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THE KINSEY CULT: How they're hypersexualizing your kids

Exclusive: Judith Reisman explains history of teachers' 'attitude restructuring'

By Judith Reisman
WorldNetDaily, March 29, 2014

Note: Thomas R. Hampson, chief investigator, Liberty Center for Child Protection, contributed to this column.

"Behold, I send you forth as sheep in the midst of wolves." - Matthew 10:16

Ira Reiss, a sociologist and professor emeritus at Minnesota University, was a charter member of Alfred Kinsey's Sex Cult. His papers, articles, and audio and video recordings already are housed at the Kinsey Institute, 57 years of his work so far. Reiss, like other Kinsey disciples, advocated the production of pornography and its display for "training" purposes to prepare students entering the new sexuality fields spawned by Kinsey's supposed revelations on sex. Kinsey gleefully promoted this type of material, which during the late '60s started to be called Sexuality Attitude Restructuring (later renamed Reassessment), or SAR, sessions.

These training sessions are promoted as sexual desensitization seminars, pornographic extravaganzas of all manner of enthusiastic sexual activities presented to groups of men and women as training to become certified therapists, counselors, educators or researchers. In addition to desensitizing sexologists to the images of heterosexual activities, sadomasochism, group sex, sodomy, the use of sex "toys" and homosexual behavior, the sex leaders also hold small group discussions to explore the participants' attitudes and biases in order to neutralize any "negative" views.

But the stated purpose of these sessions is not the whole story, or even the real story.

Early on, these sessions were not used to merely desensitize and encourage acceptance of all sex acts but as indoctrination into a "sex positive" mindset. (Such training has been a requirement for certification by the American Association of Sex Educators Counselors and Therapists, or AASECT, from the beginning.) SAR leaders also often pressured participants into sexual experimentation with each other.

Reiss revealed this in his book, "An Insider's View of Sexual Science since Kinsey," recounting his experience at an eight-day SAR session in San Francisco in 1972. At the time, Reiss already was a professor at the University of Minnesota where its medical
school was one the first in the country to offer SAR training to medical students. But it was a new, untested program.

The director of U of M's SAR program had secured a grant from the Playboy Foundation to send 25 couples from the University, all expenses paid, to San Francisco to receive training from the group that had followed on Kinsey's practices, the National Sex Forum (aka the National Sex and Drug Forum). The purpose was to improve the programming at Minnesota. Reiss and wife were among the volunteers for the Playboy-sponsored training of future national sex educators. Reiss reports:

"The view presented by many of the staff was supportive of people trying out the full variety of sexual acts that exist (S and M, gay, extramarital, group sex, etc.). The supposed purpose was to allow people to break through their old restrictive sexual attitudes. I had no objection to offering such options. However, as they elaborated, it became clear that this support of broad experimentation was more than just permission giving - it was presented as a demand to experiment."

When Reiss resisted, the SAR leaders ridiculed him, one of them saying, "Are you hostile to group sex or gay sex, and is that why [you are] so cautious about trying something new? Are you biased?"

Reiss did not object to the activity. Rather, he objected to demanding it. It should be promoted, not required, according to Reiss. Such promoting, demanding and encouraging of freewheeling sexual libertinism SAR trainers have been doing for over 40 years now.

While AASECT requires SAR training as an element in their certification standards, the Kinsey Institute is still involved, and Planned Parenthood has joined in. SAR trainings are regularly available now.

**See all of Dr. Reisman's books on sexual fraud at the WND Superstore.**

Mentally and emotionally corrupted graduates of the SAR training become the "experts" who design sex-ed courses and teach our children. Thus, they have "determined" that the anus is a "genital" as it is described in the currently used sex education program in Hawaii, that orgies are natural entertainment, that sex addiction is a myth, that addiction to pornography is not possible, that it's normal for children of any age to have sex and that they have the right to choose whatever sexual activity they may think to try with whomever they want, and that sodomy (legalized by the Supreme Court in 2003) is a healthy sexual practice for all sexual orientations.

The whole purpose of these "sex positive" programs is not to liberate adults from their Victorian moral prisons but to indoctrinate children into an unrestrained, sexually available lifestyle. Even if such "programs" are not being taught in all schools yet, this material has been made available on multiple websites and are widely promoted to all, regardless of
age. The Kinsey Institute, SIECUS, Planned Parenthood, AASECT and others all provide, or recommend, sites that extoll the virtues of unrestrained sexual experimentation.

Is it any wonder that youthful STDs, pregnancies, abortions and abuse are pandemic?

Which brings us to one of the big lies spread by these organizations: safe/safer sex.

Typical of schools throughout the country, the Minnesota AIDS Project experts (SAR graduates) tell youngsters they can cut and use plastic wrap as a "barrier" when a child has oral/anal contact.

What?

To make matters worse, many of these groups have for years been spreading the false advertising that condoms and dental dams are FDA approved for such bizarre and damaging use. They are not. (See my recent column, "Condoms never FDA-approved for sodomy.")

Do "condoms" and homemade barriers give the protection Planned Parenthood and other groups claim? Or do these groups promote their use merely as cover for the real purpose - to hypersexualize younger and younger children, groom them and leave them increasingly vulnerable to disease, death and sexual abuse by peers and adults?

Isn't it time we start holding these groups legally accountable for knowingly spreading their junk science? Let us hear from you if you are among the millions who have been harmed by their "grooming" lies.