

April 2, 2018

# Some Strictly Convex Characteristic Functions with a Non Empty Core

Lester G Telser

## Some Strictly Convex Characteristic Functions with a Non Empty Core

L. G. Telser

In standard core theory no participant obtains a return above his incremental contribution to the total return. According to standard economic theory applied to a production function, the wage rate equals the value of the marginal product. Hence standard economic theory equates the incremental contribution to the return of the participant. This note has a new result. It uses core theory to study a function such that the sum of the incremental contributions exceeds the total return. The feasible payments to the participants must fall short of their incremental contributions. There is a class of strictly convex, superadditive functions such that individual's payoff is in the core although below his incremental contribution to the total return.

The total return,  $f(t)$ , is increasing, strictly convex and superadditive defined on the following closed convex set  $T$ .

(1)  $T = \{s: 0 \leq s \leq t \text{ for all } t \in \Omega^n, \text{ the non negative orthant of } E^n\}$ .

Denote the support of  $f$  at  $t$  by  $f^*(t)$ . In my application with differentiable  $f$ ,  $f^*(t) = \partial_t f(t)$ . It turns out that the return to the participants is below their incremental contribution to the total return. Even so, the  $f(t)$  defined in (6) has a non empty core.

By strict convexity

$$f(t - s) - f(t) > f^*(t) (t - t - s) = - f^*(t) s.$$

Therefore,

$$f(t) - f(t - s) < f^*(t) s \text{ for all } s \leq t.$$

For  $s = t$  we have

$$(2) f(t) < f^*(t) t + f(0)$$

By superadditivity

$$(3) f(s) + f(t-s) \leq f(t).$$

Take  $s = t$  and (3) implies  $f(t) + f(0) \leq f(t)$  so that

$$(4) f(0) \leq 0.$$

From (2) and (4) it follows that

$$(5) f(t) < f^*(t) t.$$

It follows from (5) that  $f(.) \geq 0$  implies  $f(.)$  is an increasing function of  $t$ .

Inequality (5) shows that the sum of the incremental returns exceeds the total return. Nor is this all. By strict convexity only vertexes of  $T$  can yield admissible coalitions. That is, if a type  $i$  is present in a coalition, then all the type  $i$  participants are also present. A coalition  $s$  is an  $n$ -vector whose coordinates are either 0 or  $t_i$ . This is important for the  $f(t)$  defined in (6). (Cf. Telser, 1987, chap. 4, sec. 4)

Let

$$(6) \quad f(t) = t' M t,$$

$M$  is  $n \times n$  matrix,  $M = M'$ , positive definite and nonnegative. In addition, let  $M \geq 0$ . It turns out that  $M \geq 0$  is both necessary and sufficient for superadditivity as well for a non empty core.

First, we verify that this  $f(t)$  is superadditive.

Lemma. If  $M \geq 0$ , then  $(t - s)' M (t - s) + s' M s \leq t' M t$ .

Proof.  $(t - s)' M (t - s) + s' M s$

$$= t' M t - s' M t - t' M s + 2 s' M s - t' M t$$

$$= 2 (s' M s - s' M t) = 2 s' M (s - t) \leq 0$$

for all  $s - t \leq 0$  because  $M \geq 0$  by hypothesis.

This proves  $f(t) = t' M t$  is superadditive on  $T$ .

$$(7) \quad f^*(f) = 2 t' M$$

Let  $x$  denote an  $n$ -vector of payoffs to the  $n$  participants. Let

$$(8) \quad x = f^*(t) - a \geq 0,$$

According to (8), the payoffs are reduced by the non negative  $n$ -vector,  $a$ .

The payoffs to the participants can cover the total  $f(t)$  if

$$(9) \quad x t = f^*(t) t - a t = f(t).$$

From (7) and (9),

$$(10) \quad x t = f(t) = t' M t = 2 t' M t - a t.$$

It follows from (9) and (10) that  $a t = 2 t' M t - t' M t$ . Hence

$$(11) \quad a = t' M.$$

To verify  $x$  is in the core we must show that

$$(12) \quad x s \geq f(s) \text{ for all } s \in T \text{ and } s \leq t.$$

Now  $x s = [f^*(t) - a] s = t' M s$ . Hence

$$(13) \quad t' M s \geq f(s) = s' M s$$

if and only if

$$(14) \quad (t - s)' M s \geq 0$$

for all  $s \leq t$  and  $s \in T$ . This is true because  $M \geq 0$  by hypothesis. Therefore, as claimed, the  $x$  given by (8) is in the core.

If  $M$  had some negative terms, then inequality (10) could not be assured. Negative terms in  $M$  can be interpreted as participants who are competitors, substitutes, and positive terms as those who are partners, complements.

## References

- Shapley, Lloyd S. 1971. Cores of Convex Games. *International Journal of Game Theory*.1: 12 - 26.
- Telser, Lester G. 1987. *A Theory of Efficient Cooperation and Competition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.