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Gay liberationists in general, and boy-lovers in particular, should know Kinsey’s work and hold it dear. ... (I)mPLICIT in Kinsey is the struggle we fight today. — North American Man/Boy Love Association (NAMBLA) in “The Age Taboo,” (1981)

**Question:** Why would NAMBLA urge child molesters to hold Kinsey’s data “dear”?

**Answer:** Kinsey’s fraudulent sexuality data justified sexual abuse of children and “sex education” — like the recent conference when Massachusetts teachers trained children in sodomy and “fisting.”

In “For Money or Love, Boy Prostitution in America,” which was published in 1976, libertarian Robin Lloyd quotes former Sen. Birch Bayh, D-Ind., stating that the growing traffic in boy prostitution is “a hitherto undiscussed facet of the homosexual world.” Says Lloyd, “Perhaps half of the million runaway boys in this country (aged 10 to 16) are peddling their bodies to ‘chicken hawks’ — older men who lust for ‘chicken’ (boys).”

“There are tightly run organizations ... geared to provide wealthy clients with both pornography and boys. ... (T)heir boys will entertain movie stars, prominent athletes, politicians and, in some cases, heads of state.”

Lloyd observes that “boy prostitutes in Times Square outnumber female hookers five to one.”

Really? Why is the American public largely unaware that roughly 500,000 boys sell street sex to adult males of this great nation? Why are adult molesters — infecting thousands of boys with AIDS — not jailed for contributing to the delinquency of minors, statutory rape and premeditated murder?

Do “prominent” customers in the legislature, the White House, the Fourth Estate or the U.S. Justice Department cripple the criminal prosecution of child pornographers — and worse?

Where do these boy prostitutes come from? Many are runaways from tragic homes, of course. But, largely invisible to decent parents, there
is also a successful ongoing media and classroom campaign to recraft many, if not most, children into “bi / gay youth.”

A recent report from Seventeen magazine claims that 54 percent of teens polled in 1999 (versus 17 percent polled in 1991) now find homosexuality admissible: “Teens today are likely to experiment: 21 percent ... have fantasized about fooling around with someone of the same sex — and 15 percent say they have actually done so.” And how has this gay fantasy emerged as a cultural phenomenon among children?

Establishment media and sex educators in the classroom both vigorously promote juvenile homosexual experimentation while suppressing the darker data on inter-gay violence — data on men and boys battered and killed by sex clients, pickups, prostitutes and “lovers.”

Especially hidden by both homosexual and establishment media are the tragic data on homosexual violence against children and inter-gay battery.

An example of this suppression is the aggressive cry of “hate crimes” surrounding the heterosexual killers of Matthew Shepard and Barry Winchell (who was allegedly gay, but that is for another column) while covering up the barbaric murder of 13-year-old Jesse Dirkhising by two trusted homosexual friends of Jesse’s mother. Young Jesse did not survive being “bound, gagged ... repeatedly raped in a sado-masochistic ritual.” What of the rape and decapitation of Adam Walsh, carried out by two homosexual “lovers” or the castration of a Tacoma, Wash., boy a few years ago by a paroled homosexual child rapist?

Why was the vicious 1997 kidnap / rape / murder of 10-year-old Jeffrey Curley covered-up by the media until the recent $200 million lawsuit filed by the boy’s parents against NAMBLA, the homosexual group urging sex with boys to the two killers — both gay boy “lovers”?

Knowledge of such gay violence is critical if parents and the polity are to make informed choices about leaving children with homosexual acquaintances or authorities. Gay violence is not exclusively directed against children — “hate crimes” are commonly committed against one another. In their well-documented book, “Men Who Beat the Men Who Love Them,” Island and Letellier exposed a cultural pattern of inter-gay violence. At least “every 90 seconds ... a gay man is abused” by his “lover.”
The homosexual authors confirm common sense. “(G)ay men truly ... have a proportionate share of violent individuals in their midst who bash other gay men (and boys) in startlingly high numbers. The gay community needs to recognize that wealthy, white, educated, ‘politically correct’ gay men batter their lovers.”

How many of these “lovers” — boys and men — die of their wounds? We’ll probably never know.

Island and Letellier report that establishment and homosexual media agencies will not print the truth about inter-gay violence because “It would be just plain bad press for gays and ... all bad news needs to be suppressed.” Lloyd agrees, saying of boy-abusers individually and in groups, “(The police raids) usually go unreported by the press. Both wire services, the AP and UPI, file the stories to newspapers, radio stations and television stations but always with the cautionary headline, ‘EDITORS (NOTE NATURE OF THE STORY).’”

Lloyd adds, “Editors do ... and often elect not to use them.” The press suppresses police reports of raids and arrests of men preying on boys.

It is little wonder that movement homosexuals are waging a vicious censorship campaign against Dr. Laura, including threats against her life. Too many of her guests could be like her. Some would even be homosexual whistle-blowers, daring to confirm the darker data of gay-on-child sex abuse and self-inflicted inter-gay violence.

Such a child-protection expose cannot be tolerated by gay-tolerance spokespersons. It would indeed be “just plain bad press” were parents alerted to the increasing gay danger lurking in all their children’s lives.
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