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In this paper the three dimensional random field Ising model is studied at both zero temperature and positive temperature. Critical exponents are extracted at zero temperature by finite size scaling analysis of large discontinuities in the bond energy. The heat capacity exponent $\alpha$ is found to be near zero. The ground states are determined for a range of external field and disorder strength near the zero temperature critical point and the scaling of ground state tilings of the field-disorder plane is discussed. At positive temperature the specific heat and the susceptibility are obtained using the Wang-Landau algorithm. It is found that sharp peaks are present in these physical quantities for some realizations of systems sized $16^3$ and larger. These sharp peaks result from flipping large domains and correspond to large discontinuities in ground state bond energies. Finally, zero temperature and positive temperature spin configurations near the critical line are found to be highly correlated suggesting a strong version of the zero temperature fixed point hypothesis.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The random field Ising model (RFIM) is among the simplest non-trivial spin models with quenched disorder. It has been intensively studied theoretically, experimentally, and in computer simulations during the last thirty years but is still not well understood. Following the seminal discussion of Imry and Ma it has been proved that the RFIM has an ordered phase at low temperature and weak disorder when the dimension is greater than two. It is generally believed that the transition from the ordered phase to the disordered phase of the RFIM is continuous and is controlled by a zero temperature fixed point. Since random field fluctuations dominate over thermal fluctuations at the transition, the hyperscaling relation is modified as $(d - \theta)\nu = 2 - \alpha$, where $\theta$ is the violation of hyperscaling exponent.

The phase diagram of the RFIM is sketched in Fig. 1. Phase transitions can occur from the ferromagnetic phase (F) to the paramagnetic phase (P) at either zero temperature as a function of disorder strength $\Delta$ at $\Delta = \Delta_c$, or as a function of temperature $T$ if disorder is fixed at $\Delta_0 < \Delta_c$. According to the zero temperature fixed point hypothesis, the zero temperature transition and the positive temperature transitions belong to the same universality class. In this paper we use numerical methods to study both kinds of transitions and connections between them. One of our primary results is that, for each realization of disorder, there is a strong correlation between ground state configurations near $\Delta_c$ and thermal states near $T_c$ for $\Delta_0 < \Delta_c$.

Currently, there is no controlled renormalization group analysis of the RFIM phase transition and Monte Carlo simulations of the RFIM at positive temperature are limited to small systems because of very long equilibration times. According to the zero temperature fixed point hypothesis, many properties of the RFIM phase transition, including the values of critical exponents, can be determined by studying the RFIM at zero temperature. The ground state of the RFIM can be found in polynomial time by efficient combinatorial algorithms so that zero temperature simulations are much faster and allow for much larger system sizes than positive temperature simulations. Critical exponents have been obtained from zero temperature studies[14,15,16] that are mostly consistent with the scaling theories[5,6,7], series methods[17] and real space renormalization group approaches[18,19].

FIG. 1: Phase diagram of the RFIM. We study two types of phase transitions going from the ferromagnetic phase (F) to the paramagnetic phase (P): The zero temperature transition (open arrow) occurs at $T = 0$ and $\Delta = \Delta_c$, and positive temperature transitions (solid arrow) occurs at a fix disorder $\Delta = \Delta_0 < \Delta_c$ and $T > 0$.
Much work has been done in determining the critical exponents, and the values of many exponents are well established. However, the value of the heat capacity exponent $\alpha$ is still controversial. A recent zero temperature study by Hartmann and Young found $\alpha \approx -0.6$ for the three dimensional Gaussian RFIM. The modified hyperscaling relation, however, predicts that $\alpha = 2 - (d - \theta)\nu \approx 0$, given the well-accepted values $\theta \approx 1.5$ and $\nu \approx 1.1$. Therefore the quite negative value found in Ref. 14 is inconsistent with the modified hyperscaling relation. Some older work at zero temperature also found $\alpha$ quite negative. On the other hand, Middleton and Fisher also studied the three dimensional Gaussian RFIM at $T = 0$ and found $\alpha \approx -0.1$, in agreement with the modified hyperscaling relation.

Dukovski and Machta studied the ground states of the RFIM in the presence of an external field $H$. They located a “finite size critical point” for each realization of disorder, identified as the point of degeneracy of three ground states in the $H - \Delta$ plane with the largest discontinuity in magnetization. They extracted critical exponents via finite size scaling of the discontinuities at that point. The reason to focus on the finite size critical point was that this point can be regarded as the most singular point on the $H - \Delta$ plane, and working at this point may reduce the influence of the regular part of physical quantities. The value of heat capacity exponent they found was $\alpha \approx 0$, however, their results were less accurate than those of Refs. 14 and 15 because of the large amount of computational work needed to locate the finite size critical point.

The work reported in this paper combines both zero temperature and positive temperature studies. The zero temperature studies extend the work of Ref. 14 in two directions. First, for each realization of disorder we study points along the $H = 0$ line with large discontinuities in bond energy or magnetization to determine the critical exponents. Finding discontinuities along the $H = 0$ line requires much less computational work than finding the finite size critical point while still adhering to the idea introduced in Ref. 14 of extracting critical exponents from the large discontinuities in each realization of disorder. We also find ground state spin configurations near these large discontinuities and compare them to thermal states near positive temperature critical points. Second, we study the full set of ground states of the RFIM in the critical region of the $H - \Delta$ plane and discuss the properties of the resulting ground state tilings of this plane.

Since conventional Monte Carlo methods are not efficient for the study of the RFIM, we apply the Wang-Landau algorithm to the RFIM, which enables us to obtain the specific heat and the susceptibility over a broad range of temperature with system size up to 32. We find that some realizations display sharp peaks in the specific heat and susceptibility. Inspired by the zero temperature fixed point hypothesis, we relate these sharp peaks to the large discontinuities at zero temperature. We further study the thermal states (average spin configurations) near the transition using the Metropolis algorithm and compare them to the ground states near the zero temperature transition. Some of this work has been previously announced in Ref. 22.

In this paper we consider the three dimensional RFIM with Gaussian random fields described by the Hamiltonian,

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{(i,j)} s_i s_j - \Delta \sum_i h_i s_i - H \sum_i s_i, \quad (1)$$

where $H$ is the uniform external field, $(i,j)$ indicates a sum over all nearest neighbor sites $i$ and $j$ on a simple cubic lattice of linear size $L$ with periodic boundary conditions. The random fields $h_i$ are Gaussian random variables with mean zero and standard deviation one and the strength of disorder is $\Delta$. The normalized fields $\{h_i\}$ define a realization of disorder and, for a given realization of disorder we explore spin configurations and physical properties as a function of $H$, $T$ and $\Delta$. Some of the physical quantities of interest include the magnetization $m$, defined as

$$m = \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_i s_i, \quad (2)$$

and the bond energy $e$,

$$e = -\frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{(i,j)} s_i s_j, \quad (3)$$

In the next section we discuss the scaling properties of large discontinuities in the bond energy at zero temperature and use numerical results for these discontinuities to extract critical exponents and the critical disorder strength. In Sec. IV we obtain ground state portraits for the RFIM and discuss their scaling properties. Section V presents results of positive temperature simulations and, in Sec. VI we discuss correlations between ground states and thermal states. The paper closes with a summary and discussion.

II. CRITICAL EXPONENTS AT ZERO TEMPERATURE

At zero temperature, the problem of finding the ground state of the RFIM can be mapped to the MAX-FLOW problem in graph theory, which is solvable in polynomial time. We use a modified version of the push-relabel algorithm to calculate the ground states.

The specific heat at $T = 0$ is defined as

$$C = \frac{\partial |e|}{\partial \Delta}, \quad (4)$$

where $e$ is the bond energy defined in Eq. 3, and the square brackets denote averaging over disorder realizations. At zero temperature, for each realization of normalized random fields the bond energy changes discontinuously as a function of the strength of disorder $\Delta$. An
example of a single realization is shown in Fig. 2 and illustrates the point that the sizes of the discontinuities vary widely. In Refs. 14 and 15 all bond energy jumps are included in the calculation of the specific heat exponent. However, small jumps are presumably part of the analytic background rather than the singular behavior. The smallest bond energy jump, for example, is 4 for all system sizes. We therefore analyze large jumps in the bond energy to focus on the critical singularity. From a sample of $N(L)$ disorder realizations of systems of size $L$, let $|\delta e_1|$ be the average over the $N(L)$ largest bond energy jumps and let $[\Delta_1]$ be the average of the disorder strength at these jumps. Each realization of disorder typically contributes one bond energy jump and one disorder strength to these averages though some realizations contribute nothing and some several values. Figure 3 shows the specific heat decomposed into two components, component (a) is due to the largest $N(L)$ jumps while component (b) arises from all other jumps. One can see that the large jumps make a significant contribution to the full specific heat and we will use this component to extract critical exponents. The finite size scaling of the specific heat is expected to obey

$$C \sim L^{\alpha/\nu} \bar{C}((\Delta - \Delta_c)L^{1/\nu})$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

Though the two components of the specific heat shown in Fig. 3 obviously behave differently from one another, our primary assumption is that the finite-size scaling of the full specific heat also applies to the component of the specific heat from the largest bond energy jumps. Indeed we believe that the large jumps provide better data to obtain critical exponents from small systems than the full specific heat because this component is undiluted by the analytic background. We discuss more detailed scaling assumptions about large jumps later in this section. Note that the peak height for component (a) barely changes with system size suggesting that the specific heat exponent $\alpha$ is near zero.

Integrating Eq. 5 as applied to the component from the largest discontinuities, we obtain a finite size scaling ansatz for the large jumps,

$$|\delta e_1| \sim L^{(1-\alpha)/\nu},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

where $\alpha$ is the specific heat exponent and $\nu$ is the correlation length exponent. Table II gives the average size of the large bond energy jumps as a function of system size $L$. A fit of the form given in Eq. 6 yields $(1-\alpha)/\nu = 0.842 \pm 0.003$ with goodness of fit parameter $Q \approx 0.7 \ (Q = \Gamma(d/2, \chi^2/2)$ with $d$ the number of degrees of freedom and $\Gamma$ the incomplete gamma function).

The displacement of the average position of the large jumps from the infinite volume limit and the standard deviation of the positions of the large jumps are each measures of the width of the critical region and, following Ref. 24, we assume that they satisfy the finite size scaling relations,

$$[\Delta_1] - \Delta_c \sim L^{-1/\nu},$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

and

$$\sqrt{\langle (\Delta_1 - [\Delta_1])^2 \rangle} \sim L^{-1/\nu}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

where $\nu$ is the correlation length exponent and $\Delta_c$ is the infinite size critical disorder strength. Table II gives the standard deviation of the position of the largest jump and a fit to Eq. 8 yields $1/\nu = 0.79 \pm 0.01$ with $Q \approx 0.4$. Finally, Table II gives $[\Delta_1]$ and, using the previously obtained value, $1/\nu = 0.79$ a fit to Eq. 7 yields $\Delta_c = 2.280 \pm 0.003$ with $Q \approx 0.2$.

The second and third largest jumps also presumably reflect the critical singularity. We repeated the foregoing calculations for the largest $kN(L)$ jumps, where our data allow us to go up to $k = 3$. The results are listed in Table II. We arrive at the following best estimates of the critical
The total number of bond energy jumps larger than the scaled lower cut-off is independent of the system size, since the jumps in systems with different sizes are connected by the renormalization group transformation. Defining scaled variables \( u = \delta e L^{(1-\alpha)/\nu} \) and \( v = (\Delta - \Delta_c) L^{1/\nu} \), the number of jumps occurring in a small neighborhood of \((u,v)\) should also be invariant for different system sizes. It then follows that the probability \( P(\delta e, \Delta) \) of having a bond energy jump with size \( \delta e \), \( \delta e > \delta e_{\text{min}} \) and position \( \Delta \) is proportional to a given normalized probability distribution function \( \tilde{P}(u,v) \),

\[
P(\delta e, \Delta) \propto \tilde{P}(\delta e L^{(1-\alpha)/\nu}, (\Delta - \Delta_c) L^{1/\nu}).
\]

The normalization of \( P(\delta e, \Delta) \) then gives

\[
P(\delta e, \Delta) = L^{(2-\alpha)/\nu} \tilde{P}(\delta e L^{(1-\alpha)/\nu}, (\Delta - \Delta_c) L^{1/\nu}).
\]

Letting \( a = \delta e_{\text{min}} L^{(1-\alpha)/\nu} \) and integrating gives the scaling of the specific heat due to big jumps \( C_b \),

\[
C_b = \int_{\delta e_{\text{min}}}^{\delta e_{\text{max}}} \delta e P(\delta e, \Delta) d\delta e \\
\sim L^{\alpha/\nu} \tilde{C}((\Delta - \Delta_c) L^{1/\nu}, a),
\]

where \( \tilde{C}(v,a) = \int_u^a \tilde{P}(u,v) du \) is some scaling function. By integrating Eq. (12) over \( \delta e \) we derive the probability distribution of the disorder strength where big bond energy jumps occur,

\[
P_b(\Delta) = L^{1/\nu} \tilde{Q}((\Delta - \Delta_c) L^{1/\nu}, a),
\]

where \( \tilde{Q}(v,a) = \int_a^\infty \tilde{P}(u,v) du \). We then recover the scaling of the average disorder strength given in Eq. (11). The setup of a scaled lower cut-off should be equivalent to picking the \( kN(L) \) largest jumps for each size \( L \), where \( k \) is some fixed number, because the total number of jumps

\[
\delta e_{\text{min}} \sim L^{(1-\alpha)/\nu}.
\]
TABLE IV: Number of bond energy jumps larger than the scaled lower cut-off $\delta e_{\min}$. The cut-off satisfies that $a = \delta e_{\min} L^{(1-\alpha)/\nu}$ is a constant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L = 16</th>
<th>L = 32</th>
<th>L = 48</th>
<th>L = 64</th>
<th>L = 96</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a = 0.05</td>
<td>69.3(1)</td>
<td>75.1(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a = 0.1</td>
<td>29.03(6)</td>
<td>30.89(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a = 0.6</td>
<td>3.16(1)</td>
<td>3.04(1)</td>
<td>3.01(1)</td>
<td>2.99(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a = 1</td>
<td>1.585(5)</td>
<td>1.533(7)</td>
<td>1.53(1)</td>
<td>1.52(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

is invariant under the renormalization group transformation. We test this hypothesis by fixing the constant $a = \delta e_{\min} L^{(1-\alpha)/\nu}$ and count how many jumps larger than the lower cut-off there are for each system size. The result is listed in Table IV. One can see that if the scaled lower cut-off is not too small, the number of bond energy jumps larger than the cut-off goes to a constant independent of the system size $L$.

Figure 4 illustrates the data collapse of the specific heat predicted by Eq. 13 for system sizes $32^3$, $48^3$, $64^3$ and $96^3$. In conventional data collapse the scaling function $C(x)$ behaves like $x^{-\alpha}$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, but in Fig. 4 the tail of the curve decays faster than a power law. The main plot in Fig. 4 has the bond energy jump cut-off set as $a = \delta e_{\min} L^{(1-\alpha)/\nu} = 1$. Table IV shows that for $a = 1$ only a few largest jumps per realization contribute to $C_b$ and, since these jumps are concentrated near the critical point, we do not expect $C_b \sim (\Delta - \Delta_c)^{-\alpha}$. However, the tail should approach $x^{-\alpha}$, if the number of jumps included is increased, or equivalently, $a$ is reduced. The inset in Fig. 4 shows $C_b$ with a smaller cut-off, $a = \delta e_{\min} L^{(1-\alpha)/\nu} = 0.1$. With this cut-off data is available for $16^3$ and $32^3$ systems only. The inset illustrates that, as the cut-off is lowered, the tail of the scaling function expands and presumably approaches the asymptotic $x^{-\alpha}$ shape.

III. GROUND STATES PICTURES AND SCALING RELATIONS

The tiling of the $H - \Delta$ plane by ground states is the subject of this section. To study this tiling, we find all ground states within a certain range of disorder $\Delta$ and external field $H$ in the critical region. Since the Hamiltonian of the RFIM is linear with respect to the external field $H$ and the strength of disorder $\Delta$, each spin configuration is represented by a plane in the $H - \Delta - \mathcal{H}$ coordinate system. Ground states are spin configurations that are locally lowest and the set of all ground states form a convex surface in this coordinate system. Spin configurations are ground states within regions of $H$ and $\Delta$ bounded by neighboring ground state planes so that a given spin configuration is the ground state within a polygonal region. At boundaries of these polygons, and intersection points of boundaries, ground states are degenerate. We are particularly interested in the degenerate points that are common points of three ground states. We call these “triple points.”

The structure of the ground state energy surface can be visualized by projecting it onto the $H - \Delta$ plane where it becomes a tiling of the plane by polygons. The computational method for finding this tiling is closely related to the method developed in Ref. 16. In that work, the first order line, which is a set of boundaries that separates the two ordered phases with positive and negative magnetization, respectively, was followed and the “finite size critical point” was identified by finding the triple point having the largest discontinuity in magnetization. The finite size critical point was regarded as the most singular point, and critical exponents were extracted via finite size scaling of magnetization and bond energy discontinuities at the point. In this paper we use a method similar to the techniques used in Ref. 29 and 16 to map out all the ground states for any given realizations within a certain region on the $H - \Delta$ plane near the finite size critical point.

Our algorithm performs a breadth-first search of ground states. The starting point of the search is the finite size critical point located by the algorithm of Ref. 16. For each point where more than two ground states are degenerate we already have the ground states around the point and the coexistence lines separating them (one locates the point by finding ground states around it). We then follow the lines and search for the next adjacent degenerate point using the following method. Starting from the given degenerate point $p$, we extend the coexistence line separating ground states $P_1$ and $P_2$ with some pre-selected step size until we meet a point $q_0$ on which the ground state $Q_0$ is different from both $P_1$ and $P_2$. The actual adjacent degenerate point is typically passed over, because of the the fixed step size is too large. We then locate the intersection point of $P_1$, $P_2$ and $Q_0$ and name it $q_1$ on which the ground state is $Q_1$. If $q_1 = q_0$ then $q_0$ is obviously the point we want. Otherwise we find the intersection point of $P_1$, $P_2$ and $Q_1$ and name it $q_2$. The
process can be repeated and the sequence \( \{ q_n \} \) will eventually converge to the adjacent degenerate point due to the convexity of the ground state surface. The process of finding adjacent degenerate points is iterated recursively until it reaches the outside of the predefined region, or it finds a point that has already been visited. By connecting degenerate points with straight lines, all ground states within the region are identified.

Using the method described above, a ground state picture on the \( H - \Delta \) plane of a particular \( 32^3 \) realization (seed 1003) was computed and is shown in Fig. 5(a). Coexistence lines are drawn with thickness reflecting the jump in magnetization to visualize the size of discontinuity. Most of degenerate points are intersection points of four ground states, as illustrated in Fig. 6(b). The four ground states differ by the orientation of two separate domains, which are typically small, as is the discontinuity in physical quantities between them. More interesting are triple points where three ground states are degenerate. Here a single coexistence line bifurcates into two lines in a \( Y \) shape, as illustrated in Fig. 6(a). The state on the top of the \( Y \) results from the break-up of a relatively large domain while this domain flips as a whole across the vertical line of the \( Y \). A triple point has some characteristics of a thermal first order transition where two ordered state coexist with a disordered state.

There are several thousand lines in the ground state picture in Fig. 5(a), but most of these lines have small jumps in bond energy and magnetization. We believe that only relatively large jumps contribute to the singularity and, to emphasize these jumps, we simplify the picture by removing the lines representing small jumps. In Fig. 5(b) is the same picture as Fig. 5(a), but a large number of lines with small bond energy jumps (\( \delta e < 0.03 \)) have been eliminated. This simplified picture reveals a tree-like structure built from triple points. The first order line separating the two ordered states is the trunk of the tree, which bifurcates at the finite size critical point, located at the center of the picture, into two main branches. Above the finite size critical point the ground states are disordered. The points labeled 1 and 2 correspond to the large jumps with the same labels in Fig. 2. The inset in Fig. 5(b) shows the details of the finite size critical point and two other triple points immediately above and below it. In this paper the finite size critical point is identified as the degenerate point that maximizes the discontinuity in the bond energy, measured by

\[
\delta e^* = \left( \frac{|e_+ - e_0| + |e_- - e_0|}{2} \right),
\]

where \( e_+ \) and \( e_- \) are the bond energies of the two ordered states, and \( e_0 \) is the bond energy of the disordered state, respectively.

We propose that the critical region of the ground state picture can be rescaled in such a way that pictures for various system sizes are statistically indistinguishable from one another. The required scaling involves the width of the pictured region \( W_H \) in the \( H \) direction, height \( W_\Delta \) in the \( \Delta \) direction and lower cut-off \( \delta e_{\text{min}} \) for coexistence lines retained in the picture. The scaling of \( \delta e_{\text{min}} \) should follow Eq. (10). The picture will include

FIG. 5: Ground states of a given realization (seed 1003) with system size \( 32^3 \) in the \( H - \Delta \) plane. (a) All the ground states of a single realization with \( L = 32 \). The lines are coexistence lines of two ground states. The thickness of a line is proportional to the magnetization jump across the line. (b) Same realization as in (a), but only coexistence lines with bond energy jumps \( \delta e > 0.03 \) are shown. Numbers 1 and 2 correspond to the two largest jumps shown in Fig. 2. The inset in (b) is a blow up of the region around the triple point identified as the finite size critical point and also showing the triple points immediately above and below it.
a scale invariant part of the critical region if $W_\Delta$ scales as $\Delta - \Delta_c$,

$$W_\Delta \sim L^{-1/\nu}. \quad (15)$$

The scaling of $W_H$ is expected to be the same as the scaling of the external field $H$, which has been given by Bray and Moore in their scaling theory of the RFIM,

$$W_H \sim L^{(\alpha + \beta - 2)/\nu} \quad (16)$$

In Fig. 6, the parameters of the pictures are scaled such that $\delta e_{\min} L^{(1-\alpha)/\nu}$, $W_\Delta L^{1/\nu}$, and $W_H L^{(2-\alpha - \beta)/\nu}$ are all held constant. Although different realizations have quite different ground state patterns there is no apparent way to distinguish between different system sizes.

In order to test the scaling of the ground state pictures more quantitatively we measure $|[dH/d\Delta]|$, the average of the absolute value of the inverse slope of coexistence lines near criticality (except for the first order line) as a function of system size. The result is shown in Fig. 7.

We measure the inverse slope of coexistence lines rather than the slope itself, because in some realizations the slope is very large, and thus the average of $d\Delta/dH$ is not well-behaved. The slope of the best-fit line is $-0.79 \pm 0.04$. From Eq. 15 and Eq. 16 we expect $|[dH/d\Delta]| \sim L^{(\alpha + \beta - 1)/\nu}$. The measured value $-0.79 \pm 0.04$ is close to $(\alpha + \beta - 1)/\nu$, if $(1 - \alpha)/\nu \approx 0.84$ as we have calculated in Sec. 11 and $\beta \approx 0$ as generally accepted.

We then measure the strength of the external field at the finite size critical point $|H_e|$, which should have the same scaling as $W_H$, and show the result in Fig. 8. The slope of the best-fit line is $-1.60 \pm 0.06$, which is again consistent with the expected value of $(\alpha + \beta - 2)/\nu$, if $\beta \approx 0$, and our measured values of exponents $(1 - \alpha)/\nu \approx 0.84$, and $1/\nu \approx 0.8$ are used.

IV. POSITIVE TEMPERATURE RESULTS

We have studied the RFIM at fixed disorder strength of $\Delta_0 < \Delta_c$ and zero external field for all $T > 0$ using the Wang-Landau algorithm. The Wang-Landau algorithm is a flat histogram Monte Carlo method that also automatically determines the density of states $g(E)$. Thermodynamic quantities related to energy, such as the specific heat, can then be derived from the density of states at all temperatures. In order to get the magnetization or susceptibility, we collected joint magnetization and energy statistics. The algorithm smooths the energy landscape and improves on the performance of the conventional Metropolis algorithm. Using the method we can determine the specific heat and susceptibility over a broad temperature range for systems up to size $32^3$. After
we obtain the density of states, we use the Metropolis
algorithm to obtain average spin configurations for selected

Our first observation is that for some large enough sys-
tems (≥ 16^3) and strong enough disorder, the specific
heat and the susceptibility display one or more sharp
peaks, as illustrated in Fig. 10. For a given realization,
the sharp peaks in the specific heat and the susceptibility
occur at the same temperatures. The sharp-peaked trans-
itions have some first-order-like properties. For exam-
ple, the energy probability density \( p(E) = e^{-E/T} g(E)/Z \)
displays double peaks, and the Binder cumulant \( B(T) = 1 - \langle m^4 \rangle / 3 \langle m^2 \rangle \) is negative at the temperature of the
sharp peaks. The angular bracket stands for a thermal
average. The double peaked energy distribution and neg-
ative Binder cumulant are shown for a 16^3 system (seed
1013) in Fig. 11. These first-order-like features result
from the coexistence of two states that differ by flipping
a large domain as we will see more clearly later. Prelimi-

The sharp peaks occur at different temperatures with
different height for different realizations, and they are
smoothed out by an average over realizations. We show
in Fig. 12 the average specific heat for all of the 16^3 sys-
tems we have simulated at \( \Delta_0 = 2.0 \). Though there are
21 sharp-peaked realizations out of a total of 96 simu-
lated (see Table V), the average specific heat is a smooth
curve. The difference between the average specific heat
and that of individual realizations shows that there is no
self-averaging at positive temperature, similar to what
we have already seen at zero temperature. The lack of
self-averaging has also been observed in the bimodal dis-

V. RELATION BETWEEN GROUND STATES
AND THERMAL STATES

The zero temperature fixed point picture of the RFIM
phase transition predicts that the behavior in the critical
region at positive temperature is determined by the com-
petition between couplings and random fields with ther-
mal fluctuations serving only to renormalize the strength
of these quantities. The results presented in this section
suggest that a strong version of the zero temperature
scenario holds for individual realizations of normalized
random fields. We will show that the sharp peaks in the
thermodynamic quantities can be matched one to one
with the large jumps at zero temperature. Furthermore,
the spin configurations on either side of the sharp peaks
can be mapped onto the ground states on either side of
the corresponding large jumps. Similar correlations be-
tween ground states and thermal states were found in one
dimension.11

We illustrate the above statement for one 32^3 realiza-

TABLE V: Number of realizations that have a double-peaked
energy probability densities at their specific heat peaks (N_{dp}),
and total number of realizations simulated (N_{tot}) as a function
of disorder strength \( \Delta_0 \) and system size \( L \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( L )</th>
<th>( \Delta_0 )</th>
<th>( N_{dp} )</th>
<th>( N_{tot} )</th>
<th>( N_{dp}/N_{tot} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>6.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>66.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 9: Ground state pictures for different system sizes plotted
with scaled coordinates and lower bound for bond energy
jumps. Each figure shows the scaled ground state picture for
a single realization.
FIG. 10: The specific heat $C$ and the susceptibility $\chi$ of four realizations of the RFIM. The sharp peaks in the specific heat and the susceptibility of a given realization occur at the same temperatures.

(a) $16^3$, $\Delta_0 = 2.0$, seed: 1013
(b) $16^3$, $\Delta_0 = 2.0$, seed: 1013
(c) $16^3$, $\Delta_0 = 2.0$, seed: 1050
(d) $16^3$, $\Delta_0 = 2.0$, seed: 1050
(e) $32^3$, $\Delta_0 = 1.5$, seed: 1000
(f) $32^3$, $\Delta_0 = 1.5$, seed: 1000
(g) $32^3$, $\Delta_0 = 2.0$, seed: 1003
(h) $32^3$, $\Delta_0 = 2.0$, seed: 1003

FIG. 11: The first-order-like properties of sharp peaks. (a) shows the double-peaked sampling probability $p(E)$ at the sharp peak for the system in Fig. 10(a). (b) shows the Binder cumulant $B$ as a function of temperature for the same system, which becomes negative at the sharp-peaked transition.

(a) $16^3$, $\Delta_0 = 2.0$, seed: 1013
(b) $16^3$, $\Delta_0 = 2.0$, seed: 1013

FIG. 12: The average specific heat of 96 realizations of size $16^3$ and disorder $\Delta_0 = 2.0$. Although some of these realizations have sharp peaks, the averaged specific heat is smooth.

FIG. 12: The average specific heat of 96 realizations of size $16^3$ and disorder $\Delta_0 = 2.0$. Although some of these realizations have sharp peaks, the averaged specific heat is smooth.
ready obtained density of states, until the microstate falls into an energy bin that has a significant sampling probability at the given temperature. The Metropolis updates are then used to obtain the averaged spin configuration.

Figures 13(d), 13(e) and 13(f) show one plane through the system with $\Delta_0 = 2.0$ and at temperatures just before peak 1 ($T = 2.2$), just after peak 1 ($T = 2.5$), and just after peak 2 ($T = 2.8$), respectively. The difference between the states shows that the sharp peak corresponds to flipping a relatively large domain. It is evident that these three states are strongly correlated with the ground state spin configuration before the jump 1 ($\Delta = 2.36$), just after jump 1 ($\Delta = 2.41$), and just after jump 2 ($\Delta = 2.54$), as shown in Fig. 13(a), 13(b) and 13(c) respectively. (The labels of jumps and peaks are given in Figs. 2, 5(b) and 10(g)).

Some correlation between ground states and thermal states persists to much smaller values of $\Delta_0$ in a regime where the thermodynamic properties no longer display sharp peaks. Figures 13(g), 13(h) and 13(i) show the same realization of disorder and the same plane through the system but with $\Delta_0 = 0.5$. Here the specific heat has a rounded peak at $T = 4.375$. Figures 13(g), (h) and (i) correspond to temperatures 4.0, 4.3 and 4.45, respectively. Although there is considerable thermal “blurring” in these pictures, evidence of the ground states is unmistakable.

A quantitative characterization of the correlation between ground states and thermal states can be obtained from the correlation measure,

$$q(\Delta) = \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_i [\text{sgn}(\langle s_i | \Delta, T \rangle \langle s_i | \Delta_0, T^* \rangle)]$$

where the square brackets are an average over realizations of disorder and $\langle s_i | \Delta, T \rangle$ is the thermal average of the spin at the $i$th site at disorder $\Delta$ and temperature $T$ or, if $T = 0$, it is the ground state spin value. For each realization, the temperature $T^* = T_{\text{max}} + 0.1$ where $T_{\text{max}}$ is the temperature of the maximum of the specific heat. Thus, for each realization, we pick a thermal state just above the transition temperature. Figure 14 shows $q$ vs. $\Delta$ for sizes $16^3$ and $32^3$ and $\Delta_0 = 1.5$, with 96 realizations for size $16^3$ and 9 for size $32^3$. A peak in the correlation occurs at $\Delta \approx 2.65$ where $q \approx 0.75$. The value, $\Delta \approx 2.65$, is about 0.15 larger than the average $\Delta$ at the largest discontinuity in the bond energy for system size $32^3$. The inset in Fig. 14 shows the average correlation between thermal states of one realization and ground states of another for size $16^3$, which is nearly zero as expected. A second measure, $q^*$ is obtained by choosing the value $\Delta^*$ in Eq. (17) for each ground state realization to give the largest correlation to the thermal state at $T^*$ and then averaging over realizations. We find that for size $32^3$, $q^* = 0.80 \pm 0.06$ for $\Delta_0 = 1.5$ and $q^* = 0.85 \pm 0.05$ for $\Delta_0 = 2.0$. Together, these results provide quantitative confirmation that the thermal states at temperatures slightly above the thermal critical point

are strongly correlated with the ground states at disorder strength slightly higher than the zero temperature critical point.

The correlation between thermal states and ground states near the transition is consistent with, but not predicted by the zero temperature fixed point hypothesis. This hypothesis predicts that the renormalization group flow is to a zero temperature fixed point so that the zero temperature and positive temperature transitions are in the same universality class. However, it does not predict anything about the spin configurations along the critical line for individual realizations of disorder. If the correlation of spin configurations along the critical line that we observe for small systems persists to large systems,
it will support the following strong version of the zero temperature fixed point scenario: for a given realization of normalized random fields, the sequence of states near the zero temperature critical point obtained by varying $\Delta$ for $T = 0$ can be mapped onto the sequence of thermal states near the critical point obtained by varying $T$ for fixed values of $\Delta_0$, $\Delta_0 < \Delta_c$.

VI. SUMMARY

In this paper we have numerically studied the RFIM at zero temperature and positive temperature. At zero temperature we have extracted critical exponents from the finite size scaling of the several largest jumps in the bond energy. Our measured value of exponents (except $\nu$) are mostly consistent with previous values but have better accuracy. We have found that the heat capacity exponents $\alpha$ is near zero. We have also portrayed all ground states within a small critical region on the $H - \Delta$ plane for up to $32^3$ systems. The ground state pictures show a tree-like structure if small jumps are removed. Although the ground state pictures are not self-averaging, they satisfy statistical scaling relations. That is, within a scaled region in $H - \Delta$ plane, with scaled lower limit of bond energy jumps chosen, the ground state pictures of different system sizes are statistically similar.

We have used the Wang-Landau algorithm to study the RFIM at positive temperature. This algorithm enabled us to obtain the density of states and to derive the specific heat and susceptibility over a broad range of temperatures for systems up to size $32^3$. We have observed that for some disorder realizations the transition is characterized by sharp peaks in the specific heat and the susceptibility. The sharp-peaked transition has some first-order-like features and the fraction of realizations that have sharp peaks increases as the system size or the strength of disorder increases. The sharp peaks in the thermodynamic functions result from flipping a large domain and are related to large jumps in bond energy and magnetization at zero temperature. More specifically, the thermal average spin configurations near the finite temperature transition are correlated to the ground states near some corresponding large jump at zero temperature. This phenomenon suggests a strong version of the zero temperature fixed point scenario. It remains to be seen whether the correlation between critical ground states and thermal states persists to large systems.
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34 The correlation between critical ground states and thermal states is predicted from linear response theory, private communication M. Schwartz and Ref. 32.