographic Area Series. State and County Data.
- VanWoerkom, G. J., F. T. Turpin, and J. R. Barret, Jr. 1983. Wind effect on western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) flight behavior. Environ. Entomol. 12: 196–200.
- Witkowski, J. F., J. C. Owens, and J. J. Tollefson. 1975. Diel activity and vertical flight distribution of adult western corn rootworms in Iowa cornfields. J. Econ. Entomol. 68: 351–352.

Received for publication 11 October 2002; accepted 4 June 2003.