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ADDRESSING EARLY MARRIAGE: CULTURALLY COMPETENT PRACTICES AND ROMANIAN ROMA (“GYPSY”) COMMUNITIES

“Every year, an estimated 14 million girls are married before they turn 18. Robbed of their childhood, denied their rights to health, education and security.”
- Girls Not Brides: The Global Partnership to End Child Marriage

“Exercis[ing] some restraint before interfering with others is of benefit to any culture, the Gypsies’ as well as the culture in which we live.”
- Walter O. Weyrauch

Judy Hale Reed*

Early marriage affects many communities around the world. Examples of commonly practiced early marriage can be found today in the U.S., India, Syria, and many other places. Although most countries have instituted minimum age laws for marriage, so that legal marriage can only occur after an age set by law, early marriage is still practiced for tradition, control, security, and other reasons. This article explores the harms of early marriage and the international instruments meant to defend against these harms in Part II. Part III reviews theoretical perspectives from legal anthropology and presents a case study of early marriage in Romanian Roma, or “Gypsy,” communities. Part IV sets out the concepts of legal pluralism and critical feminist intersectional theory and then uses these legal theories to examine early marriage. Part IV of this article looks at culturally competent approaches to raising the marriage age in Romanian Roma communities. The conclusion identifies respectful, culturally competent ways to reduce early marriage by engaging communities.
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2 WALTER O. WERYAUCH, GYPSY LAW 269 (2001) [hereinafter WEYRAUCH, GYPSY LAW].
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Introduction

This article sets out to understand why early marriage is a practice that makes sense within the communities that practice it, and how to respectfully support communities to raise the age of marriage in those communities. Part I establishes that early marriage is widely practiced in many contexts and cultures, and briefly looks rationales for early marriage in cultures where it is practiced. Part II examines the harms of early marriage from a human rights perspective, and summarizes the international human rights standards that are meant to protect girls.

Part III is a comparative analysis using the legal anthropology concepts of insider (“emic”) and outsider (“etic”) perspectives. Part III then applies these concepts in a case study, to examine the practice of early marriage in isolated, marginalized Romanian Roma (“Gypsy”) 4

---

4 See explanation of the term “Gypsy,” supra note 3.
communities. Few people know about Roma law systems, much less about marriage customs in Roma communities. This Part also explores how national laws and international European human rights laws interact with customary Roma laws that allow or require early marriage, and examines some of the challenges in implementing the legislative framework. These challenges include reports that efforts to uphold broader human rights may further marginalize vulnerable communities.

The analysis of coexisting law or law-like systems, that is, different and sometimes conflicting laws or law systems within one socio-political space, is called legal pluralism. Part IV uses the legal theories of legal pluralism and critical feminist intersectional analysis to analyze early marriage practices and interventions in Romanian Roma communities. This comparative portion of the article will analyze how human rights laws and standards have been used to help, and harm, some of the communities these instruments were drafted to protect.

Part V presents conclusions and good practices based on critical feminist intersectional theory and recent field reports, studies, and recommendations which address early marriage laws or norms and practices in Romanian Roma communities. Again using the case study of Romanian Roma communities, this Part suggests ways to support internal community change and the empowerment of Roma communities generally. This article hopes to support and advance critical feminist intersectional theorists’ work in and about Romanian Roma communities in order to increase culturally competent and sustainable social change.

I. The Scope of Early Marriage

5 Susan Caffrey and Gary Mundy, *Informal Systems of Justice: The Formation of Law Within Gypsy Communities*, 45 AM. J. COMP. L. 251, 251-2 (1997) [hereinafter Caffrey & Mundy] (discussing Weyrauch & Bell’s, infra note 27, presentation of the concept of “autonomous lawmaking,” the use of informal controls to maintain social order through social shame and for extreme cases relying, for example, in some Roma communities on a tribunal system of justice, and in other communities relying on bloodfeuds for conflict resolution).
The term “early marriage”\(^7\) is used throughout this article, except in quoted language, although many works use the term “child marriage”\(^8\) or use both terms. The term child marriage is sometimes used in an inflammatory, ethnocentric manner to objectify cultural differences, and this article seeks to acknowledge but not perpetuate such attitudes while promoting an inclusive and respectful dialogue. Therefore, the term early marriage is used for accuracy and consistency.

1. **Common Law Marriageable Age**

The phenomenon of early marriage is not unusual, and has become more of an issue in the modern era. Early marriage is widespread in over twenty countries, mostly less developed nations,\(^9\) but is not isolated to remote places. For example, the common law age of marriage in


the U.S. was historically twelve for females and fourteen for males, and has been raised by state statutes only in approximately the past hundred or so years. As recently as 1957, prominent American musician Jerry Lee Lewis married a thirteen-year-old girl. Most U.S. states still allow marriage at age sixteen, and a few states also permit marriage under the age of sixteen with parental, guardian, or judicial consent. Early marriage has been practiced in the U.S. as recently as the 2000’s by the fringe Mormon group known as Fundamentalist Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints. The (non-Fundamentalist) Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints ceased practicing polygamy officially in 1890, yet several splinter groups, including this Fundamentalist group, have continued to practice polygamy with both adult and young adolescent girls.

---


11. See Frank H. Keezer, The Law of Marriage and Divorce: Giving the Law in All the States and Territories with Approved Forms, § 32 (photo. reprint 1991) 22 (1906) (showing age of marriage for males is 18-21 in 34 of 52 states and territories, for females is 13-16 in 37 of 52 states and territories).


15. Billie, supra note 14, at 128 (“Though the Church officially denounced polygamy in 1890, splinter groups, such as the Fundamentalist Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints (FLDS), continued the practice.”).

16. Shayna M. Sigman, Everything Lawyers Know About Polygamy Is Wrong, 16 CORNELL J.L. & PUB. POL’Y 101, 141, 167, 177-180 (2006) (discussing the harms resulting from the fact that “the average age that women marry is younger [in polygynous societies] than it is for monogamous societies,” at 178, and citing cases with adolescent women as young as thirteen and sixteen) citing Richard A. Vazquez, The Practice of Polygamy: Legitimate Free
Outside of the U.S., India provides another example of early marriage. The Indian penal code was amended in 1891 to change the age of consent for girls to marry from ten to twelve years of age. The legal age of marriage in India is now twenty-one for men and eighteen for women; marriages under the age of sixteen are void and marriages between the ages sixteen to eighteen are voidable. Nevertheless, 47% of children are married before the age of eighteen in India. This is due in part to the fact that Muslims in India can follow Shariah law, which can permit marriage at an earlier age but requires the consent of both partners. However, there is also an urban-rural split regarding early marriage in India generally, with data indicating that nearly twice as many early marriages occurred in rural areas as in urban areas.

2. Recent Developments in Early Marriage

Other examples of early marriage span the globe, and include traditional cultural arrangements as well as modern responses to new crises. In 2013, Spain raised the age of consent for marriage from fourteen to sixteen and is considering raising the age of consent for sex, which is thirteen, to increase protection against child sexual abuse. Romania promulgated a new civil code in 2011, which raised the legal age of marriage in Romania to eighteen for both men and women, with an exception for youth aged sixteen to eighteen who obtain parental or guardian’s

---

20 Gaffney-Rhys, Marriagesable Age, supra note 13, at 231 (noting that Shariah or Islamic law allows earlier marriages in, as examples, India and Nigeria).
21 UNICEF, World’s Children 2009, supra note 19 (Data from 1998 to 2007 showing that 47% of women aged twenty to twenty four years were married or in union before they were eighteen years old, with 29% of early marriages in urban areas and 56% in rural areas.)
Recent news has reported on a marriage between a ninety-year old Saudi man and a fifteen-year-old girl, and teenage female Syrian refugees forced to marry Jordanian or other men for protection from the increased risk of rape and the general lack of economic security and personal safety in the refugee camps.

3. Early Marriage as a Function of Culture

As documented in the Syrian refugee camps, even where early marriage is not traditionally practiced, early marriage can emerge as a rational response to an irrational or dangerous context. Many traditional cultures may have social pressure for early marriage
because of the importance of virginity and purity, for both men and women but often focused more on women.\textsuperscript{27} While early marriage is not a rare practice, it often declines as a culture modernizes and urbanizes, and as education increases.\textsuperscript{28} In addition, it is important to note that not all individuals in a culture that practices early marriage necessarily agree about the practice.

II. The Harms of Early Marriage and Relevant International Protections

1. Research-Based Evidence of the Harms of Early Marriage

Early marriage is physically, psychologically, emotionally, educationally, and economically harmful; early marriage violates girls’ human rights.\textsuperscript{29} “Human rights” is an inherently Western, individualistic construct,\textsuperscript{30} and most writing criticizing or even discussing early marriage is based on a human rights approach.\textsuperscript{31} However, while the definition for early


marriage is based on the rights of individual girls and on both social and scientific research, these
definitions and approaches do not take into account the culture of a girl’s community. Askari
points out that Western conceptions of human rights focus on individualism, while more
traditional societies interpret the child’s best interest as requiring families’ preferences to
overrule the individual child’s preferences.\textsuperscript{32} Clearly a tension exists between respect for
community and the often-severe harms that befall very young brides.

Data shows that girls who marry during childhood and adolescence face much higher
rates of physical and mental problems.\textsuperscript{33} Young brides often face a wide array of health
problems related to early sexual activity and early pregnancy.\textsuperscript{34} These sex-specific harms include
increased risk of maternal death and morbidity, infant death and morbidity, obstetric fistula (a
rupturing of the walls of the vagina and colon or bladder, which causes incontinence and
infection without access to advanced medical assistance), and sexually transmitted infections
including HIV/AIDS.\textsuperscript{35} The leading cause of death for women aged fifteen to nineteen in

\begin{flushright}
http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/cedaw/docs/cedaw_crc_contributions/EuropeanRomaRightsCentre.pdf
[hereinafter ERRC Submission on Child Marriages among Roma]; Forced and Child Marriages, supra note 29;
UNICEF Child Spouses, supra note 26, at 2; Early Marriage: A Harmful Traditional Practice: 2005 A Statistical
[hereinafter UNICEF Harmful Traditional Practice]; see also Sonja Grover, Children’s Rights as Ground Zero in
the Debate on the Universality of Human Rights: The Child Marriage Issue as a Case Example, 2 ORIGINAL L. REV.
72 (2006) [hereinafter Grover] (examining “the debate on the validity of the notion of universal human rights in the
context of the child marriage issue.”).
\end{flushright}

\begin{flushright}
Askari, supra note 30, at 19.
\end{flushright}

\begin{flushright}
ERRC, Forced Arrange\textsuperscript{ed} Marriage of Minors among Traditional Romani Communities in Europe at section c)
Health (Nov. 15, 2006), available at http://www.errc.org/cms/upload/media/02/BA/m000002BA.pdf [hereinafter
ERRC Forced Arranged Marriage]; UNICEF Harmful Traditional Practice, supra note 31, at 22, 26.
\end{flushright}

\begin{flushright}
UNICEF Child Spouses, supra note 26, at 9-12.
\end{flushright}

\begin{flushright}
Grover, supra note 31, at 74; Edwige Rude-Antoine, Forced Marriages in Council of Europe Member States: A
Comparative Study of Legislation and Political Initiatives, COUNCIL OF EUROPE DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF HUMAN
women/CDEG(2005)1_en.pdf [hereinafter Rude-Antoine]; Sanyukta Mathur, Margaret Greene, and Anju Malhotra,
Too Young to Wed: The Lives, Rights, and Health of Young Married Girls, INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR RESEARCH
ON WOMEN, 9 [hereinafter Mathur, Greene, & Malhotra].
\end{flushright}
developing countries and areas without access to adequate medical care are complications during pregnancy and childbirth.\textsuperscript{36}

Girls can also suffer depression, anxiety, and other psychological ills due to early, and often unwanted, sexual contact for which they are not physically or emotionally prepared, sometimes with a partner who was forced upon them.\textsuperscript{37} Early marriage is often defined as forced marriage because minors, as children, are not able to give consent.\textsuperscript{38} Consummation of such marriages may be defined as statutory rape where sex with children is criminalized.\textsuperscript{39}

Early marriage reduces girls access to education and opportunities for social development. Girls who are forced to marry lose autonomy, including their right to access education.\textsuperscript{40} Married girls are expected to attend to household and childrearing duties, not their own education.\textsuperscript{41} Lack of education in turn reduces employment opportunities, which causes economic insecurity and greater dependence on their husband’s family. Because young brides are expected to remain at home, they also lose the opportunity to interact with their peers, make friends, and develop social support systems.\textsuperscript{42}

\begin{itemize}
\item[36] UNICEF \textit{Child Spouses, supra} note 26, at 11.
\item[37] \textit{International Child Marriage Act, supra} note 8, at 1, 2; \textit{ERRC Submission on Child Marriages among Roma, supra} note 31, section on \textit{Health}.
\item[38] \textit{ERRC Forced Arranged Marriage, supra} note 33, at § 1.
\item[39] \textit{See} Rude-Antoine, \textit{supra} note 35, at 21; Gaffney-Rhys, \textit{Marriageable Age, supra} note 13, at 229 (“If a person under the age of eighteen has been forced into a marriage and forced into a sexual relationship a breach of Art 34 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, which provides a right to protection from sexual exploitation and sexual abuse, will also occur.”).
\item[40] Thomas, \textit{ Forced and Early Marriage, supra} note 27, at 8.
\item[41] Mathur, Greene, & Malhotra, \textit{supra} note 35, at 9-10 (“Young married girls are least likely to benefit from educational and economic policies and programs, such as those that encourage primary and secondary school enrollment or expand opportunities for credit or participation in the paid workforce. Indeed, many of the basic resources and services available to other segments of the population are beyond the reach of young married girls. [Moreover, e]arly marriage sharply reduces girls’ access to education, while anticipation of early marriage often precludes education.” at 9, \textit{internal citations omitted}).
\item[42] Mathur, Greene, & Malhotra, \textit{supra} note 35, at 11.
\end{itemize}
An extreme, though rare, outcome of early marriage is human trafficking. Human trafficking, often referred to as modern day slavery, is defined as movement of persons for purposes of exploitation including elements of force, fraud, or coercion. Trafficking includes labor exploitation in, *inter alia*, industry, agriculture, domestic servitude, service work, forced begging, and criminal activities as well as forced prostitution and sexual exploitation of men, women, and transgender adults and minors. Recruitment is often creative, and can occur through false offers for work, education, and marriage, including offers from relatives and community members. Young girls may be especially susceptible to such recruitment when they are faced with early marriage. As an example of how trafficking can occur as a result of early marriage, the English Royal Courts of Justice recently heard a case in which a Nigerian girl who ran away from a forced early marriage wound up in a forced prostitution and debt bondage situation, as if jumping from the frying pan into the fire.

A World Bank study found a connection between early marriage and traffICKING for sexual exploitation, and links the lack of birth and marriage registration to the increased risk for

---

43 *ERRC Submission on Child Marriages among Roma*, supra note 31 (“forced child marriages can result in the trafficking of the young bride and increase her and her children’s vulnerability to trafficking. The barriers that Romani women and girls face, namely poverty, discrimination and marginalization, and the human rights violations that stem from child marriage place them in desperate situations which make them particularly vulnerable to being trafficked.”).


47 *Regina v O.*, [2008] R.C.J. No. 200802952/C1 (U.K.) (A case involving a minor from Nigeria who was arrested while attempting to leave England on a false passport. She successfully appealed the false-document conviction because she had fled a forced marriage in Nigeria to England but then was trapped into a sex trafficking and debt bondage situation, and had tried to use the passport in an attempt to reach a relative in France.).
trafficking of both very young brides and/or a young bride’s children from the early marriage.\textsuperscript{48} However, birth and marriage registration as part of a solution to human trafficking ignores the tension between this facially easy practice on one hand, and on the other hand cultural practices, which do not include or contradict the practice of registering births and marriages with authorities. This tension will be further explored in the case study presented in Part III.

2. \textit{International Human Rights Protections}

Because of the harms to children, and especially girls, from early marriage,\textsuperscript{49} a number of international instruments exist which protect girls.\textsuperscript{50} Encompassing varied approaches to protection and rights, these fit roughly into four overlapping categories: human rights, women’s rights, child rights, and European rights.\textsuperscript{51} Many of these categories provide for negative protections, such as non-discrimination, as well as positive protections, such as special provisions, quotas, and increased opportunities in many spheres of life including health, education, and employment.\textsuperscript{52}

In international rights-protecting documents, the right to self-determination in marriage is guaranteed in multiple instruments. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (“UDHR”) (1948) states in Article (1) that “men and women of full age . . . have the right to marry” and in

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{48} OSCE Awareness Raising for Roma Activists, supra note 7 (citing unpublished research findings in ODIHR-CPRSI [Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights-Contact Point for Roma and Sinti Issues] \textit{Report: Assessment Trip to Albania on Trafficking in Children from Roma and Egyptian Communities} (June 2003), available at http://www.osce.org/odihr/42549).
\textsuperscript{49} UNICEF \textit{Child Spouses}, supra note 26, at 3-4 (noting that fewer boys are impacted by child marriage and, moreover, that for boys early marriage often increases opportunity while for girls child marriage decreases opportunity and freedom, and is often exploitative and physically harmful).
\textsuperscript{50} \textit{Id.}, at 3 (providing a table of international human rights instruments related to early marriage).
\textsuperscript{51} \textit{Id.} European Roma rights will be addressed in the case study, \textit{infra} Part III.7.a.-b.
\textsuperscript{52} Regarding positive and negative protections or rights, \textit{see e.g.} Michael J. Perry, \textit{Protecting Human Rights in a Democracy: What Role for the Courts?}, 38 \textit{WAKE FOREST L. REV.} 635, 695 n125 (2003) (“[T]here are two basic kinds of human rights against government: “Negative” human rights state what government ought not to do to any human being (because every human being is sacred); “positive” human rights state what government ought to do for every human being (within its jurisdiction). Most human rights documents, national (constitutional) and international, articulate rights of both kinds . . .”); David Marcus, \textit{The Normative Development of Socioeconomic Rights Through Supranational Adjudication}, 42 \textit{STAN. J. INT’L L.} 53, 60 (2006).
Article (2) that “[m]arriage shall be entered into only with the free and full consent of intending spouses.”\textsuperscript{53} The Convention on Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (“CEDAW”) (1979) echoes the UDHR’s rights to freely choose marriage. CEDAW adds in Article (16.2) that “the marriage of a child shall have no effect.”\textsuperscript{54} However, CEDAW does not define child, but rather calls for states to “specify a minimum age for marriage.”\textsuperscript{55} This ambiguity and other limitations, including opt-outs in CEDAW and its Optional Protocol (1999), weaken the protections that CEDAW aims to provide by creating opportunities in the documents themselves to not enforce the rights it creates or advocates.\textsuperscript{56}

The Convention on the Rights of the Child (“CRC”) (1989, ratified by 193 countries) is the most comprehensive document for the matter of early marriage.\textsuperscript{57} The CRC defines children as people under the age of eighteen unless local law defines the age of majority as earlier,\textsuperscript{58} and states that “the child, by reason of his [sic] physical and mental immaturity, needs special

\textsuperscript{53} Universal Declaration of Human Rights, G.A. Res. 217/A (Dec. 10, 1948); similar provisions are in the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, G.A. Res. 2200A (XXI) (Dec. 16, 1996); International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, G.A. Res. 2200A (XXI), 21 U.N. GAOR Supp. (No. 16) at 52, U.N. Doc. A/6316 (1966); Supplementary Convention on the Abolition of Slavery, the Slave Trade, and Institutions and Practices Similar to Slavery, 226 U.N.T.S. 3 (Apr. 30, 1957) (Article (1) defines any practice which does not allow a woman the right to refuse marriage or any marriage in exchange for payment to any family member as similar to slavery); Convention to Consent to Marriage, Minimum Age for Marriage and Registration of Marriages, 521 U.N.T.S. 231, (Dec. 9, 1964) (a woman’s consent is required, the minimum age for marriage is fifteen years, and marriages must be registered).


\textsuperscript{55} Id., at 193.

\textsuperscript{56} UNICEF Child Spouses, supra note 26; ANNE-MARIE MOONEY COOTER, GENDER INJUSTICE: AN INTERNATIONAL COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF EQUALITY IN EMPLOYMENT, 61 (2004) ("[CEDAW] is essentially a weak and often ignored instrument among the States party to it, since signatories to CEDAW have made more reservations to its provisions than any other UN convention.").


\textsuperscript{58} CRC, supra note 31, at Article 1.
safeguards and care, including appropriate legal protection.” The CRC recognizes the harms explained previously; that early marriage subjects children to harmful traditional practices which often deny children access to education, equal opportunity, and decent health, while putting children at greatly increased risk of the many harms enumerated in the CRC. However, protecting children’s “best interests” could be an ambiguous provision (who determines a child’s best interests—the child, parents, community, or state?).

The European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), the fundamental European human rights document, does not contain provisions relating to early marriage or child rights. But, several other instruments are focused on the issue. The Council of Europe Parliamentary Assembly Resolution Forced Marriages and Child Marriages (2005) reflects much of the protective language of the CRC. Similarly, the Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action...
repeats and affirms the child protection language in the UN human rights documents. It calls for the “eradication of any conflicts which may arise between the rights of women and the harmful effects of certain traditional or customary practices [including] the removal of customs and practices which discriminate against and cause harm to the girl child.” However, this declaratory language fails to consider cultural integrity and autonomy, or the need to respectfully support cultural change in marginalized communities.

While the ECHR does not contain provisions for child protection, The European Court of Human Rights addresses cultural integrity:

there could be said to be an emerging international consensus amongst the Contracting States of the Council of Europe recognising the special needs of minorities and an obligation to protect their security, identity and lifestyle, not only for the purpose of safeguarding the interests of the minorities themselves but to preserve a cultural diversity of value to the whole community.

Yet a Rapporteur for the Council of Europe Parliamentary Assembly has declared a deep concern “about the serious and recurrent violations of human rights and the rights of the child which are constituted by ‘forced marriages’ and child marriages” and is “appalled” and “outraged by the fact that, under the cloak of respect for the culture and traditions of the migrant

---

66 Vienna Declaration and Programme of Action, WORLD CONFERENCE ON HUMAN RIGHTS (June 25, 1993), available at http://www2.ohchr.org/english/law/pdf/vienna.pdf, at ¶ 18, 38, 49. This declaration is based on discussions at the World Conference on Human Rights held in Vienna (1993), and states that “human rights of women and the girl-child are . . . universal human rights.”
67 Id., at ¶ 18, 38, 49.
68 ECHR, supra note 62.
69 EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS (Eur. Ct. H.R.), The Court in Brief, available at http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Court_in_brief_ENG.pdf (“The European Court of Human Rights is an international court set up in 1959. It rules on individual or State applications alleging violations of the civil and political rights set out in the European Convention on Human Rights. Since 1998 it has sat as a full-time court and individuals can apply to it directly.”).
communities, there are authorities which tolerate forced marriages and child marriages although they violate the fundamental rights of each and every victim.”

Here the Rapporteur is objecting not only to the young ages of brides but also to community-based decision making instead of individual decision making for entering into marriage. The latter approach is the basis of international and European human rights law regarding marriage.

However, these instruments do not work because the incidence of child marriage continues. Moreover, they cannot work or are destined to fail because they do not recognize cultural issues. Claude Cahn discusses how excluding traditional authorities hinders implementation of human rights goals, while acknowledging that Roma cultures and laws can sometimes conflict with human rights laws. Another scholar posits that rights-based claims should be analyzed within their cultural, social, political, and economic context and not

---

71 Rosemarie Zapfl-Helbling (Rapporteur), Forced Marriages and Child Marriages Report, COUNCIL OF EUROPE PARLIAMENTARY ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR WOMEN AND MEN, Doc. 10590 (June 20, 2005) (“The rapporteur has no data on Roma child marriages although they indubitably exist. Apparently the childhood and adolescence of Roma children end when they found their own families. A Roma proverb says, ‘Put your daughter on a chair, and as soon as her feet reach the ground, she is mature enough to be married’. Tradition dictated that the Roma girl, on reaching ‘adulthood, i.e [sic] adolescence, was entrusted by her mother, already caring for several other children, to her future husband who had the duty of ‘training’ her. Actually the parents entrusted their teenage daughters to the families of the husbands and the girls were often compelled to marry the husband chosen by their parents. Husbands were usually, though not as a rule, chosen by the fathers.” ¶ 25 and citing ROMA IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC: ADOLESCENCE, http://romove.radio.cz/en/article/18091 (last visited Dec. 13, 2012) [hereinafter ROMA IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC].

72 See discussion of the individualist orientation of human rights as a concept, citing Askari and Ravnbøl, supra note 30.

73 See e.g. ERRC Submission on Child Marriages among Roma, supra note 31, at 2 (“Despite the wealth of international legal provisions banning this practice, child marriage continues to be practiced in certain Romani communities around Europe.”)

74 Cahn, Romani Lawmaking, supra note 69, at 122. Claude Cahn has worked for close to two decades on human rights issues in Europe, in particular on matters related to systemic discrimination against Roma, and has worked for both the European Roma Rights Center (ERRC) and the United Nations on Roma rights matters.

75 Cahn, Romani Lawmaking, supra note 69, at 120-124.
“detached from . . . other dimensions of justice.” She contends that “[t]he right to culture and to recognition is [key] to the arena of human rights.”

III. Theoretical Perspectives and a Case Study

1. Legal Anthropology

This article acknowledges that “unconscious ethnocentrism cannot be eliminated.” But by undertaking comparative cultural studies, which is especially valuable in combination with critical feminist intersectional theory, this article helps the observer to acknowledge and value the perspectives of the people studied. Legal anthropology provides an opportunity to explore a culture from two intentionally different perspectives, the “emic” and the “etic.” The purpose of the emic (insider, or internal) approach is to put aside or work past the ethnocentrism of the observer by taking on the perspectives of the observed, and making the observed objects into the

---

76 Gila Stopler, Contextualizing Multiculturalism: A Three Dimensional Examination of Multicultural Claims, 1 L. & ETHICS HUM RTS. 309, 301-311 (2007) [hereinafter Stopler].
77 Stopler, supra note 75, at 352.
76 Weyrauch, Gypsy Law, supra note 2, at 243.
77 See generally Myres S. McDougal, The Comparative Study of Law for Policy Purposes: Value Clarification As an Instrument of Democratic World Order, 61 YALE L.J. 915, 916-917, 925 passim (1952) (discussing the importance of comparative studies and comparative cultural studies to promote security including what is today called human security, that is, health, dignity, freedom from discrimination, positive opportunities, etc., as well as safety); Melissa Harrison & Margaret E. Montoya, Voices/Voces in the Borderlands: A Colloquy on Re/constructing Identities in Re/constructed Legal Spaces, 6 COLUM. J. GENDER & L. 387, 400 (1996) (Using the concept of borderlands to engage in comparative cultural studies to explore essentialism and anti-essentialism, and describing “gender essentialism” as a concept that “has been used to critique the practice of some feminists who have described women’s experience as unchanging across ‘race, class, sexual orientation, and other realities of experience.’ This critique asserts that first men, and then women, of privilege have ‘erase[d] or appropriate[d] the experiences of those unlike themselves.”) (citing Angela P. Harris, Race and Essentialism in Feminist Legal Theory, 42 STAN. L. REV. 581 (1990); Judith Resnik, Ambivalence: The Resiliency of Legal Culture in the United States, 45 STAN. L. REV. 1525, 1538 (1993); Judith Resnik, Visible on “Women’s Issues”, IOWA L. REV. 41, 48 (1991)).
80 See generally Kimberlé Crenshaw, Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence against Women of Color, 43 STANFORD L. REV. 1241 (1991) and also appearing as Mapping the Margins in CRITICAL RACE THEORY: THE KEY WRITINGS THAT FORMED THE MOVEMENT (Crenshaw, Gotanda, Peller, Thomas Eds., 1996) [hereinafter Crenshaw] and see discussion infra Part IV.
subjects of the study. On the other hand, the etic (outsider, or external) perspective is inherently comparative: the reader or observer identifies reactions and responses to a culture or practice from an outsider or external position.

The emic perspective is an anthropological term defined as conveying “to the reader the way in which the studied culture views itself . . . through the eyes of a member of that culture.” It is comprised of the diverse viewpoints of all participants and experts within a culture. According to Dorothy H. Bracey, “[t]his approach values depth and context” and is useful for understanding how and why a culture structures or participates in a practice in some communities and some families. This in turn helps to understand how a phenomenon might be changed from within or from outside of a culture. By understanding a culture, outsiders and insiders may be able to work together to identify what needs to change, and why, while leaving intact the cultural traditions and practices which do not need to change.

Paul Bohannan, an early legal anthropologist who wrote extensively using the emic perspective, defines two types of law: the lawyers’ law, which is a “highly refined system of ideas and practices; and [on the other hand] the ethnological or comparative jurists’ law,” which is “any system . . . which controls, wholly or in part, the ‘force’ inherent in any ‘politically organized society.’” In a later work, Bohannan defines law by explaining how norms and customs can be a society’s law:

A norm is a rule . . . which expresses ‘ought’ aspects of relationships between human beings. Custom is a body of such

---

82 Beth Ann Wright, Preserving the Social Context: Translating Academic Education into Professional Practice through Contemporary Cognitive Theories, 11 T.M. Cooley J. Prac. & Clinical L. 17, 47 passim (2008) (describing the “subject (individuals or groups that act, and whose agency is selected as the point of view for the analysis) and an object (that which is acted upon)”).
83 Id.
84 Bracey, supra note 80, at 17.
85 Sally Falk Moore, Law and Anthropology: A Reader, 99 (2009) [hereinafter Falk Moore].
86 Bohannan, Among the Tiv, supra note 80, at 4.
norms . . . that is actually followed in practice most of the time . . . A legal institution is one by means of which the people of a society settle disputes that arise . . . and counteract any gross and flagrant abuses of the rules. 87

Bohannan practiced and advocated for studying legal systems by striving to understand them as the actors involved understood their work—from an insider or internal perspective. 88

In contrast to the “emic” perspective, an “etic” perspective is not just an outsider view of a culture or cultural phenomenon. It is a “deliberatively comparative” approach that looks for cross-cultural variables and seeks generalizations, and may more openly acknowledge the different social, cultural, and other biases and perspectives that any researcher brings to his or her work. 89 Max Gluckman, a legal anthropologist and contemporary of Bohannan, worked to understand legal systems as they compared to other legal systems, especially his own culture. 90

Bohannan and Gluckman debated the value of their respective frameworks for understanding law over the decades of their careers. 91 Gluckman focused legal anthropological “analysis on untangling the structure of specific situations against the general social background . . . marked in political science[,] economics[,] and sociology.” 92 Gluckman understood legal anthropology as a study of “two kinds of norms, ideal norms and behavioural norms, and a discussion of the relation between them.” 93 Gluckman defined law as “the conformity of upright people to

---

87 FALK MOORE, supra note 84, at 73 (quoting BOHANNAN, LAW AND WARFARE, The Differing Realms of Law 45 (1967) (internal citations omitted)).
88 FALK MOORE, supra note 84, at 72-73.
89 BRACEY, supra note 80, at 17 (citing GLUCKMAN, BAROTSE JURISPRUDENCE, supra, note 80; BOHANNAN, AMONG THE TIV, supra, note 80).
90 FALK MOORE, supra note 84, at 71-72 (discussing MAX GLUCKMAN, THE JUDICIAL PROCESS AMONG THE BAROTSE OF NORTHERN RHODESIA (1955), GLUCKMAN, THE IDEAS IN BAROTSE JURISPRUDENCE (1965)).
92 Max Gluckman, Limitations of the Case-Method in the Study of Tribal Law, 7 LAW & SOC’Y REV. 611 (1972-1973) [hereinafter Gluckman, Limitations].
93 Id., at 620.
At the center of his work was a comparison of the law systems he studied to the Anglo-American courts. Although he was criticized for describing the cultures he studied by comparing them to his own English culture, he is also credited with contributing to the creation of the emic analysis to the field of legal anthropology.

Addressing both the insider-participant and the outsider-observer perspectives creates a comparative framework that supports a richer, more realistic, and more respectful framework to analyze social, cultural, and legal phenomena of early marriage. Using this combined comparative perspective to look at a legal and cultural phenomenon from both emic (insider) and etic (outsider) frameworks allows for a more comprehensive analysis. Yet neither is complete or definitive.

2. A Case Study: Romanian Roma Culture and Early Marriage

This Part presents a case study on the phenomenon of early marriage in some Roma communities in Romania, where the phenomenon persists although it is not widely practiced or well studied. Early marriage is not unique to Roma peoples. However, it is necessary to start by looking at the context of Roma cultures because they are unusual, obscure, and exceptionally marginalized.

94 Id.
95 Id.
96 FALK MOORE, supra note 84, at 71-72; Conley & O’Barr, supra note 90, at 210.
97 Id.
99 Alexandra Oprea, Re-Envisioning Social Justice from the Ground Up: Including the Experiences of Romani Women, 1 ESSEX HUMAN RIGHTS REVIEW 29, 33 (2004) [hereinafter Oprea, Re-Envisioning] (“Disaggregated data, a primary tool in challenging such structural subordination [including early marriage and the factors which contribute to it], has been difficult to come by in the case of Romani women.”).
100 Oprea, The Arranged Marriage, supra note 97, at 136; see also Part I.
Roma cultures are particularly unique. Roma peoples are an “extremely heterogeneous group” and speak many languages. Roma people originated from present-day India or Pakistan and migrated over a thousand years ago to Europe and other regions of the world. Some groups are nomadic, and others have been settled in communities of their own or of a dominant or host culture for many generations. Two interrelated themes about Roma culture are important to bear in mind. First, Roma peoples have been and in many areas continue to be harassed, exploited, persecuted, enslaved, vilified, forcibly sterilized, and murdered by non-Roma peoples. Second, Roma peoples generally deride assimilation and view themselves as separate to a degree that is unfamiliar to the dominant cultures in which Roma peoples live. Therefore, it is for cultural preservation and basic survival that Roma cultures have developed as largely separatist.

101 Ian Hancock, A Brief History of the Roma, PATRIN, http://www.reocities.com/~patrin/history.htm (last visited Apr. 17, 1999) [hereinafter Hancock, Brief History] (“There are four Rom ‘tribes’, or nations (natsiya), of Roma: the Kalderash, the Machavaya, the Lovari, and the Churari. Other groups include the Romanichal, the Gitanoes (Calé), the Sinti, the Rudari, the Manush, the Boyash, the Ungaritza, the Luri, the Bashaldé, the Romungro, and the Xoraxai.”); see also ERRC Forced Arranged Marriage, supra note 33.

102 Hancock, Brief History, supra note 100 (“The Romani language is of Indo-Aryan origin and has many spoken dialects, but the root language is ancient Punjabi, or Hindi. The spoken Romani language is varied, but all dialects contain some common words in use by all Roma.”).

103 Hancock, Brief History supra note 100; Weyrauch & Bell, supra note 27, at 386; Isabel Mendizabal et al, Reconstructing the Population History of European Romani from Genome-wide Data, 22 CURRENT BIOLOGY No. 24, at 2343-2349 (Dec.18, 2012).

104 WYRAUCH, GYPSY LAW, supra note 2, at 245, n. 5 on 245 (discussing the use of host versus dominant culture to describe the wider society in which Roma people live, given the often extremely inhospitable nature of the “host” culture and suggesting further readings on the topic).

105 On Roma peoples being nomadic or settled, see CHRIS JOHNSON & MARC WILLERS, GYPSY AND TRAVELLER LAW 12 (2nd ed. 2004); also based on the author’s observations of settled Roma communities in the Republic of Moldova, including the regional center (town) Soroca which is popularly referred to as the Roma capital of Moldova because of the large neighborhood of established permanent Roma homes there, see also Anna Alboth, Meeting the “Gypsy King” and His People, THE FAMILY WITHOUT BORDERS (June 9, 2010), http://thefamilywithoutborders.com/roma-meetings-soroca-moldova-2010-06-09/ (last visited Apr. 6, 2013).


This separation or isolation is manifested in a number of ways in daily life and community structure, including social mechanisms to separate and protect women, and the concept of purity which functions to isolate, protect, and preserve Roma cultures. Women are particularly to be protected because they are almost solely responsible for raising children; as such, women are the propagators of culture. Many Roma refuse to register with any authorities or hold state identification documents, for reasons of survival, safety, and tradition. These two interrelated themes of exploitation and isolation, and the ways in which they have shaped Roma persecution, the Gypsies are inclined to distrust all non-Gypsies”.

---

108 Ronald Lee, The Rom-Vlach Gypsies and the Kris-Romani, 45 AM. J COMP. L. 345, 361 (1997) [hereinafter Lee, The Rom-Vlach] (Explaining purity as “a complicated system of taboos concerning areas of pollution and defilement [including] personal behavior toward his fellows” and noting that “[t]he difference between the non-Gypsy concept of cleanliness and visible dirt and Gypsy purity and invisible pollution is difficult to explain to outsiders except those who have similar customs (Jews, Hindus, Muslims, etc.),” thus pointing out that these beliefs and taboos are not so strange because they appear in many better-known cultures and religions.); see also Ian Hancock, The Pariah Syndrome, PATRIN, http://www.reocities.com/~patrin/pariah-ch15.htm (last visited Apr. 8, 2013) (“To a greater or lesser extent all Gypsy groups have inherited from India concepts of pollution and cleanliness, and these form a powerful basis for maintaining social distance from non-Gypsies.”).

109 Weyrauch & Bell, supra note 27, at 386; WLEYRAUCH, GYPSY LAW, supra note 3, at 3 (Women are “the guardians of law, because they communicate the taboos to their offspring from early infancy.”); Romani Customs and Traditions: Marriage, PATRIN, http://www.reocities.com/~patrin/marriage.htm (last visited Apr. 7, 2013) [hereinafter PATRIN, Romani Marriage] (“Roma women are the guarantors for the survival of the population.”).

110 See Lindsey Cameron, The Right to an Identity, ERRC (2003), available at http://www.errc.org/article/the-right-to-an-identity/1066; Anne Sutherland, Gypsy Identity, Names and Social Security Numbers, 17 POL. & LEGAL ANTHROPOLOGY REV. 75, 79 (1994); Anca Covrig, Why Roma do not Declare their Identity—Careful Decision or Unpremeditated Refusal?, JOURNAL FOR THE STUDY OF RELIGIONS & IDEOLOGIES 8, 92, 98-99 (2004) available at http://www.jsri.ro/old/html%20version/index/no_8/ancacovrig-articol.htm (“The Roma have been especially sensitive about data collection of any kind since it was used against them by the Nazis during World War II.” The article concludes that harms perpetuated against Roma using data collected from and about them is a main reason for refusing to identify or register with the state or any non-Roma authorities); Lee, The Rom-Vlach, supra note 107, at 356-357 (noting that “alien host-culture always demands that ethnic and linguistic minority-group members have a conventional surname that can be spelled and pronounced by bureaucrats,” so Roma people will provide any simple host culture name. “This non-Gypsy name is simply a rendering unto Caesar and has no significance whatsoever among the Rom. It can be discarded and replaced, and in fact, it is usually one of many names (aliases) that the Rom provide to Caesar or use for business purpose.”); David Friedman, Gypsy Law, http://www.daviddfriedman.com/Academic/Course_Pages/legal_systems_very_different_12/Book_Draft/Systems/GypsyLaw.html (last visited Mar. 10, 2013), (“Gypsies treat a name, more generally an identity, as fungible, property belonging to the extended family to be used by any member who finds it useful.”).
cultures including Roma laws or informal rule systems, are a necessary foundation in order to understand Roma cultures’ strengths and challenges.

Roma groups are many and diverse. “[N]ot all Romani groups practise arranged marriages[,] and the amount of choice given to the participants varies from group to group.”

While this Part focuses on Romanian Roma practices, some of the data presented is on early marriage practices generally, because most data on early marriage is gathered by region or poorly disaggregated. Not enough research had been conducted and scant data is available to analyze a single Roma group without at times bringing in broader data. This is compounded by resistance to data collection in Roma communities, which is rooted in good reason, as information gathered officially or by the state about Roma peoples has both historically been and is currently used to harm Roma people and their communities.

Finally, a note on terminology for this case study. This article uses the term Roma for several reasons. First, Roma is the word used for the peoples in Romania who comprise the scope of this article. As Cahn explains, “Romania has, broadly, three categories of people identified as ‘Gypsies’ . . . Kashtale, Beash, and Rom[.] Roma . . . speak Romani, follow

---

111 Oprea, The Arranged Marriage, supra note 97, at 136.
112 Kocze, supra note 7, at 3-4, 6 (calling for increased collection of data on Roma children and data disaggregated by sex and ethnicity).
114 Kocze, supra note 7, at ¶ 10.
115 Cahn, Romani Lawmaking, supra note 69, at 96, passim; Ian Hancock, Glossary of Romani Terms, 45 AM. J. COMP. L. 329, 341 (1997) (“Rom[.] ‘person of Romani descent.’ However, because of their isolation, the Romani populations who were held in slavery for between five and six centuries in Romania have come to regard themselves alone as being the ‘real’ Rrom, distinct from other non-Rrom Gypsy populations such as the Sinti or the Basalde. Nevertheless all non-Rrom populations refer to their culture and language as Romani, and use the word Rom (rather than the specifically Vlax Rrom) to mean either ‘Gypsy’ or ‘husband.’ Pl. either Rrom or Rroma.”); Hancock, Brief History, supra note 100 (discussing the diverse groups of people known as Roma).
Romani traditions and rules[,] are in around ten to fifteen subgroups, and would call themselves Rrom.”\textsuperscript{116} According to Ronald Lee, “[t]he Rom-Vlach Gypsies are a widespread group of interrelated clans and families who exist in Romania . . .”\textsuperscript{117} Cahn points out that Rom-Vlach is not an autochthonous\textsuperscript{118} term; Roma do not use this term for themselves.\textsuperscript{119} Because this case study is focused, as much as possible, on Roma peoples in Romania, Roma appears to be the most respectful and accurate term for this article.\textsuperscript{120}

3. Rationales for Early Marriage in Romanian Roma Communities

Romanian Roma feminist scholar Alexandra Oprea addresses the need to analyze current as well as historic “economic, social, and political factors”\textsuperscript{121} when studying Roma communities. Early marriage must be addressed in light of “the interplay between patriarchy, racism and

\textsuperscript{116} Claude Cahn, (email on file with the author, Mar. 13, 2013).
\textsuperscript{117} Lee, The Rom-Vlach, supra note 107, at 345 n1 (noting that the term Rom-Vlach is used in studying the group to identify its members, but not used by the group members).
\textsuperscript{119} Cahn, Romani Lawmaking supra note 69, at 96 n14.
\textsuperscript{120} See generally IAN HANCOCK, AMES AM E RROMANE DZENE/WE ARE THE ROMANI PEOPLE 2 (2001); YARON MATRAS, ROMANI: A LINGUISTIC INTRODUCTION 5, 161 (2002); Alin Doxoftei, Names of the Romani People (Dec. 24, 2007), available at http://desicritics.org/2007/12/24/012125.php (last visited Apr. 6, 2013) (referring to Roma and Romani people, though calling for Romani as the common term); WEYRAUCH, GYPSY LAW, supra note 2 (passim using Roma and Romani interchangeably to refer to Gypsy peoples); see also EUROPEAN ROMA RIGHTS CENTER, available at http://www.errc.org/; ROMA RIGHTS WATCH, available at https://romarightswatch.crowdmap.com/; OSCE Action Plan on Improving the Situation of Roma and Sinti in OSCE Area, available at http://www.osce.org/odihr/36374 [hereinafter OSCE Roma and Sinti Action Plan] (“In line with OSCE practice, this report uses the term “Roma and Sinti” to describe the population that in official Italian documents are commonly referred to “nomadi’, [sic] or nomads. This population consists of several subgroups, chief among them the Roma, often migrants from Romania and the western Balkans, and the Sinti, most of whom have settled in Italy for a long time.” n1); and COUNCIL OF EUROPE COMMITTEE OF MINISTERS, 1119th Meeting (Sept. 11, 2011), available at https://wcd.coe.int/ViewDoc.jsp?Ref=CM%282011%29999&Ver=add2&Language=IanEnglish&Site=CM&BackColorInternet=DBDCF2&BackColorIntranet=FD864&BackColorLogged=FD864 (“in the present recommendation, the term ‘Roma’ includes not only Roma but also Sinti, Kali, Ashkali, ‘Egyptians’, Manouche and kindred population groups in Europe, together with Travellers, so as to embrace the great diversity of the groups concerned”).
\textsuperscript{121} Oprea, Child Marriage, supra note 8 (Oprea is a Romanian Roma woman academic and activist, and discusses Roma feminist resistance within Romani communities from the perspective of “Romani women, who lie at the intersection of race, class and gender, [and] suffer from multiple burdens.”).
economic stratification” from within the communities where it occurs, and thus from a culturally competent perspective. This framework for analysis will be used to look at the phenomenon of early marriage in Romanian Roma communities. Within the Roma communities in Romania that practice early marriage in some or all families, marriage is not before puberty and marriage may take the form of a betrothal early in life without consummation until the individuals are older.

According to Jeff Timmerman, Roma communities “embrace[] juvenile arranged marriage as a protectionist strategy and means of cultural, economic, and societal preservation and autonomy.” Early marriage is perpetuated by tradition, which is demonstrated in the

123 Cultural competence or cross-cultural competence originated in the medical field and is a process as much as a skill, “an ability to understand, communicate with, and effectively interact with people across cultures.” Mercedes Martin & Billy Vaughn, STRATEGIC DIVERSITY & INCLUSION MGMT. MAG., at 31-36 (2007); see Annette Demers, *Cultural Competence and the Legal Profession: An Annotated Bibliography of Materials Published Between 2000 and 2011*, 39 INT’L J. LEGAL INFO. 22, 24 (2011) (“Multicultural . . . competence is achieved by the [professional’s] acquisition of awareness, knowledge, and skills needed to function effectively in a pluralistic democratic society (ability to communicate, interact, negotiate, and intervene on behalf of clients from diverse backgrounds) and on an organizational/societal level, advocating effectively to develop new theories, practices, policies, and organizational structures that are more responsive to all groups.”) citing D. W. Sue & G. C. Torino, *Racial--Cultural Competence: Awareness, Knowledge, and Skills*, in HANDBOOK OF RACIAL--CULTURAL PSYCHOLOGY AND COUNSELING. Vol. 2. TRAINING AND PRACTICE 3 at 8, (R. T. Carter ed., 2005); Theresa Hughes, *The Neglect of Children and Culture: Responding to Child Maltreatment with Cultural Competence and A Review of Child Abuse and Culture: Working with Diverse Families*, 44 FAM. CT. REV. 501, 507 n.14 (2006) (“Over the last decade, there has been a host of cultural competence materials and research; however, this has been primarily in the mental health, not the legal, realm.”); Margaret Montoya, *Defending the Future Voices of Critical Race Feminism*, 39 U.C. DAVIS L. REV. 1305, 1319 (2006) (“Cultural competence is a developmental process that evolves over an extended period.”); see also Susan Bryant, *The Five Habits: Building Cross-Cultural Competence in Lawyers*, 8 CLINICAL L. REV. 33, 34 (2001) (demonstrating “the importance of lawyers learning cross-cultural concepts and skills”)
124 Oprea, *Child Marriage*, supra note 8 (“only some Roma arrange the marriages of their children, [and] it is also important to differentiate between arranged marriages and child marriages; not all arranged marriages involve underage actors”).
126 [Bitu & Morteanu, supra note 27, at 29, 103.
adage, “sit your daughter in a chair and if her feet touch the ground, she’s ready for marriage.”

Layers of reasons surround the practice of early marriage, which in some Roma communities is between the ages of nine and fourteen and in others between the ages twelve to fifteen although some evidence suggests that marriage age may be dropping. As a protectionist strategy, marriage is arranged to maintain Roma culture, social isolation, and purity.

The concept of purity is at the core of the diverse Roma cultures and involves elaborate taboos, which entail never discussing lower body functions, including all aspects of sexual health (menstruation, sexual activity, birth control, childbirth). Even opaque references to sex are strictly prohibited between mother and daughter or husband and wife. The result of these taboos is that Roma girls, who should be virgins at marriage, know nothing about sex when they marry. This becomes dangerous to a girl’s health and well-being when marriage includes having sex to consummate the marriage as a part of the extended wedding customs.

Thus, in part to maintain purity and virginity, marriage occurs while both partners are young to protect both partners, but particularly the girls. Early marriage increases the chances that the bride and groom are pure when they marry. This in turn upholds the important cultural

128 ROMA IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC, supra note 70.
129 Weyrauch & Bell, supra note 27, at 348.
130 Cahn, Romani Lawmaking, supra note 69, at 120-121.
131 PATRIN, Romani Marriage, supra note 108; WEYRAUCH, GYPSY LAW, supra note 2, at 3 (“Sexuality, procreation, and marriage seem to be perceived in Romaniya [Roma law or legal system] as fundamental notions that sustain law.”); see also Lee, The Rom-Vlach, supra note 107, on purity; Cahn, Romani Lawmaking, supra note 69, at 98 (purity has been conflated with Roma law, or Romaniya, but purity is better described as only one part of Roma law which is focused on honor.).
133 Id.
134 Weyrauch & Bell, supra note 27, at 349; see also Rašidova, supra note 27.
135 Lee, The Rom-Vlach, supra note 107, at 222 (“The mere fact that the young couple have slept together makes them automatically married in the eyes of the Rom.”).
136 Timmerman, supra note 126, at 480.
137 Id.
value of bodily purity, which includes virginity. One source explains that Roma family structure prepares girls socially to be mature and ready for marriage at an early age. Another source points to the history of rape by non-Roma slave owners as one of the root causes of early marriage, because this led to or reinforced early marriage as a tactic to protect girls from rape. More urgently, as one Roma father asserted, marriage protects daughters from “abduction by potential suitors who wouldn’t wait for negotiation.”

The emphasis here is on protecting individuals in order to protect the community and promote the Roma ways of life, which are threatened. Early marriage is also rooted in Roma law systems, which, as the rules of Roma cultures, are “primarily concerned with the collective

139 Weyrauch & Bell, supra note 27, at 342; Rašidova, supra note 27; Bitu & Moreanu, supra note 27, at inter alia 29, 34; see also Roberta Radu, Romania’s Child Brides, THE GUARDIAN UK (July 19, 2011, 09:47 AM), http://www.guardian.co.uk/journalismcompetition/roberta-radu-shortlist-2011 [hereinafter Radu].
140 ROMA IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC, supra note 70 (explaining that “. . . young Romani girls are more socially prepared for marriage than biologically. Because, soon as the girl ‘grew up’ and reached the critical adolescent age, which for most of us is connected to defiance and rebellion, her mother was having several other children and entrusting her daughter ‘for upbringing’ to her future husband.”).
141 Elena Marushiakova & Vesselin Popov, Gypsy Slavery in Wallachia and Moldavia, 23-25, NATIONALISMS TODAY (Tomasz Kamusella & Krzysztof Jaskulowski, eds. 2009) available at http://www.academia.edu/1132654/Gypsy_Slavery_in_Wallachia_and_Moldavia (last visited Aug. 16, 2013) (Roma peoples were enslaved in Romania from the 13th or 14th century until the mid-1800s).
142 Oprea, Child Marriage, supra note 8 (“The Roma found that marrying their daughters off while they were still very young was a good solution, as once married and no longer virgins, the girls were no longer ‘clean’ enough to rape,” quoting Valeriu Nicolae, Deputy Director of the European Roma Information Office in Brussels, A Problem Brewing: Media Coverage of Roma in Romania, available at http://media-diversity.org/en/ (search for Valeriu Nicolae and follow article title hyperlinks)).
144 Timmerman, supra note 126, at 492.
145 HUMAN RIGHTS OF ROMA AND TRAVELLERS, supra note 105, at 13-15, 63-74 passim, 75-92 passim, 93-108 passim (overview of ill treatment of Roma and Travellers by law enforcement and judicial authorities; detailing racially motivated violence against Roma and Travellers; detailing ill treatment of Roma and Travellers by law enforcement and judicial authorities; describing barriers to respect for private and family life of Roma and Travellers including forced and coercive sterilization of Roma women, and incidence of removal of Roma children from the care of their biological parents).
rights of the Gypsy community.”  

Early marriage also functions to preserve Roma cultures and values by embedding youth in their culture before they might leave their community of origin.  

Economic and educational factors also play a role. Many Roma peoples live in economic isolation and are barred by widespread and deeply entrenched discrimination by the host culture from participating in educational and employment opportunities that would bring economic security. Lack of access to education is particularly entwined with early marriage.  

Education barriers for Roma children, especially girls, is complicated and not easily dismissed because there are many reasons behind this phenomenon. Many Roma parents did not go to school at all or dropped out early, and do not see its value. Sometimes, more hands are needed
to help with younger siblings at home or to work for money. For many marginalized families, education is simply an unaffordable luxury when faced with daily survival.

Some Romanian schools bar Roma children’s enrollment, and school is a risk for harassment as well as pre-marital sexual activity for older girls. Some schools in Romania and neighboring countries place Roma students into classes for disabled and special needs students, denying them a regular educational experience and further marginalizing Roma children.

Finally, schools are not safe or hospitable to many Roma children for two reasons. First, schools are designed to assimilate students, which is a threat to Roma communities and culture. Second, many Roma children face discrimination and harassment in schools, and Romania is no exception. Low educational attainment further limits economic opportunities. Also, because

by limiting opportunities for Romani women while simultaneously making marriage seem like a sensible alternative to their parents (who are also education-deprived)."

Oprea, *Child Marriage*, supra note 8 (“Economic concerns also figure into this debate. Patriarchy’s fusion with poverty sometimes leads parents to remove their female children from school to care for siblings or to supplement the family’s income.”).

Oprea, *Early Marriage*, supra note 8 (“For many Roma living below the poverty line, sending children to school becomes a luxury, as opposed to a right.”).

Kocze, supra note 7, at ¶ 13 (barring enrollment due to lack of formal paperwork or for pre-textual reasons).

Oprea, *Psychic Charlatans*, supra note 105, at 40 (“families are afraid that [young girls attending school and associating with non-Roma] will result in losing their values and virginity”).

Schvey, Flaherty, & Higgins, supra note 147, at 1171 (“Roma students are often significantly over-represented in schools for children with special needs, learning disabilities, or mental handicaps.”); Kocze, supra note 7, at ¶ 12 (Overview of the segregation of Roma children into education programs for mentally handicapped children in Europe and “the increasing territorial segregation of the Roma, which leads to the ‘ghettoized’ schools[,] Romani children in these ‘segregated’ schools but also in the schools for mentally handicapped are provided substandard education. All this causes a serious disadvantage for them and disqualifies Romani children from admission to certain secondary and tertiary educational institutions.”).

Oprea, *Child Marriage*, supra note 8 (“Even in cases where poverty is not an issue - as in the case of some middle-class Roma’s refusal to send their children to school - we must also keep in mind that educational institutions are aimed at assimilating minorities. They constitute the backbone of the state’s socialisation project. Educational institutions are not neutral. As elaborated by the term/s, institutionalized/unintentional racism ["institutionalized racism” and “unintentional racism”], institutions reflect the values, practices and preferences of the status quo. Accordingly, some members of minority groups, in an effort to preserve their distinct identity, object to sending their children to mainstream schools. This is not unique to Roma. (The establishment of Muslim, Jewish and Korean schools in the United States comes to mind.)”); WEYRAUCH, *GYPSY LAW*, supra note 2, at 256 (noting “Romani objections to schooling my be related to . . . exposure of their children to courses on sex education and uninhibited communications with non-Gypsy children,” citing Vogel, *The Least Known Minority*, Civ. RTS. Dig., Fall 1978, at 37 (noting schooling as a source of pollution in the lunchroom, bathrooms, close contacts between boys and girls, and sex education)).

See generally, Schvey, Flaherty, & Higgins, supra note 147.
many Roma marriages involve dowry,\textsuperscript{158} dowry money may help a struggling family while providing a daughter with a new home.

4. Harms of Early Marriage in Romanian Roma Communities

General information on the physical and psychological consequences of early marriage was presented in Part II; this Part will present details of the social consequences and harms of early marriage specific to the Romanian Roma communities that, to some extent, practice early marriage.

In many Roma communities, marriage is consummated during part of the wedding festivities, which occur when girls are aged nine to fourteen but not before the onset of menstruation.\textsuperscript{159} Sometimes girls are married when they begin maturing visibly, and so marriage and inception of sexual activity often occur at puberty.\textsuperscript{160} Roma girl brides face increased incidence of removal from school,\textsuperscript{161} domestic violence,\textsuperscript{162} and marital rape.\textsuperscript{163} The health and medical repercussions of early sex and early pregnancy are exacerbated for Roma girls because of the taboos against talking about anything related to the lower body, especially sex and

\textsuperscript{158} Weyrauch & Bell, supra note 27, at 348; Lee, The Rom-Vlach, supra note 107, passim; Mirjam Karoly, How to Talk about this to the Outside, EUROPEAN ROMA RIGHTS CENTER (July 21, 2005) available at http://www.errc.org/article/how-to-talk-about-this-to-the-outside/2294 (especially n.12, discussing “[w]ith regard to the customary marriage, the participants also referred to the terms arranged marriage, early marriage and dowry system, meaning the custom among some Roma groups to arrange the marriage of their children by choosing the partner for them and agreeing on a dowry. Usually the dowry is given by the family of the groom to the family of the bride. As virginity is an important value within the customary marriage, it can be interrelated with the arrangement of the marriage of a minor bridal couple.”).

\textsuperscript{159} Weyrauch & Bell, supra note 27, at 348; ERRC Forced Arranged Marriage, supra note 33, at n14.

\textsuperscript{160} Bitu & Morteanu, supra note 27, at 29.

\textsuperscript{161} ERRC Forced Arranged Marriage, supra note 33, at § 3; International Protecting Girls by Preventing Child Marriage Act of 2011, S. 414, 112th Cong. at 4, 8, 9 (2d Sess. 2012).

\textsuperscript{162} See, inter alia, Weyrauch & Bell, supra note 27, at 348 (explaining that corporal punishment is “typically used only in cases of a wife’s infidelity”); ERRC Forced Arranged Marriage, supra note 33, at § 3(e); UNICEF Child Spouses, supra note 26, at 6, 12.

\textsuperscript{163} Thomas, Forced and Early Marriage, supra note 27, at 11.
This creates a barrier between young brides and information about sex, birth control, sexual health, medical attention, and adequate health care.

Roma peoples already face extensive barriers to health care, including discrimination, exclusion due to lack of state identification documents, geographic isolation and a lack of transportation to medical centers or hospitals. Mistrust of non-Roma medical care is another barrier, and is based on well-documented discriminatory treatment, which ranges from segregation, refusal of services, and inadequate exams to forced and coercive sterilization. Early marriage exacerbates both the real and perceived dangers for Roma peoples in registering for state identification or registering marriage or birth with the state.

A less common yet severe problem has also been documented among European Roma. Early marriage can put girls at risk for human trafficking, wherein parents may be tricked into “selling” a daughter for a dowry, or, in a different situation, a husband’s parents may force a young daughter-in-law into prostitution. A few cases have been documented of Roma girls
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164 See Lee, The Rom-Vlach, supra note 107, at 364 (“certain topics of conversation are taboo, such as terms describing the genitals, body waste, toilets, underwear and, of course, a person’s sex life.”).
165 Lydia Gall, Coercive Sterilization – An Example of Multiple Discrimination, 2 ROMA RIGHTS: MULTIPLE DISCRIMINATION, JOURNAL OF THE EUROPEAN ROMA RIGHTS CENTER 53 (Rob Kushen ed. 2009) (Roma women “are exposed to further discrimination both within their own communities and by the majority society due to their gender. Lower levels of education (or in some cases, complete lack of education) make the situation for Romani women worse than that of Romani men. In relation to their reproductive rights, Romani women find themselves in a vulnerable position when encountering medical professionals. Often, the paternalistic attitudes of medical professionals result in Romani women being excluded from decision-making processes concerning their treatment.”).
167 See discussion supra, note 109.
from poor Eastern European countries trafficked through enticement to enter into marriage with Roma “husbands” in western European nations; in these cases, the girls’ families were tricked, their parents thought they were receiving a normal dowry and setting their daughter up to be in a family. However, the phenomenon is still inadequately studied.

5. Resistance to Early Marriage in Romanian Roma Communities

In counterpoint to these interconnected reasons for early marriage, some Roma feminist activists want to change their culture, and work to bring this change from within their communities. Even within isolated Roma communities, cultures are not static or homogenous; they “do not exist in a vacuum: they undergo constant change and are in perpetual dialogue with the state.” Emic (insider) perspectives include multiple perspectives, and all opinions and experiences are valid including those critical to their own culture. All Roma are expected to marry by adulthood; “[c]elibate adults are frowned upon, as are women who do not bear
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169 ERRC Forced Arranged Marriage, supra note 33, § 3(e); Case of M. and Others v. It. & Bulg., 2012 Eur. Ct. H.R. App. No. 40020/03 (2d Sess.), available at http://hudoc.echr.coe.int/sites/eng/pages/search.aspx?i=001-112576 (a Bulgarian Roma family accused a Serbian Roma man and his family who were living in Italy of enticement to marriage for purposes of trafficking and exploiting their minor daughter); see also Mattar, supra note 167, at 144-6 (2009).

170 See generally, Mirjam Karoly, How to Talk about this to the Outside, EUROPEAN ROMA RIGHTS CENTER (July 21, 2005) available at http://www.errc.org/article/how-to-talk-about-this-to-the-outside/2294; Rebecca Surtees, Second Annual Report on Victims of Trafficking in South-Eastern Europe 2005, IOM INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION FOR MIGRATION, available at http://lastradainternational.org/lsidocs/494%20iom_2005_second_annual_report_on_victims_of_trafficking_in_sout h_eastern_europe_2005_22.pdf (Reporting that a disproportionate number of trafficking victims in South East Europe including Romania are Roma due to their vulnerability as a marginalized minority [not necessarily related to early marriage], at 321, 433, and that “[a] number of those recruited with marriage promises were of Roma or Egyptian ethnicity, a practice that, in some ways, meshes with the cultural traditions of arranged marriage. Still, many Albanian victims were also recruited in this way and so we must be cautious not to attribute this trend only to cultural tradition,” 70 n.65, 470); see also Cahn, Romani Lawmaking, supra note 69, at 105.

171 Oprea, Child Marriage, supra note 8 (“cultures do not exist in a vacuum: they undergo constant change and are in perpetual dialogue with the state”) citing Leti Volpp, Feminism Versus Multiculturalism, 101 COLUM. L. REV. 1181, 1192 (2001) (“minority cultures, like all cultures, undergo constant transformation and reshaping”), citing Stuart Hall, Cultural Identity and Diaspora, in IDENTITY, COMMUNITY, CULTURE, DIFFERENCE 222, 225 (Jonathan Rutherford ed., 1990) (asserting that cultural identities undergo constant transformation through the play of history and power, rather than being eternally fixed in some essentialized past).

172 Oprea, Child Marriage, supra note 8 (“The realization that feminist dissent is not evidential of the erosion of Romani identity, but rather a natural outgrowth of it, is essential.”).
“children” and homosexuals. Any sexual identity or activity other than heterosexuality is not accepted or tolerated in Roma communities.

On an individual level, however, some Roma women, and even a few Roma men, resist marriage by finding ways to escape the confines of an early and forced marriage. Some manage to avoid marriage, or marry but separate within months. Early divorce brings a kind of freedom for young women in Roma communities. After a period of adjustment, a young Roma woman may enjoy fewer restrictions on her life as a non-virgin.

Oprea points out that resistance is creative and can be accompanied by great cost: for some, it is a choice between losing family and community, versus losing one’s autonomy. Marika, a Hungarian Roma woman, was married at age fourteen and says that “early marriage is often a disaster for young women, but many are afraid to talk about it.” She and her husband campaign against the practice of early marriage in Roma communities. Notably, these scholars and activists were Roma boys and girls who grew up in Roma communities and faced some
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173 Lee, The Rom-Vlach, supra note 107, at 363 (Non-heterosexual Roma must “marry and put up the front of a heterosexual existence to remain in the Rom community or they leave and live in the non-Gypsy world.”); see also MIKEY WALSH, GYPSY BOY: ONE BOY’S STRUGGLE TO ESCAPE FROM A SECRET WORLD (2010) [hereinafter WALSH].
174 Lee, The Rom-Vlach, supra note 107, at 363 (“Homosexuality is . . . taboo. At the pilgrimage to Sainte Anne de Beaupre in the late 1980s, I saw two gay Rom feasting at a small table on the church camping ground. They were shunned and avoided by all the other Rom pilgrims.”); WALSH, supra note 172; Dwight Garner, Fighters and Lovers, All Misunderstood: ‘Gypsy Boy,’ a Memoir by Mikey Walsh, NEW YORK TIMES (Feb. 16, 2012), http://www.nytimes.com/2012/02/17/books/gypsy-boy-a-memoir-by-mikey-walsh.html.
175 Oprea, Child Marriage, supra note 8 (noting anecdotaly that some young Roma women or girls “escape [unwanted marriage] by acquiescing to marrying the partner of their parents’ choice only to separate within a couple of months or a year, after which, as non-virgins, they face less restrictions.”).
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179 Oprea, Child Marriage, supra note 8.
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expectations of early marriage, usually at or just after puberty, and their work is profoundly shaped by these intensely personal experiences.\(^{182}\)

While some adolescent Roma girls, or adolescent girls from any culture, may certainly want to get married, have sex, and have children, Roma taboos discourage and effectively limit much published information on this topic.\(^{183}\) When Roma women do speak out, “they are portrayed as traitors to their communities.”\(^{184}\) The voices of Roma women speaking about or against early marriage are rare.

A group of female Macedonian Roma law students conducted a survey on the Roma “virginity cult.”\(^{185}\) Their goal was to establish a basis for “activities directed towards overcoming [taboo issues of sex and sexuality] among Roma . . . [and] help us to raise awareness particularly of the young girls of their right to decide about their own body, about the choice of the spouse and about their lives in general.”\(^{186}\) This is the beginning of wider dialogue, study, and leadership on girls’ human rights work being done by young Roma women in and about their own communities.

The “virginity cult” is not isolated to Roma communities. The U.S. and United Kingdom are currently seeing a “virginity cult” phenomenon rising in popularity with the purity ring trend, which began in the early 1990s.\(^{187}\) Youth wear a special purity ring to signify their promise to
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\(^{182}\) Oprea, *Child Marriage, supra* note 8; Browning, *supra* note 179.

\(^{183}\) Oprea, *Re-Envisioning, supra* note 98, at 31.

\(^{184}\) Id.

\(^{185}\) Rašidova, *supra* note 27; see discussion *infra* and note 186.

\(^{186}\) Rašidova, *supra* note 27.

delay sexual activity until marriage, especially vaginal intercourse. Special parties are held to mark their commitment. This trend also illustrates, in general, how heterogeneous culture can be.

6. Internal Calls for Change

Roma feminist activists and scholars are working to change their communities from within. To engage respectfully and effectively in broader cultural change, these Roma change-agents need support from government, religious, and human rights agencies. Roma activist Letitia Mark, president of a Roma women’s association in Romania called For Our Children, laments the lack of information and statistics on early marriage, acknowledging that “[i]t’s a very sensitive topic.” Roma activists need data to support their work.

Social and cultural change that is data-based, sustainable, and culturally appropriate is in the interest of both Roma communities and the dominant culture, “despite the fact that hundreds or thousands of girls will face early marriage [during the time it takes for] governments and communities [to] begin to engage in real change.” As Oprea notes, “[t]he realization that feminist dissent is a natural outgrowth of [Roma identity] is essential.” Internal cultural change is necessary in order to achieve what outsiders think is right from an etic perspective: waiting to marry until age eighteen or nineteen or older, and to eliminate early marriage in order to have safer, healthier families.
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188 Connolly, supra note 186 (“young people who sign a virginity pledge delay the initiation of sexual activity, marry at younger ages and have fewer sexual partners, they are also less likely to use condoms and more likely to experiment with oral and anal sex, said the researchers from Yale and Columbia universities.”).
189 Montana Miller, Vernacular Abstinence: Teenagers, Purity Rings, and Rites of (Blocked) Passage, 2 PRACTICAL MATTERS 1 (August 2009), available at http://www.practicalmattersjournal.org/sites/practicalmattersjournal.org/files/pdf/issue2/VernacularAbstinence_Miller_final.pdf (“In the past ten years, public ceremonies in which teenagers pledge to remain sexually abstinent until marriage have surged in popularity.”).
190 Radu, supra note 138.
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192 Oprea, Child Marriage, supra note 8.
7. Romanian Law and International Legal Protections: Helpful or Harmful?

a. Laws Meant to Help

As a member of the E.U. since 2007, Romania is under the jurisdiction of both the European Council (political) and Council of Europe (human rights) instruments. These rights-based instruments call for protection, but do not provide it. Romanian national laws and obligations as a member of the E.U. to some extent echo the protections of international human rights, women’s rights, children’s rights, and other rights-based law.

Multiple international instruments are available to protect minorities, including protecting Roma children from early marriage. However, criminalization of early marriage increases harm to already marginalized communities because it effectively adds another barrier to basic health, education, and social services. Where early marriage is criminalized, very young brides’ or grooms’ families may face criminal charges and adverse state action when they seek medical care or other services.

The International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (“CERD”) (1965) calls for an end to discrimination, including sex discrimination, and the creation of special measures to promote full equality and freedoms for all persons, although it has no specific protections for children or women. Council of Europe Parliamentary Assembly
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195 ROMANIAN FAMILY CODE, supra note 23 (allowing marriage at age eighteen for men and women, and from ages sixteen to eighteen with parental, guardian, or other special consent procedures).

196 See discussion supra Part III.4.

Resolution 1740 (2010) calls for additional protections against racism, discrimination, and marginalization of Roma peoples including, inter alia, supporting the implementation of Resolution 1468, *Forced Marriages and Child Marriages*.\(^{198}\)

Another Roma-specific instrument, which was developed in response to the poor implementation of CERD, is the Strasbourg Declaration on Roma (2010).\(^{199}\) The Strasbourg Declaration calls for the general protection of Roma peoples specifically.\(^{200}\) This Declaration includes children’s “right to education and protect[ion] against violence, including sexual abuse and labour exploitation” and can be interpreted to include protections against the harms of early marriage.\(^{201}\)

The European Council of the European Union issued the *Council Directive Implementing the Principle of Equal Treatment between Persons Irrespective of Racial or Ethnic Origin*.\(^{202}\) This directive, inter alia, provides for positive and negative protections from racism and discrimination based on a human rights approach and calls for monitoring by the European Monitoring Centre for Racism and Xenophobia.\(^{203}\)

Despite these protections, Roma peoples are criminalized and terrorized to this day. Romani Rose, Head of the Central Council of German Sinti and Roma, has said that “[i]n Germany and in Europe, there is a new and increasingly violent racism against Sinti and
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\(^{199}\) *Strasbourg Declaration on Roma, Council of Europe High Level Meeting on Roma, CM (2010)133*, (Oct. 20, 2010), available at https://wcd.coe.int/ViewDoc.jsp?id=1691607 (“Roma in many parts of Europe continue to be socially and economically marginalized” (emphasis added)).


\(^{201}\) *Id. at Children’s Rights (24).*


Roma," and German Chancellor Angela Merkel has acknowledged that “Sinti and Roma often suffer from marginalization, from rejection[.] Sinti and Roma have to fight for their rights even today[.] So it is a . . . European duty to help them in this, wherever and within whatever country’s borders they live.” But how to help, without further marginalizing Roma people or harming their culture, is a delicate path.

“Laws cannot themselves reduce the incidence of child marriage,” yet without laws the protection of children will be much more difficult. “[L]aws must be accompanied by appropriate local strategies to address the causes of early marriage,” and the “part [that] international law plays in the campaign against early marriage should not therefore be underestimated.”

Some Romanian Roma communities and groups accept early marriage as an integrated part of customary law and religious practice. Cahn discusses the tension between individual rights (the basis of human rights law), human rights, and traditional life-ways, especially life-ways that protect the traditions and lives of people who have faced historical discrimination.

In fact, the existence of informal traditional legal systems is sometimes an excuse for local Romanian authorities to ignore human rights violations in Roma communities, dismissing problems such as human trafficking as “internal Romani community issues,” which has “very
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205 Id. (Rose and Merkel were speaking at the October 2012 opening of the German Holocaust memorial to the Roma and Sinti. There had been no previous memorial in Germany to the Roma and Sinti lost in the Holocaust.).
207 Id.
208 UNICEF Child Spouses, supra note 26, at 7-8.
209 Cahn, Romani Lawmaking, supra note 69, at 109-110.
worrying human rights implications.”\textsuperscript{211} Oprea criticizes “Romania’s systematic lack of attention to the marriages of Romani minors” and points out that “[w]hen state actors participate in such acts [or omissions], they implicitly sanction the denial of Romani women’s rights.”\textsuperscript{212}

The informality of many early marriages leads to difficulty in identifying occurrences and accounting for the scope of the phenomena because few (if any) Roma marriages, including early marriages, are registered with local authorities. This reticence among Roma to register births and marriages can be perceived as a barrier to regulating Roma communities in order to make them safer. The lack of registration or legally recognized (by host or Romanian authorities) union also can negatively impact women’s access to rights, redress, and property.\textsuperscript{213} Yet in a context of ongoing violence, harassment, and hate speech,\textsuperscript{214} and the long-standing and deeply ingrained tradition of living apart, it makes sense from the emic perspective to not provide the authorities with any bio-data or identifying information on oneself or ones family members.\textsuperscript{215}

\textit{a. Laws Used to Harm}

As a manifestation of this systemic discrimination, states can use human rights standards disingenuously to harass and perpetuate discrimination and other human rights violations against Roma communities.\textsuperscript{216} Banning or criminalizing practices without addressing root causes from both internal (Roma leaders, activists, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs)) and

\textsuperscript{211}Cahn, \textit{Romani Lawmaking}, \textit{supra} note 69, at 105, 105 n.38.
\textsuperscript{212}Oprea, \textit{Re-Envisioning}, \textit{supra} note 98, at 31.
\textsuperscript{213}UNICEF \textit{Child Spouses}, \textit{supra} note 26, at 7-8.
\textsuperscript{215}See discussion \textit{supra}, note 109 (discussion of historical and contemporary barriers to Roma using identification or registering with the state, \textit{citing} Cameron, Sutherland, Covrig, Lee, Friedman); Oprea, \textit{The Arranged Marriage}, \textit{supra} note 97, at 134.
\textsuperscript{216}Timmerman, \textit{supra} note 126, at 493.
external (state) positions becomes another form of anti-Roma discrimination and persecution. Timmerman raises the question: “[a]t what point do tactics aimed at enforcing human rights standards themselves violate human rights?”

Returning to the emic (insider) perspective, looking at harm perpetrated by authorities against a marginalized, often hidden, and poorly studied ethnic group provides rich opportunity for further study and research. The criminalization or banning of early marriage itself can be interpreted as a way to harass and denigrate Roma cultural practices, akin to banning other Roma activities (such as criminalizing fortune telling because Roma peoples engage in this economic activity). Throughout history, Roma peoples have been criminalized by laws created to curtail their traditional lifestyle.

A recent UNICEF-Romania report undertaken jointly with a Romanian Roma rights organization looked at early marriage in Romanian Roma communities. As an example, the report documented a case in which a pregnant thirteen-year-old Roma girl was removed from her family home. The municipality forced the girl to live in an institution before, during and after delivering her baby, instead of living at home with her family. The Romanian family code permits the courts to take away parental rights where it finds that parents are not raising their children in the children’s best interests. However, was the removal action truly helpful or protective for the girl? The report found that:
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217 Id. at 495.
218 See e.g. Oprea, *Psychic Charlatans*, supra note 105, at 34-39; Caffrey & Munday, *supra* note 5, at 251; see also WEYRAUCH, *GYPSY LAW*, *supra* note 2, at 6 (discussion of criminalization of Gypsies by dominant or host societies). (Article author’s note: investment bankers and weather forecasters also predict future events.)
222 Bitu & Morteanu, *supra* note 27.
223 Id. at 101.
weak or lack of collaboration (and interest) between authorities in
taking a common and coherent measure [inhibits efforts to reduce
early marriage]. Although in the case of early marriages in Roma families there is a complex background (invoking tradition) and an
important lack of data on the phenomenon, the hindrances in
identifying and implementing the most efficient solutions are also
caused by the difficult partnership / communication between
competent authorities.  

This report also documents how authorities use inaction to allow harmful practices to continue, and when authorities do intervene it is often in a manner that can be more harmful than it is helpful to the young married Roma whom they are meant to protect.  

As Camilla Ravnbøl points out, the human rights perspective often holds that “group
rights may violate the individual rights of the members within the group (such as women) and thus undermine the human rights [work].”  She identifies this argument, which targets normative pluralism, to demonstrate how positive cultural norms or informal laws which are central to a culture or group seeking greater dignity and respect are also “tied to patriarchal traditions that limit women’s freedoms [such as] early marriage, virginity cults” and other traditions widely accepted as harmful to women.  Ravnbøl explains that individual rights are violated when “very traditional Romani communities . . . maintain family integrity above, and sometimes at the expense of, the rights and freedoms of individual community members,” for example by the use of informal traditional Roma tribunals of male elders, called a Kris.  

\[224\]  
\[225\]  
\[226\]  
\[227\]  
\[228\] Local
law and authority’s deference to the Romani legal structures may then, under the guise of respect for minority culture, allow harms to continue instead of upholding international commitments to individual rights. This illustrates how external values and norms can function to harm members of minority communities under the guise of permitting autonomy.

The focus of the next Part is on working within and between multiple legal systems, which is legal pluralism, and using critical feminist intersectional analysis to identify how international legal instruments, national laws and policies, normative or customary law, and community development projects can be leveraged to better protect Roma girls’ rights.

IV. Legal Pluralism and Intersectionality of Sex, Race, and Culture

1. Legal Pluralism

Comparative studies of different legal systems provide an opportunity to understand how people develop “practical arrangements, given their circumstances, and [make] what seem[] to them, very practical decisions.” It is not only “fascinating” but also “rewarding” to engage in comparative study in at least two ways. First, this analysis contributes to demystifying a subsection of egregiously marginalized social groups in general. Second, it contributes to understanding why early marriage occurs in order to further develop safe, respectful measures to reduce the harms that early marriage can cause.

This Part explores how human rights standards are used to protect and harm Roma communities though the lens of legal pluralism. Legal pluralism is defined in many ways; it is “the coexistence of different normative orders within one socio-political space;” the

panel, nor do women usually speak at the Kris proceedings.).
229 See discussion of legal pluralism infra Part IV.1.
230 FALK MOORE, supra note 84, at 100.
231 WEYRAUCH, GYPSY LAW, supra note 2, at 243.
232 Benda-Beckman, supra note 6, at 1.
interaction of official and unofficial legal systems;233 a definition of law as a system of social rules234 or a structure of social relations [and] power.235 The seminal work on legal pluralism236 summarizes legal pluralism as the presence in a social field of more than one legal order, more than one source of law or legal order.237 It defines law as “the self-regulation of a semi-autonomous social field” and notes that these are dynamic.238

Conflict among multiple uncoordinated, coexisting and overlapping bodies of law can manifest in a number of ways239 to find an uneasy coexistence.240 It is “not unusual for a state legal system to explicitly condemn . . . a customary or religious or community norm, but take no action to repress it.241 Alternately, “the official legal system [may] endorse the competing system . . . yet do nothing to support it.”242 This is demonstrated where Council of the European Union (political) directives to protect Roma peoples and children are not de facto implemented by Romania, a member country with a significant Roma population. Likewise, Council of Europe (human rights) resolutions may fail to protect Roma peoples and children in member states that allow harmful practices to continue by taking no meaningful action to protect the intended beneficiaries. Some authors also allude to practices of harassing Roma communities because of

233 Susan Hascall, Assistant Professor of Law, Duquesne University, Emerging Legal Systems Course Lecture (Nov. 20, 2012) [hereinafter Hascall].
234 Id. (Sept. 6, 2012) (citing H.L.A. Hart).
236 Brian Z. Tamanaha, Understanding Legal Pluralism: Past to Present, Local to Global, 30 SYDNEY L. REV. 375, 392 (2008) (“John Griffiths, whose 1986 article ‘What is Legal Pluralism?’ is the seminal piece in the field . . . .”) [hereinafter Tamanaha].
237 John Griffiths, What is Legal Pluralism, 24 J. LEGAL PLURALISM & UNOFFICIAL L. 1, 1, 38 (1986) [hereinafter Griffiths].
238 Id. at 38 (internal quotations omitted).
239 Tamanaha, supra note 235, at 375.
240 Id. at 403.
241 Id. at 404.
242 Id.
their “harmful customs” as a guise to continue maltreating Roma communities as non-Roma have done for centuries, although this remains under-developed in the literature.243

Suppression is used as a tactic to address contrary norms and institutions, by declaring them illegal and working to eliminate them.244 Strategic actors from an informal system may enlist the support of existing official legal systems, for example women’s rights or human rights groups, which seek aid against harmful customary practices. Legal pluralism recognizes that barriers such as geography, culture, language, access to information, and financial resources may bar members of an informal or unrecognized system from seeking assistance from a formal system to recognize or protect their rights.245 This is exactly the case where many Roma communities are geographically isolated, linguistically separated from non-Roma peoples, and economically and socially marginalized.246

The tension between the host or dominant culture and Roma communities is summarized in a report detailing barriers to anti-trafficking work, which found that “mutual distrust characterizes relations between Roma and non-Roma,” and “as a result of many cultural biases of non-Roma, Roma activists may have been hindered in their efforts to address human [rights violations].”247 Indeed, Oprea notes that the “limited feminist and antiracist politics in Europe systematically ignore Romani women.”248 Exclusion from even the movements which would ostensibly be champions for issues such as raising the age of marriage in Roma communities is not helpful.

243 See Timmerman, supra note 126, at 493-397; Oprea, Psychic Charlatans, supra note 105, at 38, 41; Caffrey & Mundy, supra note 5, at 266-267.
244 Tamanaha, supra note 235, at 404.
245 Id. at 406.
246 See discussion supra Part III.2., 7 (barriers that Roma people and communities face).
247 OSCE Awareness Raising for Roma Activists, supra note 7, at § IV.
248 Oprea, Erasure of Romani Women, supra note 112.
Internal resistance is a further barrier to seeking external support to address, or even recognize, women’s and children’s rights. Roma women who fear that complaining to non-Roma authorities about gender-based violations committed by Roma men will bring racist repercussions against their men rather than increasing safety in their home or community.\(^{249}\) This is also complicated by the Roma cultural value of protecting the family from shame.\(^{250}\) Another internal barrier is male non-recognition or denial of domestic violence in Roma communities. Several Roma men have expressed that violence against women in Roma communities is “exceedingly rare.”\(^{251}\) For example, Oprea, who was the only woman on a panel at a symposium about Roma peoples, suggested an action to address domestic violence on Roma communities.\(^{252}\) Her suggestion was rebuffed completely. Another (male) panelist interrupted her to ask, “What does domestic violence have to do with Roma?”\(^{253}\) He lacked the capacity to understand that domestic violence might be an issue, or that there may be problems of which he personally was

\(^{249}\) Oprea, Erasure of Romani Women, supra note 112; HUMAN RIGHTS OF ROMA AND TRAVELLERS, supra note 105, at 13-15 (overview of ill treatment of Roma and Travellers by law enforcement and judicial authorities), 63-74 (detailing racially motivated violence against Roma and Travellers), 75-92 (detailing ill treatment of Roma and Travellers by law enforcement and judicial authorities).

\(^{250}\) Oprea, Psychic Charlatans, supra note 105, at 40.

\(^{251}\) WEYRAUCH, GYPSY LAW, supra note 2, at 263 (“Murder, rape, or sexual abuse of a Gypsy woman by a Gypsy man is unlikely to occur and exceedingly rare because such unspeakable crimes under Gypsy law would lead to the automatic pollution of the perpetrator,” citing JOHN B. MC LAUGHLIN, GYPSY LIFE STYLES 86 (1980)); Lee, The Rom-Vlach, supra note 107, at 370 (“. . . crimes among Rom-Vlach, however, are very rare. They are in general a peaceful, non-violent people. Whatever physical violence they do commit is usually abuse and other family violence.”); Oprea, Re-Envisioning, supra note 98, at 36 (“Male leaders also commented that ‘violence against women was not a big problem,’” citing to discussions at the Open Society Institute Network Women’s Program, Bending the Bow: Targeting Women’s Human Rights and Opportunities, available at http://www.soros.org/initiatives/women/articles_publications/publications/bendingbow_20020801.

\(^{252}\) Oprea, Re-Envisioning, supra note 98, at 30 (During Sept. 22-23, 2002 in Carbondale, Illinois, at “a round table discussion during the Southern Illinois University Public Policy Institute’s symposium on ‘Addressing the Plight of the Romani People.’” The participants were Ronald Lee, Dr. Ian Hancock, four other men, and Oprea was the only woman. “The aim of the panel was to brain-storm recommendations to problems facing Roma, which were later to be published in a pamphlet and distributed to different government agencies. [Oprea] suggested that we include in the pamphlet a recommendation to the Department of Justice’s Violence Against Women Office to initiate outreach to Romani women in an effort to curb the incidence of domestic violence in Romani communities. Matt Salo [of the Gypsy Lore Society] interrupted to ask: ‘What does domestic violence have to do with Roma?’ To him, domestic violence was not . . . relevant to Roma [but] only white families.”).

\(^{253}\) Oprea, Re-Envisioning, supra note 98, at 30.
unaware. Oprea also cites examples where Roma women were entirely absent from the
discussion but present in the kitchen, and the observation that female Roma scholars are less
valued or respected than male Roma scholars, even where female scholars such as Oprea are
engaged in “groundbreaking” work. 254

2. Critical Feminist Intersectional Theory

In 1991, Kimberlé Crenshaw published an article discussing intersectionality, or the
effects of the combined discrimination of being female, minority, and living with historical
discrimination. 255 This Part uses Crenshaw’s work as applied by Oprea and other critical feminist
intersectional writers to analyze efforts to reduce early marriage in Romanian Roma
communities. Angela Kocze, another feminist Roma writer, 256 notes the need for intersectional
analysis in public policy and, arguably, applied social change movements. 257 “Although the
concept of intersectionality is increasingly used in gender studies, sociology, and economy, in
public policy there has been no recognition and reference to it when multiple inequalities are
being addressed. 258 Romani girls face intersectional discrimination based on their race/ethnicity,
gender, class, and age. These dimensions are not mutually exclusive, and may reinforce one
another.” 259

254 Oprea, Re-Envisioning, supra note 98, at 32 (Roma women “have not gained as much recognition in the context
of Romani politics and have not yet reached the ranks of Romani male activists despite the fact that they are
involved in ground-breaking work.” Also pointing out that “Romani women activists working on gendered Romani
issues are often ignored” and accused of being “gadikanime” [an outsider, or nor Romani enough].)
255 Crenshaw, supra note 79. Kimberlé Crenshaw teaches Civil Rights and other courses in critical race studies and
constitutional law at UCLA School of Law. Her primary scholarly interests center around race and the law, and she
was a founder and has been a leader in the intellectual movement called Critical Race Theory.
256 Oprea, Re-Envisioning, supra note 98, at 32. Angela Kocze is an expert in Roma women’s empowerment and
participatory research in Roma communities, and has over ten years of experience as Roma activist and advocate for
Romani rights with the Hungarian government, European Roma Information Office (ERIO), and the European
Roma Rights Centre. She holds a PhD. in Sociology and Social Anthropology, an M.A. in Human Rights, and an
M.A. in Sociology.
257 Kocze, supra note 7.
258 Id., at ¶ 7.
259 Id.
Sarah Song, in newer writing on intersectional work, explores whether the cultural rights of minorities should be accommodated because of “present discrimination, historical injustice, and state establishment of culture.”\textsuperscript{260} However, Song asserts that accommodations must not come at the expense of individual minority group members’ rights, and therefore accommodations must be limited in some circumstances as “rights-respecting accommodationism.”\textsuperscript{261} This approach seeks justice both for minorities and for women, and the analysis can expand to children and minor youth. Her analysis navigates the tension between group justice and individual justice, which requires interaction between minority and dominant cultures, state and minority communities, and individuals within minority groups and communities.\textsuperscript{262} In working through these competing and overlapping interests, critical feminist intersectional analysis can advance human rights and help build a capacity to reduce early marriage in a safe, respectful, and sustainable way. At the core of this work is “the protection of the basic rights of individual members of minority groups,”\textsuperscript{263} working to address both “inegalitarian”\textsuperscript{264} practices within minority cultures and inegalitarian aspects of Western majority cultures and how they may interact.\textsuperscript{265}

In studying Roma women and children, who suffer the combined oppressions of race, gender, class, ethnicity, history, and age for children and young women, it is essential to

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{enumerate}
\item S\textsc{arah} S\textsc{ong}, J\textsc{ustice}, G\textsc{ender}, A\textsc{nd} The P\textsc{olitics} O\textsc{f} M\textsc{ulticulturalism}, 9 (2007). Sarah Song is a political and legal theorist with a special interest in issues of citizenship, immigration, religious and cultural pluralism, and feminism. She teaches courses in contemporary political and legal philosophy, the history of American political thought, and citizenship and immigration law at the University of California, Berkeley Law.\thinspace\phantom{1}
\item S\textsc{ong}, su\textsc{pra} note ___, at 9.
\item Jinee Lokaneeta, re\textsc{view}ing S\textsc{arah} S\textsc{ong}, J\textsc{ustice}, G\textsc{ender}, A\textsc{nd} The P\textsc{olitics} O\textsc{f} M\textsc{ulticulturalism} (2007), http://www.bsos.umd.edu/gvpt/lpbr/subpages/reviews/song0108.htm (last visited Dec. 13, 2012).
\item S\textsc{ong}, su\textsc{pra} note ___, at 9.
\item In\textsc{egalitarian} D\textsc{efinition}, M\textsc{erriam}-W\textsc{ebster} O\textsc{nline} D\textsc{ictionary}, http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/inegalitarian (last visited Aug. 19, 2013) (marked by disparity in social and economic standing).
\item S\textsc{ong}, su\textsc{pra} note ___, at 170.
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotesize}
understand their full context. As Oprea notes, “[t]hat is the point: to make central what has been marginal.”266 She explains that “Romani women, who lie at the intersection of race, class and gender, suffer from multiple burdens.”267 Thus, this article draws on two specific comparative perspectives in order to better understand Roma peoples and the practice of early marriage. Using the emic (insider) and etic (outsider) perspectives from legal anthropology, and then analyzing those perspectives with critical feminist intersectional theory, the reader can begin to understand both why early marriage makes sense, and how to respectfully support internal resistance to this practice.

The emic (insider) and etic (outsider) analysis is useful to examine why a cultural practice makes sense, and also to identify the harms it causes and the gaps left by the legal framework. International, European, and Romanian laws and commitments are designed to address harms generally, but lack intent or capacity to address intersectional harms or adequately take culture into account. These legal instruments address a single harm at a time: race, or gender, or culture, or age, or marriage. They are not written or used to address early marriage of cultural-minority girls, especially those living in disenfranchised communities that the authorities would rather ignore.268 Intersectional analysis then becomes key not only to understanding the complexity of these combined oppressions, but also in formulating a useful response both on the ground and in the law.

Tensions between minority rights and women’s rights, which often ignore both minority women’s rights and minority children’s rights, are rooted in the unity required within each

267 Oprea, *Child Marriage*, supra note 8, citing Crenshaw, supra note 79, discussed in more detail infra Part IV.2.
respective rights movement. This forces Roma women to face a ridiculous decision: she may access rights either as a woman, or as a Roma person.\textsuperscript{269} This single-issue or essentialist approach neglects the other barriers a real person can face, which may include race, gender, ethnicity, and class.\textsuperscript{270} However, it is impossible to completely separate these issues in reality. A systemic approach to “intersectionality”\textsuperscript{271} is nascent but appears to be growing especially with the increase of mainstreaming\textsuperscript{272} in both human rights theory and fieldwork.\textsuperscript{273} Respect for children’s rights is another layer of experiences to navigate, respect, and learn to understand. Recognizing and analyzing the unique experiences of girls is also necessary in order to promote their rights.\textsuperscript{274}

\textsuperscript{269} Oprea, \textit{Re-Envisioning}, supra note 98, at 33 (“Challenging patriarchy is difficult precisely because women often share a life with the oppressor. For women of colour, racism serves as another impediment to challenging sexism within their communities. The ideology of unity in the face of oppression by majority society serves to hinder many women from speaking about internal community issues.”) citing Crenshaw, supra note 79.

\textsuperscript{270} Ravnbøl, supra note 30, at 41 (2010); see also Crenshaw, supra note 79 (see especially n.9 at 1244, “intersectionality a provisional concept linking contemporary politics with postmodern theory. In mapping the intersections of race and gender, the concept does engage dominant assumptions that race and gender are essentially separate categories. By tracing the categories to their intersections, I hope to suggest a methodology that will ultimately disrupt the tendencies to see race and gender as exclusive or separable.”).

\textsuperscript{271} Ravnbøl, supra note 30, at 38, n.145 (defining intersectionality “as the meeting point and overlap of . . . racial, gender, class, and other forms of discrimination” and referring to Kimberlé Crenshaw’s analysis of black women in the US; see Crenshaw, supra note 79.).

\textsuperscript{272} Mainstreaming is implementing the principal that “women’s rights are human rights,” Ivana Radacic, \textit{Feminism and Human Rights: The Inclusive Approach To Interpreting International Human Rights Law}, 14 UCL JURISPRUDENCE REV. 238, 261 (2008) [hereinafter Radacic]; UNITED NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY, Report of the Economic and Social Council for 1997, 52nd Session A/52/3 (September 18, 1997), available at http://www.un.org/documents/ga/docs/52/plenary/a52-3.htm (“Mainstreaming a gender perspective is the process of assessing the implications for women and men of any planned action, including legislation, policies or programmes, in all areas and at all levels. It is a strategy for making women’s as well as men’s concerns and experiences an integral dimension of the design, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of policies and programmes in all political, economic and societal spheres so that women and men benefit equally and inequality is not perpetuated. The ultimate goal is to achieve gender equality.”)


\textsuperscript{274} Nura Taefi, \textit{The Synthesis of Age and Gender: Intersectionality, International Human Rights Law and the Marginalisation of the Girl-Child}, 17 INT’L J. CHILDR. RTS. 345, 349, 364 (2009) [hereinafter Taefi] (Girls’ perspectives, experiences and rights are inadequately addressed because “children’s rights scholarship takes a gender-neutral approach . . . and . . . women’s rights discourse is overwhelmingly concerned with the experiences of adult women,” at 349, and “[t]he participation of girls in women’s rights discourse is a precursor to ensuring their inclusion,” at 364).
To move beyond shallow, ineffective, and racist efforts to combat gender discrimination (against women), or sexist efforts to combat racism (against males), Oprea calls for an “intersectional framework where race, class, and gender are acknowledged.”275 In doing so, current efforts against early marriage would separate patriarchy and culture to preserve non-oppressive practices by distinguishing them from oppressive practices.276 Referring to the Decade of Roma Inclusion277 in an interim report, Iulian Stoian writes that “the real problem for the Roma community is the gangrene of the systemic discrimination they are subject to.”278 This posits that regardless of national or international laws, Roma peoples face barriers to any substantive cultural changes until such change is sustained in the host or dominant societies where Roma peoples live.

V. Good Practices and Cross-Cultural Competency

Improving the condition of Roma peoples, including women, youth, and children, is a complex undertaking. This final Part is a compilation of recommendations and good practices for improving human rights observances for Roma girls and women with increasing success. The term good practices is preferred to “best practices” because it acknowledges that not all practices are best in all contexts; each situation, community, and culture must consider budgets, resources, 

275 Oprea, Child Marriage, supra note 8.
276 Oprea, Erasure of Romani Women, supra note 112.
277 THE DECADE OF ROMA INCLUSION 2005-2015, http://www.romadecade.org/about (last visited Apr. 9, 2013) [hereinafter DECADE OF ROMA INCLUSION] (“The Decade of Roma Inclusion 2005–2015 is an unprecedented political commitment by European governments to improve the socio-economic status and social inclusion of Roma. The Decade is an international initiative that brings together governments, intergovernmental and nongovernmental organizations, as well as Romani civil society, to accelerate progress toward improving the welfare of Roma and to review such progress in a transparent and quantifiable way. The Decade focuses on the priority areas of education, employment, health, and housing, and commits governments to take into account the other core issues of poverty, discrimination, and gender mainstreaming.” The Decade also has a Decade Trust Fund, administered by the World Bank, http://www.romadecade.org/decade_governance (last visited Apr. 9, 2013)).
infrastructure, and emergent problems to navigate the task of implementing laws. Solutions can be modified and selected based on how they will achieve specific goals in different contexts. And as Oprea notes, “[t]here is a need to examine the myriad of ways in which policies affect Romani communities by taking into account their diversity and proceeding with careful research and analysis on a case-by-case (community-by-community) basis.”

The recommendations presented here are gathered from many of the resources cited in this work, many of which were operational, project-oriented, activist-written works containing recommendations sections themselves, as well as field manuals and academic research on critical feminist intersectional theory as it relates to human rights work.

Data on Roma communities, especially the practice of early marriage, is paltry. The European Roma Rights Centre (“ERRC”), an international public interest law organization working to promote Roma human rights and dignity, has published one report focused on early marriage in Roma communities, although the issue is mentioned in numerous other reports and papers. One of the first and key recommendations is that gathering data as a central component of further work.

To work effectively, community and international actors need data on Roma minors disaggregated by sex, race, ethnicity, and geography. They need information on the prevalence

279 Oprea, The Arranged Marriage, supra note 97, at 138.
280 See Cahn, Unseen Powers, supra note 112, at § Stigma and Internal Community Issues; UNICEF Child Spouses, supra note 26, at 16-17; Oprea, Erasure of Romani Women, supra note 112.
282 Cahn, Unseen Powers, supra note 112, at § Stigma and Internal Community Issues; UNICEF Child Spouses, supra note 26, at 16-17; Oprea, Erasure of Romani Women, supra note 112.
283 Oprea, Erasure of Romani Women, supra note 112 (calling for data to increase the “understanding of the intersections between racism and sexism, and to design and analyse data accordingly”); Action Plan on Improving the Situation of Roma and Sinti within the OSCE Area, ORGANIZATION FOR SECURITY AND CO-OPERATION IN EUROPE, Ministerial Council Decision No. 3/03 at ¶ 15, 25, 128 (Dec. 1-2, 2003), available at http://www.osce.org/odihr/17554.
of early Roma marriage, reasons for and results of early marriage, and related phenomenon including access to employment, housing, healthcare, education, and social services. Additional data is required, on promoting education and social inclusion of Roma communities, as well as the involvement of Roma communities, NGOS, and activists in all of these initiatives. The ERRC reports call for states to report on their compliance to international human rights bodies and to establish monitoring of early marriage and human trafficking, which would increase available data on these phenomena. The ERRC reports also call on states to actively promote social and economic inclusion of Roma people, including women and children.

Further research is needed in many aspects of Roma peoples’ human rights, and early marriage in Roma communities is no exception. Thoughtful evaluation of how human rights laws, designed to protect children from harmful practices, conflict with traditional law and cultural norms to practice early marriage is an area for further study. The topic also affords an opportunity to exercise the emerging practice of using surveys as a tool to open cultures and communities to change. By designing surveys carefully, they can perform dual purposes of promoting awareness while gathering data. Culturally competent and respectful survey gathering can help toward this end. Oprea explains that a “multi-dimensional approach to the collection

---

284 UNICEF Child Spouses, supra note 26, at 16-17 (“There are grounds for believing that the practice [of early marriage] is under-reported in areas where it is known to occur, especially for children under 14, who are virtually invisible in standard data recording. And there are grounds for believing that it is rising in highly stressed populations – contrary to general trends. More indicators may be needed for use in standard surveys; and existing research methodologies should be assessed for their usefulness in capturing necessary data.”); Bitu & Morteau, supra note 27, at 18 (“the lack of specialized human resources and [clear] data in this field, [increases] the difficulty to correctly identify/approach the intersectionality”).  
286 ERRC Submission on Child Marriages among Roma, supra note 31 (Recommendations section).  
287 Id.  
of data is an important facet to designing policies and programs to combat institutional discrimination against Romani women.”

Thus, basic activities such as carrying out a carefully designed survey can be used to open communities to change while also informing leaders on how to formulate culturally appropriate initiatives to strengthen both communities and the safety of individuals.

1. Integration and Involvement

Roma writers and advocates point out that meaningful change must come from within a culture. The Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE) Action Plan on Improving the Situation of Roma and Sinti within the OSCE Area recommendations focus on school integration and access to medical care as good practices to ameliorate and reduce early marriage. Likewise, an OSCE report recommends different ways to improve Roma communities’ involvement, ownership, and empowerment to improve the observance of human rights. This report points out that empowerment must take place for Roma communities at all levels of society, both from within Roma communities and among non-Roma peoples working with Roma communities.

---

289 Oprea, Erasure of Romani Women, supra note 112.
290 See Oprea, Re-Envisioning, supra note 98, at 39; WEYRAUCH, GYPSY LAW, supra note 2, at 252-253; Cahn, Romani Lawmaking, supra note 69, at 122.
291 OSCE Roma and Sinti Action Plan, supra note 282; see also Mathur, Greene, & Malhotra, supra note 35, at 13-14 (describing success in delaying age of marriage by providing economic opportunities for girls, educating girls, creating safe social spaces, public education, and enforcement of existing laws and regulations).
292 OSCE Awareness Raising for Roma Activists, supra note 7, at 15-16.
293 Id., at 15-16.
These recommendations range from the personal to the political and policy levels. Roma persons must be included in capacity building, data collection, exchanges of information, building inclusion of Roma participants in initiatives, and mentoring programs. Non-Roma persons need training to work respectfully and effectively with Roma community members, and for civil authorities to improve Roma birth and marriage registration. Projects and political will are needed to improve cooperation between Roma leaders and relevant experts in general and to formalize collaboration among NGOs and government agencies. The participation of Roma must be on an equal footing with non-Roma organizations and initiatives. Essentially, these OSCE reports call for mainstreaming of both Roma peoples’ rights and Roma persons’ involvement at all levels of advocacy, promotion, and effectuation of Roma rights.

At the same time, women and children must be involved in changing their culture and communities. “The answer is not only to implement separate initiatives for [Roma women] but also to include them in existing programmes, in other words, to construct programmes for the Romani community and for women from the bottom-up” to effect respectful change. Building on comparative legal studies by using critical feminist intersectional theory, this article operationalizes these theories to promote positive freedoms by applying Nura Taefi’s analysis to distinguish “between cultural practices and cultural values.”

Drawing on other feminist cultural and human rights writers, Taefi describes a four-step analysis for this critical feminist analysis of culture, by identifying (1) “the origin and value of the cultural practice;” (2) “the
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294 Id., at 1, 3, 12, 13, 17.
295 Id., at 1.
296 Id. at 13, 16.
297 OSCE Awareness Raising for Roma Activists, supra note 7, at 1, 2, 3, 5, 15, 17.
298 Id. at 15-17.
299 See discussion of mainstreaming supra note 271.
300 Oprea, Re-Envisioning, supra note 98, at 36.
301 Taefi, supra note 273, at 368 (2009) (emphasis in original). Nura Taefi holds a BA/LLB and an LLM. She is a civil litigator and has published research and articles on the international human rights of girls.
level of significance to the culture and within the community;” (3) the practice’s “level of intrusion on a protected individual right;” and (4) “how significant . . . the human rights norm [is] to the international community.” This critical feminist analysis would aid in promoting “freedom [including] positive freedom” by promoting “an ethic of care and empathetic reasoning . . . in international human rights . . . and [adopting] the ‘question of the marginalised’ . . . as the main methodological tool.”

The emic (insider) and etic (outsider) analysis from legal anthropology used in Parts III and IV is a cross-cultural lens which, used collaboratively, can enrich in this work. Hernandez-Truyol “emphasizes the importance of an analysis from the perspective of both ‘insiders’ and ‘outsiders,’ [because] ‘[i]nsiders’ are able to provide a contextual background and elucidation of the particular nuances of a given practice, while ‘outsiders’ bring a certain degree of objectivity” which any “insiders” would not naturally possess about their own culture of origin. This emic (insider) and etic (outsider) approach, implemented on a community-by-community basis in combination with analysis of how gender and age compound Roma girls’ oppression, “can counteract gender-bias and adult-dominance in the execution of human rights law.”

Using legal anthropology and feminist critical intersectional analysis to generate data and develop

---


303 Radacic, supra note 271, at 275-76.

304 Taefi, supra note 273, at 368 citing Hernandez-Truyol, supra note 301, at 609.

305 Taefi, supra note 273, at 372.
collaborative methodologies and strategies to promote girls rights will improve human rights observances while strengthening communities.\footnote{Id.}

2. \textit{Top-Down and Bottom-Up}

Similarly, UNICEF recommends ensuring access to sex education including contraception, and advocates an inclusive, top-down and bottom-up approach that spans policymakers, politicians, academics, parents, and teenagers as well as incentive programs to keep girls in schools.\footnote{UNICEF \textit{Child Spouses}, supra note 26, at 13.} Likewise, Oprea calls it “essential” to include both progressive Roma male activists in challenging patriarchy and “promoting an intersectional, multi-faceted analysis of the problems affecting Romani communities today.”\footnote{Oprea, \textit{Re-Envisioning}, supra note 98, at 39.} She explains that countering patriarchy within Roma communities requires giving a central voice to Roma women in a “ground-up approach by consulting with those for whom race, gender, and class result in multiple forms of subordination.”\footnote{Id.} Including Roma women in broader social justice programming from the bottom-up would ensure including the “experiences of those multi-burdened, such as Romani women”\footnote{Id.} in project implementation.

Another way to mainstream Roma perspectives and improve human rights observance is to include “the traditional [Roma] authority within the archipelago of institutions responsible for the implementation of international human rights law, rather than” excluding Roma (traditional) authority from these responsibilities and positing traditional authorities as “an implacable enemy of human rights.”\footnote{Cahn, \textit{Romani Lawmaking}, supra note 69, at 122.} Cahn suggests that recognition of informal Roma law to “foster compliance
with human rights law [would also provide] much-needed strength to the [Roma] community and its institutions.”

3. Examples of Good Practices

Several initiatives and resources are available to enhance child rights observances in Roma communities without creating harm. The International Roma Women’s Network was launched in 2003. This is a model good practice because it is both grassroots and international, and operated by adults with at least some key demographic features in common with the people they aim to assist—Roma women. It is supported by the Advocacy Project, which provides capacity-building training and space online to have a platform for exchanging ideas, resources, and reports. Another resource is the development of Asset Based Community Development. This provides a theoretical framework for community-based resource development, including social resources such as change agents, or local leaders. This resource focuses on inclusive participation and “helping to identify a legitimate [respectful, helpful] role for external agencies[,] so that control stays within the communities themselves” and leading “by stepping back.”

These efforts are positive, but much work remains. Harming by trying to help is endemic in international law and community development. The adage of “Do No Harm” has room to

312 Id., at 133.
314 Id.
315 Id.
316 Mathie & Cunningham, supra note 287, at Figure 1.
317 Id.
318 Id.
319 Do no harm is a principal of medical ethics that translates well to legal and social or sociological work with children and other vulnerable members of communities. See generally Judge Thomas Frawley, Opening Address: Do No Harm, 2004 J. INST. JUST. INT’L STUD. 1, 2 (2004) (discussing the “physician’s oath, ‘Do no harm,’” as it applies to legal work.); NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH, NATIONAL LIBRARY OF MEDICINE, HISTORY OF MEDICINE DIVISION (7 February 2012), http://www.nlm.nih.gov/hmd/greek/oath.html (last visited March 27, 2013), (The Hippocratic oath “does not explicitly contain the phrase, ‘First, do no harm,’ which is commonly
grow. Incorporating Do No Harm into rights-based work is described as a seven-step approach, beginning with understanding the context, analyzing dividers and tensions as well as connectors and local capacities, and then analyzing the assistance project or project plan. The fifth step involves analyzing the program in the context and considering resources and implicit ethical messages or assumptions. The last two steps are to generate program options and finally to test options and redesign the program.

This iterative, process-based approach allows for a great deal of inclusion and opportunities to involve community members—both insiders and outsiders. Listening is an important part of a good methodology to develop culturally appropriate programs and projects, instead of imposing outsider or etic cultural norms onto a community. Working this way is harder and slower, but the effects are more welcome and more sustainable.

Conclusion

As Romani Rose and Angela Merkel pointed out, much work remains to be done for Roma peoples to live free, autonomous lives and for Roma children and girls to be safe and healthy. The Decade of Roma Inclusion will end in 2015, but this work will continue and hopefully increase in momentum. Early marriage is but one facet of the discrimination Roma women and youth face, and it is imbued in some communities with the benefits of heritage and attributed to it.


The Do No Harm Handbook, supra note 318, at 3-5.

Id.

Id.

DECADE OF ROMA INCLUSION, supra note 276.
safety. Roma peoples face a multitude of discriminations, and ending that will entail changing centuries of hateful stereotypes. But Roma and non-Roma people are beginning to work for this much needed change. Organizations such as the ERRC, OSCE, and UNICEF support projects to help build bridges of education, understanding, and safety, and are increasing the involvement of Roma peoples and incorporation of Roma perspectives. The Open Society Foundation Roma Initiatives Program provides grants to community activists to support work for inclusion and change through intercultural dialogue to challenge and change negative attitudes. By learning and continually refining good practices, and continuing to grow this collaboration, people and communities will change in a safe, respectful way that does not denigrate Roma cultures or force girls to choose between either their life or their family, community, and heritage.

This article hopes to continue and promote Oprea’s and other Roma and critical feminist intersectional theorists’ work to “foster critical conscious” and “prompt members of the legal profession to incorporate Romani struggles into broader gender and racial justice struggles.” More research and documentation is needed, but researchers must do no harm in gathering this information. And people using the data must do no harm as the data is used to work in and for Roma communities and peoples to ensure that “all people, irrespective of their ethnic origin, enjoy substantive and not only formal equality.” This approach is useful for identifying and leveraging culturally competent interventions to build internal community capacities’ to reduce early marriage and make communities safer for everyone, especially girls and women.
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325 Oprea, Psychic Charlatans, supra note 105, at 41.