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Abstract

Objective: Emerging evidence suggests that dietary patterns are associated with survival in people with Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD). This study evaluated the relationship between dietary habits and renal-related clinical outcomes in an established CKD cohort.

Design: Prospective cohort study.

Setting: Three outpatient nephrology clinics in Queensland, Australia

Subjects: 145 adult patients with stage 3/4 CKD (estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR) 15 to 59 mL/min/1.73m²).

Intervention: Dietary intake was measured using 24-hour recall and the HeartWise Dietary Habits Questionnaire (DHQ), which evaluates 10 components of dietary patterns in relation to cooking habits and intake of food groups.

Main outcome measure: The primary outcome was a composite end-point of all-cause mortality, commencement of dialysis and doubling of serum creatinine. Secondary outcome was all-cause mortality alone. Multivariate cox regression analyses calculated hazard ratios (HR) for associations between DHQ domains and occurrence of composite outcome and adjusted for confounders, including comorbidities and renal function.

Results: Over a median follow up of 36 months, 32% (n=47) reached the composite endpoint, of which 21% died (n=30). Increasing DHQ score was associated with a lower risk of the composite endpoint with increasing intake of fruit and vegetable (HR 0.61; 95% CI 0.39-0.94), and limiting alcohol consumption (HR 0.79; 95% CI 0.65-0.96). For the secondary outcome of all-cause mortality, there was a significant association with adequate intake of fruits and vegetables (HR 0.35; 95% CI, 0.15-0.83).
Conclusion: Healthy dietary patterns consisting of adequate fruits and vegetables and limited alcohol consumption is associated with a delay in CKD progression and improved survival in patients with stage 3 or 4 CKD.
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Introduction

Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) is a major public health issue,\textsuperscript{1} with a worldwide prevalence of approximately 10-15\% in the adult population.\textsuperscript{2-5} CKD is associated with poor quality of life, adverse clinical outcomes and high health-care costs.\textsuperscript{6,7} There is growing demand for effective and low-cost interventions to tackle this serious health burden.

Current evidence-based guidelines recommend dietary intervention targeting single nutrients, such as sodium, protein, potassium and phosphorus, to manage CKD and associated cardiovascular risk factors.\textsuperscript{8-10} However, single-nutrient interventions have been examined in CKD and demonstrated small, but largely inconclusive effects on CKD outcomes and cardiovascular risk.\textsuperscript{11-14} As patients with earlier stages of CKD view dietary interventions as an essential approach to preventing disease progression,\textsuperscript{6} research into the optimal dietary intervention that these populations should follow to protect residual kidney function and mitigate CVD risk is needed.

While dietary interventions are considered paramount in CKD management, there are limited and conflicting studies examining the association between dietary patterns and renal-related clinical outcomes in CKD populations.\textsuperscript{15-20} Dietary patterns, such as plant-based diet and Mediterranean diet, have been associated with survival.\textsuperscript{15-17} In contrast, other studies have shown no such associations.\textsuperscript{18-20} An important caveat to each of these studies is they were based on subgroup analyses of subjects with renal impairment (typically eGFR <60) from largely ‘healthy’ cohort studies or randomized-controlled trials in non-renal populations.\textsuperscript{16-20} Therefore, there is a need to establish the association
between dietary patterns and outcome in a referred population seeking treatment for CKD.

Therefore, the aim of this prospective cohort study is to investigate the associations between dietary patterns and diet-related habits with the incidence of renal-related endpoints in patients with stage 3 or 4 CKD.

**Methods**

**Study Population**

The study included adult patients, aged 18 years and above, with stage 3 or 4 CKD (defined as an estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] between 15 and 59 mL/min/1.73m²) referred to one of three nephrology outpatient units in Queensland, Australia between 1 January 2011 and 31 December 2012. Any patients who were unable to provide informed consent or participate in accurately reporting dietary information because of cognitive or other impairments were excluded from the study. **Ethics was approved by Metro South Health Service District Human Research Ethics Committee.**

**Dietary Assessment**

Information was collected by trained dietitians who obtained data during routine outpatient appointments, as per the Evidence-based Guidelines for Nutritional Management of CKD. At baseline, dietitians recorded dietary data using the HeartWise Dietary Habits Questionnaire (DHQ) and multiple-pass 24-hour recall method which is a validated dietary assessment tool in the cardiac (non-CKD) rehabilitation population. The DHQ was chosen as it is validated to measure dietary fat, fiber and sodium intake,
which are proxy markers for CKD progression risk factors, and is also a tool that quickly assesses dietary habits and can identify priorities for individual dietary education. The DHQ captures responses of usual intake from a week to over the past month. Dietary patterns and habits were identified across 22 items covering ten dietary categories, specifically: intake of wholegrains, fruit and vegetables, omega-3 fatty acid intake, food preparation methods, food choices, take-away snacks, sources of dietary fat intake, fiber intake, sodium intake and alcohol consumption. The score for each category ranges from 1 to 5 with a rating of 1 demonstrating poor habits and a rating of 5 healthy dietary habits.  

**Data collection**

Participant characteristics of age, gender, nationality, social history (living arrangements, cooking, shopping, employment), comorbidities and previous diet interventions were recorded at baseline. Comorbidities were defined according to the Australia and New Zealand Dialysis and Transplant Registry (ANZDATA) registry. Although C-reactive protein (CRP) was recorded along with the patient’s full lab results, it was only available in 8% of patients; hence this was not reported or investigated as potential confounder.

**Clinical outcome**

Outcomes for participants were monitored for up to 4 years. The primary outcome was a composite outcome of renal endpoints: all-cause mortality, commencement of dialysis and doubling of serum creatinine (from the baseline measure). The secondary outcome of interest was all-cause mortality, which was ascertained by linking cohort data to the Registrar General Death data. Commencement of dialysis was assessed via linkage with the ANZDATA. Serum creatinine level was obtained from the latest biochemistry lab
results, through until 30 November 2014. Any patients that were lost to follow-up in the
database were cross-referenced with the ANZDATA for outcome data.

**Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive statistics were used to examine baseline characteristics. Cox regression
analyses were used to examine associations between DHQ scores of each domain
(categorical and total DHQ score) and occurrence of composite primary outcome (all-
cause mortality, commencement of dialysis or doubling of serum creatinine). The
relationships were expressed as hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals. In the
categorical cox models, dietary domains were analyzed as those with a high DHQ score
(≥3), with the low DHQ score (<3) serving as the referent group. Based on previous
studies in the area, model 1 adjustments were made for: age, gender, smoking status
and estimated glomerular renal function (eGFR). In model 2, adjustments were made for:
model 1 plus malnutrition status (SGA), body mass index (BMI), diabetes and number of
comorbidities. Preliminary analyses were also done to decide if parameters were to be
adjusted in each model. Proportional hazards assumptions were checked by Schoenfeld
residuals. A two-sided P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were
performed with SPSS Statistics (version 23; Chicago: SPSS Inc).

**Results**

**Participants Characteristics**

Of 156 consecutive patients approached, 145 participants were consented and included in
the analyses (93% consent rate). 58% had stage 3 CKD and 41% had stage 4 CKD. Mean
age was 71 ± 12 years, 59% were men, 54% had diabetes, mean eGFR was 32 ± 12ml/min/1.73m² and mean systolic blood pressure was 136 ± 20 mm Hg. Baseline
characteristics by DHQ score are provided in Table 1. Those who had a high DHQ score had higher eGFR, were more likely to be on cholesterol lowering medications and less likely to smoke (Table 1). Figure 1 illustrates the flow of participants through the study.

**Dietary Patterns and Composite Primary Outcome**

During a median follow-up of 36 months, 32% (n=47) subjects reached the composite clinical endpoint, of which 21% died (n=30), 8% (n=12) commenced dialysis and 3% (n=5) experienced a doubling serum creatinine.

Based on both categorical (adequate intake cut-off of score ≥ 3) and continuous (increasing total DHQ score) predictors, the risk of the composite primary outcome was significantly lower in 8 out of 10 domains of the DHQ with adequate and/or increasing intake of wholegrains, fruits and vegetables, fiber, healthier sources of dietary fat, limiting sodium intake, healthier food preparation methods, limiting takeaway snacks and limiting alcohol consumption (Table 2).

There were lower risks of reaching the composite clinical outcome with increasing DHQ scores across for wholegrains, fruits and vegetables, fiber, healthier food preparation methods, limiting takeaway snacks and alcohol consumption (Table 2). The relationship however was only significant for adequate intake of fruits and vegetables (HR model 2, 0.38; 95% Confidence Interval [CI] 0.18-0.82) after adjustment for confounders.

For every one-point increase in DHQ score there was a significantly lower risk of composite clinical outcome with increasing intake of fruit and vegetables (HR model 2, 0.61; 95% CI 0.39-0.94) and limiting alcohol consumption (HR model 2, 0.79; 95% CI
Dietary Patterns and All-cause Mortality

Over a median follow-up period of 32 months, 30 subjects died (21%). Survival rates were higher for those who had an adequate intake of fruits and vegetables (High DHQ ≥3, HR model 2, 0.35; 95% CI, 0.15-0.83) (Figure 3). The relationship with consumption of healthier sources of dietary fat, limiting sodium intake and limiting alcohol consumption were no longer significant after adjusting for confounders (Table 2).

Associations of each domain of the DHQ to commencing dialysis and doubling serum creatinine was also explored, however there was no significant relationship (data not shown).

Discussion

This study has shown that a dietary pattern with adequate intake of fruits and vegetables and limited alcohol consumption is associated with lower risk of a composite outcome of all-cause mortality, commencement of dialysis or doubling of serum creatinine in stage 3 and 4 CKD patients. Consuming an adequate amount of fruits and vegetables was also the only domain that demonstrated significance for all-cause mortality alone, with a diet higher in fruits and vegetables associated with a 65% reduced risk of all-cause mortality.

These results are in agreement with prior post-hoc analyses demonstrating an association between healthy dietary patterns and clinical outcomes including mortality in persons
with and without established CKD. The National Institutes of Health-American Association of Retired Person Diet and Health Study (NIH-AARP) reported an association between healthy dietary patterns (according to diet quality index scores) and lowered risk of major renal composite outcome of death due to renal cause and initiation of dialysis. The Uppsala Longitudinal Study of Adult Men (ULSAM) similarly showed that greater adherence to the Mediterranean diet, a predominantly plant-based diet, was associated with higher survival rates in those with established CKD. Another study showed CKD individuals from the Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) cohort who consumed a higher proportion of protein from plant-based sources, characterized by high intake of fruits and vegetables, experienced a 23% reduced risk of death. This type of dietary pattern was also shown to be associated with a 33% lower risk of mortality in a sub-population with established CKD from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.

One potential mechanism for this association may be the individual protective effect of fruits and vegetables on CVD and reduced risk of hypertension with limited alcohol consumption. Other mechanisms explaining the positive effects of fruits and vegetables intake have been widely investigated in previous studies, including the ability to lower blood pressure, control weight, reduce the risk of diabetes and improve glycemic control. One randomized controlled trial and one cross-sectional study have suggested higher intakes of fruits and vegetables may delay kidney function decline by reducing inflammation and improving acid-base balance. Studies have also demonstrated that diets higher in fruits, vegetables, wholegrains and low-fat dairy foods may be protective against eGFR decline, and associated with lower urinary albumin-to-

...
creatinine ratio and lower albumin excretion rate.\textsuperscript{39,40} The antioxidant properties and fiber content of fruits and vegetables may have protective effects on inflammation markers, such as C-reactive protein (CRP) and soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1),\textsuperscript{41} and explain the relationship with albuminuria and inflammation.\textsuperscript{42,43} It was also suggested that the beneficial effect of proteins from plant-based foods was suggested to be favorable due to its effects on cholesterol metabolism,\textsuperscript{44} decreased production of uremic toxins\textsuperscript{45} and its protective effect on albumin leakage over animal-based protein diets.\textsuperscript{39,46}

In this study, no association was observed between the other DHQ domains (adequate intake of wholegrains, fiber, healthier sources of dietary fat intake, omega 3 fatty acid, utilizing ideal food preparation methods, better food choices, limiting take-away snacks, and limiting sodium intake) and primary and secondary outcomes. This may have been due to several reasons. Firstly, given the relatively modest number of participants and events, there is a possibility that the analysis was underpowered to detect significant associations between the dietary domains and mortality. Secondly, the DHQ assessment was self-reported, which may have resulted in reporting errors due to reduced accuracy of dietary measurement, inability to remember, under- or overestimating amount eaten and portion sizes, and social desirability bias.\textsuperscript{47}

It is recognized that a diet with reduced sodium is a component of a dietary pattern that may lower the risk of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality.\textsuperscript{11,48-51} This relationship is suggested to be mediated by positive effects on blood pressure\textsuperscript{52} and lipid profiles.\textsuperscript{51} In contrast, this study did not find an association between these dietary pattern components and adverse events. This may be due to the way sodium intake was captured
on the DHQ. Intake of processed meats, pastries and take away style foods are not attributed to overall sodium intake in the DHQ scoring domain, which some suggest is a substantial source of total sodium intake in the modern food supply. 53

To the investigators’ knowledge, this study is the first cohort study in an established CKD population to test the association of dietary patterns and clinical outcomes. A significant association was observed between consuming adequate fruits and vegetables and the composite outcome of mortality, doubling of serum creatinine and initiating dialysis, and all-cause mortality alone. A dietary pattern with adequate intake of wholegrains was also associated with a reduction in the risk of composite outcome by 27%. This was in agreement with a recent systematic review that reported a 27% lower risk of death associated with healthy eating patterns characterized by higher intakes of fruit and vegetables, fish, legumes, cereals, and wholegrains, and lower intakes of red meat, salt and refined sugars. 54

Despite these strengths, this study does have important limitations worth noting. Firstly, the sample size was relatively small (n=145) and participants were only monitored for 48 months, such that the possibility of a type 2 statistical error cannot be excluded. Nonetheless, robust associations between important DHQ diet domains suggest that the study was adequately powered for the predictor of fruit and vegetable intake. Secondly, the DHQ consisted of 10 domains, which resulted in testing of multiple exposures, which may increase the risk of type 1 error. However, the findings were consistent with other prospective studies in this population.
In conclusion, the present study shows that consuming a dietary pattern characterized by adequate intake of fruits and vegetables and limited alcohol consumption is associated with a lower risk of the composite outcome of death, commencement of dialysis or doubled serum creatinine levels. In current clinical practice, there can be a focus on restriction of fruits and vegetables due to concerns of higher dietary potassium and/or phosphate consumption with kidney dysfunction. As single nutrient-focused diets are not typically reflective of a regular diet, our study reinforces the significance of an overall healthy diet, rather than confined focus on certain foods or single nutrients. The synergy between food and nutrients altogether may result in greater health benefits than each food component alone. This finding suggests a shift to focus on healthful dietary patterns with an intake of six pieces or more of fruits per week and three or more serves of vegetables per day is associated with a reduction in major renal outcomes in those with early or moderate stages of CKD. Intervention studies are needed to establish whether improving dietary patterns results can impact on major renal outcomes.

**Practical Application**

This study adds to the growing momentum of evidence supporting the positive effects of plant-based dietary patterns and also encourages a shift in focus from single nutrient interventions to overall healthy diet interventions for CKD management. Dietary advice based on a whole food approach, encouraging increased fruit and vegetable intakes, could be an effective tool to reduce mortality in people with kidney disease.
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Table 1. Baseline characteristics classified by low and high DHQ score*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low DHQ score (n=52)</th>
<th>High DHQ score (n=86)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>72 ± 12</td>
<td>73 ± 10</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender (n,%)</td>
<td>30 (57.7)</td>
<td>53 (61.6)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Factors (n,%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooking (Self)</td>
<td>26 (61.9)</td>
<td>39 (58.2)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shopping (Self)</td>
<td>24 (63.2)</td>
<td>39 (56.5)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>9 (17.6)</td>
<td>11 (12.9)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>29 ± 6</td>
<td>31 ± 7</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker (n,%)</td>
<td>7 (15.9)</td>
<td>4 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA score A (n,%)</td>
<td>46 (88.5)</td>
<td>75 (88.2)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (ml/min/1.73m(^2))</td>
<td>30 ± 14</td>
<td>35 ± 11</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of comorbidities ≥ 4 (n,%)**</td>
<td>19 (36.5)</td>
<td>37 (43)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (n,%)</td>
<td>22 (42.3)</td>
<td>52 (60.4)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (n,%)</td>
<td>37 (71.2)</td>
<td>66 (76.7)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD (n, %)</td>
<td>15 (28.8)</td>
<td>24 (27.9)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>135 ± 21</td>
<td>136 ± 19</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Intake (kcal/kg/day)</td>
<td>23 ± 27</td>
<td>22 ± 30</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein Intake (g/kg/day)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin Use (n,%)</td>
<td>26 (50.0)</td>
<td>60 (69.8)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Values for categorical variables are given as number (percentage); values for continuous variable are given as mean ± standard deviation

* Low DHQ score (<3) High DHQ score (≥3)

**Comorbidities include: Cardiovascular (Myocardial infarction, use of warfarin, congestive heart failure, hypertension), Diabetes (DM 1, DM 2, Diabetes Nephropathy, Diabetes Retinopathy), Cancer (Metastatic cancer, any tumor, leukemia, lymphoma), Other (Mild liver disease, moderate/severe liver disease, peptic liver disease, peripheral vascular disease, depression, connective tissue disease, AIDS, hemiplegia, skin ulcers/cellulitis)
Table 2. Association of dietary habits questionnaire domains with composite clinical outcome and all-cause mortality in a cohort of chronic kidney disease patients (n=145)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite Clinical Outcome</th>
<th>High DHQ score (≥3) (95% CI)</th>
<th>Every one-point increase in DHQ score (95% CI)</th>
<th>High DHQ score (≥3) (95% CI)</th>
<th>Every one-point increase in DHQ score (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High DHQ score (≥3) (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.26-0.91)^*</td>
<td>0.73 (0.55-0.97)^+</td>
<td>0.64 (0.32-1.27)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.64-1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Model 1</td>
<td>0.74 (0.35-1.55)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.61-1.21)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.25-1.30)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.59-1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Model 2</td>
<td>0.77 (0.35-1.69)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.58-1.18)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.24-1.41)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.60-1.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing</td>
<td>Wholegrains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>0.33 (0.17-0.63)^+</td>
<td>0.54 (0.38-0.76)^+</td>
<td>0.32 (0.16-0.68)^+</td>
<td>0.67 (0.48-0.95)^+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Model 1</td>
<td>0.49 (0.24-1.03)</td>
<td>0.67 (0.44-1.01)^+</td>
<td>0.43 (0.19-1.01)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.53-1.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Model 2</td>
<td>0.38 (0.18-0.82)^+</td>
<td>0.61 (0.39-0.94)^+</td>
<td>0.35 (0.15-0.83)^+</td>
<td>0.76 (0.49-1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing</td>
<td>Fruit and Vegetable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>0.53 (0.25-1.09)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.43-1.25)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.19-0.89)^+</td>
<td>0.49 (0.28-0.89)^+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Model 1</td>
<td>0.66 (0.25-1.78)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.54-1.87)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.36-4.25)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.40-1.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Model 2</td>
<td>0.46 (0.15-1.40)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.43-1.64)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.23-5.06)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.33-1.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing</td>
<td>Healthier sources of Dietary Fat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>0.68 (0.37-1.25)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.57-1.19)</td>
<td>0.49 (0.25-0.97)^+</td>
<td>0.65 (0.44-0.95)^+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Model 1</td>
<td>0.87 (0.42-1.79)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.64-1.53)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.33-1.72)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.56-1.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Model 2</td>
<td>0.80 (0.39-1.66)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.52-1.39)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.32-1.71)</td>
<td>0.58 (0.30-1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing</td>
<td>Fiber intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>1.33 (0.71-2.49)</td>
<td>1.11 (0.83-1.47)</td>
<td>1.70 (0.87-3.34)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.93-1.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Model 1</td>
<td>1.55 (0.77-3.09)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.85-1.64)</td>
<td>1.80 (0.84-3.86)</td>
<td>1.42 (0.99-2.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Model 2</td>
<td>1.21 (0.57-2.56)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.74-1.52)</td>
<td>1.59 (0.70-3.58)</td>
<td>1.35 (0.92-1.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing</td>
<td>Omega 3 Fatty Acid Intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>0.62 (0.32-1.20)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.76-1.39)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.33-1.41)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.59-1.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Model 1</td>
<td>0.83 (0.40-1.73)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.81-1.57)</td>
<td>1.29 (0.55-2.99)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.65-1.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Model 2</td>
<td>0.70 (0.32-1.53)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.74-1.51)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.52-3.27)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.66-1.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing</td>
<td>including quality of fat intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>0.63 (0.32-1.25)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.56-0.93)^+</td>
<td>0.71 (0.33-1.52)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.69-1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Model 1</td>
<td>0.66 (0.26-1.16)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.55-1.05)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.32-2.42)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.67-1.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Model 2</td>
<td>0.59 (0.22-1.54)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.54-1.04)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.22-1.71)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.61-1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing</td>
<td>Healthier Food Preparation methods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>0.44 (0.23-0.82)^+</td>
<td>0.73 (0.56-0.95)^+</td>
<td>0.60 (0.30-1.22)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.69-1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Model 1</td>
<td>0.67 (0.33-1.36)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.64-1.16)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.39-1.96)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.75-1.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Model 2</td>
<td>0.60 (0.28-1.32)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.57-1.06)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.41-2.76)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.69-1.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing</td>
<td>Limiting Takeaway Snacks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>0.33 (0.13-0.81)^+</td>
<td>0.82 (0.72-0.95)^+</td>
<td>0.38 (0.14-0.99)^+</td>
<td>0.91 (0.78-1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR Model 1</td>
<td>0.44 (0.14-1.33)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.69-0.96)^+</td>
<td>0.66 (0.20-2.15)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.81-1.21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21
HR Model 2 1.67 (0.36-7.72) 0.79 (0.65-0.96) 2.08 (0.25-17.56) 0.97 (0.78-1.23)

Note: Model adjusted for age, gender and eGFR. Model 2 is adjusted for variables in Model 1 and BMI, malnutrition status (SGA), diabetes and number of comorbidities. HR of high DHQ score is compared to low DHQ score; low DHQ score is used as the reference category.

# Food Choice assesses the quality of fat intake in an individual’s diet through frequency intake of low fat versus regular fat products intake of fish, processed meat and type of spread consumed

+ indicates result is significant as p-value ≤0.05

* Refers to Death, commencement of dialysis or doubling of serum creatinine
Figures
Figure 1. Flow diagram indicating pathways of outcomes of sample

Number approached
N=156

Total recruited subjects
N=145
- Subjects from Site A n= 47
- Subjects from Site B n= 48
- Subjects from Site C n=50

Lost of follow-up
N= 29
- Transfer care n= 4
- Lost follow up n= 10
- Withdrew n= 12
- Discharged=3

Reached endpoint
N= 47
- Died n= 30
- Commenced dialysis n=12
- Doubled serum creatinine* n=5

*When no other endpoint is reached

Survived
N= 69
Figure 2. Risk of composite clinical endpoint with every one-point increase in DHQ score stratified by dietary domains of the DHQ in a cohort of CKD patients (n=145)

Note: This figure represents adjusted model 2 that was adjusted for age, gender, eGFR, SGA, BMI, diabetes and number of comorbidities. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.
Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier survival curves stratified by low (<3) and high (≥3) DHQ scores of fruit and vegetable intake in a cohort of CKD patients (n=145)