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Abstract

Background: Leprosy is a chronic infectious disease that has an impact on the Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQOL) of sufferers as well as their children. To date, no study has investigated the effects of parental leprosy on the well-being of adolescent children.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted in the Lalitpur and Kathmandu districts of Nepal. Adolescents with leprosy-affected parents (n = 102; aged 11–17 years) and those with parents unaffected by leprosy (n = 115; 11–17 years) were investigated. Self-reported data from adolescents were collected using the Kinder Lebensqualität Fragebogen (KINDLR) questionnaire to assess HRQOL, the Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D), and the Rosenberg Self-esteem Scale (RSES). Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to compare scores between the two groups. Multiple regression analysis was conducted to explore the determinants of HRQOL for adolescents with leprosy-affected parents.

Results: ANCOVA revealed that the KINDLR and RSES scores were significantly lower among adolescents with leprosy-affected parents compared with unaffected parents. However, the scores of “Friends” and “School” subscales of KINDLR were similar between the two groups. The CES-D score was significantly higher among adolescents with leprosy-affected parents than for adolescents with unaffected parents. The KINDLR scores for adolescents with both parents affected (n = 41) were significantly lower than the scores for those with one parent affected (n = 61). Multiple regression analysis revealed that adolescents with leprosy-affected parents who had higher levels of depressive symptoms were more likely to have lower KINDLR scores. A similar result was seen for adolescents where both parents had leprosy.

Conclusions: Adolescents with leprosy-affected parents had higher levels of depressive symptoms, lower levels of self-esteem, and lower HRQOL compared with adolescents whose parents were unaffected by leprosy. Thus, mental health support programs might be necessary for adolescents with leprosy-affected parents, particularly for adolescents where both parents are leprosy-affected. Further studies with larger sample sizes are necessary to draw decisive conclusions.
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Background

Leprosy is a chronic infectious disease that typically affects the skin and peripheral nerves. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the South East Asia region accounts for 59.2% of leprosy cases worldwide [1]. The WHO has developed a global strategy for 2011–2015 that aims to reduce the burden of leprosy, and improve treatment and early detection of new cases. For rehabilitation, patients need to be provided with high-quality care to reduce the number of disabilities, and to deal with the socioeconomic stigma associated with leprosy [2].

Nepal is one of many South Asian countries where leprosy is endemic. Leprosy patients are stigmatized in Nepalese society, particularly those with visual deformities [3]. The family members of patients also experience limitations and restrictions in their social life [4,5]. Although intensive rehabilitation of psychological and socioeconomic support networks of leprosy-affected people and their families is increasing, these individuals have to deal with a poor quality of life and an ongoing struggle against stigma [6-8]. Nepalese people with a Hindu cultural background generally believe that leprosy is a result of ritually unclean behavior or a form of punishment for a misdeed (or misdeeds) in a former life [4]. However, public awareness of leprosy is increasing because of the spread of health services treating this affliction, improving literacy rates, and because of a change in attitude regarding traditional beliefs in some regions of Nepal [9].

Leprosy has been demonstrated to have an impact on Health-related Quality of Life (HRQOL) and the mental health status of affected individuals [10-12]. The self-esteem as well as physical and emotional well-being of leprosy patients and their families are likely to be affected. It has also been postulated that the school lives of adolescent children whose parents suffer from leprosy are also affected [13-16].

Parental diseases are known to influence the HRQOL or well-being of adolescents and children. Common diseases where this has been investigated include Parkinson’s disease [17], multiple sclerosis [18], mental illness [19], and HIV/AIDS [20]. Similar to observations seen for other chronic diseases, the mental health of adolescent children, whose parents suffer from leprosy, can be adversely affected. However, very little research regarding this topic has been published. Previously, the importance of epidemiological studies has been emphasized for leprosy-affected children in endemic areas [21,22], but not for adolescents with leprosy-affected parents.

The objective of this study was to investigate the impact of parental leprosy on the well-being of adolescents. We hypothesized that HRQOL, depression, and self-esteem scores between adolescents with and without leprosy-affected parents would be different. Additionally, there might be an apparent difference in HRQOL, depression, and self-esteem scores between adolescents with one leprosy-affected parent and those with both parents affected. We also hypothesized that demographic characteristics, depression, and self-esteem would correlate with HRQOL scores for adolescents with leprosy-affected parents.

Methods

This was a cross-sectional study among adolescents with and without leprosy-affected parents. In this study, a leprosy-affected parent was defined as the parent of an adolescent that had previously undergone, or was currently undergoing, treatment for leprosy.

Participants

This study was conducted in the Lalitpur and Kathmandu districts of Nepal. Adolescents of leprosy-affected parents were recruited from the National Leprosarium of Lalitpur and local hostels for school-aged children. Only school-age children with leprosy or leprosy-affected parents reside in these hostels. Most children in these hostels were from the National Leprosarium while others were from the Central Region of Nepal. Adolescents who live in hostels return to their homes on holidays. The leprosarium accommodates approximately 250 leprosy-affected people and their families. Institutional care in the leprosarium is confined to a basic supply of food, clothing, benefit money, and medical care [23].

The inclusion criterion in the present study was age between 11 and 17 years. The exclusion criteria for adolescents were: (i) having received a diagnosis of leprosy or any other chronic disease within 2 months prior to the fieldwork; and (ii) not willing to participate in the study.

In total, 135 participants with leprosy-affected parents and an equal number of adolescents with parents unaffected by leprosy as controls were selected. Informed consent sheets were distributed to all participants and parents’ signatures were collected to verify parental consent. An adolescent was only included in the study if a parent of the adolescent provided written informed consent. Thirty-three adolescents with leprosy-affected parents and 20 adolescents without leprosy-affected parents were excluded, as they were not willing to participate in this study. Eventually, 102 adolescents of leprosy-affected parents and 115 adolescents with parents unaffected by leprosy were enrolled in this study. Of the 102 adolescents of leprosy-affected parents, 78 were recruited from hostels and 24 from the leprosarium. Adolescents of leprosy-unaffected parents were recruited from two schools in the study area. The education system of Nepal consists of 5 years of primary education, 3 years of lower secondary...
education, 2 years of secondary education, and 2 years of higher secondary education.

The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committees of The University of Tokyo, Japan, and the Nepal Health Research Council, Nepal.

Measures

Measures included demographic characteristics and assessments of HRQOL, depression, and self-esteem. HRQOL was assessed using the Kinder Lebensqualität Fragebogen (KINDL R), which covers “Physical Well-Being”, “Emotional Well-Being”, “Self-Esteem”, “Family”, “Friends”, and “School”. The psychometric results of this scale have a high reliability and validity among children and adolescents using various languages [24,25]. KINDL R offers 24 items referring to the past week with 5-point Likert-scales (“Never” to “All the time”), with 11 items being reverse-coded. The scores range from 0 to 100, where higher scores indicate higher levels of HRQOL among teenage adolescents. A Nepalese version of KINDL R has internal consistency, reproducibility, responsiveness, interpretability, and discriminant validity [26].

The depressive status of participants was measured using the Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D). This scale is one of the most frequently used standardized measurements in primary depression screening, and is widely used for clinical and research purposes among general populations [27]. CES-D offers 20 items with 4-point Likert-scales (“Rarely or none of the time” to “Most all of the time”), with 4 items being reverse-coded. For each statement, the subject chooses the response that best describes how often they felt or behaved this way during the past week. The scores range from 0 to 60, with higher scores indicating higher levels of depressive mood.

Self-esteem was examined using the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSES). Globally, RSES is the most widely used scale to measure self-esteem among adolescents [28]. RSES offers 10 items with 4-point Likert-scales (“Strongly agree” to “Strongly disagree”), with 5 items being reverse-coded. Participants rated the extent to which they have experienced each symptom over the past week. The scores range from 0 to 30, with higher scores indicating higher levels of self-esteem.

Data collection

Data were collected from March to May 2008 using the self-administered questionnaire survey. All participants completed the questionnaires by themselves. Data were collected from the Leprosarium by conducting a door-to-door survey based on a resident’s list. The questionnaire survey was carried out at the hostels and data collected during the school breaks. In schools, the questionnaires were administered after school. All participants answered the questionnaire without the intervention of their guardian or teachers.

Data analysis

The demographics between adolescents with and without leprosy-affected parents were compared. Significant differences of categorical variables [gender, category (years), and school grade] and of continuous variables of age were tested by Chi-square tests and t-tests, respectively. The score distributions of KINDL R, CES-D, and RSES between adolescents with and without leprosy-affected parents were measured and compared between the two groups. The analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used with grade as a factor, since a significant difference in this variable was detected. Grade was a dichotomous variable with two values (1–5, 6–12). For adolescents with leprosy-affected parents, the total and the subscale scores of KINDL R, CES-D, and RSES were compared between adolescents having one parent or both parents affected with leprosy. The t-test was performed for the differences between the mean values of these scores.

Cohen’s effect size was used, taking the differences between the two means divided by the standard deviation of the scores. Effect sizes were interpreted as small (0.2), medium (0.5), and large (above 0.8) [29].

To explore the determinants of HRQOL for adolescents with leprosy-affected parents, multiple regression analysis with the total score of KINDL R as a dependent variable were conducted. Age, gender, parental status (one or both parents affected with leprosy), residence of participants (leprosarium or hostels), and CES-D total and the subscale scores were selected as independent variables. RSES scores and grade were excluded from the model because of the multicollinearity with CES-D and age (Variance Inflation Factor [VIF] > 2.0), respectively. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS ver.16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

Results

Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of the study participants. The mean ages of 102 adolescents with leprosy-affected parents and 115 adolescents without leprosy-affected parents were 13.8 years and 14.5 years, respectively. Of the total, 36.3% of adolescents of parents affected with leprosy were in primary school while 63.7% were in secondary school. Significant differences in mean age, age category (years old), and grade were identified between these two groups.

Among adolescents with leprosy-affected parents, 78 were living in hostels and 24 were cohabiting with parents in the leprosarium. Among these participants, 61 adolescents had one parent with a history of leprosy (father; 53, mother; 8) and 41 had two parents with a history of leprosy.
Table 2 shows the total and subscale scores and comparative test results for KINDLR, CES-D, and RSES scores of adolescents with and without leprosy-affected parents. The means of total KINDLR scores among adolescents with leprosy-affected parents and those without were 55.9 (SD 13.1) and 63.0 (SD 9.9), respectively. The effect size was medium (0.63) in magnitude between the two groups. Subscale scores were significantly lower among the participants with leprosy-affected parents compared with those without except for the subscales of “Friends” and “School”. The “Self-Esteem” subscale had the lowest score and “Friends” subscale had the highest score among adolescents with leprosy-affected parents. The lowest and highest subscales in KINDLR among adolescents with leprosy were “School” and “Family”, respectively. The effect sizes of subscales between the two groups were large to small (1.04 to 0.06).

The means of the total scores of CES-D and RSES were compared between both groups. The CES-D score among adolescents with leprosy-affected parents was significantly higher than for adolescents without leprosy-affected parents. The mean of the total RSES score was significantly lower among adolescents with leprosy-affected parents than among those without leprosy-affected parents. Cronbach’s alpha values of KINDLR, CES-D, and RSES were 0.81, 0.80, and 0.70, respectively.

Table 3 shows comparisons of KINDLR, CES-D, and RSES scores in adolescents with one parent and both parents affected with leprosy. A t-test was performed to determine the mean difference between the two groups owing to smaller sample sizes. Means of total scores of KINDLR for adolescents with one parent or both parents affected with leprosy were 59.6 (SD 11.0) and 50.4 (SD 14.0), respectively. Overall, the subscale scores of adolescents with both parents affected were significantly lower than for those with one parent affected, except for the “Physical Well-Being” subscale. The effect sizes between the two groups were medium to small (0.74 to 0.11).
CES-D and RSES showed no significant differences between the two subgroups.

Multiple regression analysis was conducted to identify determinants of HRQOL (Table 4). Adolescents with higher levels of depressive symptoms and those having two leprosy-affected parents were more likely to have lower KINDLR scores. In the multivariable regression analysis, the value for adjusted R-Squared was 0.44. The value of R-Squared is a quantitative measure of how well the independent variables account for the outcome. In this study, CES-D and parental leprosy status were more important HRQOL predictors for adolescents with leprosy-affected parents.

**Discussion**

The aim of the present study was to investigate the impact of parental leprosy on the well-being of adolescent children. The study found that adolescents with leprosy-affected parents had higher levels of depressive symptoms and lower levels of self-esteem and HRQOL. Our results have important implications for implementing mental health programs for adolescents with leprosy.

### Table 2 Comparison of the KINDLR, CES-D, and RSES scores for adolescents with leprosy-affected parents and adolescents with parents unaffected by leprosy

| Scales          | Adolescents with parents affected by leprosy (n = 102) | Adolescents with parents unaffected by leprosy (n = 115) | $F^{(1)}$  | $p$ value | Effect size$^{2)}$
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|--------------
|                 | Mean      | SD       | Mean      | SD       |            |           |
| KINDLR$^{3)}$   |           |          |           |          |            |           |
| Total Score     | 55.9      | 13.1     | 63.0      | 9.9      | 29.25      | <.001     | 0.63       |
| Physical Well-Being | 51.2      | 14.5     | 59.2      | 15.4     | 10.38      | .001      | 0.53       |
| Emotional Well-Being | 50.5      | 14.2     | 63.9      | 17.1     | 43.56      | <.001     | 0.86       |
| Self-Esteem     | 47.5      | 19.2     | 57.3      | 20.1     | 11.98      | .001      | 0.49       |
| Family          | 58.6      | 18.5     | 76.1      | 14.9     | 67.27      | <.001     | 1.04       |
| Friends         | 72.0      | 19.6     | 67.5      | 16.8     | 0.07       | .794      | 0.24       |
| School          | 55.5      | 23.5     | 54.4      | 15.8     | 0.06       | .421      | 0.06       |
| CES-D$^{4)}$    | 14.3      | 7.5      | 11.3      | 7.8      | 8.89       | .003      | 0.40       |
| RSES$^{5)}$     | 19.2      | 6.0      | 22.8      | 4.7      | 22.83      | <.001     | 0.66       |

$^{1)}$ Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used with grade as a factor.

$^{2)}$ Effect sizes as used in analyses were calculated by taking the differences between the two means of the adolescents with and without leprosy-affected parents, divided by the standard deviation.

$^{3)}$ The scores range from 0 to 100, where higher scores indicate higher levels of HRQOL.

$^{4)}$ The scores range from 0 to 60, where higher scores indicate higher levels of depressive mood.

$^{5)}$ The scores range from 0 to 30, where higher scores indicate higher levels of self-esteem.

### Table 3 Comparison of the KINDLR, CES-D, and RSES scores for adolescents with one and both parents affected by leprosy

| Scales          | Adolescents with one parent affected by leprosy (n = 61) | Adolescents with both parents affected by leprosy (n = 41) | $p$ value$^{1)}$ | Effect size$^{2)}$
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------
|                 | Mean        | SD         | Mean        | SD         |               |              |
| KINDLR$^{3)}$   |             |            |             |            |               |              |
| Total Score     | 59.6        | 11.0       | 50.4        | 14.0       | <.001        | 0.74         |
| Physical Well-Being | 51.9        | 15.0       | 50.3        | 13.8       | .602         | 0.11         |
| Emotional Well-Being | 53.1        | 12.9       | 46.7        | 15.4       | .024         | 0.45         |
| Self-Esteem     | 51.8        | 18.1       | 41.2        | 19.3       | .006         | 0.57         |
| Family          | 62.4        | 15.6       | 52.9        | 21.2       | .010         | 0.52         |
| Friends         | 77.3        | 16.5       | 64.0        | 21.2       | .001         | 0.70         |
| School          | 61.1        | 21.2       | 47.1        | 24.5       | .003         | 0.61         |
| CES-D           | 13.6        | 7.6        | 15.4        | 7.2        | .229         | 0.25         |
| RSES            | 19.7        | 5.9        | 18.5        | 6.3        | .345         | 0.19         |

$^{1)}$ t-test was used for significant differences between adolescents with one and both parents affected by leprosy.

$^{2)}$ Effect sizes as used in the analyses were calculated by taking the differences between the two means of the adolescents with one and both parents affected by leprosy, divided by the standard deviation.
affected parents who currently have limited access to such programs.

In this study, one of the inclusion criteria of adolescents with leprosy-affected parents was not having chronic diseases. The “Physical Well-Being” score of the KINDL™ was lower for adolescents with leprosy-affected parents than for those with unaffected parents. Adolescents with leprosy-affected parents are vulnerable to the onset of leprosy [30] and thus, they might worry about contracting the disease despite being in good health and having regular health check-ups.

Adolescents with leprosy-affected parents had lower “Emotional Well-Being” and “Self-Esteem” scores than those of general adolescents. Self-esteem is strongly related to emotional well-being and is an emotional component of personal qualities and competencies. It is generally related to how well or poorly individuals feel about themselves [28]. Leprosy-affected people and their family members are often excluded from social participation at the community level [4,5,7-9]. Such experiences of adolescents with leprosy-affected parents might contribute to their low self-esteem and poor emotional state. Over 90% of students in public schools in the study area come from the poorest quintiles in Nepal [31]. Thus, low self-esteem of the participants in this study might be due to the poverty of their families. Adolescents are highly susceptible to the impact of family events such as poverty, unemployment, and other adverse social circumstances [32].

The KINDL™ scores might vary according to these participants’ background. Many adolescents of leprosy-affected parents cannot go to school because of the poor state of the parents’ economic situation [15]. Thus, there is a need to deal with such issues and further enhance the educational environment for these adolescents.

The “Friends” and “School” subscale scores were not significantly different between adolescents with and without leprosy-affected parents. In the hostels or the leprosarium, adolescents stay with other primary stakeholders of leprosy. It is unlikely that the adolescents are discriminated against by adolescents of a similar status. A previous study [33] suggested that the partnerships formed within minority groups promote the strength of solidarity. In addition, fieldwork for this study was conducted soon after school final examinations. In Nepal, such examinations are generally stressful because students might fail and be required to repeat the same grade [34]. This situation might have contributed to the low levels of school subscale scores among the studied adolescents.

Mental health studies in developed and developing countries show that between 10–25% of children and adolescents suffer from a mental health problem [35]. The high prevalence of depressive symptoms among adolescents with parents unaffected by leprosy in this study might be due to their economic backgrounds. Mental health programs are difficult to access for most of the population in Nepal.

The KINDL™ total and subscale scores of adolescents with two parents affected with leprosy were significantly different from those with only one parent affected. In addition, the presence of depression and having two parents affected with leprosy significantly affected adolescents’ HRQOL. A previous study reported a similar result where the risk of mental health problems for adolescents was greater when both parents had mental health problems than when a single parent had a mental health problem [36]. Adolescents with leprosy-affected parents might be involved in daily household activities including caring for family members as they grow up [17], especially when both parents are affected with leprosy-related disabilities. Thus, the burden of leprosy and related social problems might be more severe among adolescents with two parents affected with leprosy compared with those with only one parent affected. The results of the present study may be helpful in evaluating the environment of adolescents with leprosy-affected family members and for assessing the range of interventions that might be appropriate.

Our results suggest the need for implementing mental health programs for adolescents with leprosy-affected parents, in particular, those adolescents with both parents

---

Table 4 Multiple regression analysis of the KINDL™ among adolescents with leprosy-affected parents (n = 102)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>KINDL™ total scores</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>−0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender 2)</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>−5.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence of participants4)</td>
<td>−0.29**</td>
<td>−11.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CES-D</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>−6.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**1 Standard multiple regression analysis was used to explore the determinants of the HRQOL for the adolescents with leprosy-affected parents. R-Squared = 0.46, adjusted R-Squared = 0.44, *p < .001.
2) Gender (0 = girls, 1 = boys).
3) Participants with one or both parents affected by leprosy (0 = one parent , 1 = both parents).
4) Residence of participants (0 = leprosarium, 1 = hostel).
affected with leprosy. Moreover, the programs should be designed to reduce or prevent stigma among adolescents with leprosy-affected parents. Most of the patients and their family members, as primary stakeholders in leprosy, are also vulnerable to public stigmatization and misinformation that causes fear or anxiety. Such programs should aim to reduce depressive symptoms and improve self-esteem and subjective well-being among adolescents with leprosy-affected parents, and help them to cope with their parents’ disease [37].

One limitation of our study is the small sample size, and it may not be possible to generalize our results to all adolescents with leprosy-affected parents. Thus, the suggested interventions may not be definitive in Nepal or other countries. However, it is difficult to recruit sufficient participants to adequately represent the target population, because home-based treatment of leprosy patients is common in Nepal as patients want to conceal their disease from the local community. Nevertheless, we recruited participants residing either in the leprosarium or in hostels. The response rate of participants in the study was 75% (102/135). Therefore, our results are applicable to adolescents residing in similar institutions.

Our study has another limitation. The CES-D is a self-rating instrument to identify depressive symptoms during the previous week, and is not a diagnostic tool to identify depression administered by a suitably trained professional.

Conclusions
Adolescents with leprosy-affected parents had higher levels of depressive symptoms and lower levels of self-esteem and HRQOL compared with those without leprosy-affected parents. Further study with a larger sample size is necessary to confirm our conclusions. Our results suggest that mental health support programs are necessary for adolescents with leprosy-affected parents, in particular, for adolescents with two parents affected with leprosy.
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