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Abstract:

The present situation is that if I reactivate my Facebook and/or Pinterest accounts (where I have my entire research on the subject matter of Kali Yuga developed as well as published) I will be arrested within a matter of few hours. Nevertheless, my argument is that the Republic of India has no moral right to arrest me, since I can prove it to the World Court that the republic is not only against my (Hindu) religion, but even constitutionally speaking, it is, in fact, neither secular nor democratic, but is rather autocratic.

Since the International Court of Justice, however, appears to have no jurisdiction to deal with applications from individuals, maybe this letter should actually be addressed to the International Center for Transitional Justice.
Respected President,

My name is Kedar Arvind Joshi. I am a 37-year-old man (born on 31 December 1979 in Mumbai). I am a citizen as well as a resident of the Republic of India. I was a resident of the United Kingdom from March 2004 to March 2006. I hold a Bachelor of Science degree from the University of Pune as well as a Master of Arts (in Philosophy) from the same university. I am a practitioner of Hindu astrology (Jyotisha) since December 2007.

I am a philosopher whose thoughts have been cited by independent, reliable sources such as the Journal of the American College of Cardiology and the Times of India. I have been celebrated as a philosopher by sources such as La Republica Premium. I have also been independently interviewed as an astrologer by reliable sources such as the Indian Express and the Caravan. My Virtual International Authority File (VIAF) ID is 51199484. I am a life member of several organizations such as the Cambridge University Scientific Society, Cambridge University Biological Society, and the London Society.

I am an atheist-turned-Hindu by virtue of Jyotisha (Hindu astrology). My independent research in Hindu astrology and the Hindu religion in general, have given me a reasonable, objective conviction that I am none other than the prophesied demon Kali of the Kali Yuga described in the Hindu scriptures such as the Kalki Purana and the Vishnu Purana. Since September 2016, I have been developing and publishing my research relating to this on the Web, which mainly includes sites viz. Facebook and Pinterest. My research entails not only my discovery as to my own spiritual identity (as demon Kali, a reincarnation of Duryodhana from the Mahabharata) but also those of others such as the Indian Prime Minister Narendra Modi (as Bhishma reincarnate), Catherine, Duchess of Cambridge (as Draupadi reincarnate), Elizabeth II (as Kunti reincarnate), Diana, Princess of Wales (as Karna reincarnate), B. R. Ambedkar (as Vidura reincarnate) and more importantly, a former Indian sportswoman (as Durukti [Kali’s consort], a reincarnation of Bhanumati [Duryodhana’s consort]).

The reason I, nevertheless, hesitate to mention the name of that woman is that on Saturday, 19 August 2017 at around 20:38, I was phoned and threatened by her father of (unreasonably) stern, expeditious police action which made me deactivate my Facebook and Pinterest accounts in the matter of few hours in order to avoid it. All he wanted me to do was to remove all of my posts which referred to his daughter and never refer to her again as Durukti. However, I not only found deleting so many posts unreasonably onerous, but I also wanted to keep my precious research for future reference. And for that reason, I simply deactivated my aforementioned accounts, which I could reactivate by merely logging in anytime I want.

Although I acknowledge that reactivating my accounts might transgress the Indian law one way or the other such as copyright infringement, I maintain that the same law and the Indian state have no moral right to inflict any punishment upon me, or even arrest or detain me for that matter. Let me explain the reason.

It has been my published, meticulous argument that although the Republic of India constitutionally claims itself to be secular as well as democratic, it is, in fact, not only against the Hindu religion, the
religion my controversial research is centred around, but the same republic, by virtue of its flawed constitution (or the supreme law), is also self-contradictory and invalid, and it is neither (truly) secular nor democratic, but it is rather nearly autocratic.

On the one hand, the Indian prime minister Mr Narendra Modi as well as the Supreme Court of India clearly seem to openly deny the truth in even the existence of the Hindu religion, while on the other hand, my research not only demonstrates the absurdity in their definition of Hinduism, but it also tends to manifest the very truth in that religion. Furthermore, on the one hand, Mr Modi deems the Supreme Court of India’s definition of Hinduism (as ‘a way of life and nothing more’) “beautiful”, while on the other hand, the definition clearly seems to imply that the Hindu gods such as Rama, Krishna, Shiva, Ganesha, as well as the Hindu goddesses such as Lakshmi and Parvati, are (entirely) fictional characters and nothing more, since Hinduism, being ‘a way of life and nothing more’, must preclude any idea of Hindu divinity.

On the one hand, Dr B. R. Ambedkar, the principal architect of the Indian constitution, has made evidently, profoundly and infuriatingly false, published statements about the Hindus and the Hindu religion, while on the other hand, he is not only revered as a founding father of the Republic of India, but also has a statue holding an image of the Indian constitution in the left hand and pointing the right hand towards the Parliament of India, which is the supreme legislative body of the Republic of India.

On the one hand, in the year 1927, Dr Ambedkar publicly burnt the profoundly metaphysical Hindu scripture Manusmriti and later became the chief architect of the Indian constitution, while on the other hand, it is presumably illegal in India to publicly burn the Quran which is quite arguably a work of violence and terrorism against nonbelievers including the Hindus. If the public burning of the Quran would make one a criminal, the public burning of the Manusmriti must make Dr Ambedkar a criminal too; and do criminals have any right to write the constitution? Would someone such as the Pakistani militant Ajmal Kasab, ever be permitted to author the Indian constitution? No, he would not; because even if he begets a perfectly equitable constitution, the mere fact that it was begotten by him, would automatically lend a militant like him inordinate authority. Similarly, the mere fact that someone like Dr Ambedkar is the principal architect of the Indian constitution must make the constitution itself invalid.

On the one hand, Manusmriti is probably the most burnt book in India every year by Dalits and Ambedkarites, while on the other hand, Salman Rushdie’s novel ‘The Satanic Verses’ is banned in India.

On the one hand, the state emblem of the Republic of India is an adaptation of the Lion Capital of Ashoka, which is clearly Buddhist, and Buddhism is a religion of merely around 0.7% Indian minority, on the other hand, the same state affirms itself to be secular as well as democratic. The emblem of the Supreme Court of India is Buddhist too.

On the one hand, I, or for that matter any Indian Hindu, have no option but to have the Buddhist (state) emblem on my passport, while on the other hand, it must not only be my constitutional right to have...
no symbol of any other religion on my document, but it is also most conceivably true that any Indian Buddhist such as Dr Ambedkar himself, would execrate the presence of a Hindu symbol such as a handsome image of the Hindu god Rama on their own document.

On the one hand, Buddhism prescribes begging to feed and proscribes sexual acts via the Noble Eightfold Path, which is often represented by means of the dharma wheel (dharmachakra), while on the other hand, Dr Ambedkar himself was not only married twice but also stood up for closest, friendly relationship between husband and wife, which seems to suggest that Dr Ambedkar merely used the mask of the Buddhist religion in order to attack Hinduism. Put differently, the Buddha abandoned his wife, and Dr Ambedkar was married twice. On the one hand, Dr Ambedkar says, “I prefer Buddhism because it gives three principles in combination, which no other religion does. Buddhism teaches prajna (understanding as against superstition and supernaturalism), karuna (love), and samara (equality).” But on the other hand, since ‘rebirth’ is one of the foundational doctrines of Buddhism, Buddhism entails supernaturalism, contradicting Dr Ambedkar’s aforementioned view.

On the one hand, according to the Indian Penal Code section 295A: ‘Deliberate and malicious acts intended to outrage religious feelings of any class by insulting its religion or religious beliefs’ amount to a non-bailable offence punishable with imprisonment for 3 years with fine, while on the other hand, Dr Ambedkar is the principal architect of the Indian constitution; the Indian state emblem is Buddhist and so is the emblem on the passport of an Indian Hindu (such as myself), or for that matter an Indian Muslim. The navy blue wheel (the Ashoka Chakra) right at the centre of the Indian flag is Buddhist too.

To sum up, how could someone like me, who is a staunch believer in the Hindu religion, be expected to abide by a constitution and a state (the Republic of India) whose emblem is Buddhist, whose principal architect (Dr B. R. Ambedkar) is arguably an anti-Hindu extremist revered by the prime minister (Mr Narendra Modi) and the lawmakers alike? How could I ever expect justice from such state? The way my (Hindu) religion is profoundly loathsome to Dr Ambedkar, his constitution and the Buddhist emblems are, and should be expected to be, equally disagreeable to me as well. Would you not expect a devoted Hindu to consider the state that esteems a diehard anti-Hindu like Dr Ambedkar in such overwhelming manner as profoundly obnoxious?

Yours sincerely,

Kedar Joshi

Pune, 24 August 2017
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Here are just some examples:

“If I were asked under what sky the human mind has most fully developed some of its choicest gifts, has most deeply pondered over the greatest problems of life, and has found solutions of some of them which well deserve the attention even of those who have studied Plato and Kant, I should point to India. And if I were to ask myself from what literature we who have been nurtured almost exclusively on the thoughts of Greeks and Romans, and of the Semitic race, the Jewish, may draw the corrective which is most wanted in order to make our inner life more perfect, more comprehensive, more universal, in fact more truly human a life... again I should point to India.” Max Müller

“In the morning I bathe my intellect in the stupendous and cosmogonical philosophy of the Bhagvat-Geeta, since whose composition years of the gods have elapsed, and in comparison with which our modern world and its literature seem puny and trivial; and I doubt if that philosophy is not to be referred to a previous state of existence, so remote is its sublimity from our conceptions.” Henry David Thoreau

“I owed a magnificent day to the Bhagavad-gita. It was the first of books’ it was as if an empire spoke to us, nothing small or unworthy, but large, serene, consistent, the voice of an old intelligence which in another rage and climate had pondered and thus disposed of the same questions which exercise us.” Ralph Waldo Emerson

“There is no kind of framework within which we can find consciousness in the plural; this is simply something we construct because of the temporal plurality of individuals, but it is a false construction....The only solution to this conflict insofar as any is available to us at all lies in the ancient wisdom of the Upanishad.” Erwin Schrödinger

“Vedanta teaches that consciousness is singular, all happenings are played out in one universal consciousness and there is no multiplicity of selves.” Erwin Schrödinger

“The Upanishads are the production of the highest human wisdom.” Arthur Schopenhauer

“I go into the Upanishads to ask questions.” Niels Bohr

“After the conversations about Indian philosophy, some of the ideas of Quantum Physics that had seemed so crazy suddenly made much more sense.” Werner Heisenberg

“The Hindu has an extraordinary power of combining dogma and free thought, uniformity, and variety. Utmost latitude of interpretation is allowed. In all ages Hindus have been passionately devoted to speculation. It is also to point out that from the Upanishads down to the writings of Tagore in the present day literature from time to time enunciates the idea that the whole universe is the manifestation of some exuberant force giving expression to itself in joyous movement.” Charles Elliot (diplomat)

“Hinduism has produced the profoundest metaphysics that we know of.” Hermann von Keyserling

“It is already becoming clear that a chapter which had a Western beginning will have to have an Indian ending if it is not to end in self-destruction of the human race. At this supremely dangerous moment in human history, the only way of salvation is the ancient Hindu way.” Arnold J. Toynbee

“I am convinced that everything has come down to us from the banks of the Ganges, — astronomy, astrology, metempsychosis, etc. It is very important to note that some 2,500 years ago at the least Pythagoras went from Samos to the Ganges to learn geometry...But he would certainly not have undertaken such a strange journey had the reputation of the Brahmins' science not been long established in Europe.” Voltaire

“The Hindu mind has a vision of eternity and infinity. It is aware of the vast cycles of creation and destruction that govern the many universes and innumerable creatures within them.” David Frawley

“But amid all the beliefs of Europe, and of Asia, that of the Indian Brahmins seems to me infinitely the most alluring. And the reason why I love the Brahmin more than the other schools of Asiatic thought is because it seems to me to contain them all. Greater than all European philosophies, it is even capable of adjusting itself to the vast hypotheses of modern science.” Romain Rolland
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“Among the notable Indian critics of the text in the early 20th century was Dr. B. R. Ambedkar, who held Manusmriti as responsible for caste system in India. In protest, Ambedkar burnt Manusmriti in a bonfire on December 25, 1927. While Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar condemned Manusmriti, Mahatma Gandhi opposed the book burning. The latter stated that while caste discrimination was harmful to spiritual and national growth, it had nothing to do with Hinduism and its texts such as Manusmriti. Gandhi argued that the text recognizes different callings and professions, defines not one’s rights but one’s duties, that all work from that of a teacher to a janitor are equally necessary, and of equal status. Gandhi considered Manusmriti to include lofty teachings but a text with inconsistency and contradictions, whose original text is in no one’s possession.” Manusmriti, https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Manusmriti&oldid=796381021 (last visited Aug.23, 2017).

“The closing verses of Manusmriti declares,

एवं य: सर्वभृतेः पश्चिमत्यात्मानमात्मः || स सर्वसंमतात्मेऽव्रुष्धमाहेयति परं पदम् ॥

He who thus recognizes in his individual soul (Self, Atman), the universal soul that exists in all beings, becomes equal-minded towards all, and enters the highest state, Brahman. — Manusmriti 12.125, Calcutta manuscript with Kulluka Bhatta commentary” Manusmriti, https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Manusmriti&oldid=796381021 (last visited Aug.23, 2017).

“Friedrich Nietzsche is noted to have said “Close the Bible and open the Manu Smriti. It has an affirmation of life, a triumphing agreeable sensation in life and that to draw up a lawbook such as Manu means to permit oneself to get the upper hand, to become perfection, to be ambitious of the highest art of living.”” Manusmriti, https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Manusmriti&oldid=796381021 (last visited Aug.23, 2017).

“The Manusmṛti (Sanskrit: मनुस्मृति), also spelled as Manusmriti, is an ancient legal text among the many Dharmaśāstras of Hinduism. It was one of the first Sanskrit texts translated during the British rule of India in 1794, by Sir William Jones, and used to formulate the Hindu law by the colonial government.” “Manusmriti is also called the Mānava-Dharmaśāstra or Laws of Manu.” Manusmriti, https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Manusmriti&oldid=796381021 (last visited Aug.23, 2017).

‘Burning the Manusmriti’ is, in fact, theoretically equivalent to ‘burning the Bhagavad Gita’; because the Gita [4.1] says, “To Vivasvat I expounded this immutable doctrine of control; to Manu did Vivasvat declare it: Manu told it to Iksvāku.” W. Douglas P. Hill translation

“The Quran contains at least 109 verses that speak of war with nonbelievers, usually on the basis of their status as non-Muslims. Some are quite graphic, with commands to chop off heads and fingers and kill infidels wherever they may be hiding. Muslims who do not join the fight are called ‘hypocrites’ and warned that Allah will send them to Hell if they do not join the slaughter. Unlike nearly all of the Old Testament verses of violence, most verses of violence in the Quran are open-ended, meaning that they are not necessarily restrained by historical context contained in the surrounding text (although many Muslims choose to think of them that way). They are part of the eternal, unchanging word of Allah, and just as relevant or subject to interpretation as anything else in the Quran.”


Here is a link to a picture posted on the internet by someone which evidences an abuse of the Manusmriti: https://drambedkarbooks.files.wordpress.com/2015/12/553287_10154474180342942_4979089188846338091_n.jpg?w=500


“The State Emblem of India, as the national emblem of India is called, is an adaptation of the Lion Capital of Ashoka at Sarnath, preserved in the Varanasi Sarnath Museum in India. It was approved by the Indian Government on 29 December 1947, and was officially adopted on 26 January 1950, the day that India became a republic.” State
The capital is clearly Buddhist and Mauryan in origin and thus probably symbolizes the spread of Dharma, and perhaps the extent of the Maurya Empire in all directions, or four parts of the empire. Alternatively, the group of four lions and bell jointly symbolize preaching of 'the Four Noble Truths' of Buddhism to all; those that emphasize the Middle Path. The symbol U with a vertical line placed symmetrically inside it symbolizes 'The Middle Path'. The Middle Path is the fundamental philosophy of Buddhism, the Buddhist Dharma.”

According to the 2011 census, Buddhists make up 0.7% of India's population, or 8.4 million individuals.”

"The relationship between husband and wife should be one of closest friends.”

"Leaving behind son and wife, and father and mother, and wealth and grain, and relatives, and sensual pleasures to the limit, one should wander solitary as a rhinoceros horn. 'This is an attachment; here there is little happiness, and little satisfaction; here there is very much misery; this is a hook.' Knowing this, a thoughtful man should wander solitary as a rhinoceros horn."
The Ashoka Chakra is a depiction of the dharmachakra; represented with 24 spokes. It is so called because it appears on a number of edicts of Ashoka, most prominent among which is the Lion Capital of Ashoka. The most visible use of the Ashoka Chakra today is at the centre of the Flag of India (adopted on 22 July 1947), where it is rendered in a navy blue colour on a white background” “When Gautama Buddha achieved enlightenment at Bodh Gaya, he came to Sarnath, on the outskirts of Varanasi. There, he found his five disciples Assaji, Mahānāma, Kondañña, Bhaddiya and Vappa, who had earlier abandoned him. He introduced his first teachings to them, thereby establishing the dharmachakra. This is the motive taken up by Ashoka and portrayed on top of his pillars.” Ashoka Chakra, https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Ashoka_Chakra&oldid=795956278 (last visited Aug.23, 2017).
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And that is the reason I have deliberately torn my Indian passport, as a sign of protest against the state; the way its founding father Dr Ambedkar burnt the Hindu Manusmriti as a sign of protest against my (Hindu) religion.

Would anyone have ever expected a Muslim to show any respect to the Indian state if Dr Ambedkar had been as anti-Muslim as anti-Hindu? Would you have expected an American-Christian to show respect to the American state if its founding father had been against Christianity almost exactly the way Dr Ambedkar was against Hinduism? I am sure you would not.