June 1, 1999

APA Pedophilia on the March

Judith A. Reisman, PhD
May 12 the Family Research Council held a press conference in Washington, D.C., uniting a coalition of members of Congress, child protection advocates, child abuse victims and public policy groups. Among the speakers were House Majority Whip Tom DeLay, R-Texas, Rep. Dave Weldon, R-Fla., Rep. Matt Salmon, R-Ariz., Dr. Laura Schlessinger and myself. The conference was largely ignored by mainstream media. We met to demand that the American Psychological Association repudiate its APA Bulletin report, “A Meta-Analytic Examination of Assumed Properties of Child Sexual Abuse Using College Samples.” Speakers charged the APA to renounce the study whose authors, Bruce Rind, et al., claimed child sexual abuse could be harmless and beneficial.

After the American Psychiatric Association removed pedophilia as sexual perversion in its 1994 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual IV, it was only a matter of time until The American Psychological Association would ease us further toward legalizing child sexual abuse. Illinois State Representative Bob Biggins introduced House Resolution 325 damning the APA Rind study that found “sexual relationships between adults and willing children” might be “positive.”

As usual, the Rind and Co. APA paper cites Alfred Kinsey’s 1948 tome, Sexual Behavior in the Human Male, as a guide for their child abuse study. Why, “as usual?” Because the first “scientific” claim for pedophile normality was brewed at Indiana University by Kinsey and his comely boy-toys, Clyde Martin and APA psychologist, Wardell Pomeroy. Kinsey’s junk sex science mutated into a human sexuality junk yardstick for both the American Psychiatric and American Psychological Association (now, for brevity, jointly dubbed the American Pedophile Associations). Psychologists and psychiatrists like Rind were trained by Kinseyans — in Kinsey’s lies. Fabricating 18,000 interviews, the Kinsey corps alleged children needed sex — with each other and adults.

Rind’s child sex data might be as reliable as his mentor Kinsey, who labeled his cadre of child rapists “scientists.” These pedophile “scientists” claimed 317 to 2,045 “weeping,” “screaming,” “fainting” and
convulsing” children, even 2-months-old, “derived definite pleasure” from oral, manual, and worse, tests for “orgasm.”

So, Rind follows a 51-year-old pedophile junk science track record, during which both APAs were trained to believe up is down and black is white. Statistical proof that Rind and other educated elites accept and widely rely upon this pedophile research appears in Kinsey’s record 5,796 Social Science Citation Index, and Science Citation Index citations (1982-1997) with fully 648 law journal index cites in Westlaw during that time. Not Masters and Johnson, not even Freud compare. And although a 1998 British TV documentary “Kinsey’s Paedophiles” proved that Rind’s mentor paid men to sexually assault children for his “science,” in 1998 the Kinsey Institute re-released the fraudulent data.

Just like Kinsey, Rind says a 9-year-old can consent to sex and that “The current war on boy-lovers has no basis in science.” What “science?” One Kinsey “scientist,” Rex King, raped 800 children for Kinsey’s “data.” The German press reported children had to choose between the chimney and an ex-Nazi commandant in Hitler’s Germany — Dr. Fritz von Balluseck, Kinsey’s aide, who defiled hundreds of children, for “science.” Are the Rind trio — like the Kinsey team — sexual psychopaths: secret pedophile, pansexual and homosexual felons?

Rind and Co., also cite John Money, Vern Bullough and Larry Constantine as key child sex authorities.

But, Money, Johns Hopkins professor emeritus, psychiatrist and Penthouse Forum employee and Bullough, self-confessed pedophile editor of The Journal of Paedophilia, reassure their Journal of Paedophilia pedophiles that incest and adult-child sex are harmless. And, psychologist Constantine, also a Penthouse hireling, would legalize child pornography and incest to provide better paying jobs for children.

Like other failures in the Rind and Co. paper, dressing up pedophile activists as child molestation experts and saying a 9-year-old can “consent” to sex implies their “science” is the work of sexual psychopaths. Rind — like Kinsey — uses phony data to reduce attitudinal and legal protections for boys and girls in order to eliminate the age of consent.
Such “scientists” would debase American youth to that of some Third World children currently sold to pleasure wealthy western pedophiles and pederasts.

Sex research has a track record of fraud, crime and psychopathology among its leading lights. Unlike the British medical journal *The Lancet, Science* and other reputable scholarly journals still hide the Kinsey crimes from their constituency. They can be expected to give the bad Rind study credibility and honor.

As The Kinsey Institute dominates the “sexuality” field, expect more and more “Rind” studies. Only repeated disclosure of the long history of the academic pedophile movement can alert the nation to 51 years of lies and pedophile pedagogy.
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