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Exclusive: Judith Reisman counters 'sexperts' who claim number of assaults are waning

By Judith Reisman

Rodney Erickson, Ph.D., the new president of Penn State, delivered welcoming remarks to attendees at the very first Penn State Child Sexual Abuse Conference Oct. 29-30. Erickson assumed the presidency Nov. 9, 2011, after the disgraced Graham Spanier was forced to resign as president following exposure of his foreknowledge of Coach Jerry Sandusky's infamous rapes of young boys.

The 2009 well-funded Penn State Justice Center for Research partnered the conference with the College of the Liberal Arts and University Outreach. The Justice Center's "press releases," which appear on the Web, began in 2010. These press releases, like the October conference speakers, ignored the infamous child sex abuse Penn State network.

I never heard the names of former "Coach Sandusky" or "President Spanier" mentioned by a single carefully vetted Penn State child sex abuse speaker. Nor was there a mention of The Second Mile, the nonprofit charity founded by Sandusky & Co. - of course, to help local underprivileged and at-risk youth. The conference speeches are posted on the Internet, so if someone noted these names or events when I sneezed, kindly email those citations to me.

While Mr. Sandusky was convicted of child sexual abuse in June 2012, Mr. Spanier has recently been charged with perjury and obstruction of justice. In plainer English, Spanier is accused of a long-time cover-up of Sandusky's homosexual child rapist preferences.

The conference, attended largely by sexuality "experts," therapists and survivors, was visible in its denial of a multitude of related facts about the violation of children.

Indeed, many therapists and survivors in the audience were stunned to hear the famous keynoter boldly claim a steep decline in substantiated child sex abuse (Finkelhor) and public safety resulting from sex offender treatment (Kaufman).

Although it would be dandy to believe Finkelhor and Kaufman's fantasy "statistics," telling us that all is improving so we must be doing things right, one critic said the massive child sex abuse decline parroted by the sexperts suffers from "the smell test - we on the ground see the problem of child sex abuse getting worse, not better."
In fact, the expert child abuse denier, Dr. David Finkelhor, is the director of the Crimes against Children Research Center, co-director of the Family Research Laboratory and professor of Sociology at the University of New Hampshire. Finkelhor has so successfully pleased all big-government political administrations that he - like the Kinsey Institute and similar agencies - has received government grants from 1978 to today.

Despite the exposure of 5,200 Pentagon staffers found downloading "child pornography," neither this well-known phrase nor "pornography" make it into the sexperts' prevention lexicon. Who were these people at Penn State to protect? Not children.

Earlier in writing about pornography's link to adult and child rape, I exposed some of the phony stats cooked by law professor Glenn Reynolds. Glenn and Northwestern law professor Anthony D'Amato claim "Porn up, Rape down" - with psychologists and therapists grabbing onto that shibboleth like a hungry dog on a bone.

The child abuse speakers didn't dare claim, like D'Amato, that since "teenagers and adults" are using pornography fewer children are raped, yet their silence strongly implied that is the case. They all know the truth. U.S. News and World Report (April 24, 2000) said, "Facing political heat to cut crime in the city, investigators in the New York PPD's Sex Crime Unit sat on (thousands of) reports of rapes and other sexual assaults." One officer snarled, "The way crime was solved was with an eraser."

In 2000 even the FBI admitted that one district "failed to report between 13,000 and 37,000 major crimes." "A 2000 Philadelphia Inquirer report found from 1997-1999, of 300,000 sex crime reports, thousands of rapes got relabeled 'investigation of persons' or 'investigation, protection, and medical examination' - non-crime codes." "This puts one in four rapes in a non-crime category."

Lt. Col. Dave Grossman, U.S. Army (ret.), a West Point psychology professor, said, "Violent crime ... is still about five times greater today, per capita, than it was in 1957." He adds, "We'd have to let 1.5 million convicted offenders go to get down to a 1970s-level incarceration rate. We are lying about the data."

The National Institute of Justice Managing Adult Sex Offenders (1997) reported: "The number of adults convicted annually of rape, child molestation, or other forms of sexual assault and sentenced to state prisons more than doubled between 1980 (8,000) and 1992 (19,100). In 1994, state prisons held 88,100 sex offenders compared to 20,500 in 1980."

Adds Grossman, "Crimestat" had cops bring down crime. "When the NYPD police union went over the data the crime rates doubled in New York City." Other than murder (reduced via medical technology), "police artificially 'bring crime down,' we cook the books."
"The American Police Beat," May 2005 quotes Denver Police Lt. James D. Ponzi, a Regis University professor: "Compstat turned into 'Compscam' as departments cooked the books to lower crime rates," never making it into the "National Incident Based Reporting System [NIBRS]." Adds Ponzi, "These 'lower' rape statistics don't reflect what is truly happening in sex related crimes." For example, "LAPD reported a 28 percent drop in violent crime in 2005, the same year the department reclassified domestic assaults in which the victim suffered minor injuries or had no injuries."

"In Atlanta, 22,000 crimes were left out of the crime reports. In New York, the crime rates doubled in a precinct when the proper classification was applied by the police union. The list goes on." Bureaucrats are happy, but "the citizens ... get nothing but a false sense of security about the safety of their cities."

**Although pornography is absolutely causal in child sexual abuse,** other critical falsehoods were given to the attendees.

Again ignoring the massive increase in child sex abuse that coincides with "school sex ed," Finklehor wants more of the same to lower child sex abuse rates. He claims boys who are "gay" should be supported in their decisions, without any reference to any sex abuse that these boys probably experienced. Hence we lower rates of child sex abuse by labeling children "gay" and saying they liked it.

All speakers ignored the fact that younger victims are more likely to have developed traumatic amnesia; they ignore the increasingly violent nature of child sex abuse, pedophile rings, pedophile proponents, child sex trafficking, institutions harming large numbers of children, child protective services workers refusing to protect and instead reunifying children inappropriately, courts ordering children to live with reported offenders and coordinated disbelief of children when they report sex abuse. Attorneys and advocates in divorce situations advise their clients not to report child sex abuse, since the children are almost always placed with the abusers (Neustein & Goetting 1999 and Steubner, 2011).

Finkelhor also claims "low" recidivism for sex offenders. Ludicrous. **Numerous studies show a steady increase in recidivism over time.** One attendee stated, "Dr. Kaufman's talk was disturbingly offender-friendly."

Statistics are commonly used to falsify reality. I've written extensively on that in my books on the statistical and criminal frauds of Alfred Kinsey. Interesting, that one of the speakers defined statutory rapists as children's "partners," the word coined by Kinsey for child rapists.

The speakers claims that a rapist is a child's "partner," that children are "engaged in prostitution" and that "children with a crush" can lie to have sex speaks to adopting a predator worldview.
The objection to sex offender registration as "draconian measures" is more predator-protector language, as is, "Children may like the attention," and "not all victims experience problems." These claims are disingenuous since problems develop throughout the life cycle and there is no way to assess that truth. "Kids having problems prior to the abuse put them at risk of being abused" again lays the blame on the children. All this while hiding the role of mainlining pornography as the primary culprit in child-on-child and adult-on-child sex abuse.

A very serious complaint came from one survivor who said, "The family courts are really criminal enterprises. Even the Center for Missing and Exploited Children is part of the problem. I collected fliers over five years and found that NCMEC sent four times more fliers looking for women abductors than for men, although men abduct more often. I was appalled. I confronted the president and shortly NCMEC stopped sending fliers and moved to the Internet."

As violent sex crimes increase, including all sodomy and use of objects, and photos, etc., many professionals, themselves users and/or abusers, must minimize the horror of the growing child sex abuse pandemic. To many hearing these speakers, this appears to have been the subtext of the first Penn State Child Sexual Abuse Conference.