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This report of the proceedings of a symposium presented at the 2004 Research Society on Alcoholism Meeting provides evidence linking stress during sobriety to craving that increases the risk for relapse. The initial presentation by Rajita Sinha summarized clinical evidence for the hypothesis that there is an increased sensitivity to stress-induced craving in alcoholics. During early abstinence, alcoholics who were confronted with stressful circumstances showed increased susceptibility for relapse. George Breese presented data demonstrating that stress could substitute for repeated withdrawals from chronic ethanol to induce anxiety-like behavior. This persistent adaptive change induced by multiple withdrawals allowed stress to induce an anxiety-like response that was absent in animals that were not previously exposed to chronic ethanol. Subsequently, Amanda Roberts reviewed evidence that increased drinking induced by stress was dependent on corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF). In addition, rats that were stressed during protracted abstinence exhibited anxiety-like behavior that was also dependent on CRF. Christopher Dayas indicated that stress increases the reinstatement of an alcohol-related cue. Moreover, this effect was enhanced by previous alcohol dependence. These interactive effects between stress and alcohol-related environmental stimuli depended on concurrent activation of endogenous opioid and CRF systems. A.D. Lê covered information that indicated that stress facilitated reinstatement to alcohol responding and summarized the influence of multiple deprivations on this interaction. David Overstreet provided evidence that restraint stress during repeated alcohol deprivations increases voluntary drinking in alcohol-preferring (P) rats that results in withdrawal-induced anxiety that is not observed in the absence of stress. Testing of drugs on the stress-induced voluntary drinking implicated serotonin and CRF involvement in the sensitized response. Collectively, the presentations provided convincing support for an involvement of stress in the cause of relapse and continuing alcohol abuse and suggested novel pharmacological approaches for treating relapse induced by stress.
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A LCOHOLISM IS A disorder that progresses from “social drinking” to a state of uncontrolled abuse. Research has shown that up to two in three alcoholics resume drinking after engaging in alcohol treatment (Hunt et al., 1971; Miller et al., 1996), indicative that relapse is common to this disorder. On the basis of the tension-reduction hypothesis, investigators assumed that increased alcohol intake relates to reducing stress-induced “tension” or anxiety (Brown et al., 1990, 1995; Cooper et al., 1992; Kalodner et al., 1989; Kushner et al., 1994; Young et al., 1990). In this respect, anxiety during abstinence can be a predictor of end-state drinking in the alcoholic (Kushner et al., 1994, 2000, 2001; Sloan et al., 2003; Willinger et al., 2002). However, it is emphasized that not all alcoholics describe an occurrence of anxiety during abstinence (Li, 2000); some exhibit depression and negative affect (Duka et al., 2002; Liappas et al., 2002). In other research, laboratory-induced negative mood was shown to increase alcohol craving (Litt et al., 1990) and risk for relapse in alcoholics (Cooney et al., 1997).

Early research with social drinkers indicated that laboratory exposure to stress increases alcohol consumption (Higgins and Marlatt, 1975; Marlatt et al., 1975). Stress in the abstinent alcoholic in a laboratory setting induces alcohol craving (Litt et al., 1990; Sinha, 2001), and stress has been identified as one of the key factors that are important in relapse (Brown et al., 1990, 1995; Cooney et al., 1997; Pohorecky, 1991; Sinha, 2001; Weiss and Porrino, 2002). Despite evidence implicating stress in maintaining alcohol...
abuse, documenting evidence for the means by which stress contributes to the pathogenesis of alcoholism has been meager.

This symposium summarizes clinical and preclinical work that documents that stress influences the pharmacology of alcohol and contributes to the characteristics of alcoholism. Clinical evidence provided demonstrates that adaptive mechanisms persist in the alcoholic during abstinence to support the appearance of negative affect and craving. One group of basic studies presents evidence that stress shares in the kindling process that is responsible for worsening withdrawal symptoms that accompany repeated exposures from chronic alcohol. Other preclinical findings demonstrate that stress can facilitate drinking and motivation to drink. Specific neurotransmitters involved in the consequences of stress on various influences related to withdrawal symptoms, alcohol drinking, and motivation to drink are included in this summary.

STRESS INCREASES ALCOHOL CRAVING AND THE RISK FOR RELAPSE

Rajita Sinha

Data from a human laboratory model of stress-induced alcohol/drug craving provide evidence for stress-induced drug craving and an association of this response to alcohol relapse. This focus of research elucidated the mechanism underlying the association between stress and drug relapse. Alcohol use has been conceptualized as a stressor that when used chronically results in allostatic and neuroadaptation (Koob and Le Moal, 1997; McEwen, 2000). In humans, previous research showed that chronic alcohol abuse is associated with significant neuroadaptation in brain stress circuits and dysregulation of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis responses in alcoholics (Adinoff et al., 1990, 1991; Sinha, 2001). Protracted withdrawal and early abstinence from chronic alcohol abuse is marked by stress-related symptoms such as sleep difficulties, anxiety, irritability, depressive symptoms, and difficulties in concentration. A hallmark of the protracted withdrawal and abstinence phase has previously been hypothesized as an increased sensitivity to stress and stress-induced craving in drug-dependent individuals (Sinha, 2001). This enhanced sensitivity places an alcoholic who is in early recovery at increased susceptibility for craving and a likelihood of relapse when exposed to stressful situations in the environment.

For testing this hypothesis, drug/alcohol craving and stress reactivity were examined in cocaine abusers and alcoholics. Participants were exposed to personal experiences of stress, nonstress drug/alcohol cues, and neutral relaxing situations via guided imagery and recall. In a brief 5-min exposure in a laboratory setting, participants imagined these situations while scripts with stimulus and response information on the respective events were described to them via audiotape. Initial findings indicated that brief exposure to stress imagery induced drug craving and increased multiple negative emotions of fear, sadness, and anger in cocaine-dependent individuals and in cocaine alcoholics (Sinha et al., 1999). In a second study, we compared stress imagery with nonstress drug cue imagery and neutral-relaxing imagery and reported that significant increases in heart rate, salivary cortisol levels, and subjective anxiety were found to accompany increases in drug/alcohol craving with exposure to stress and to nonstress drug cues as compared with neutral-relaxing cues (Sinha et al., 2000).

In a more extensive inpatient study design, an evaluation was made on the subjective and physiological effects of stress, nonstress drug/alcohol cue, and neutral-relaxing cue exposure in recently abstinent, treatment-seeking cocaine-dependent individuals, half of whom were also dependent on alcohol. The findings indicated that recall/imagery of stress and of drug/alcohol cue situations in contrast to neutral-relaxing situations, once again, significantly increased alcohol craving (see Fig. 1). Furthermore, these states were accompanied by increased physiological arousal as measured by increases in heart rate, blood pressure, ACTH, prolactin, and cortisol as well as plasma norepinephrine and epinephrine (Sinha et al., 2003).

Subsequently, it was examined whether there was an association between stress-induced alcohol craving in the laboratory and return to drinking after inpatient drug treatment. Findings indicated that stress-induced alcohol craving in this group of participants was significantly associated with number of days of alcohol used ($R^2 = 0.20, r = 0.45, p < 0.001$) and total number of drinks consumed ($R^2 = 0.30, r = 0.55, p < 0.0001$) in the 90-day follow-up period.
after completion of inpatient drug treatment (see data in Fig. 2). These data suggest that an increased level of stress-induced alcohol craving is associated with alcohol relapse as measured by alcohol intake and number of days of drinking after treatment. The association between other biological stress response markers and alcohol relapse is currently being investigated.

These findings provide initial evidence that stress exposure in abstinent alcoholic individuals increases both alcohol craving and susceptibility to abusing alcohol after treatment completion. Such data support the notion that attenuating stress-induced alcohol craving through pharmacological or psychosocial interventions could be a relevant target in the development of new treatments for alcohol dependence. The studies described here also provide a validated human laboratory method for induction of stress-related alcohol craving that may be used for testing new and existing pharmacological agents in the treatment of alcohol dependence. For example, there is some evidence that serotoninergic reuptake inhibitors attenuate alcohol-drinking behavior and alcohol craving in clinical studies (Johnson et al., 2002; Pettinati et al., 2000), but the specific mechanisms by which they are of benefit in decreasing alcohol consumption are not fully understood. Preclinical research indicates that serotoninergic agents attenuate stress-induced alcohol reinstatement, presumably via regulation of limbic-HPA axis circuits during stress (Lê and Shaham, 2002). Future research that assesses pharmacological agents for their effects on stress-induced alcohol craving and arousal responses will likely increase our understanding of the mechanisms by which stress increases risk for alcohol relapse, which in turn could significantly enhance development of new treatments for alcohol relapse prevention.

**STRESS ENHANCEMENT OF CRAVING DURING SOBRIETY**

**George R. Breese, Darin J. Knapp, and David H. Overstreet**

One view of the process of developing alcohol abuse is the “allostasis model of alcoholism” (see Koob, 2003; Koob and Le Moal, 2001; Roberts et al., 2000a)—a process believed to “kindle” neural processes that are responsible for worsening withdrawal symptoms. The concept of kindling brain circuits to increase susceptibility of seizure activity (Ballenger and Post, 1978; McCown and Breese, 1990) served as an impetus to evaluate the possibility that withdrawal symptoms that are unrelated to seizure kindling could be worsened by repeated withdrawals from chronic alcohol. In support of this concept, Overstreet et al. (2002) found that repeated withdrawals from chronic alcohol exposure increased withdrawal-induced anxiety-like behavior (“anxiety”). Because continuous alcohol presence did not induce this change, the cycling was essential for the adaptive process that is responsible for the sensitized behavior during withdrawal. Factors such as level of alcohol intake and locomotor activity could not explain this increase in anxiety-like behavior. Furthermore, the adaptation by the repeated withdrawals persisted for up to 32 days as demonstrated by examining anxiety-like behavior after withdrawal from a subsequent short exposure to alcohol diet (Overstreet et al., 2002). Thus, it was concluded that this sensitization of anxiety-like behavior induced by repeated withdrawals is the result of a “kindling-like” phenomenon, a finding consistent with repetitive abuse of alcohol increasing allostatic load (Koob, 2003; Koob et al., 2004). This adaptation is presumed to contribute to the worsening of withdrawal symptoms, which enhance the probability of relapse and continued alcohol abuse.

Because of implications that stress induces adaptive change (Lazarus and Folkman, 1984; Sapolsky, 2003), the perspective of whether repeated stresses relate to the adaptive consequence of multiple withdrawals to induce anxiety-like behavior was examined (Breese et al., 2004a). For examining this possibility, two restraint stresses were applied at weekly intervals (i.e., to substitute for repeated withdrawals) followed by withdrawal from a single 5-day exposure to an alcohol-containing diet. Whereas withdrawal from the single 5-day alcohol diet exposure alone did not affect anxiety-like behavior, the previous repeated restraint stress experience reduced social interaction during the future single withdrawal (Fig. 3) (Breese et al., 2004a). Two weekly administrations of corticosterone instead of stress did not decrease social interaction upon withdrawal from the 5-day exposure to chronic alcohol (Breese et al., 2004a), a result indicative that peripheral release of corticosterone is not responsible for the stress sensitization of withdrawal-induced “anxiety.” Stress in the absence of alcohol exposure did not induce an anxiety-like response. Consistent with stress causing a persistent adaptive change, re-exposure of rats to 5 days of alcohol diet 16 days after...
being subjected to the stress/withdrawal protocol significantly enhanced withdrawal-induced anxiety (Breese et al., 2004a).

Given that stress substitutes for repeated withdrawals to sensitize anxiety-like behavior, the possibility existed that adaptation to previous alcohol exposures would allow a subsequent stress to induce an anxiety-like response. In this circumstance, restraint stress that was applied 3 days after a final withdrawal from repeated withdrawals reduced social interaction (see Breese et al., 2004b,c). Animals that were exposed to the multiple-withdrawal protocol but not stressed exhibited no anxiety-associated behavior 3 days after the final withdrawal. These data documenting stress-induced anxiety-like behavior after repeated withdrawals strengthen the view that stress emulates the adaptive change associated with repeated withdrawals (see Breese et al., 2004a,c). Social interaction after stress in the control liquid diet–exposed rats was comparable to that seen in nonstressed rats on control diet. These data emphasize that adaptation related to previous alcohol exposure(s) is a necessity for stress to produce an anxiety-like response. Therefore, “stress” in an abstinent alcoholic may be perceived as a “withdrawal-like syndrome” capable of producing anxiety-associated symptoms—a view consistent with the reported negative affect and anxiety observed in alcoholics during abstinence (Begleiter and Porjesz, 1979; Roche et al., 1985).

Drug studies have implicated specific neurotransmitter systems in the adaptive changes induced by repeated withdrawals and stress. A 5-HT1A receptor agonist, corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) receptor antagonists, and flumazenil have been found to block the anxiety-like behavior induced by repeated withdrawals (Knapp et al., 2004a,b; Overstreet et al., 2003b, 2004). These same drugs block the anxiety-associated response induced by the stress/withdrawal protocol (Breese et al., 2004a). Because the drugs were administered during the first two withdrawals or stress sessions and not during the final withdrawal from chronic alcohol, critical evidence is afforded that repeated withdrawals and the stresses induce adaptation that accumulates from one cycle to the next to sensitize withdrawal symptoms.

In summary, the cumulative adaptive changes from excessive alcohol drinking can interact with stress to increase the severity of withdrawal symptoms. This process in the alcoholic is presumed to contribute to loss of control to limit alcohol consumed with renewed drinking (relapse). The adaptation to previous alcohol exposure can also allow stress to induce negative affect and anxiety during abstinence. The presence of anxiety-like behavior during abstinence from repeated alcohol exposures in the alcoholic is proposed to relate to stressful cues in the environment (Sinha, 2001) (see previous section). The withdrawal-like symptoms to stress during abstinence in the alcoholic would contribute to craving and the likelihood of continued alcohol abuse (see Cooney et al., 1997; Sinha, 2001; Sinha et al., 1999; Sloan et al., 2003; Willinger et al., 2002). Therefore, it is proposed that the progressive accumulation of adaptation interacting with stress contributes to the pathogenesis of alcoholism. This view is consistent with the “kindling”/stress hypothesis of alcoholism (see Breese et al., 2004c).

**ALCOHOL DRINKING AND ANXIETY-LIKE BEHAVIOR DURING ABSTINENCE: ROLE OF CRF**

Amanda J. Roberts, Glenn R. Valdez, Laura E. O’Dell, Kathleen Chu, and George F. Koob

Animal models of alcohol withdrawal and abstinence states associated with increased stress axis activity and increased anxiety states that are relevant to alcoholism have been developed. It has been speculated that this state of “stress” contributes to alcohol relapse. Affective signs of withdrawal in humans include increased anxiety, depressed mood, and increased sensitivity to stressors (Parsons et al., 1990), and these symptoms have been positively correlated with relapse (Cloninger, 1987; De Soto et al., 1989; Hershcov, 1977; Miller and Harris, 2000; Mossberg et al., 1985). In animals, alcohol withdrawal has been associated with increased anxiety-like behavior and/or enhanced responsiveness to stressful stimuli (Baldwin et al., 1991; Höltzer et al., 1998; Möller et al., 1997; Rasmussen et al., 2001; Rassnick et al., 1993). Recent research demonstrated that the CRF system modulates the contribution of anxiety states to excessive alcohol intake in animal models (Baldwin et al., 1991; Ehlers and Chaplin, 1987; Merlo-Pich et al., 1995; Rassnick et al., 1993; Richter and Weiss, 1999; Timpl et al., 1998). If anxiety-like behavior is critical in mediating alcohol drinking after withdrawal and CRF is important in this withdrawal-induced anxiety, then it should
follow that CRF is important in alcohol drinking in dependent individuals. A series of experiments was conducted in rats and mice to examine this possibility.

A model of alcohol self-administration in dependent rats was developed several years ago (Roberts et al., 1996, 2000a,b) and has been refined (O’Dell et al., 2004). In this model, rats are trained to press a lever for alcohol and then are made dependent through alcohol vapor exposure and finally allowed to press a lever again during the early phases of alcohol withdrawal or after more extended periods of abstinence. Operant responding for alcohol is increased both during the acute withdrawal phase [0–12 hr (Roberts et al., 1996)] and after protracted abstinence [2–8 weeks (Roberts et al., 2000a)]. Anxiety-like behavior, as determined by a decrease in open-arm exploration in the elevated plus maze, also is increased at 2 hr and 4 weeks after alcohol withdrawal (Valdez et al., 2002). These consequences suggest that the increased alcohol self-administration observed in dependent rats may be the result of a heightened stress response.

The effect of intracerebroventricular administration of a CRF receptor antagonist on alcohol self-administration in dependent rats was examined. D-Phe-CRF(1,2-41) significantly decreased alcohol self-administration in dependent rats, both during withdrawal and after protracted abstinence, but had no effect on self-administration in nondependent rats (Valdez et al., 2002). In a separate study, it was shown that D-Phe-CRF(1,2-41) reversed the stressor-induced increase in anxiety-like behavior observed after protracted abstinence (Valdez et al., 2003). Therefore, CRF may have a role in the motivational effects of withdrawal and protracted abstinence associated with increases in alcohol consumption.

Recently, the role of CRF in alcohol self-administration and anxiety-like behavior associated with dependence was explored in mice. In addition to behavioral and neuropharmacological studies, the availability of genetically mutated mice provides an important additional means of mechanistic investigation. The mouse studies performed thus far have extended the rat studies outlined above by focusing on the role of specific CRF receptors. For example, CRF receptor 1 (CRF1) null mutant and wild-type littermate control mice were exposed to either an alcohol-containing liquid diet or a control liquid diet for 26 days. Alcohol was removed, and the mice were tested for anxiety-like behavior in the light/dark transfer test 24 hr into withdrawal and then the alcohol liquid diet was restored. Five days later, the mice were withdrawn and tested again, and a third withdrawal test was performed after another 5 days of liquid diet exposure. Wild-type mice showed a progressive increase in alcohol withdrawal–induced anxiety-like behavior across the three withdrawal tests; however, CRF1 null mutant mice did not show increases in this behavior during any of the three withdrawals. The genotypes had equivalent blood alcohol levels throughout the exposure period. The finding that mice that lack CRF1 receptors do not show increases in alcohol withdrawal–induced increases in anxiety-like behavior suggests that this receptor is necessary for the expression of alcohol withdrawal–induced anxiety-like behavior.

A mouse model of excessive alcohol self-administration after dependence induction and periods of protracted abstinence has been developed. C57BL/6J mice were trained to press a lever for alcohol using a saccharin fading procedure (i.e., Roberts et al., 2000b, 2001). Once stable baseline alcohol self-administration was established, half of the mice were exposed to alcohol vapor for 14 hr/day and all mice were tested in 1-hr self-administration sessions 5 days/week. The timing of self-administration was 8 hr into the 10-hr “vapor off” period. Mice received alcohol vapor for 1 month and then continued to be tested for an additional 3 weeks after withdrawal. There were no differences in alcohol self-administration between control mice and those that were exposed to alcohol vapor during the 1-month vapor exposure phase. There was a tendency for mice that were exposed to alcohol vapor to decrease their alcohol self-administration during the first week after removal from the vapor (i.e., there was no increase in alcohol self-administration during the early withdrawal phase). However, mice that were exposed to alcohol vapor showed increased alcohol self-administration relative to control mice after protracted abstinence (particularly the third week after withdrawal). Most of the mice (75%) that experienced protracted abstinence responded for more than 1 g/kg of alcohol, a finding that suggests induction of alcohol dependence in the mice under these conditions.

Using this model, the role of CRF1 in mediating the increased operant self-administration observed after protracted abstinence was explored. C57BL/6J mice were trained to press a lever for 10% alcohol, and then half were made dependent in alcohol vapor chambers as described above. Mice were allowed a 2-week abstinence period and then received either vehicle or the nonpeptide CRF1 antagonist antalarmin intraperitoneally. Antalarmin significantly decreased alcohol self-administration in mice that underwent protracted abstinence, whereas there was no effect on alcohol self-administration in control mice. This outcome is consistent with the results from the CRF1 null mutant mice mentioned above in supporting a role for the CRF1 in mediating the motivational effects of withdrawal and protracted abstinence.

In summary, abstinence is associated with increased alcohol self-administration and increased anxiety-like behavior in both rats and mice. The behavioral and physiological changes that contribute to relapse extend beyond the period generally associated with physical withdrawal signs. An important component of dependence is emphasized to be motivation to drink alcohol. CRF receptor antagonism blocked behavioral effects in rats and the excessive alcohol self-administration observed in mice after protracted abstinence. Finally, CRF1 null mutant mice did not show the increase in anxiety-like behavior associated with abstinence.
Taken together, these data suggest that the increased alcohol self-administration in dependent animals is associated with an increased behavioral responsiveness to stress and that this link is mediated by CRF. More specific, CRF1 receptors seem to play an important role in the contribution of anxiety states to excessive alcohol intake and dependence.

**STRESS AND SUSCEPTIBILITY TO ALCOHOL RELAPSE IN RATS**

Christopher V. Dayas and Friedbert Weiss

Stress is thought to represent an important risk factor for relapse in abstinent alcoholics. Consistent with a role of stress in motivating the resumption of drinking, footshock—a stimulus commonly used to model acute stress in the animal literature—effectively provokes reinstatement of extinguished alcohol-seeking behavior in rodent models of relapse. Evidence is emerging that drug-seeking responses elicited by stress are subject to modification by other risk factors for relapse, such as the presence of alcohol-related environmental stimuli, and by neuroadaptive dysregulation of brain stress-regulatory systems that develop as a result of chronic alcohol intoxication.

An initial set of experiments (Liu and Weiss, 2002) revealed that when presentation of a previously alcohol-paired cue is preceded by stress, the response-reinstating actions of the alcohol cue are greatly increased; moreover, this effect is further enhanced in previously alcohol-dependent rats. The interactive effects between stress and alcohol-related environmental stimuli were found to be dependent on concurrent activation of endogenous opioid and CRF systems. Specifically, the effects of the alcohol cue were selectively sensitive to reversal by the opiate antagonist naltrexone, whereas the effects of footshock stress were selectively sensitive to reversal by the CRF antagonist D-Phe-CRF(12-41). Both of these agents, however, only partially reversed the enhanced drug-seeking response produced by combined exposure to stress and the alcohol cue. Full reversal of alcohol-seeking behavior was observed only after co-administration of D-Phe-CRF(12-41) and naltrexone. These findings show that stress and drug-related environmental stimuli can interact to augment relapse risk. In addition, these observations suggest that neuroadaptive disturbances in brain reward and stress systems may augment the susceptibility to cue- or stress-induced alcohol-seeking behavior.

Recent studies suggest that stress-induced reinstatement generally occurs independent of activation of the principal endocrine response to stress (Lê et al., 2000), corticosterone release, which is triggered by activation of hypothalamic, paraventricular nucleus (PVN), medial parvocellular CRF cells that sit at the apex of the HPA axis. However, Goeders and Clampitt (2002) demonstrated that environmental cues that were previously paired with drug availability acutely activate the HPA axis, which result in elevated plasma levels of corticosterone. Indeed, blockade of corticosterone release by peripheral injections of ketoconazole, a corticosterone synthesis inhibitor, suppresses cue-induced reinstatement of cocaine seeking (Goeders and Clampitt, 2002). For investigating a potential role for HPA axis activation in cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol seeking, animals were given bilateral injections of the highly selective neuronal nitric oxide synthase inhibitor (nNOSi) N-propyl-l-arginine (5 nmol/injection) into the PVN 30 min before reinstatement testing. Compared with vehicle-treated animals, injections of the nNOSi significantly suppressed reinstatement evoked by an alcohol-associated stimulus. These data do not, as yet, shed light on the mechanism by which an nNOSi acts to block cue-induced alcohol seeking. However, given that inhibition of NO production in the PVN is known to suppress HPA axis responses to stress (Kim and Rivier, 2000), it was speculated that PVN-directed nNOSi injections might suppress HPA axis activity evoked by presentation of the alcohol-associated stimulus (Goeders and Clampitt, 2002). Further work, including additional doses of N-propyl-l-arginine and plasma corticosterone measurements, are required to verify this conclusion. It is interesting that these data are also consistent with previous findings that peripheral injection of a nonspecific NOSi N (G)-nitro-l-arginine methyl ester blocks cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol-seeking but not alcohol self-administration (Liu and Weiss, 2004).

As highlighted above, co-administration of D-Phe CRF and naltrexone completely reversed the interactive effects on alcohol seeking by combined exposure to stress and alcohol-associated stimuli (Liu and Weiss, 2002). These data suggest that pharmacotherapeutic strategies for relapse prevention would ideally target mechanisms that regulate both stress- and cue-induced alcohol seeking. Novel data on the effects of the opioid-like peptide nociceptin/orphanin FQ (N/OFQ) have been interesting in this regard. N/OFQ is a 17-amino acid peptide that shows sequence homology with classical opiate peptides that has little or no affinity for the classical opioid receptors. N/OFQ has been shown to have “antiopioid” and “antistress” effects. For example, N/OFQ can prevent morphine-induced analgesia and block morphine-induced conditioned place preference (Ciccocioppo et al., 2000; King et al., 1998). With respect to its “antistress” effects, nociceptin has been demonstrated to have anxiolytic actions and can reverse the anorexic effects of CRF, restraint, or footshock stress (Ciccocioppo et al., 2001, 2003; Jenck et al., 2000; Martin-Fardon et al., 2000). Our recent findings that N/OFQ effectively attenuates the reinstatement of alcohol-seeking in response to both stress- and alcohol-associated stimuli suggests a therapeutic potential for nociceptin receptor ligands in the treatment of alcohol dependence and relapse (Ciccocioppo et al., 2001, 2003; Martin-Fardon et al., 2000).

Data from a final set of experiments suggest that chronic psychological stress (acute footshock, 10 min/day 7 days) but not physiological stress (once-daily injections of lipo-
polysaccharide for 7 days) suppresses the alcohol-deprivation effect (ADE) in animals with a history of alcohol dependence (Dayas et al., 2004). The rebound increase in alcohol consumption observed in response to abstinence from alcohol, defined as the ADE, is also thought to be a rodent model of relapse. It is interesting that only non-stressed animals and those that were treated with lipopolysaccharide exhibited an ADE and increased consumption. Whether an increase in intensity, duration, or both is required to produce footshock enhancement of alcohol consumption during abstinence warrants further investigation. The discrepancies in the alcohol consumption literature, particularly with respect to footshock that reliably reinstates alcohol-seeking in animal models, emphasizes the importance of continued investigation and development of appropriate models of alcohol consumption in laboratory animals to mimic clinical findings.

In summary, evidence has been provided that alcohol-associated cues and stress can exacerbate relapse risk especially in animals with a history of dependence. Moreover, a role for the HPA axis may exist in triggering cue-induced alcohol seeking. Novel pharmacological treatments that target the N/OFQ system may have therapeutic potential in suppressing alcohol relapse associated with stress and alcohol cue exposure. Finally, the need is highlighted for the development of effective and valid models of stress-induced alcohol consumption.

FEATURES OF STRESS AND RELAPSE TO ALCOHOL IN EXPERIMENTAL ANIMALS

Anh D. Lê and Douglas Funk

Exposure to stressful life events is associated with increased relapse to alcohol use in humans. Several models have been developed to study relapse to alcohol in laboratory animals. Of these, the reinstatement procedure and ADE are the two most commonly used (Lê and Shaham, 2002). For studying the effects of stress on alcohol seeking, the reinstatement procedure was used. In this model, animals are trained to press a lever for alcohol, the operant response is extinguished, and the effect of exposure to acutely administered stressors on the reinstatement of the lever-pressing response is examined. Using this procedure, exposure to intermittent footshock stress can reinstate extinguished responding for alcohol.

Not all stressors, however, reinstate extinguished responding for alcohol. For example, exposure to various durations of restraint stress ranging from 15 to 60 min or to various doses of the anxiogenic benzodiazepine inverse agonist FG7142 did not reinstate responding for alcohol. However, exposure to an odor cue previously associated with social defeat stress, or to yohimbine, an α-2 adrenergic receptor antagonist, can significantly reinstate alcohol seeking. The reinstatement of alcohol seeking induced by exposure to odor cues previously paired with social defeat is characterized by large individual variation, whereas the effects of yohimbine are more robust and occurred in most of the subjects. A number of potential mechanisms might account for the differential effects of various stressors on the reinstatement of alcohol seeking. The effects of footshock stress on the reinstatement of heroin or cocaine seeking were context dependent, with reinstatement of drug seeking occurring only when footshock stress was delivered in the operant chambers where the animals were previously trained to self-administer the drug but not when footshock stress was administered outside the operant conditioning chambers (see Shalev et al., 2000, for further discussion). It therefore is possible that the failure of restraint stress to reinstate alcohol seeking might be because the stressor was applied outside the environment previously associated with alcohol self-administration.

Another possibility is that the various stressors might have differential effects on CRF in brain nuclei involved in stress-induced relapse. In this respect, previous work found that CRF plays a primary role in stress-induced reinstatement of alcohol seeking as intraventricular injections of CRF can reinstate alcohol seeking, whereas pretreatment with CRF receptor antagonists blocks reinstatement of alcohol seeking induced by exposure to footshock stress (Lê et al., 2000). In support of this, preliminary data (Funk et al., unpublished data) with the benzodiazepine inverse agonist FG-7142 and yohimbine indicate that acute administration of these drugs produces differential effects on the expression of CRF mRNA in the bed nucleus of stria terminals.

Another widely used model of relapse is based on the observation that alcohol-experienced animals consumed more alcohol after a period of deprivation. This increase in alcohol consumption has come to be known as the ADE. The ADE has a number of features that recommend it as a model of relapse in humans (Heyser et al., 1997; Lê and Shaham 2002; Li, 2000). Exposure to footshock stress or social defeat during the deprivation phase facilitates the development of the ADE (Funk et al., 2004). Similar to the effect observed in the reinstatement procedure, exposure to intermittent footshock stress has a greater influence on the ADE than does exposure to social defeat stress. Whether other stressors might facilitate the development of ADE still remains to be examined (see next section). That these stressors significantly affect alcohol seeking in two different animal models of relapse further confirms the importance of stress in relapse to alcohol.

In our previous work, inhibition of the 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) projections derived from the median raphe but not the dorsal raphe by the local infusion of 8-OH-DPAT, a 5-HT1A receptor agonist, reinstated alcohol seeking (Lê et al., 2002). Because impaired functioning of brain 5-HT is associated with deficits in inhibitory control or impulsivity (Fletcher, 1993; Poulos et al., 1996), it is possible that an impairment of response inhibition might be part of the mechanisms by which stress mediates relapse to alcohol.

Recently, the impact of stress in the motivational effect
of alcohol using the conditioned place preference paradigm was examined (Funk et al., 2004). Rats developed place aversion to a distinctive compartment in which they previously received four pairings of alcohol (1.0 g/kg), compared with the compartment in which they previously received saline injections. Exposure to footshock or social defeat stress before alcohol treatment, however, completely abolished the place aversion induced by alcohol (Funk et al., 2004). These results raise the possibility that stress might modify the rewarding effect of alcohol by diminishing its aversive effects.

In summary, exposure to stress can reliably promote relapse to alcohol as assessed with two different animal models of relapse. These effects of stress on relapse to alcohol and alcohol dependence, however, are complex and likely involve multiple mechanisms or processes.

**RESTRRAIN STRESS, INCREASED ALCOHOL DRINKING, ALCOHOL WITHDRAWAL, AND ANXIETY**

David H. Overstreet, Darin J. Knapp, and George R. Breese

Stress has been implicated in precipitating relapse in alcoholics (Sinha, 2001), but the relationship between stress and alcohol intake in both animals and humans has been and remains controversial. A recent paper showed that chronic (10 days) unpredictable restraint stress reduced voluntary alcohol drinking in P rats or the high alcohol drinking rats during the application of stress; however, drinking increased in the P rats after termination of stress (Chester et al., 2004). Stress has also been implicated in the induction of anxiety-like behavior (Breese et al., 2004a,b,c; Valdez et al., 2002). By using a modified repeated withdrawal protocol in P rats (see Overstreet et al., 2002, 2003b, 2004), it was possible to explore the relationship between these variables. Another well-characterized phenomenon in the P rat is the ADE, whereby rats exhibit increased drinking of and preference for alcohol after a period of deprivation (e.g., McKinzie et al., 1998; Rodd-Henricks et al., 2000a,b). Some have suggested that repeated deprivation/withdrawal periods can induce anxiety-like behavior (Hölter et al., 1998; Spanagel and Hölter, 1999), but such investigations had not been performed in the P rat.

The increase in withdrawal-induced anxiety behavior in Sprague-Dawley rats was blocked or reduced by treatments with specific pharmacological actions during the early withdrawal periods (Breese et al., 2004b; Knapp et al., 2004; Overstreet et al., 2003a,b, 2004). Effective treatments included flumazenil, a benzodiazepine receptor antagonist; buspirone, a 5-HT1A receptor partial agonist; and CP154,526, a CRF receptor antagonist. These compounds were examined, therefore, in P rats that were subjected to multiple cycles of alcohol exposure and withdrawal/deprivation and stress. The findings suggest a close but imperfect link between the elevated voluntary drinking induced by stress and the withdrawal-induced anxiety-like behavior.

The P rats were selected from the breeding colonies maintained in the University of North Carolina Bowles Center for Alcohol Studies at an initial age of 50 days. They were divided into four groups: (1) access to water only throughout the study; (2) adapted to drink alcohol voluntarily and then provided with continuous, simultaneous access to water and 10% alcohol solution; (3) adapted to drink alcohol voluntarily and then subjected to three cycles of 5 days' exposure to a choice between tap water and 10% alcohol; two 2-day periods of deprivation/withdrawal were interposed after the first and second cycles; or (4) adapted to drink alcohol voluntarily and then subjected to the same conditions as 3, with an additional variable. At 4 hr into the first and second withdrawals, these rats were subjected to restraint stress for 1 hr. Group 4 rats were also divided into multiple subgroups on the basis of drug treatments that they received 30 min before the application of restraint stress during the first and second deprivation/withdrawal periods.

After the animals completed their three cycles of 5 days of access to water or 10% alcohol (or 15 consecutive days for the continuous group), they were withdrawn from alcohol and tested for anxiety-like behavior in the social interaction test (see File and Seth, 2003). The deprived-only group and the restrained, deprived group drank significantly more alcohol on the first day after alcohol was returned on both the second and third cycles. However, whereas the alcohol intake of the deprived-only group decreased on subsequent days, the restrained, deprived group continued to drink higher amounts of alcohol. Furthermore, when withdrawn from alcohol, the restrained, deprived group exhibited less time in social interaction (i.e., increased anxiety-like behavior). Thus, restraint stress and alcohol deprivation/withdrawal interact to induce both higher drinking and increased anxiety-like behavior.

Flumazenil, CRF1-receptor antagonists, and buspirone all reduced alcohol drinking and anxiety-like behavior induced by restraint stress and repeated withdrawals. Thus, these compounds have similar effects in P rats as they do in counteracting anxiety-like behavior in Sprague-Dawley rats that are maintained on forced alcohol diets (Breese et al., 2004b; Knapp et al., 2004b; Overstreet et al., 2003b, 2004). These initial pharmacological findings suggest that the elevated drinking and the anxiety-like behavior may be closely linked and are subserved by the same underlying neural mechanisms.

However, further study with additional compounds has separated the two behaviors. Naloxone, an opiate receptor antagonist; haloperidol, a D2-dopamine receptor antagonist; and SB-242084, a 5-HT2C receptor antagonist, all reduced the elevated alcohol drinking induced by restraint stress but did not counteract the increased anxiety-like behavior. The negative result with SB-242084 was consistent with its inability to prevent the sensitized anxiety-like behavior seen in stressed rats that were exposed to alcohol diet (Breese et al., 2004a). Thus, opiate, dopaminergic, and...
5-HT\textsubscript{2C} mechanisms seemed to be differentially involved in the two behaviors.

It is important to appreciate that the present design called for the stress and drug treatments to be given \~2 to 6 days before the recording of alcohol-drinking behavior and 7 days before the assessment of withdrawal-induced anxiety-like behavior. Therefore, any consequences on the two behaviors are most likely the result of their influence on an adaptive process.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This symposium provides convincing evidence that excessive use of alcohol leads to persistent adaptive change that interacts with stress. In this respect, environmental cues during abstinence in the alcoholic can be stressful, which may increase symptoms of negative affect and anxiety—circumstances that result in craving, loss of control to limit alcohol consumed with relapse, and the likelihood of continued alcohol abuse. Basic studies have provided a solid basis on which future investigations can provide the means by which the negative aspects of stress interacting with the progressive pathogenesis associated with the alcohol abuse can be addressed.
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