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The UQV theory is a metaphysical theory that the universe is the consequence of the ultimate questioner’s vanity. This theory builds on the NSTP (Non – Spatial Thinking Process) theoretical metaphysical semi-solipsism, the position, which specifically regards the NSTP theoretical superhuman mind as a personal philosophical questioning supermind. The UQV theory further speculates the existence of the ultimate questioner, which, existing logically/conceptually beyond the superhuman mind, initiated the existence of my NSTP in order to ask an apparently unanswerable (philosophical) question about the nature of its own existence. And since the NSTP is extremely orderly and deterministic, the ultimate questioner already knows if the NSTP would or would not answer the question. Therefore, the theory states that the universe, which includes my NSTP, and possibly the superhuman mind, and even the necessary truths, is the product/consequence of the ultimate questioner’s vanity, the vanity of its own existence and intelligence. The theory is constituted of one postulate, one law, and three conjectures.

Contents-

• Postulate 1: The NSTP (Non – Spatial Thinking Process) theory is true.

• Law 1: Indian/Vedic astrology is true.

• Conjecture 1: The NSTP theoretical metaphysical semi-solipsism: ‘T’ and ‘the superhuman mind’ are the only material entities that exist. That is, my NSTP and the superhuman NSTP/s are the only material entities that exist.

• Conjecture 2: The ultimate questioner: There exists the ultimate questioner, logically/conceptually beyond the superhuman mind, which has created the universe, which includes my NSTP and possibly the superhuman mind and even the necessary truths, to ask me the ultimate question about the nature of its own existence.

• Conjecture 3: The ultimate questioner’s vanity: The universe is the consequence of the ultimate questioner’s vanity, the vanity of its own existence and intelligence.

• Notes
Postulate 1: The NSTP (Non – Spatial Thinking Process) theory is true.

The NSTP theory is a (philosophy of mind) semi-idealistic as well as semi-dualistic theory that the material universe, where some peculiar phenomena like quantum non-locality exist in, is exclusively a group of superhuman as well as non-superhuman thinking processes existing in the form of (non-spatial physical/material) feelings (i.e. states of consciousness). In computer terminology, it regards the (material) universe as a non-spatial computer, with hardware of (non-spatial) feelings and software of superhuman as well as non-superhuman thoughts/ideas, including those of space, which is then an illusive/virtual/merely apparent entity. The mere existence of the superhuman thoughts is responsible for the empirical (i.e. a posteriori) order in the non-superhuman ones. The theory, however, accepts the possibility of the reality of space, the space where the phenomena like quantum non-locality do not exist in. The theory is constituted of 6 axioms, 1 theorem, and 3 conjectures. The key strength and novelty in the theory lies in its axiomatic/self-evident foundation, its innovative semi-idealism and semi-dualism, its idea of superhuman states of consciousness, its computational description of idealism, and, in general, its road to idealism and dualism.

Further, in this work, the possibility of the reality of space, the space where the phenomena like quantum non-locality do not exist in, will not be considered because

i. Zeno’s paradoxes diminish the possibility of the reality of space.
ii. The design of the superhuman mind considered in conjecture 1 also diminishes the possibility of the reality of space.

Law 1: Indian/Vedic astrology is true.

i. Indian astrology is empirically convincing and thus it is true/valid.
ii. The astrological phenomena (i.e. things happening in accordance with astrological predictions) can be explained by (philosophy of mind) idealistic (i.e. non-spatial mechanistic) framework (e.g. The NSTP theory). In other words, the idealistic framework solves the problem of physical mechanism behind astrological phenomena.

Conjecture 1: The NSTP theoretical metaphysical semi-solipsism

‘I’ and ‘the superhuman mind’ are the only material entities that exist. That is, my NSTP and the superhuman NSTP/s are the only material entities that exist.

i. The non-existence of other non-superhuman minds simply makes the universal design (i.e. the superhuman mind/engine) simpler, since the engine needs no additional intelligence/information to create a variety of specific spatial illusions through multiple (non-superhuman) minds/NSTPs.
ii. Considering the best philosophical nature of my own horoscope, the superhuman mind is a personal philosophical questioning supermind, whose purpose is to make me a philosopher so that I can understand the best sort of
profundity of the universe. Therefore, the superhuman mind, being highly reasonable, has no good reason to create tremendous suffering through the existence of other (non-superhuman) minds which are simply unable to answer its profound philosophical question.

Conjecture 2: The ultimate questioner
There exists the ultimate questioner, logically/conceptually beyond the superhuman mind, which has created the universe, which includes my NSTP and possibly the superhuman mind and even the necessary truths, to ask me the ultimate question about the nature of its own existence.

The superhuman mind, considered in the NSTP theory, represents a mere empirical (i.e. non-necessary\(^4\)) design that is responsible for the orderly existence of my NSTP. Further, something should be responsible for the (non-necessary) existence of this superhuman mind, the entity which would be logically/conceptually beyond any sort of (non-necessary) mind, or, in general, entity. Such ultimate entity has thus made me exist so that I can answer its ultimate question about the nature of its own existence. The ultimate entity is therefore the ultimate questioner. However, the ultimate question seems to be unanswerable since the ultimate questioner also does not seem to be a necessary being/entity.\(^5\)

Conjecture 3: The ultimate questioner’s vanity
The universe is the consequence of the ultimate questioner’s vanity, the vanity of its own existence and intelligence.

Since my NSTP is extremely orderly and deterministic, the ultimate questioner already knows if the NSTP would or would not answer the ultimate question. Therefore, the only reasonable thing behind the ultimate questioner’s creation is its vanity, the vanity of its own existence and intelligence.
Notes-

1 In this work, the word “vanity” is meant to be ‘conceit and desire for admiration of one’s personal attainments or attractions’.

2 A point following a postulate, a law, or a conjecture is meant to be its justification.
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4 The term “physical/empirical necessity”, as it is used to describe phenomena, like gravity, which are not logically/conceptually necessary, is absurd and highly misleading, for it is clear that if some phenomenon, for example gravity, is logically/conceptually unnecessary then it cannot be physically/empirically necessary. And therefore, the terms “logical”/”conceptual” are redundant when used to mention necessities.

5 Thus, every non-necessary entity, i.e. any entity that doesn't have to be, must have been created by some non-necessary creator entity/ies. But since God – the ultimate questioner – is, by definition, the ultimate entity, it has no creator entity, i.e. it, still apparently being a non-necessary entity, was never created. This paradox is the seemingly unanswerable ultimate question I’ve been created to solve/resolve.