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Abstract

Previous neuroimaging studies examining recognition of famous faces have identified activation of an extensive bilateral neural network [Gorno Tempini, M. L., Price, C. J., Josephs, O., Vandenberghe, R., Cappa, S. F., Kapur, N. et al. (1998). The neural systems sustaining face and proper-name processing. Brain, 121, 2103–2118], including the medial temporal lobe (MTL) and specifically the hippocampal complex [Haist, F., Bowden, G. J., & Mao, H. (2001). Consolidation of human memory over decades revealed by functional magnetic resonance imaging. Nature Neuroscience, 4, 1139–1145; Leveroni, C. L., Seidenberg, M., Mayer, A. R., Mead, L. A., Binder, J. R., & Rao, S. M. (2000). Neural systems underlying the recognition of familiar and newly learned faces. Journal of Neuroscience, 20, 878–886]. One model of hippocampal functioning in autobiographical, episodic memory retrieval argues that the hippocampal complex remains active in retrieval tasks regardless of time or age of memory (multiple trace theory, MTT), whereas another proposal posits that the hippocampal complex plays a time-limited role in retrieval of autobiographical memories. The current event-related fMRI study focused on the medial temporal lobe and its response to recognition judgments of famous names from two distinct time epochs (1990s and 1950s) in 15 right-handed healthy older adults (mean age = 70 years). A pilot study with an independent sample of young and older subjects ensured that the stimuli were representative of a recent and remote time period. Increased MR signal activity was observed on a bilateral basis for both the hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus (PHG) during recognition of familiar names from both the recent and remote time periods. The impulse response functions in the right hippocampus and right PHG demonstrated a differential response to stimuli from different time epochs, with the 1990s names showing the greatest MR signal intensity change, followed by the 1950s names, followed by foils. The finding that recognition of famous names produced significant bilateral MTL activation regardless of time epoch relative to foils provides support for the MTT model. However, the finding of a temporal gradient in the right MTL also provides support for the HC model, given the greater MTL response associated with recently famous names relative to remotely famous names.
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Keywords: Medial temporal lobe; Hippocampal complex; fMRI

1. Introduction

Renewed interest in characterizing the nature and extent of medial temporal lobe (MTL) involvement during semantic long-term memory retrieval has led to contrasting perspectives regarding the time course of MTL involvement in long-term memory retrieval. The two major models, the hippocampal consolidation (HC) model (Squire & Alvarez, 1995) and multiple trace theory (MTT) model (Nadel & Moscovitch, 1997), offer distinct predictions of MTL involvement during retrieval of autobiographical, episodic memories. The HC
model posits a time-limited role of the hippocampal com-
plex during memory consolidation: after a critical time pe-
riod, retrieval is believed to be mediated by brain systems
independent of the MTL. In contrast, the MTM model pro-
poses that the hippocampal complex updates and enriches
memories of autobiographical episodes throughout the life
of the memory. However, both models offer similar predic-
tions regarding semantic memory retrieval; specifically, the
MTL would be expected to play a time-limited role. A tempo-
ral gradient would be predicted by both models, with recent
memories being expected to activate the hippocampal com-
plex more than remote memories. The majority of functional
neuroimaging research to date has explored MTL activation
for autobiographical memory tasks, but less study has been
devoted to semantic memory. The present study investigates
the role of MTL during recognition of famous names from
distinctly different time epochs as a means of studying MTL
activity during semantic long-term memory retrieval.

To date, the primary source of data for testing the valid-
ity of predictions made by these two models has come from
clinical evaluations of the presence and extent of retrograde
memory impairment following focal lesions of the temporal
lobe. These studies suggest that multiple factors can deter-
mine the presence and nature of the observed temporal gradi-
ent, including the type of memory system examined (episodic
autobiographical versus semantic public), stimulus character-
istics (transient versus persistent fame), and extent and loca-
tional of the temporal lobe lesion (Fujii, Moscovitch, & Nadel,
2000). Specifically, it has been suggested that more exten-
sive lesions of the MTL (beyond the hippocampal complex)
tend to produce a more extensive and flat remote memory
temporal gradient (Rempel-Clower, Zola, Squire, & Amaral,
1996). Because the majority of these reports are based on
single case or small group studies, and lesion characteristics
are heterogeneous across these studies, it is difficult to draw
firm conclusions based solely on human lesion data regarding
the nature and extent of MTL activity in semantic long-term
memory retrieval (Kopelman, 2000).

Functional neuroimaging techniques conducted on
healthy individuals provide an alternative strategy for investi-
gating the time course for MTL involvement in retrieval of
information from long-term memory. In contrast to the ex-
tensive number of studies of anterograde memory (Cabeza &
Nyberg, 1997), only a few neuroimaging studies have specif-
ically examined the activity of the MTL region during recall
of information from different time epochs, and these studies
have primarily focused on autobiographical episodic mem-
ory retrieval (Maguire, Henson, Mummery, & Frith, 2001;
Niki & Luo, 2002; Peri, Weiss, Zilles, Markowitsch, &
Fink, 2003; Tsukiura et al., 2002). This disparity stands in
contrast to research in the clinical lesion literature in which
remote memory has been investigated extensively using pub-
lic semantic memory tasks as well as autobiographical mem-
ory. Furthermore, different neural regions may be relevant in
mediating retrieval of autobiographical and public semantic
memory (Fujii et al., 2000). Within the domain of semantic
memory, person-identity (e.g., recognition of famous peo-
ple) has been the most commonly employed measure used to
study the existence of a temporal gradient for remote mem-
ory. Functional neuroimaging studies examining familiar face
recognition have commonly observed MTL activity (Kapur,
Friston, Young, Frith, & Frackowiak, 1995; Leveroni et al.,
2000; Sergenti, Zuck, Terraih, & MacDonald, 1995), but these
studies have not systematically examined MTL activity in-
duced during recall of information from distinct time epochs
associated with these familiar faces.

In the only published functional neuroimaging study to
date to examine recent and remote semantic memory, Haist,
Bowden, and Mao (2001) presented famous faces from six
decades (1940s–1990s) to eight Ss (mean age = 65 years).
They observed a trend toward significantly increased activa-
tion in the right anterior hippocampus for famous faces from
the recent decade. However, famous faces from the 1990s and
1980s produced increased activity in the right entorhinal cor-
tex compared to famous faces from the previous four decades
(1940s–1970s). The authors suggested that these data were
supportive of the traditional time-limited role of the MTL
system in long-term memory retrieval. In addition, they sug-
gested that the hippocampal formation had a short tempo-
ral gradient relative to that observed in the entorhinal cortex
which may play a more pivotal role in the consolidation pro-
cess.

However, several methodological aspects of the Haist et al.
(2001) study raise interpretive questions about their findings
in relation to the role of MTL regions in remote memory
retrieval. Haist et al. used a blocked design and asked sub-
jects to recall the names of the faces being presented. The
ability to recall the names of famous faces is known to be
highly variable, particularly in older subjects (Maylor, 1990;
Wahlin et al., 1993). Indeed, their post-scanning data indi-
cated that name recall level was about 40% correct across the
six decades. A blocked design does not provide a means to
distinguish the MR signal activity for correct and incorrect
trials. Furthermore, it is well established that there is a se-
quence of hierarchical stages, including face recognition and
semantic identification, that precedes name recall in the face
identification process (Bruce & Young, 1986). In the absence
of reaching the name recall stage for famous faces (about 60%
of trials on average), it is quite likely that subjects were at
varying (and unknown) points in the person recognition and
identification system that precede name retrieval. Thus, the
observed MR signal activation reported in this study could
reflect this heterogeneity in processing stage.

A second important methodological issue concerns po-
tential stimulus characteristics (other than age of memory),
which may also be relevant for producing variation in MTL
activity for remote and recent stimuli. This issue has not been
the subject of systematic examination or control in most func-
tional neuroimaging studies on this topic to date. For exam-
pie, in the Haist et al. (2001) study, famous faces were selected
from published biography yearbooks and there was no men-
tion of procedures to match the stimuli across the six decades.
It is quite possible that stimulus parameters, such as extent of familiarity or amount of semantic knowledge, could influence the presence or extent of MTL activity during retrieval. Indeed, a recent paper examining fMRI activity for recent and remote autobiographical events found increased activity within the MTL region, which peaked in the entorhinal region for positive contrasted with negative emotional valence autobiographical memories (Piefke et al., 2003).

The current paper reports the findings from an event-related fMRI study of MTL activity associated with identification of famous people in healthy older participants. Specifically, this study contrasted the MTL activation pattern for recognition of famous people’s names from a distant time epoch (1950s) with the activation pattern for recognition of famous names from a more recent time period (1990s).

Use of an event-related design permitted us to examine only trials of correct familiar name recognition and the resulting activation maps are not confounded by incorrect name recognition trials. The current study design also included a detailed and systematic approach to stimulus development that equated the famous name stimuli across the two time periods for familiarity and semantic knowledge. For the stimuli used in the present study, we conducted a preliminary study with a separate group of elderly subjects to identify famous names from a recent and remote time period that had comparable and high levels of recognition and also for whom a considerable amount of semantic information was accessible to the subjects. Thus, the objective of this study was to use an event-related fMRI design to examine MTL activity for famous names from two time epochs and to relate findings to the current discussion concerning the role of the MTL in long term memory retrieval.

2. Methods

2.1. Experiment 1: stimulus development and behavioral pilot study

The goal of this behavioral study was to identify a set of famous name stimuli that distinctly represented persons who achieved their fame in the 1990s (90s) or 1950s (50s) time periods that could be used in a subsequent fMRI study. An initial corpus of 274 people’s names (first and last) comprised of both famous and non-famous individuals were compiled. The famous names consisted of well-known entertainers, politicians, criminals, or sports figures obtained through magazines, trivia books, and the Internet. Unfamiliar names were selected randomly from a local phone book to serve as foils (FO).

The stimulus set was pilot-tested on 24 young (M = 9/F = 15; mean age = 26.78 years, S.D. = 3.22) and 24 older (M = 11/13; mean age = 68.05 year, S.D. = 6.80) healthy adults. Subjects completed a written questionnaire that asked participants to provide the following information: (1) is this person famous? (2) what is the occupation of this person (choose from 10 occupations listed)? and (5) how often have you heard about this person in the last year (ranging from 0 [never] to 4 [several times a month or more])?

For the final stimulus set to be used in the imaging protocol, names were chosen from the pilot study based on the following guidelines: (1) a name included in the 90s condition yielded greater than 90% recognition accuracy for both the younger and older age subject groups, (2) a famous name selected for the 50s condition was recognized by greater than 90% of the older adults but less than 30% of the young adults. This maximized the likelihood that these stimuli were famous, but had become sufficiently obscure during the contemporary period since they were not recognized by most of the younger subjects. (3) Non-famous name foils were selected if correctly rejected by 90% of both the young and older subjects. In this manner, a final stimulus set consisting of 90 names: 30 50s, 30 90s, and 30 FO were selected (see Appendix A). Male and female names were equally represented in both the famous and non-famous name sets.

Percent correct recognition for the final set of 90 famous and non-famous names for young and older pilot subjects is shown in Fig. 1. As intended based on the selection criteria, younger subjects performed significantly worse at identifying 50s names than older subjects, [t(32.7) = 15.5, p < .001]. In contrast, there was no difference between groups in recognition accuracy for the famous names from the 90s, [t(45) = 1.40, p = .17] or correct rejection of non-famous name foils, [t(40) = 6.8, p < .001]. Table 1 summarizes the extent of semantic knowledge that the older subjects reported for the 50s and 90s stimuli. No significant differences between the two stimulus classes were observed on a three-point rating of semantic knowledge (1 = I recognize the name, but nothing else, 2 = I recognize the name and know their occupation but nothing else, 3 = I know additional specific details about this person). The occupational category was likely to be correctly identified for the 50s than 90s stimuli (p < .01).

Fig. 1. Percent correct identification of famous names from two epochs (50s and 90s) and percent correct rejection of foils for young and older participants. Note that younger subjects performed poorly on recognition of famous 50s names demonstrating that these individuals have not been recently exposed in the media.
2.2. Experiment 2: fMRI study of famous name recognition

2.2.1. Participants

Fifteen healthy, right-handed older subjects (11 females; mean age = 70.4 years, range = 60–79 years) participated in the fMRI experiment. None participated in Experiment 1.

2.2.2. Imaging task

Name stimuli from the three conditions were presented visually in random order (4 s per stimulus). Inactive periods (4 s) consisting of a single centrally-placed fixation crosshair, were randomly interspersed in the ratio of 2:1 (name fixation trials). Participants were instructed to make a right index finger key press if the name was famous and the right middle finger if the name was unfamiliar. Stimuli were presented in three imaging runs of 30 trials each (10 stimuli from each of the three name conditions, 15 fixation trials). Twelve seconds of fixation were added to both the beginning and the end of each run. Run order was counterbalanced across subjects.

2.2.3. Functional MRI

Whole-brain, event-related functional MRI was conducted on a commercial 1.5T scanner (SIGNA; General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI) equipped with a three-axis local gradient head coil and an elliptical encircled quadrature radiofrequency coil (Medical Advances, Milwaukee, WI). Echoplanar images were collected using a single-shot, blipped, gradient-echo echoplanar pulse sequence [echo time (TE), 40 ms; field of view (FOV), 24 cm; matrix size, 64 x 64]. For the three imaging runs, 22 contiguous sagittal 6-mm thick slices were selected to provide coverage of the entire brain (voxel size = 3.75 mm x 3.75 mm x 6 mm). The interscan interval [repetition time (TR)] was 2 s. During each imaging series, 132 sequential echoplanar images were collected. At the beginning of the scan session, high-resolution, three-dimensional spoiled gradient-recalled at steady-state (SPGR) anatomic images were acquired [TE = 5 ms; TR = 24 ms; 40° flip angle; number of excitations (NEX) = 1; slice thickness = 1.2 mm; FOV = 24 cm; resolution = 256 x 192]. Foam padding was used to reduce head movement within the coil.

Functional images were generated using the Analysis of Functional NeuroImages (AFNI) software (Cox, 1996). Each image time series was spatially registered in-plane to reduce the effects of head motion using an iterative linear least squares method. A deconvolution analysis was used to extract a hemodynamic response (impulse response function; IRF) for each of the three types of name stimuli from the time-series. In addition, only correct responses (true positives for famous names and true rejections for unfamiliar names) were incorporated into the estimate of the IRF for each stimulus type. IRFs were modeled for the 2–14 s post stimulus onset. Individual anatomical and functional scans were linearly interpolated to 1 mm3 voxels, co-registered, and transformed into standard stereotaxic space (Talairach & Tournoux, 1988). To compensate for normal variation in anatomy across subjects, functional images were blurring using a 4-mm Gaussian full-width half-maximum filter.

2.2.4. Voxel-wise analysis

The dependent variable consisted of the area under the curve (AUC) of the IRF at 4, 6, and 8 s post stimulus onset. A one-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to the three conditions on a voxel-wise basis across the 15 subjects followed by a pooled variance t-test to compare each of the conditions in a pair-wise manner (90s versus FO, 50s versus FO, 50s versus 90s). A statistic-
c threshold was applied to the data \((t(14) = 2.960, p < .005)\). A minimum cluster size threshold of .20 ml was applied as an additional procedure for minimizing false positive activation foci from the brain maps (Forman et al., 1995). Only activation within the MTL is reported.

2.2.5. Region of interest (ROI) analysis

This analysis was performed to examine the averaged IRFs generated from activated clusters located within four anatomical ROIs: right and left hippocampus and right and left PHG. Clusters were defined as “activated” if a significant difference was observed on any of the voxel-wise subtractions of the three stimulus conditions (90s versus FO, 50s versus FO, or 50s versus 90s). Repeated measures ANOVA and planned comparisons were used to compare the AUC of the averaged IRFs for the 90s, 50s, and FO stimuli. Anatomical ROIs were defined by manually tracing each subject’s hippocampus and PHG using the gyrus finder plug-in of AFNI (Cox, 1996).

For the hippocampus, the anterior and posterior boundaries were identified in the sagittal plane, with the lateral ventricles serving as the boundaries. The posterior boundary was marked by the last coronal slice where the hippocampus was present. The anterior boundary, which marks the division between the hippocampus and the amygdala, was located by visualizing a sagittal slice where the temporal horn of the lateral ventricle makes a 90° bend around the hippocampus. On the most posterior coronal slices, the lateral boundary was defined by the crus of the fornix. In the anterior slices, the lateral boundary was defined by the temporal horn of the lateral ventricle. Care was taken so as to exclude the tail of the caudate nucleus. In posterior slices, the medial boundary was marked by the splitting of the hippocampus and pulvinar. In the remaining slices, the medial boundary was defined by the CSF in the uncal cistern. On the more anterior slices, the dorsal boundary was defined by the presence of the alveus, which appears as a thin white line dividing the superior portion of the hippocampus from the overlapping amygdala. When the alveus was not clearly seen, an imaginary horizontal line was drawn from the superior most portion of the temporal horn of the lateral ventricle to the gyriambientes to serve as the dorsal boundary. The inferior boundary of the hippocampus was marked by the white matter inferior to the hippocampus. These criteria were developed by Sabsevitz and Binder (unpublished work).

The boundaries of the PHG were adapted from Kim et al. (2000). The posterior boundary was marked by the disappearance of the splenium and the presence of the isthmus between the collateral and anterior calcified sulci. The anterior boundary was set at the image where the uncus was present. The cerebral spinal fluid of the uncal cistern served as the medial boundary and the lateral boundary was set as an imaginary line at a 45° angle serving as an extension of the collateral sulcus between the PHG and fusiform gyrus.

Table 2 Accuracy and reaction time for older subjects in fMRI study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Mean (S.D.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>90s: 92.80 (2.22), 50s: 90.33 (2.04), FO: 94.60 (6.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction time</td>
<td>90s: 1317.13 (74.03), 50s: 1241.67 (64.04), FO: 1540.13 (100.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results

3.1. Behavioral data (Exp. 2)

Accuracy and reaction times (correct trials only) are presented in Table 2. No significant differences were observed in accuracy as a function of stimulus type. The main effect for reaction time was significant \((F(2,28) = 12.90, p < .001)\). Planned comparisons revealed significant differences between the 50s and FO stimuli \((F(1,14) = 16.46, p < .001)\) and between the 90s and FO stimuli \((F(1,14) = 11.45, p < .001)\). No significant differences were observed between 90s and 50s stimuli.

3.2. Voxel-wise analysis (Exp. 2)

Significantly activated voxels within the MTL regions are reported in Table 3 and Fig. 2. A post-hoc comparison subtracting the FO from the 90s condition resulted in significant clusters of activation in the left hippocampus and right PHG. No clusters were observed in which the FO demonstrated greater activation than the famous names from either era. The overall volume of activation was greater for the 90s minus FO subtraction than for the 50s minus FO subtraction (Table 3); however, a voxel-wise subtraction of the 90s from 50s conditions, and vice versa, resulted in no significant areas of activation within the MTL. This apparent

Table 3 Significant areas of increased MR signal intensity \((p < .005)\) derived from subtraction of foils (FO) from famous name conditions (50s, 90s) in hippocampus and PHG (see also Fig. 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>50s &gt; FO</th>
<th>90s &gt; FO</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahippocampal gyrus</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50s &gt; FO</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90s &gt; FO</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vol., volume in milliliters.
Fig. 2. Voxel-wise activation maps showing regions of increased MR signal intensity within the medial temporal lobe. Left side of brain is on the reader's left.

3.3. ROI analysis (Exp. 2)

Fig. 3B presents the averaged IRFs for activated regions within the right and left hippocampus and PHG for the 50s, 90s, and FO conditions. Table 4 summarizes the results of the AUC analysis (4–8 s post-stimulus) for the right and left hippocampus and PHG. For the left hippocampus and PHG, 90s and 50s stimuli demonstrated increased activation relative to FO, but did not differ from each other. In contrast, for the right hippocampus and PHG, 90s stimuli demonstrated greater activation relative to 50s stimuli.

4. Discussion

The current study employed an event-related fMRI design to contrast the MR signal intensity changes in the MTL region in the recognition of famous names from recent and remote time periods (1990s versus 1950s). Results indicated increased activity bilaterally in the hippocampus and PHG during the recognition of familiar names from both time periods when compared to non-famous names. However, the impulse response functions in the right hip-
Fig. 3. (A) Areas defining the anatomical masks for the hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus for a typical subject. (B) Plots of the hemodynamic response functions for each condition (50s, 90s, and FO) and ROI (hippocampus, PHG).

The hippocampal complex and right PHG demonstrated a differential response to stimuli from different time epochs. That is, the 1990s stimuli demonstrated a significantly greater area-under-the-curve than the 1950s stimuli. This difference across time epochs was not seen in the left hippocampus or left PHG. These findings have relevance for current proposals concerning the role of the MTL region in long-term memory retrieval as well as for recent discussions concerning the neural basis for person recognition and identification processes.

Table 4
Results of repeated measures ANOVA for ROI analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROI</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Diff</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Diff</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>90s vs. FO</td>
<td>.419</td>
<td>.094</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>50s vs. FO</td>
<td>.649</td>
<td>.161</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90s vs. 50s</td>
<td>.229</td>
<td>.133</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>50s vs. 50s</td>
<td>.229</td>
<td>.133</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahippocampal gyrus</td>
<td>90s vs. FO</td>
<td>.404</td>
<td>.106</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>50s vs. FO</td>
<td>.355</td>
<td>.123</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90s vs. 50s</td>
<td>.138</td>
<td>.125</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>50s vs. 50s</td>
<td>.138</td>
<td>.125</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diff, mean difference between conditions; S.E., standard error of the mean; p-values with significant differences shown in bold print; and NS, not significant.
Consideration of the role of MTL during retrieval of recent and remote memories is germane, as different neural systems and cognitive operations may contribute to the retrieval of autobiographical episodic events and public semantic remote memory information (Viskontas, McAndrews, & Moscovitch, 2002). Increased MTL activity has been previously reported for the recognition of and identification of well-known faces (Kapur et al., 1995; Leveroni et al., 2000; Sergent et al., 1992) and the current study extends this finding to famous names as well. However, these previous studies did not systematically examine the influence of a temporal gradient on the presence and extent of MTL activity. This issue is of critical relevance for the current debate concerning the role of the MTL region in the time course of memory retrieval processes.

4.1. MTL activity for recognizing famous names

The role of the MTL region in retrieval of long-term memory has become the focus of considerable discussion, and recent functional neuroimaging studies have been designed to provide data relevant to this issue. The core issue revolves around the time course for MTL involvement in retrieval of information from long-term memory. The limited functional neuroimaging data relevant to this question have produced mixed results, and it is becoming increasingly apparent that a number of important factors including type of information (e.g., episodic or semantic), stimulus characteristics (e.g., emotional valence), and individual subject differences related to autobiographical significance (e.g., extent of knowledge or type of personal experiences subjects have with respect to the stimuli) could impact the nature and extent of MTL involvement in retrieval.

Our findings revealed that both recent and remote famous names produced significant activation bilaterally in the hippocampus and the PHG, relative to foils. However, we also observed a temporal gradient of activity in the right hippocampus and right PHG, with 1990s stimuli showing a greater area-under-the-curve than more remote 1950s stimuli, which in turn showed a greater area-under-the-curve than foils. No such temporal gradient was observed for the left hippocampus or left PHG. If identification of the names of famous persons reflected primarily semantic memory retrieval, then a temporal gradient would have been predicted by both models, with MTL activity decreasing in proportion to the remoteness of the memory. However, our observations that even remote memories produced significant activity in the MTL bilaterally and that a temporal gradient was observed in the right MTL only, suggests that memories for famous names may not have a purely semantic component. Instead, a growing body of evidence suggests that memories for famous names have a significant autobiographical, episodic component (Westmacott, Black, Freedman, & Moscovitch, 2004; Westmacott & Moscovitch, 2003). The degree of autobiographical significance attributable to names of famous persons by our subjects may account for the pattern of activation we observed, with significant MTL activity being observed bilaterally even for remotely famous names.

Maguire and Frith (2003) reported a similar pattern of findings with respect to the retrieval of autobiographical events. Bilateral hippocampal activity was observed during retrieval of both recent and remote autobiographical events. However, the right hippocampus demonstrated greater activity in response to recent as compared to remote autobiographical memories. This temporal gradient of activity during retrieval of recent and remote autobiographical events was not observed for the left hippocampus. Bilateral hippocampal activity also was observed during retrieval of autobiographical memories as opposed to public events. In addition, our findings agree with Haist et al.’s (2001) increased activation in right entorhinal cortex but differ with respect to the more modest activation in the right hippocampus associated with 1990s relative to remote stimuli in that study. It is possible that our use of an event-related design and a larger number of subjects permitted us to detect significant, temporally-graded activation in the right hippocampal complex. Finally, Ryan et al. (2001) reported bilateral hippocampal activity during retrieval of both recent and remote autobiographical events that was not present during rest or during a sentence completion task, which is consistent with our findings. While not specifically discussed in the article, the impulse response function they presented for the right hippocampus appeared to be greater in response to recent relative to remote autobiographical events, suggesting the possibility of a right hippocampal temporal gradient consonant with that reported by Maguire and Frith (2003), as well as in our study. Considering our results and the findings of these previous studies, it is possible that a broader region of right MTL (hippocampus and PHG) may be differentially responsive to the remoteness of autobiographically relevant material.

Evidence supporting the influence of autobiographical significance on the organization and representation of semantic memory, particularly with respect to famous names, has been demonstrated by (Westmacott et al., 2004, Westmacott et al., 2003). In their initial study (Westmacott et al., 2003), subjects demonstrated a performance advantage for famous names with high autobiographical significance relative to those with low autobiographical significance. Autobiographical experience with names of famous individuals was shown to affect the way in which these semantic concepts were represented, supporting the interdependence between episodic and semantic memories. That is, in addition to general semantic knowledge about famous persons that may be common across most people, the autobiographical significance of famous persons may also produce a unique memorial representation specific to each individual. In a subsequent study (Westmacott et al., 2004), patients with semantic dementia demonstrated a performance advantage for autobiographically significant episodes associated with famous persons relative to patients with MTL amnesia and Alzheimer’s disease, providing evidence that memories associated with autobiographically significant famous persons depend upon MTL structures. Our
finding of significant bilateral MTL activation in response to recognition of both recent and remote famous names is consistent with this interpretation. If recognition of famous names were considered to have a substantial autobiographical, episodic component, our findings that both recent and remote famous names produced significant activation bilaterally in the left hippocampus and the left PHG, relative to foils, would support predictions made by the MTT model. That is, the hippocampus and PHG demonstrate significant activity in response to famous names, regardless of the age of the memory. This pattern of results would not be as consistent with predictions based on the HC model, although it is possible that an argument could be made that despite the observation of hippocampal activation associated with remote stimuli, it may not contribute to performance. Additionally, unlike the findings in the Haist et al. (2001) study, we did not find a significant difference in the activity of either the hippocampus or PHG for recent or remote famous names when compared to unfamiliar names. Rather, both regions demonstrated a significant increase in activity for both the recent and remote time periods. These findings would appear to indicate an increase in MTL activity irrespective of the age of the memory, consistent with MTT (Nadel et al., 1997).

There are several important methodological differences between the current and Haist studies. Perhaps most noteworthy is our use of an event-related design, which permits the construction of activation maps that are only made up of correct name familiarity trials. In addition, our stimulus development efforts (Study 1) ensured that the stimuli on the dimensions of familiarity and extent of semantic knowledge. Behavioral data collected during the scanning session confirms that these objectives were met. First, name recognition accuracy rates were above 90% for stimuli from both the 1950s and the 1990s. Second, average self-report ratings of semantic knowledge obtained during the pilot study was similar for the two time periods (Table 1). As might be expected, frequency of exposure to the name and knowledge of whether the famous person was alive or dead were significantly different for the two periods, validating the fact that semantic knowledge about individuals famous during the 50s was not being updated. Recently and remotely famous names demonstrated greater activation relative to foils in the right hippocampal complex and right PHG, which would also be consistent with MTT, although the differential response of the right hippocampus and right PHG to recently and remotely famous names would not have been predicted on the basis of the MTT model. The observed temporal gradient of activation would be consistent with the HC model in the right MTL. Similar asymmetries in patterns of MTL activation have been observed in previous studies (Maguire & Frith, 2003; Ryan et al., 2006). What factors could possibly be associated with the observed right MTL temporal gradient? One possibility is that both recent and remote famous names may be associated with a visual image of the individual; as memories become more remote, the visuo-perceptual representation of the person-image may decline or may become less salient over time (cf., Pigott & Milner, 1993), perhaps because it is not as regularly updated as recent memories. A second possibility is that emotional valence or intensity associated with a famous name may decline or degrade over time, again contributing to a weaker representation than recent stimuli that may be updated more frequently. In a PET activation study, Fink et al. (1996) suggested that right hemisphere structures may be preferentially activated during recall of affect-laden, personally relevant, autobiographical memories, although remoteness of memories was not varied systematically in this study. However, Westmacott and Moscovitch (2003) demonstrated that autobiographical significance of famous names interacts strongly with their emotionality and vividness, raising the possibility that factors related to emotional valence and/or intensity may underlie the differential response of right hemisphere MTL structures to remoteness of memories. Regardless of the underlying mechanism, the observed right MTL temporal gradient supports the HC model, while the greater activation of both recent and remote stimuli, as compared with foils, would also be consistent with the MTT model. Thus, partial support of both models was observed in the right MTL.

4.2. Person identity network

The current findings also have relevance for the broader topic of characterizing the neural systems underlying familiar person identification. Recent discussion has revolved around the question of whether person identification includes a more extensive bilateral neural network than is commonly evident for general object semantic memory processes (Hodges, Bozca, Lambon Ralph, Patterson, & Spatt, 2000). We found that recognition of famous names produced both left and right-sided MTL activity. Previous findings from clinical lesion studies and functional neuroimaging investigations have implicated a bilateral temporal lobe network, including the hippocampal complex, in the recognition and identification of famous faces. Patients with either right or left-sided unilateral temporal lobe epilepsy are impaired in providing semantic details and names of famous faces (Seidenberg et al., 2002; Viskontas et al., 2002). A recent fMRI study also revealed bilateral hippocampal activity for recognition of familiar faces contrasted with unfamiliar faces (Leveroni et al., 2000). The involvement of right temporal lobe systems in the processing of familiar faces is not unexpected; however, the current findings indicate right and left sided MTL activity when familiar names are used as the stimulus input as well. These findings are consistent with the viewpoint of a distributed bilateral neural network including the medial temporal lobes in the retrieval from the person identity network. In addition, the current study focused its examination on the MTL region, and it undoubtedly represents only one part of a more extensive neural network (e.g., frontal cortex, lateral temporal lobe, posterior cingulate) that is critical for the retrieval of person
4.3. Summary and conclusions

Because knowledge of familiar individuals may be acquired during different periods in an individual’s life, the study of person identity provides a unique opportunity to investigate the time-dependent course of MTL involvement in retrieval from long-term memory. Results of experimental animal studies and human lesion studies (Squire et al., 1995) have led to the viewpoint that the hippocampal complex plays a time limited role in long-term memory retrieval processes (hippocampal consolidation model). More recently, an alternative viewpoint (Nadel et al., 1997) was proffered in which the hippocampal complex acts to update and enrich the memory as long as it exists (multiple trace theory model). We present data from an event-related fMRI study of 15 elderly subjects contrasting recognition of famous names from a recent and remote time epoch with unfamiliar names. Overall, the findings of this study provide apparent support for both models. Increased bilateral MTL activity was evident for both recent and remote famous names, relative to foils, consistent with the MTT model. However, a temporal gradient of MTL activation relative to remotely famous names, consistent with the HC model. The right hemisphere findings with famous names in this study are consistent with and extend previous findings from Haist et al. (2001), who used famous faces as stimuli, suggesting modest support for the HC model within the hippocampal complex proper, although stronger support is observed within the PHG. The left hemisphere findings provide compelling evidence in favor of MTT.
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Appendix A

1950s 1990s Foils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eddie Fisher</th>
<th>Paula Jones</th>
<th>Ellen Patterson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bobby Vinton</td>
<td>Clarence Thomas</td>
<td>Howard Feinsberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Cameron</td>
<td>Bette Davis</td>
<td>Shirley Temple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swayze</td>
<td>George Clooney</td>
<td>Simon Harrison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stan Musial</td>
<td>Ken Starr</td>
<td>David Schmidt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troy Donahue</td>
<td>Jon-Benet Ramsey</td>
<td>Gilbert Locke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Hogan</td>
<td>Alan Greenspan</td>
<td>Benjamin Lackey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vic Damone</td>
<td>Elisha Gonzales</td>
<td>William Johannsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nina Totah</td>
<td>Katie Couric</td>
<td>Barbara Pabst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Carter</td>
<td>Brett Favre</td>
<td>Betty Passek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Hornung</td>
<td>Hugh Grant</td>
<td>Edward Gargul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Frost</td>
<td>Celine Dion</td>
<td>Donald Cunningham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rex Harrison</td>
<td>Colin Powell</td>
<td>James Wilson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Proxmire</td>
<td>Jack Kevorkian</td>
<td>Bill Duncan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren Spahn</td>
<td>Brad Pitt</td>
<td>Melissa Appel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnny Weissmuller</td>
<td>Linda Tripp</td>
<td>Henry Glueckenstein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samson Van Doren</td>
<td>Nevi Gingrich</td>
<td>Sharon Quinnett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Brennen</td>
<td>Martha Stewart</td>
<td>Lillian Uzel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat Paulsen</td>
<td>Boris Yeltsin</td>
<td>James Tarkington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kate Smith</td>
<td>Madeline Albright</td>
<td>Donna Otten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leo Duranhe</td>
<td>Leonardo DiCaprio</td>
<td>Tora Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pier Angeli</td>
<td>Jerry Seinfeld</td>
<td>Alfred Wessely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthur Godfrey</td>
<td>Johnny Cochran</td>
<td>Craig Case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab Hunter</td>
<td>Norman Schwarzkopf</td>
<td>Jeremy Trombetta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. G. Robinson</td>
<td>Monica Lewinski</td>
<td>Michelle Cross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Todd</td>
<td>Janet Reno</td>
<td>Keith Rowden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitch Miller</td>
<td>Rush Limbaugh</td>
<td>Cora Besterman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Stafford</td>
<td>Tiger Woods</td>
<td>Matthew Kriegel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Silvers</td>
<td>Garth Brooks</td>
<td>Karen Ives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Hirt</td>
<td>Chelsea Clinton</td>
<td>Wayne Wheeler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Cameron</td>
<td>H. Ross Perot</td>
<td>Alan Polette</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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