

**Liberty University**

---

**From the Selected Works of Judith A. Reisman**

---

November 6, 2010

# 'Homosexists': Fanatical Misogynists

Judith A. Reisman, PhD



Available at: [https://works.bepress.com/judith\\_reisman/25/](https://works.bepress.com/judith_reisman/25/)

## 'HOMOSEXISTS': FANATICAL MISOGYNISTS

Exclusive: Judith Reisman explains definition of newly coined term

Published: 11/06/2010 at 12:00 AM



[JUDITH REISMAN About](#) | [Email](#) | [Archive](#)

I [recently used](#) the terms “homosexist” and “homosexism” to describe “Spirit Day,” Oct. 22, 2010 when President Barack H. Obama challenged American youth to view homosexuality as “a source of pride and a source of strength.”

Thinking I coined a new term, as Socrates says, I defined the word “homosexist,” only to find it on [“Queers United”](#): “‘Homosexism’ is the belief that gay or lesbian identities are superior to bisexual and/or straight orientations. The ‘homosexist’ viewpoint sometimes leads to discrimination against those who are not homosexual. Labels: breeder, discrimination, gay power, homosexuality, homosexism, homosexist, superior gay, word of the gay.”

Webster defines “homosexual” as “of, relating to, or characterized by a tendency to direct sexual desire toward another of the same sex.” Many homosexuals, aware of their early physical and/or emotional wounding, are now “ex-gays,” while others quietly endure.

However, the suffixes -ist, -ism, -ize connote someone who holds certain principles, doctrines, schools of thought, as in “sexist” or “racist.”

Synonyms include “chauvinist, doctrinaire, dogmatist, fanatic, fiend, maniac, monomaniac, opinionated, partisan, persecutor, zealot” – as opposed to “humanitarian, liberal, tolerator.”

“Homosexualists” are zealots, partisans, chauvinists and persecutors, dogmatists in their fanaticism (see [“Partner Solicitation Language as a Reflection of Male Sexual Orientation”](#)).

Even the left-leaning Wikipedia admits “homosexists” label normal married couples “breeders,” “a term of disparagement used primarily by homosexuals to describe heterosexuals who have produced or will produce offspring.”

[The Urban Dictionary](#) explains the slur “breeder” – “A female breeder is commonly called a moo, and a male breeder a duh. 2: slang term used by people of homosexual persuasion to refer to heterosexual couples,” offering as a typical usage:

Can you believe the nerve of those breeders? Their ... children make a huge mess and do not even tip the waitstaff. Disgusting ...

In our study, “Partner Solicitation Language as a Reflection of Male Sexual Orientation” (1995), Dr. Charles Johnson and I analyzed the premier “gay” magazine, The Advocate.

Was The Advocate humanitarian, or homosexist? To answer that question, our research rank-ordered the numbers of most-to-least “In Search of” advertisements. The Advocate published ads and essays on

sex with boys and on how to seduce “straight” men and boys. I have sanitized the language for this column.

As of this writing, online books and video guides on “seducing straight men” are being sold, including such copy as:

“GAY SEDUCTION”

“LEARN THE ART OF SEDUCING STRAIGHT MEN”

“How To Seduce Straight Guys: After Reading this Guide, YOU will have the confidence to SEDUCE ANY Straight Guy.”

Our research included an analysis of Bruce Rodgers’ renowned “Queens’ Vernacular: a Gay Lexicon” (1972).

“Encyclopedia of Homosexuality,” editor Wayne Dynes, says “QV” is the foremost gay lexicon, “reissued without change as ‘Gay Talk.’” “The Joys of Gay Sex” authors Silverstein and White agree, as does homosexualist icon Dennis Altman.

However, two brave lesbians protested that the book recollects “misogynistic ... concepts and values.”

Misogynistic homosexuals?

Since objective researchers rarely study the homosexual press, few would consider “gay” zealots to be sexist misogynists, but “Queens’ Vernacular” and “Gay Talk” include *only* hostile, bigoted, fanatic, maniac, partisan, obscene words to define normal love, marriage, women, men, military men, childbirth, and children.

In 1828, Noah Webster’s “An American Dictionary of the English Language” included 12,000 new words distinguishing Americans from Britons. Webster said, “A national language is a band of national union”; its words shape national thoughts and actions.

Like Webster, homosexualist lexicographer Bruce Rodgers published “A Gay Lexicon” of 12,000 unique words to identify “gay” thoughts and actions. Rodgers’ dictionary offers a “religious” worldview, a “gay” national language for a “gay” nation completely unlike the heterosexual, straight nation. Our research question was: What is the “national language” of the 12,000 words of “A Gay Lexicon”?

Ranked by “QV” words, homosexual life most focused on: 1) sex with boys, 2) prostitution, 3) no time, anonymous sodomy, 3) phallic size, and 5) sadism. Marriage as fidelity or permanence was statistically non-existent.

Webster has one phrase and six words to define boy: “Young unmarried man, fellow, guy, lad, stripling, youth, youngster.” The “QV” has 254 words for “boy,” largely predatory, typical of a doctrinaire homosexuality. For example:

**Chicken**, a young recruit; any boy under the age of consent, heterosexual, fair of face and unfamiliar with homosexuality; **Pluck some feathers**: rip off a drumstick; skin some chicken. babette; baby; baby buggy; baby butch; baggage-boy; bait; bait the hook, etc.

Prison had 223 words, 182 words described men as girls, masturbation 147, women 134, military sex 82, and straights 63. Words for women were bigoted and mean, including 67 words for “whore” and 26 for “b—h.” Even sex with military men includes “seacow” as a girl with a sailor boyfriend. As to “straights,” a man who loves a woman is “pig suck.” A “pimp” is “a heterosexual woman’s legally married spouse ... what gay men really desire is not another gay man, it’s a straight man.”

If a “homosexual man who has turned to women” is “pig suck,” if boys are “chickens” to be “barbecued,” and if “mother” is one who “introduced another to homosexual activity,” then a tyrannical, doctrinaire zealotry lurks within “Spirit Day,” a hostile spirit of “homosexism.”

The 12,000 words in the “QV” and “Gay Talk” objectively identify “gay” activists as homosexuals, deeply prejudiced toward women and normal sexuality.

Read more at <http://www.wnd.com/2010/11/224557/#odyqbskS7Uzi5CGY.99>