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On June 4, 1999, during the congressional recess, President Clinton did an end run around the Senate when he named James C. Hormel, the billionaire "gay activist," ambassador to Luxembourg. The self-described mentor to homosexuals has used his family's food fortune to help create the Human Rights Campaign, a gay and lesbian political activist group.

Since 1997, Hormel's nomination had been blocked by Republican Senate Majority Leader Trent Lott. But, what will Senate Republicans, who blocked Hormel's appointment due to his sexual politics, say about Republican presidential hopeful, Gov. George W. Bush, R-Texas?

According to the April 9 New York Times, Bush said he, too, would give unelected "homosexuals" administrative power "if their political agenda was the same as mine."

Citing the interview, Kevin Ives of the homosexual Log Cabin Republicans was more definite. He said Bush "would appoint ... ambassadors" and other "openly gay people to his administration. ... Since one in three gays voted Republican in 1998," said Ives, Bush is "reaching out to the gay community."

By now, the world knows that Clinton's lifestyle is in harmony with the "gay" sexual agenda. But has Bush really investigated its village squares for evidence that the political/sexual agenda of "openly gay people" can be the same as his?

Supporters for Hormel cast him as a conventional guy, said Associated Press reporter, Kim Curtis (June 6, 1999). "Friends say that, far from being a radical activist, Hormel is a devoted family man to his partner, Timothy Wu, and to his children — four daughters and a son, and 13 grandchildren." But what is a "devoted" gay family man? And, are fidelity and monogamy as ideal in the gay life as in holy matrimony? The Advocate, the national mainstream homosexual publication, reported Aug. 23, 1994, that heterosexual fidelity and monogamy are impractical. And the gay ideal is "open" marriage, even for "family" men. In 1995, my research on male "Partner Solicitation Language" confirmed what The Advocate said. It also provided a serendipitous
discovery, a dictionary of homophile cant entitled, “The Queens Vernacular,” by Bruce Rodgers. Rodgers compiled 12,000 words describing a life “common” to gays, but foreign to most of us. “The Queens Vernacular” defined “married” for gays as, Living together with another homosexual in a partnership of mutual consent. “Oops! Something clever just walked into the tearoom [public toilet]. Pardon me while I go ‘get married.’”

To discover if gays’ lives and political agendas were like his, did Bush and his staff tour the heart of “gay” life? These hubs are bars, theaters, clubs and bathhouses, described by The New York Times (May 29), where men “meet and have casual and often anonymous sex in private cubicles … [where] oral and anal sex are routine behind closed doors.”

Did Bush shake hands and talk with consumers about their “political [sexual] agenda”? For what takes place in “gay” gathering places is critical to whom this group will really serve. Sex is bankable in this homosexual “old boys’ network.” And, homosexual authors, Jay and Allen (1978), Rofes (1996) and others confirm that Rep. Barney Frank’s male prostitution scandal in 1990 was prototypical of the employment and economic favors given for “gay” sexual favors received “in private cubicles.”

Just like Bill Clinton, openly gay people barter prominent and menial jobs for sex. Academic, political and business cohorts are hired — and votes are secured in back rooms. Thus, the American ideal of a meritocracy is corrupted. As “birds of a feather,” sexual radicals “flock together.” Gay activists are dedicated to changing society by overturning laws that constrain their sexual brotherhood — and to protect each other’s crimes and abuses.

Thus, the American Psychological Association’s recent pedophile-positive research report makes the following more ominous as gay activists are appointed to more and higher positions in the government. The heterosexual Webster’s Dictionary defines “boy” briefly and asexually:

Young unmarried man, fellow, guy, lad, stripling, youth, youngster.
Yet “The Queens Vernacular” defines “boy” as,

Chicken, a young recruit; any boy under the age of consent, heterosexual, fair of face and unfamiliar with homosexuality.

In total, there are 254 words in the gay community’s lexicon for sex with boys or “chicken.” A sampling follows:
Chicken dinner; skin some chicken; jail tail — boy below the age of consent with whom sex merits a stretch in the pen ... poultry dealer — a man who pimps boys to interested homosexuals ... pluck some feathers — to make love with a young boy.

There is only one word for adult sex with boys within the heterosexual community — “pederasty” — and it is illegal, for now.
The gay vocabulary found in “Queens” hardly fits the current media image of presenting the gay community and its leaders as “dedicated family” men. This cultural vocabulary of seduction, recruitment and abuse of boys gives a whole new meaning to “chicken dinners.”
So, George W. Bush, when you are on the presidential “rubber chicken circuit,” take note. Each time you look at your plate, remember what “chicken” means in the world of “gay family values.” If you continue, like Bill Clinton, to appoint openly gay people to powerful governmental appointments, it will promote and validate their cause of a society with no sexual limits for all — no matter what age.

Eunice Van Winkle Ray is the founder of the RSVP America Campaign.
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