Insulin-resistant rats display enhanced nicotine reward following a high-fat diet regimen.

Laura O'Dell, *University of Texas at El Paso*
Short communication

Insulin resistant rats display enhanced rewarding effects of nicotine

Janell R. Richardson a, Joseph A. Pipkin b, Laura E. O'Dell b, Arbi Nazarian a,∗

a Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Western University of Health Sciences, 309 East Second Street, Pomona, CA 91766, United States
b Department of Psychology, University of Texas at El Paso, 500 West University Avenue, El Paso, TX 79968, United States

A R T I C L E   I N F O

Article history:
Received 21 December 2013
Received in revised form 26 February 2014
Accepted 25 March 2014
Available online 5 April 2014

Keywords:
Tobacco
Diabetes
Insulin resistance
Drug use
Conditioned place preference
High fat diet

A B S T R A C T

Background: Tobacco use among persons with Type II diabetes exponentially increases negative health consequences and mortality rates. It is especially troubling that diabetic persons who smoke have a greater difficulty with tobacco cessation as compared to non-diabetic smokers. Diabetes is a metabolic syndrome that consists of insulin resistance due to disruptions in insulin signaling. We have previously shown that insulin depletion enhances the motivational effects of nicotine.

Methods: The present study expands our previous work by examining whether insulin resistance, produced by a high-fat diet (HFD) regimen, enhances the rewarding effects of nicotine, as measured by the conditioned place preference (CPP) paradigm. Rats were placed on either a regular diet (RD) or a HFD for 5 weeks, after which they were assessed for insulin resistance via blood glucose measurements after an insulin challenge. Rats then underwent a nicotine CPP study.

Results: The findings revealed that HFD produced insulin resistant and non-insulin resistant animals. Interestingly, the magnitude of nicotine CPP was larger in insulin resistant rats versus RD rats. Nicotine CPP was absent in non-insulin resistant animals. A similar increase in body weight was observed in insulin resistant and non-insulin resistant rats as compared to RD rats. These findings suggest that neither the increased body weight nor the HFD per se in the insulin resistant rats contributed to the enhanced nicotine reward.

Conclusion: These present study suggests that insulin resistant rats undergo unique neurobiological changes related to a disruption in insulin signaling that promotes the rewarding effects of nicotine.

© 2014 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The obesity epidemic has resulted in an exponential rise in the incidence of Type II diabetes (Buse et al., 2007). Diabetes increases the incidence of deleterious health conditions, such as hypertension, myocardial infarction, stroke and cancer (Buse et al., 2007). Tobacco use is a risk factor that further promotes the negative health consequences of Type II diabetes (Buse et al., 2007; Cho et al., 2009; Eliasson et al., 1997; Facchini et al., 1992; Gill et al., 2005). Unfortunately, the smoking cessation rates are significantly lower in diabetic versus non-diabetic persons (Gill et al., 2005; Solberg et al., 2004). The possibility exists that diabetic persons experience strong rewarding effects of nicotine, which may promote smoking initiation and continued use. These findings highlight the importance of research aimed at understanding the biological factors that promote tobacco use among persons with diabetes.

A hallmark feature of Type II diabetes is insulin resistance, which is defined by a reduction in sensitivity to insulin, leading to a disruption in insulin signaling. Insulin resistance in rodents is defined by a deficit in the ability of insulin to decrease plasma glucose levels following an insulin challenge (Inzucchi et al., 2012). Previous work has demonstrated that a high-fat diet (HFD) regimen produces insulin resistance in rodents (Ahren et al., 1999; Baladi et al., 2011; Buettner et al., 2006; Ramirez et al., 1990). The present study compared the rewarding effects of nicotine in HFD-induced insulin resistant and regular diet (RD) fed rats. Nicotine reward was assessed using the conditioned place preference (CPP) paradigm, which taps into drug-associated cues that modulate tobacco use. Based on the clinical literature, we hypothesized that insulin resistant rats would display enhanced rewarding effects of nicotine.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects and diet

Adult Male Sprague-Dawley rats (Harlan, Inc.) weighing 350–400 g were pair-housed and maintained on a 12-h light/dark cycle with unrestricted access to food
and water. The food was either a RD (Teklad 8604; 24.3% protein; 40.2% carbohydrate; 4.7% fat w/w) or a HFD (Bio-Serv F6387; 18.1% protein; 43% carbohydrate; 30% fat w/w). Rats were placed on either diet for 5 weeks. The body weights and blood glucose levels (BGLs) were monitored weekly using an AlphaTRAK glucometer. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Western University of Health Sciences.

2.2. Insulin resistance determination

After continuous exposure to the RD or HFD for 5 weeks, an insulin challenge test was performed. For the insulin challenge test, the rats were fasted overnight. The following morning, blood was collected from the tail vein of non-restrained animals and baseline BGLs were taken. The animals then received regular insulin (Humulin-R; 0.75U/kg; i.p.) and BGLs were re-measured 15, 30, 60, 120 and 180 min later. According to the results of the BGLs obtained from the insulin challenge, the HFD fed rats were categorized into insulin resistant or non-insulin resistant groups.

2.3. CPP procedures

The CPP apparatus consisted of two distinct conditioning chambers. One of the chambers was black and white horizontally striped walls and a metal rod floor, whereas the adjacent chamber had solid white walls and a metal mesh floor. The tactile and visual cues were chosen to produce a biased apparatus. All rats were handled for 3 days prior to the start of conditioning. An 8-day procedure was used, consisting of a pre-conditioning test day, six conditioning days, and a post-conditioning test day. On the pre-conditioning test, time spent in each chamber was recorded for 15 min. During conditioning, rats were injected with saline or nicotine (0.2 mg/kg, free base, s.c.) and were placed into their initially non-preferred side for 30 min. The 0.2 mg/kg dose of nicotine was chosen because it produces a reliable CPP in rats (Le Foll and Goldberg, 2005; Torres et al., 2008). On the post-conditioning test, the amount of time spent in each chamber was re-assessed for 15 min. CPP data were expressed as a difference in time spent on the drug-paired side between pre-conditioning and postconditioning test days. An increase in the difference in time spent on the drug-paired side between nicotine conditioned groups with their respective saline conditioned groups qualified as a CPP.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Two-way ANOVAs were used to analyze the BGLs and CPP data, while a one-way ANOVA was used to analyze body weight. Newman–Keuls analyses were performed to assess group differences with a criteria of p < 0.05. At the completion of the 5-week diet regimen, 12 rats were placed in the RD group, 12 rats were placed in the HFD non-insulin resistant group and 11 rats were placed in the HFD insulin resistant group.

3. Results

3.1. Insulin resistance and body weight

Following an insulin challenge, rats that received a HFD displayed significant alterations in BGLs (Diet main effect: $F(2,163) = 72.47, p < 0.001$) (Fig. 1A). The pattern of changes in BGL revealed that HFD consumption produced two subsets of rats. The first subset, the HFD insulin resistant rats, exhibited increased baseline BGLs versus RD rats and a blunted decrease in response to insulin, indicative of insulin resistance. The second subset, the HFD non-insulin resistant rats, exhibited baseline blood glucose levels similar to control rats and displayed a progressive drop in BGLs in response to an insulin injection, indicative of rats that were non-insulin resistant. The average body weights of the animals in each treatment group were similar at the time they were assigned to their diet regimens. Rats assigned to the RD group had an average weight of 371 g and rats placed in the HFD group had an average body weight of 374 g. After 5 weeks of access, body weights of all HFD fed rats were significantly elevated as compared to RD rats (Diet main effect: $F(2,34) = 11.93, p < 0.001$) (Fig. 1B).

3.2. CPP

Nicotine produced CPP in both RD and HFD insulin resistant rats (drug × diet interaction: $F(2,35) = 3.266, p < 0.05$). Furthermore, HFD insulin resistant rats spent significantly more time on the nicotine-paired side as compared to the HFD non-insulin resistant and RD rats (p < 0.05). Interestingly, HFD non-insulin resistant rats did not display nicotine CPP (Fig. 2).

4. Discussion

The present study revealed that consumption of HFD resulted in the development of two subgroups of rats, those that were insulin resistant and those that were non-insulin resistant, in response to an insulin challenge. Given that not all overweight humans develop insulin resistance and Type II diabetes, this distribution among HFD rats lends validity to this rodent model of insulin resistance. The major finding of the present study is that insulin resistant rats displayed elevated nicotine reward. This is consistent with our previous finding that rats depleted of insulin display a suppression of dopamine systems along with an increase in nicotine

![Fig. 1.](image1.png) Mean (±S.E.M) blood glucose levels and body weight in HFD and RD treated rats. Panel A: Plasma blood glucose levels were measured prior to and at timed intervals following an injection of insulin (0.75 U/kg, i.p.). Panel B: Fasting body weights (g) taken just prior to the insulin challenge. n = 8–12 rats/group. *Represents differences from RD control group (p < 0.05).

![Fig. 2.](image2.png) Mean (±S.E.M) difference in time spent (s) in the drug-paired chamber between preconditioning and postconditioning test days for rats conditioned with saline or nicotine (0.2 mg/kg) in the CPP paradigm. HFD insulin resistant rats exhibited greater rewarding effects of nicotine as compared to RD controls, whereas HFD non-insulin resistant rats did not exhibit any rewarding effects of nicotine. n = 6–9 rats/group. *Represents differences between indicated groups (p < 0.05).
On the other hand, deletion of insulin receptors in healthy rats self-administration behavior (O’Dell et al., 2013). Taken together, these studies found that intracranial application of acute doses of insulin to rats suppresses the dopamine system and decreases food reward (Figulewicz et al., 2008, 2006; Mebel et al., 2012; Sipols et al., 2002). On the other hand, deletion of insulin receptors in healthy rats increases rewarding effects of palatable foods (Könner et al., 2011). These studies, along with our present findings suggest that the effect of insulin is to reduce reward processing, whereas disruption of insulin signaling increases reward processing. The effects of insulin and its signaling machinery may alter reward processing by working to reduce dopamine release (O’Dell et al., 2013), as well as changes in the density and function of dopamine transporter and receptors (O’Dell et al., 2013; Williams et al., 2007). However, the precise mechanism by which insulin resistance enhances nicotine reward processing requires further examination.

Another finding of this report is that HFD fed rats that did not develop insulin resistance, also did not display nicotine CPP. This is consistent with a report showing that the rewarding effects of nicotine are diminished in mice, as well as humans that consume a HFD (Blendy et al., 2005). In support of this hypothesis, we observed in our study that the RD control rats that were not insulin resistant developed nicotine CPP. Therefore, the possibility exists that consumption of HFD altered the reward circuitry in a manner that suppressed nicotine reward, via mechanisms independent of insulin signaling. Altogether, a distinct pattern emerged following the HFD regimen, such that HFD-induced disruption of insulin signaling resulted in an enhanced nicotine reward processing, whereas HFD consumption in the absence of compromised insulin signaling resulted in attenuated nicotine reward processing.

The present study has several important clinical implications. Our results suggest that persons with insulin resistance may be more vulnerable to tobacco use and more resistant to quit smoking due to strong rewarding effects of nicotine. Thus, the possibility exists that greater rewarding effects of nicotine may promote tobacco use initiation among persons with diabetes. Our results also imply that the latter effect is likely associated with changes in the cellular response to insulin within the brain reward circuitry. Our findings also suggest that proper normalization of insulin signaling in persons with diabetes may play a critical role in reducing tobacco initiation and promoting cessation. Further research is needed to understand the mechanisms by which disruptions in insulin signaling alter reward processing. These findings will lead to better tobacco cessation strategies that will reduce the high mortality rates with persons with diabetes.
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