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Abstract

Silica cryogels (cryosilicas) in a powder state were synthesized with different concentrations of fumed silica A-300 (CA-300 = 5-20 wt.%), sonicated in aqueous suspension, then frozen at −14 °C at different pressures in a high-pressure stainless steel reactor (a freezing bomb), and dried in air at room temperature. To analyze the effects of low temperature and high pressure, samples were also prepared at −14 °C or room temperature and standard pressure. The structural and adsorption properties of the powder materials were studied using nitrogen adsorption, high-resolution transmission electron microscopy, infrared spectroscopy, thermogravimetry, low-temperature 1H NMR spectroscopy and thermally stimulated depolarization current. The structural, textural, adsorption and relaxation characteristics of high-pressure cryogel hydrogels and related dried powders are strongly dependent on the silica content in aqueous suspensions frozen at 1, 450 or 1000 atmospheres and then dried. The largest changes are found with CA-300 = 20 wt.% which are analyzed with respect to the interfacial behavior of nonpolar, weakly polar and polar adsorbates using low temperature 1H NMR spectroscopy.

Keywords: Nanosilica powder; High-pressure cryogelation; Interfacial phenomena; Bound water; NMR cryoporometry; Dispersion medium effects

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +38044 4229627; fax: +38044 4243567.
E-mail address: vlad_gunko@ukr.net (V.M. Gun’ko).
1. **Introduction**

Cryogelation, used to synthesize soft (polymer) or solid (oxides, carbons) cryogels at temperatures below a bulk solvent’s (typically water) freezing point, allows the production of micro/macroporous materials from different organic or inorganic precursors [1-5]. Results of cryogelation of polymeric or solid matters strongly differ from that of freeze-drying. In the case of cryogelation, cryoconcentration of a solution or a suspension occurs in the liquid layers located between ice crystallites (porogens) and chemical (with a cross-linker reacting with a gel precursor) or physical (by intermolecular bonding of polymers or particles) cross-linking of macromolecules or nanoparticles occurs there. Freeze-drying with sublimation of a frozen solvent does not practically result in cross-linking of particles or macromolecules. In other words, cryogelation results in strong reorganization of solutes but freeze-drying should remain undamaged texture of the materials. Cryogels can be synthesized with varying textural, structural and adsorption characteristics. Typically, cryogels are macroporous materials with a great porosity and main pore sizes in the micrometer range (1-300 μm) [1-5].

Some examples of reported cryogel syntheses include solid cryogels based on oxide, carbon or hybrid precursors [1-5]. Porous titania cryogel fibers have been synthesized using unidirectional freezing and subsequent freeze-drying of titania hydrogels. The hydrogels were synthesized by sol–gel polymerization of titanium tetraisopropoxide using dialysis [6]. Silica cryogels have been synthesized with properties similar to those of silica aerogels, particularly in terms of particle morphology, density, porosity, and specific surface area [7]. These similarities can be explained by the high pressure produced by ice crystallites onto thin residual liquid films between these crystallites. The resulting conditions are similar to the high-pressure conditions used to synthesize aerogels. The textural characterization of silica cryogels was based on nitrogen adsorption isotherms, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images, structural analysis using infrared (FTIR) and nuclear magnetic resonance ($^{29}$Si MAS NMR) spectra [7]. Titania–silica hydrogels were molded into various shapes, microhoneycomb, self-standing bundle, microfibers,
and powder, by a unidirectional freezing method [8]. Zeolite was synthesized by ice-templating and steam-assisted crystallization using a structure-directing agent, and silica gel as a precursor was prepared by applying ice-templating to the hydrogels synthesized from colloidal silica [9]. Hybrid SBA-15/carbon cryogel composites have been prepared by sol–gel polycondensation of resorcinol and formaldehyde followed by freeze drying, and subsequent pyrolysis [10]. Characterization of this material was accomplished using nitrogen adsorption, X-ray diffraction (XRD) and SEM methods. A highly conductive carbon cryogel, based on graphene and prepared by the sol–gel polymerization of resorcinol and formaldehyde in an aqueous surfactant, was characterized using nitrogen adsorption, XRD, FTIR, Raman spectroscopy, and SEM [11]. Carbon cryogels have been obtained by freeze-drying and pyrolysis of a family of tannin-formaldehyde organic gels prepared at different pH values [12]. The textural characteristics were analyzed by using nitrogen adsorption isotherms. It is worth mentioning that characterization of structurally more stable (rigid) solid inorganic cryogels is much easier than that of the softer and less rigid hydrated organic cryogels [5].

Inorganic cryogels are often synthesized by freezing sol-gel synthesis products in an aqueous solution, or a mixed organic/aqueous solution, followed by sublimation using a freeze-dryer. Cryogel synthesis can be considered an alternative means to synthesize materials similar to aerogels, as both synthetic methods involve high pressures. As yet there have been no reports dealing with the solid cryogel synthesis utilizing external pressures in special reactors working at very high pressures up to 1000 atmospheres.

The aim of this work was to synthesize silica cryogels (“cryosilicas”) at high external pressures using fumed silica A-300 as a precursor. This fumed material is formed by flame pyrolysis of SiCl₄. The resulting silica consists of solid nonporous primary nanoparticles of 8.3 nm in mean diameter and $S_{\text{BET}} = 330 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$. Cryogel powders synthesized at high pressures from this fumed silica were characterized utilizing high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), adsorption of N₂ or Ar to determine the textural
characteristics, FTIR to probe surface hydroxyl groups, low-temperature $^1$H NMR spectroscopy and thermally stimulated depolarization current (TSDC) to analyze the interfacial phenomena.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Fumed silica A-300 (pilot plant of the Chuiko Institute of Surface Chemistry, Kalush, Ukraine; $S_{\text{BET}} = 330 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$) was used as the starting material. Aqueous suspensions of A-300 (5, 10, 15 and 20 wt.%$)$ were prepared using doubly distilled water and sonicated (22 kHz) for 5 min. The resulting dispersion was then frozen at $-14 \degree C$ in thick walled stainless steel reactors at pressures of 450 or 1000 atm, caused by ice formed in the frozen suspension (~10-15 ml) for 24 h. The pressure was estimated according to the literature [13-15], and was controlled using partial (450 atm) or complete (~1000 atm) filling of a freezing bomb with stainless steel. Then cryosilica (CS) samples were placed in a glass dish and dried in air at room temperature for 2-5 days to an air-dry state. The final materials were in the powder state.

2.2. $^1H$ NMR spectroscopy

$^1$H NMR spectra of static samples were recorded using a Varian 400 Mercury spectrometer (magnetic field 9.4 T) utilizing 90$^\circ$ pulses of 3 $\mu$s duration. Each spectrum was recorded by coaddition of eight scans with a 2 s delay between each scan. Relative mean errors were less than $\pm10\%$ for $^1$H NMR signal intensity for overlapped signals, and $\pm5\%$ for single signals. Temperature control was accurate and precise to within $\pm1 \degree$ K. The accuracy of integral intensities was improved by compensating for phase distortion and zero line nonlinearity with the same intensity scale at different temperatures. Repeated measurements of samples gave identical spectra, within experimental error, at the same temperature. To prevent supercooling, spectra were recorded at $T = 200 \degree K$ (for samples precooled to this temperature for 10 min), then heated to 290 K at a rate of 5 K/min with steps $\Delta T = 10 \degree K$ or 5 K (with a heating rate of 5 K/min for 2
min), and maintained at a fixed temperature for 8 min [16,17] for data acquisition at each temperature.

Cryosilicas CS20 (C_{A-300} = 20 wt.% in the aqueous suspension frozen where ice produced a pressure of 450 atm) and CS20m (prepared at ~1000 atm) were studied at different degrees of hydration (h = 0.08, 0.75, 1.1 and 5.67 g of water per gram of dry silica). Hydration levels were controlled by addition of a known amount of water to a powder sample placed into an ampoule. Samples were equilibrated at 293 K for 1 h before NMR measurements. Experiments with methane were at a slightly increased pressure because an ampoule was linked to a rubber vessel with methane at 1.1 atm. Liquids (n-decane, chloroform, dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), acetonitrile, water, or concentrated aqueous solutions of H_2O_2, HCl or CF_3COOH) were added to fill the total volume of a NMR ampoule with hydrated silica (the weight of dry silica was ~100 mg). After addition of hydrophobic decane, the sample was heated to 320 K for 1-2 min to remove air bubbles and to completely fill free pores of hydrated silica powder.

^1^H NMR signals of static samples were observed only for mobile molecules due to the use of a relatively narrow 20 kHz bandwidth, the short transverse relaxation time of solids (e.g. OH groups at a silica surface), as well as frozen adsorbates. The latter include ice (T_f \approx 273 K), solid n-decane (T_f \approx 243.3 K), CDCl_3 (T_f \approx 209 K) and other co-adsorbates. This technique has been applied to a variety of adsorbates and solid and polymeric adsorbents, biomaterials, cryogels, etc.; and methods have been described in detail elsewhere [5,16,17].

To determine the chemical shift of the proton resonance (\delta_H), tetramethylsilane (TMS) was used as an internal standard at \delta_H = 0 ppm (or methane at \delta_H = 0 ppm). The average errors in determination of the \delta_H values in the temperature range studied were less than ±0.25 ppm.

The amount of unfrozen water (C_{uw}) as a function of temperature at T < 273 K was measured by comparing the total ^1^H NMR integrated signal intensity of unfrozen water, I_{uw}, with that of all water at T > 273 K. This was done using a calibrated function I_C = f(C_{H2O}), assuming C_{uw} = C_{H2O} I_{uw}/f(C_{H2O}). The function f(C_{H2O}) was determined by measurements of the total
integral intensity of the \(^1\)H NMR spectra after known amounts of water were added to the materials at \(T > 273\) K. The low-temperature \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy provides structural information on interfacial water, pore structure (with cryoporometry and relaxometry), and thermodynamic characteristics of bound water or other liquids [16,17]. These characteristics include changes in Gibbs free energy caused by the adsorption (\(\Delta G\)) of strongly bound water (SBW), \(\Delta G_s < -0.5\) kJ/mol, and weakly bound water (WBW), \(\Delta G_w > -0.5\) kJ/mol. In addition the amounts of SBW (\(C_{uw}^s\)) and WBW (\(C_{uw}^w\)) can be obtained. WBW is frozen at \(T > 255-260\) K, while SBW is frozen at \(T < 255-260\) K [16,17]. Furthermore, bound water can be assigned to strongly (SAW) or weakly (WAW) associated water based on chemical shift. A \(\delta_H = 4-5\) ppm indicates SAW with large 3D clusters or domains, chemical shifts of 1-2 ppm are indicative of WAW which consist of small 2D or strongly branched clusters.

According to the approximation of a Gibbs layer of finite thickness, surface forces act on a distance of several molecular layers. Changes in the Gibbs free energy of ice with temperature [18] have been calculated as follows:

\[
\Delta G_{ice} = 0.0295 - 0.0413 \Delta T + 6.64369 \times 10^{-5} (\Delta T)^2 + 2.27708 \times 10^{-8} (\Delta T)^3\] (kJ/mol),

where \(\Delta T = 273.16 - T\) at \(T \leq 273.15\) K. Equation (1) was used to estimate the \(\Delta G(T)\) function for bound water [16]. The area under the \(\Delta G(C_{uw})\) curve (the temperature dependence of \(\Delta G(T)\) and amounts of unfrozen pore water \(C_{uw}(T)\) can be transformed into the relationship \(\Delta G(C_{uw})\) which determines overall changes in the Gibbs free energy of interfacial water as shown in Eq.(2),

\[
\gamma_S = A \int_0^{C_{uw}^{max}} \Delta G dC_{uw},
\]

where \(C_{uw}^{max}\) is the total amount of unfrozen water at \(T = 273\) K, and \(A\) is a unit dependent constant [16].

The freezing point depression for water in narrow pores is described by the Gibbs-Thomson relation (assuming a cylindrical pore shape) at radius \(R\) [17,19-22] given as Eq. (3);
\[ \Delta T_m = T_{m,\infty} - T_m(R) = - \frac{2 \sigma_{il} T_{m,\infty}}{\Delta H_f \rho R} = \frac{k}{R}, \quad (3) \]

where \( T_m(R) \) is the melting temperature of ice in cylindrical pores of radius \( R \), \( T_{m,\infty} \) the bulk melting temperature, \( \Delta H_f \) the bulk enthalpy of fusion, \( \rho \) the density of the solid, \( \sigma_{il} \) the energy of solid-liquid interaction, and \( k \) is a constant (here \( k = 67 \text{ K nm} \)). Eq. (3) was used to determine the distribution function \( f(R) = dV_{uw}(R)/dR \) of sizes of water structures unfrozen at \( T < 273 \text{ K} \) [17] and adsorbed onto nanosilicas. The \( f(R) \) function can be used to determine the distribution function with respect to the specific surface area \( (f_s(R)) \) of water structures, and the size distribution of pores (PSD) filled by this water [17]. Integration of the \( f_s(R) \) function gives the specific surface \( (S_{uw}) \) of contact area between unfrozen water and a solid surface [17]. Such contributions can be differentiated as nanopores at \( R \leq 1 \text{ nm} \) \( (S_{nano}) \), mesopores at \( 1 < R \leq 25 \text{ nm} \) \( (S_{meso}) \), and macropores at \( R > 25 \text{ nm} \) \( (S_{macro}) \). Frequently \( S_{uw} \) is less than \( S_{BET} \) due to partial filling of pores. Similar contributions to the pore volume can be calculated by integration of the \( f_s(R) \) functions. The average melting temperature \( <T_m> \) was calculated using Eq. (4) [17]

\[ <T_m> = \frac{\int_{T_{\min}}^{T_0} T C_{uw}(T) dT}{\int_{T_{\min}}^{T_0} C_{uw}(T) dT}, \quad (4) \]

where \( T_0 = 273.15 \text{ K} \), and \( T_{\min} \) is the temperature corresponding to \( C_{uw} = 0 \).

2.3. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)

The FTIR spectra of powdered samples over the 4000–300 cm\(^{-1}\) range (at 4 cm\(^{-1}\) resolution) were recorded in diffuse reflectance mode using a ThermoNicolet FTIR spectrometer, or in transmittance mode using a Specord M80 (Carl Zeiss, Jena) spectrometer using sample powder (~2 mg for all samples) located on a polished KBr glass plate (sample excess was shaken off), or in a stirred and pressed mixture with KBr \( (1 : 20 \text{ or } 1 : 100) \), tables of ~20 mg for all samples). These spectra were re-calculated into the absorbance spectra.

2.4. Textural characteristics
The specific surface area ($S_A$) was calculated using adsorption of argon (from an Ar/He mixture) at 77.4 K with a LKhM-72 (Russia) chromatograph with Silochrome-80 as the reference material.

To analyze the textural characteristics of silicas, low-temperature (77.4 K) nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms were recorded using a Micromeritics ASAP 2405N adsorption analyzer. The specific surface area ($S_{BET}$) was calculated according to the standard BET method [23,24]. The total pore volume $V_p$ was evaluated from the nitrogen adsorption at $p/p_0 \approx 0.99$ ($p$ and $p_0$ denote the equilibrium and saturation pressure of nitrogen at 77.4 K, respectively). The nitrogen desorption data were used to compute the pore size distributions (PSDs, differential $f_V(R) \sim dV/dR$ and $f_S(R) \sim dS/dR$) using a self-consistent regularization procedure under non-negativity condition ($f_V(R) \geq 0$ at any pore radius $R$) at a fixed regularization parameter $\alpha = 0.01$ with a complex pore model with slit-shaped and cylindrical pores, and voids between spherical nonporous nanoparticles packed in random aggregates (SCV/SCR model) [25,26]. The differential PSDs (SCV/SCR with respect to pore volume $f_V(R) \sim dV/dR$, $\int f_V(R)dR \sim V_p$) were re-calculated to incremental PSD (IPSD, $\Phi_V(R_i) = (f_V(R_{i+1}) + f_V(R_i))(R_{i+1} - R_i)/2$ at $\sum \Phi_V(R_i) = V_p$). The differential $f_S(R)$ functions were used to estimate the deviation ($\Delta w$) of the pore shape from the model [27]. The $f_V(R)$ and $f_S(R)$ functions were also used to calculate contributions of nanopores ($V_{nano}$ and $S_{nano}$ at $0.35 < R < 1$ nm), mesopores ($V_{meso}$ and $S_{meso}$ at $1 < R < 25$ nm), and macropores ($V_{macro}$ and $S_{macro}$ at $25 < R < 100$ nm) to the total pore volume and the specific surface area.

2.5. Thermogravimetry (TG)

Desorption of water from silicas was investigated over the 293–1273 K range by means of TG in air using a Derivatograph C (Paulik, Paulik, & Erdey, MOM, Budapest) at a heating rate of 10 K/min. The TG data was used to compute the pore size distribution (PSD) using a thermoporometry method described in detail elsewhere [28].
2.6. Thermally stimulated depolarization current (TSDC)

The tablets (diameter 30 mm, thickness ~1-3.5 mm) with the frozen aqueous suspension of fumed silica A-300 ($C_{SiO_2} = 5$ wt% (cryosilica CS5 prepared at 1000 atm, CS5m) or 20 wt% (gel at standard conditions)) or dried CS5m (hydration $h = 1.6$ g/g) and CS20m ($h = 1.6$ g/g) were polarized by the electrostatic field at the intensity $F \sim 3 \times 10^5$ V/m at 263 K (suspensions) or 271 K (powders) then cooled to 80-90 K with the field still applied and heated without the field at a heating rate $\beta = 4$ K/min. The current evolving due to sample depolarization [29] was recorded by an electrometer over the $10^{-15}$-$10^{-5}$ A range. Relative mean errors for TSDC measured were $\delta_I = \pm 5\%$, $\delta_T = \pm 2$ K for temperature, $\delta_\beta = \pm 5\%$ for the temperature change rate. To compare TSDC thermograms of different systems, they were normalized to $F = 1 \times 10^5$ V/m with consideration of the tablet thickness.

2.7 HRTEM

High resolution transmission electron microscopy, HRTEM (JEM-2100F, Japan) images were recorded for initial A-300 and cryosilica CS20m. A powder sample was added to acetone (for chromatography) and sonicated. Then a drop of the suspension was deposited onto a copper grid with a thin carbon or polymeric film. After acetone evaporation, sample particles remained on the film were studied with HRTEM.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Effects of freezing conditions on the particle morphology and aggregate texture

The freezing of aqueous suspensions of nanosilica in high-pressure reactors results in dried powdered materials that are very different from silicas treated in vapor phase water at high pressures and temperatures [30-37], at high temperatures and normal pressure in air [38], or at room temperature and normal pressure followed by air drying [39-41]. The air-dried high-
pressure cryosilicas are in the powder state with agglomerates of 1-20 μm in size (Fig. 1), which is far more compacted than in the fumed silica starting material.

![Figure 1](image.png)

**Fig. 1.** Microphotograph (Primo Star optical microscope, Carl Zeiss) of CS20m powder prepared at 1000 atm (scale bar 10 μm).

The bulk density of the cryosilica powder, as well as of any suspended and dried nanosilicas, increases approximately five-fold (Table 1, \( \rho_b \)), since \( \rho_b = 0.045 \text{ g/cm}^3 \) for the initial A-300 powder. This is due to re-arrangement of nanoparticles in aggregates and agglomerates of aggregates during suspension and subsequent drying. Primary particles become mobile in sonicated aqueous suspensions including a large proportion of individual nanoparticles [32-41]. During drying of the suspensions, nanoparticles tend to form more compacted aggregates and agglomerates than those formed in the flame (flow velocity > 20 m/s) during pyrogenic synthesis [32-41]. There is empty volume \( (V_{\text{em}} = 1/\rho_b - 1/\rho_0) \) of the powder, which is directly links to the bulk density \( \rho_b \) and true density \( (\rho_0 = 2.2 \text{ g/cm}^3) \) of silicas. Typically, the pore volume (Table 1, \( V_p \)) determined from the adsorption of nitrogen at \( p/p_0 = 0.98-0.99 \) is much smaller than \( V_{\text{em}} \). For example, for initial fumed silica \( (\rho_b = 0.045 \text{ g/cm}^3) \) \( V_{\text{em}} = 21.8 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g} \), but \( V_p = 0.65 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g} \) is much smaller because nitrogen cannot effectively fill large pores, especially at \( R > 100 \text{ nm} \). For suspended-frozen-dried silica CS20m \( \rho_b = 0.31 \text{ g/cm}^3 \) and \( V_{\text{em}} = 2.8 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g} \) but \( V_p = 1.28 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g} \); i.e. the difference between the \( V_{\text{em}} \) and \( V_p \) values strongly decreases after cryogelation. These
textural changes are readily observed in the HRTEM images (Figs. 2 and 3), IPSD (Fig. 4a-e) and nitrogen adsorption isotherms (Fig. 4f).

![HRTEM images](image)

**Fig. 2.** HRTEM images of (a, b, c) initial A-300 and (d, e, f) CS20m at different magnifications (scale bars (d) 100 nm, (a, e) 50 nm, (b) 20 nm, (f) 10 nm, and (c) 5 nm).

According to HRTEM images (Fig. 2), CS20m is composed of amorphous primary particles more strongly compacted in aggregates (comp. Fig. 2a,e). These aggregates remained
porous with the textural porosity as voids between nonporous primary particles (Fig. 2d). The shape of primary particles is not ideally spherical for both initial A-300 and CS20m.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$C_{A-300}$ (wt.%)</th>
<th>$S_m$ ($m^2/g$)</th>
<th>$S_{ BET}$ ($m^2/g$)</th>
<th>$V_p$ ($cm^3/g$)</th>
<th>$S_{nano}$ ($m^2/g$)</th>
<th>$S_{meso}$ ($m^2/g$)</th>
<th>$S_{macro}$ ($m^2/g$)</th>
<th>$V_{nano}$ ($cm^3/g$)</th>
<th>$V_{meso}$ ($cm^3/g$)</th>
<th>$V_{macro}$ ($cm^3/g$)</th>
<th>$\Delta W$ ($%$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.653</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>1.474</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>1.358</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>1.341</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>1.294</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>1.347</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>1.289</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>1.279</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS20m</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>1.277</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>1.220</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS5*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>1.393</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1.364</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS10*</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>1.298</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>1.247</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS15*</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>1.470</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>1.423</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS20*</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>1.443</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>1.402</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.404</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Samples were heated at 100 °C for 2 h before measurements of the specific surface area. The amounts of water desorbed during heating from 20 to 200 °C, 20 °C to 900 °C, and 200 °C to 600 °C.

The interatomic distances calculated from HRTEM images of nanoparticles (Fig. 2c,f) using Fiji software with local thickness plugin [42] are similar for initial A-300 and CS20m (Fig. 3).

However, the average value $<d> = \int df(d)dd / \int f(d)dd$ (i.e. the first moment of the distribution function) is smaller for CS20m ($<d> = 0.3766$ nm) than for initial A-300 ($<d> = 0.3979$ nm).

Thus, high-pressure cryogelation results in not only stronger aggregation of primary particles (the bulk density $\rho_b$ (Table 1) increases) but also in certain deformation of nanoparticles (the $<d>$
value decreases). Changes in the textural porosity of treated nanosilica reflected in changes in the $\rho_b$ value can be analyzed using the pore size distributions (Fig. 4).

For treated silica powders, contribution of nanopores and narrow mesopores decreases, while the contribution of mesopores at $R = 10$-$30$ nm increases compared with the initial fumed silica A-300 (Fig. 4, Table 1). The primary particles are not strongly changes during suspending-cryogelation-drying (Figs. 1 and 2). Therefore, the nitrogen adsorption isotherms are practically the same at $p/p_0 < 0.8$ (Fig. 4f, insert) but they characterized by different hysteresis loops at $p/p_0 > 0.8$ and different maximal adsorption at $p/p_0 \approx 0.99$ (Fig. 4f). These results show significant changes in packing of primary particles in aggregates. This packing increases with increasing pressure during cryogelation. Additionally, the effect becomes stronger with increasing silica content in the suspensions (comp. Fig. 4a-e).

An increase in the silica content in the suspensions results in an increase in mesopore contribution at $R \approx 10$ nm, and in a decreased contribution of mesopores at $R \approx 20$ nm for high-pressure cryosilicas in contrast to samples prepared at standard pressure. The main PSD peak (both IPSD and $dV/dR$, Fig. 4) for HP-cryosilicas shifts toward smaller $R$ values with increasing silica content in the suspensions.
**Fig. 4.** Incremental PSD (SCV/SCR) for initial powder of A-300 and silicas prepared at $C_{A-300} = (a) 5 \text{ wt.\%}, (b) 10 \text{ wt.\%}, (c) 15 \text{ wt.\%}$ and (d) 20 wt.\% in the aqueous suspensions under different conditions: frozen at high pressure (450 atm) or at maximum high pressure (1000 atm), frozen at normal pressure (*), suspended under normal conditions (**), as well as initial A-300 powder, (e) NLDFT for cryosilicas prepared from 5-20 wt.\% suspensions and CS20m; (f) nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms for differently treated samples at $C_{A-300} = 20 \text{ wt.\%}$. 
Additionally HP-cryosilicas, in contrast to silicas frozen or non-frozen at normal pressure, the contribution of pores modeled by slit-shaped pores (Table 1, $c_{slit}$) increases due to the high-pressure effects. This effect is due to certain changes in the shape of primary particles (Figs. 2 and 3), which are discussed above.

Contributions of nanopores (Fig. 4, Table 1, $S_{nano}$ and $V_{nano}$) and macropores ($S_{macro}$ and $V_{macro}$) are much smaller than that of mesopores ($S_{meso}$ and $V_{meso}$) for all silicas treated in the aqueous media under different conditions. However, in contrast to $V_{meso}$, the $S_{nano}$, $S_{macro}$, $V_{nano}$, and $V_{macro}$ values for treated silicas decrease in comparison with the initial silica. The $S_{meso}$ values for high-pressure cryosilicas are smaller than that for the initial silica but the $S_{meso}$ values for normal-pressure cryosilicas (Table 1, label *) and non-frozen suspended-dried silicas (label **) are larger than that of the initial silica. All these textural changes are due to different rearrangement of aggregates of primary nanoparticles depending on treatment conditions.

3.2. Surface properties of cryosilicas

The bands in the range of non- or slightly interacting O-H stretching vibrations of silanols (Fig. 5, 3750-3700 cm$^{-1}$) as well as overtone vibrations and combination bands (2000-1500 cm$^{-1}$) [33-36] are of low intensity in comparison with the initial nanosilica. This effect is more pronounced for the sample prepared from the most concentrated suspension, $C_{A:300} = 20$ wt.% (CS20). Therefore, one can conclude that the FTIR spectra are consistent with changes in the bulk density, increase of which indicates increased particle aggregation. CS20 and CS15 adsorb certain amounts of water characterized by a band at 1600 cm$^{-1}$ (deformation vibrations) a broad band at 3600-2700 cm$^{-1}$ (OH-stretching vibrations) which also includes hydrogen bonded silanols. This water disturbs practically all the surface hydroxyls, and the band of free silanols is much weaker (Fig. 5b, curves 1 and 2) than that of the heated initial silica (Fig. 5b, curve 4). Notice that cryosilica powder after heating to 900 °C (during TG experiment) and cooled to room temperature in air (Fig. 5, curve 4) contains low amounts of both adsorbed water (compare with
curve 3 in the both ranges of 1600 and 3600-2700 cm\(^{-1}\)) and free silanols (compare with curve 5) due to their condensation during heating. In the case of initial nanosilicas containing 1-7 wt.% of adsorbed water the band of free silanols is readily observed [33-36,43], but in the case of porous silicas containing about 10 wt.% of adsorbed water, this band is not observed similar to the spectra for silica gels. This can be due to enhanced aggregation of nanoparticles in high-pressure cryosilicas. After cryogelation, the asymmetric band of the Si-O\(_{Si}\) stretching vibrations (Fig. 4a) is narrower for CS20m than for CS20 or CS15. This suggests that CS20m is composed of more aggregated particles.

![FTIR spectra of high-pressure silica cryogels](image)

**Fig. 5.** FTIR spectra of high-pressure silica cryogels prepared using suspensions at \(C_{A,300} = 15\) wt.% (CS15, curve 1) and 20 wt.% CS20 (curve 2) and CS20m (curve 3), and CS20 heated at 900 °C – curve 4) and dehydrated initial nanosilica (curve 5) in (a) low and (b) high wavenumber ranges.
Another method to probe water content in the powder materials is thermogravimetric (TG) and differential thermogravimetric (DTG) analyses (Fig. 6).

**Fig. 6.** Thermogravimetric (a, c) (TG) and (b) differential TG (DTG) data for air-dry high-pressure cryosilicas prepared at 450 atm and different concentrations $C_{A-300}$ (a, b), (c) cryogels CS20 (curve 1), CS20m (2), and CS20* (3) and unfrozen gel dried S20** (4).
The most concentrated sample, \( C_{A-300} = 20 \text{ wt.\%} \) (CS20) prepared at 450 atm, contains the greatest amount of adsorbed intact water (~9 wt.\%, desorbed at \( T < 200 \degree C \)) of the prepared samples (Fig. 6a). However, more complex TG and DTG curves are observed for CS5 (Fig. 6a). A similar TG curve (but with a greater amount of desorbed water) is observed for dried gel prepared at normal pressure without freezing (Fig. 6c, curve 3). Notice that cryogel \( C_{A-300} = 20 \text{ wt.\%} \) prepared at normal pressure contains a much smaller amount of adsorbed water similar to high-pressure cryogels but prepared using less concentrated suspensions (Fig. 6a).

In the case of high-pressure cryogels, there are two tendencies: (i) a certain decrease in the specific surface area (Table 1, \( S_{Ar} \) and \( S_{BET} \)) with increasing silica content in the suspension, and (ii) nonlinear changes in the amounts of desorbed water (total water desorbed at \( T < 900 \degree C \)) with a maximum for the material prepared at \( C_{A-300} = 5 \text{ wt.\%} \) (Table 1). Both tendencies remain for cryogels prepared at normal pressure (Table 1, CS-X*). Thus, the desorbed water amounts (intact and total water) change with increasing silica content in the suspension. This can be explained by the formation of more compacted secondary structures with increasing \( C_{A-300} \) value, changes in the sizes of pores filled by water, and the number of hydroxyls. Notice that changes in the specific surface area depend on the preparation conditions (\( C, P, T \)) much less than the pore volume (\( V_p \)) and the content of desorbed water (Table 1). These results can be explained by greater changes in the organization of primary silica nanoparticles in secondary structures (Table 1, Fig. 4), with less change in nanoparticle size (Figs. 2 and 3), e.g., observed during hydrothermal treatment of silicas [37].

3.3. *Interfacial behavior of adsorbates bound to cryosilicas*

Since CS20 and CS20m exhibit the largest structural changes among high-pressure cryosilicas, low-temperature \(^1\text{H} \) NMR spectroscopy studies focused on these materials. In these studies water was either the sole probe compound or it was used as a co-adsorbate with different organics in various dispersion media (Figs. 7-12, Table 2) for deeper insight into the interfacial
behavior of different adsorbates and co-adsorbates such as nonpolar methane and \textit{n-}decane, weakly polar chloroform, and polar water, DMSO, acetonitrile, H$_2$O$_2$, HCl, and CF$_3$COOH.

Fig. 7. $^1$H NMR spectra of water bound to CS20 in different dispersion media at hydration degree $h = (\text{a-c})$ 0.08, (d) 5.67, (e, f) 0.75, (g, h) 1.1 g/g: (a) methane, (b) chloroform-$d$, (c, f, h) \textit{n-}decane; (d) aqueous suspension; and (e, g) air.
CS20 (cryogelation at 450 atm) studied by NMR contained 0.08 g of water per gram of dry silica. To analyze the interfacial behavior of bound water, the sample was studied in different dispersion media as detailed in Figure 7. In the methane dispersion medium (Fig. 7a), the spectra of bound water include a single signal exhibiting a chemical shift (with respect to methane of $\delta_H = 0$ ppm), $\delta_H \approx 4$-5 ppm. This is similar to the $\delta_H$ value of bulk water. Consequently, this water can be assigned to strongly associated water (SAW), with an average number of hydrogen bonds per molecule of 3-4, similar to that in bulk water.

![Temperature dependences of the amounts of unfrozen water ($C_{uw}(T)$) and changes in the Gibbs free energy ($\Delta G(T)$) of bound water as well as relationships between $C_{uw}$ and $\Delta G$ for CS20 in different dispersion media at (a) $h = 0.08$ g/g (in methane, chloroform, or decane) and 5.67 g/g (concentrated 15% suspension); (b) $h = 0.75$ g/g and (c) $h = 1.1$ g/g (in air or decane).]

**Fig. 8.** Temperature dependences of the amounts of unfrozen water ($C_{uw}(T)$) and changes in the Gibbs free energy ($\Delta G(T)$) of bound water as well as relationships between $C_{uw}$ and $\Delta G$ for CS20 in different dispersion media at (a) $h = 0.08$ g/g (in methane, chloroform, or decane) and 5.67 g/g (concentrated 15% suspension); (b) $h = 0.75$ g/g and (c) $h = 1.1$ g/g (in air or decane).
Freezing of bound water occurs at low temperatures at $T < 260$ K (Figs. 7a and 8) because of the freezing point depression for liquids confined in pores [16,17,19-22]. Since freezing of bound water occurs at $T < 260$ K, all of this water can be assigned to strongly bound water (SBW). The $^1$H NMR signal of methane at $\delta_H \approx 0$ ppm (Fig. 7a) has a weak dependence on temperature. From the intensity ratio of water ($h = 0.08$ g/g) and methane, the amount of adsorbed methane can be estimated as small as $\sim 8$ mg/g at 280 K. This low adsorption of CH$_4$ is due to filling of nanopores (voids between adjacent nanoparticles, Figs. 2 and 4) by water (Fig. 9, Table 2), which methane cannot displace. This is because methane has much weaker interactions with the silica surface compared to water [17].

In the CDCl$_3$ dispersion medium, bound water ($h = 0.08$ g/g) corresponds to SAW characterized by $\delta_H \approx 5$ ppm (Fig. 7b). A fraction of this water becomes weakly bound (WBW) [16,17] frozen at $T > 260$ K. Additionally, at higher temperatures, a weak signal is observed at $\delta_H \approx 1.8$ ppm corresponding to weakly associated water (WAW) [16,17]. The signal at $\delta_H \approx 0$ ppm corresponds to tetramethylsilane used as a chemical shift standard. In contrast to methane, weakly polar chloroform diminishes the interaction of water with silica: the average melting temperature (Table 2, $<T_m>$), filling of mesopores by unfrozen water ($V_{\text{meso}}, S_{\text{meso}}$), and changes in the Gibbs free energy of the first layer ($\Delta G_s$) of bound water increase; while the free surface energy ($\gamma_S$), filling of nanopores ($V_{\text{nano}}, S_{\text{nano}}$), and the SBW content decrease. Similar effects have been previously observed for different adsorbents due to the displacement of water by weakly polar chloroform from narrow to broader pores or out of pores altogether [17]. The main driving force of these effects leads to a reduction of the contact area between immiscible polar (water) and weakly polar (chloroform) or nonpolar (decane) liquids. This reduction results in a free energy decrease because water-water interactions are similar to water-silica interactions, but interactions of organic co-adsorbates with silica are stronger than that of organics-organics or organics-water interactions [16,17]. The character of re-organization of bound water under the action of
chloroform is seen in changes in the PSD, and in particular the IPSD, (Fig. 9b) when compared with water bound to CS20 in the methane dispersion medium (Fig. 9a).

![Fig. 9. Pore size distributions (a, c, d) differential and (b, d) incremental calculated using NMR cryoporometry (calculated as size distributions of water structures unfrozen in pores) (IPSD for initial A-300 was calculated using nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm, dot-dashed line), (c) NMR cryoporometry and TG thermoporometry curves; (d) h = 0.75 g/g and 1.1 g/g (air or decane dispersion media) for CS20 in different dispersion media; (a-d) CS20 and (e) CS20m samples.](image)

Nonpolar $n$-decane more effectively displaces bound water than weakly polar chloroform (Figs. 7-9, Table 2). This result can be explained by much greater dispersion interactions of larger decane molecules with the silica surface than small methane or chloroform molecules. Notice that
similar effects of the water displacement are observed for small but polar molecules such as acetonitrile. Adsorbed decane demonstrates two $^1$H NMR signals at 0.9 and 1.25 ppm (Fig. 7c) corresponding to methyl and methylene groups, respectively. The water signal is weak due to the relatively small amount compared to the decane content, and observed at $\delta_H \approx 1.8 – 2.5$ ppm (Fig. 7c). However, at $h = 0.75$ g/g, the water signal is much more intense but remains lower than the decane signal (Fig. 7f). The water observed is a WAW-SAW mixture, with a major contribution of SBW since it is frozen at $T < 260$ K. However, this water is more weakly bound to silica than that in either the methane or chloroform media (Fig. 8). The WBW content increases with increasing water content (Table 2, $C_{uw}^w$), this leads to an increase in the $\langle T_m \rangle$ value. This is because the relative content of SBW decreases significantly from 75-100% ($h = 0.08$ g/g), 20-40% ($h = 0.75$ g/g) to 14% at ($h = 5.67$ g/g). Both highly hydrated powder CS20 at $h = 0.75$ g/g, and the concentrated (15%) aqueous suspension at $h = 5.67$ g/g, exhibit strongly and weakly bound water (Table 2).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$h$ (g/g)</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>$C_{uw}^s$ (g/g)</th>
<th>$C_{uw}^w$ (g/g)</th>
<th>$-\Delta G_s$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$-\Delta G_w$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$\gamma_S$ (J/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>CDC13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>Decane</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>Decane</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>67.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1#$^a$</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1#$^b$</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1#$^a$</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1#$^a$</td>
<td>Decane</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$h$ (g/g)</th>
<th>$&lt;T_m&gt;$ (K)</th>
<th>$S_{uw}$ (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>$S_{nano}$ (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>$S_{meso}$ (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>$V_{nano}$ (cm$^3$/g)</th>
<th>$V_{meso}$ (cm$^3$/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>216.2</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>241.8</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>240.0</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>259.7</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>248.5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>260.9</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1#$^a$</td>
<td>229.6</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1#$^b$</td>
<td>229.0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1#$^a$</td>
<td>261.0</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1#$^a$</td>
<td>258.4</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. CS20m, and other samples are based on CS20; CS20m stored at #$^a$298$K$ and #$^b$255$K$ for a week.*
Figure 7d exhibits a $\delta_H$ increase from 3.5 to 5.5 ppm ($h = 5.67$ g/g), or 4.5 to 5.5 ppm ($h = 0.75$ g/g) with decreasing temperature from 280 to 200 K. However, the amount of WBW is much larger than the amount of SBW, especially at $h = 5.67$ g/g (Table 2). This is a typical result for aqueous suspensions of nanosilicas [16,17]. Water adsorbed onto CS20 at $h = 0.75$ g/g can be divided into SBW and WBW, frozen at lower and higher temperatures, respectively. SAW (Fig. 7e, Table 2) is also found in the suspension. This effect can be explained by the formation of clusters and domains of water (Fig. 9d) which are not spatially separated. When this sample at $h = 0.75$ g/g is in the decane dispersion medium, the characteristics of bound water change quite a bit (Figs. 7f, 8b, and 9d, Table 2). WAW and two types of SAW appear, and the PSD becomes bimodal.

Notice that even at a low adsorbed water content ($h = 0.08$ g/g), there is a broad PSD (Fig. 9a,b) including clusters at $1 < R < 2$ nm and domains at $2 < R < 20$ nm. These structural features exhibit traces of the IPSD (determined from the nitrogen adsorption isotherm) characteristic of the initial fumed silica (Fig. 8b, dot-dashed line) which contained mesopores at $2 < R < 10$ nm. However, smaller and larger pores (voids between nonporous nanoparticles) differ because of the rearrangement of primary nanoparticles in the secondary structures due to suspension, cryogelation at high pressure (CS20 was prepared at 450 bar), and drying in air.

Results thus far have addressed systems using co-adsorbates that are either non- or much less polar than H$_2$O. It is of interest to study the systems with CS20 containing water co-adsorbed with more polar compounds such as H$_2$O$_2$, HCl, and CF$_3$COOH.

A 30% aqueous solution of H$_2$O$_2$ (0.05 g per gram of dry CS20) was added to CS20 preheated at 470 K for 15 min (to desorb residual water) and then equilibrated for 1 h. Variable temperature $^1$H NMR spectra are shown in Figure 10. For the sample in air (Fig. 10a), two signals of H$_2$O$_2$ ($\delta_H = 12$ ppm) and H$_2$O ($\delta_H = 5$ ppm) are observed at $T < 240$ K. From the intensity ratio of these signals, and the temperature behavior of the 5 ppm signal (compared with pure water, Fig. 7a), one can assume that water includes a small portion of dissolved H$_2$O$_2$ (since bound
water is a poor solvent [17,44]). Similarly, the H$_2$O$_2$ structures responsible for the signal at 12 ppm are practically free of water. In other words, not only is bound water a poor, inactive solvent, but hydrogen peroxide is also.

![Fig. 10. $^1$H NMR spectra of a solution of H$_2$O$_2$ (30\%) in H$_2$O (70\%) of 0.05 g per gram of dry silica CS20 in different dispersion media: (a) air, (b) chloroform-d, and (c) CDCl$_3$/CD$_3$CN (mixture 8:1).](image)

Thus, partial freezing of the solution and its cryoconcentration with decreasing temperature can cause the formation of spatially separated clusters (since proton exchange
between H₂O and H₂O₂ is slow on the NMR timescale [16,17]). At T > 240 K, the exchange becomes faster and the signal width of H₂O₂ becomes broader and, therefore, not detected (since the bandwidth is 20 kHz). The water resonance exhibits a slightly higher chemical shift due to the interaction of water with H₂O₂. Besides the signal of SAW at 5 ppm, a weak signal of WAW is observed at 1.8 ppm, the intensity of which increases with increasing temperature due to melting. The WAW does not interact with hydrogen peroxide because of space confined effects, and the formation of spatially separated structures with WAW and hydrogen peroxide occur.

In the CDCl₃ dispersion medium (Fig. 10b), ¹H NMR spectra are similar to those in air (Fig. 10a). However, the signal of WAW becomes more intense and less dependent on temperature. This suggests CDCl₃ slightly enhances clustering of the bound solution; however, the temperature behavior of SAW and H₂O₂ structures does not significantly change.

The addition of a small portion of polar CD₃CN to CDCl₃ leads to significant changes in the structure of the bound H₂O₂ solution (Fig. 10c). Signals of WAW and H₂O₂ increase and the latter appears as two temperature dependent signals at 11 and 9 ppm. CD₃CN is not found to dissolve in SAW. This is due to the low amount of water in the system causing a low water solvent activity [17]). This is evidenced by the fact that there is no change in SAW signal with and without CD₃CN. In addition there is no signal of complexes characteristic of HO–H···N≡CCD₃ interactions, characterized by a resonance δ_H ≈ 3.2 ppm [17].

To study the interaction of concentrated (36%) hydrochloric acid with CS20, silica was dried at 420 K for 15 min, and then 0.1 g of the HCl solution per gram of dry silica was added (Fig. 11). In air (Fig. 11a), a single signal of the bound solution is observed; the chemical shift of which decreases from 9.5 ppm at 210 K to 8 ppm at 290 K. Spectra of the bound solution for the same sample in the chloroform-d medium are similar (Fig. 11b). On the left wing of the main signal, a signal of more concentrated solution of HCl in bound water is observed at a greater δ_H value. Additionally, a weak signal of WAW appears at 1.2 ppm due to clustering of bound water due to interaction with weakly polar CDCl₃.
Fig. 11. $^1$H NMR spectra of a solution of (a-e) HCl (36%) in H$_2$O (64%) of 0.1 g per gram of dry silica CS20 in different dispersion media: (a) air, (b) chloroform-d, (c, d) CDCl$_3$/(CD$_3$)$_2$SO (mixture (c) 10 : 1 and (d) 10:2); (e) with addition of 0.08 g/g of water (in CDCl$_3$); and (f) water (0.1 g/g) in CDCl$_3$/CF$_3$COOH (7:1).

After addition of DMSO-d$_6$ (Fig. 11c,d), the signal of structures from concentrated HCl increases. Additionally, the signal of structures with a smaller content of HCl is observed at $T >$
240 K. The spectra include signals of CH$_3$ groups of (CH$_3$)$_2$SO (admixture in DMSO-d$_6$) at $\delta_H = 2.5$ ppm, and WAW at $\delta_H = 1.2$ ppm. With increasing content of DMSO-d$_6$ (Fig. 11d), intensity of the signal at 6-7 ppm assigned to domains with decreased HCl content, increases and splits into two resonances at $T > 265$ K. This result suggests the formation of domains with different dissolved HCl content. Thus, cryoconcentration effects depend on the dispersion medium composition. A decrease in the HCl concentration in the absence of polar co-solvents (Fig. 11e) results in differentiation of hydrochloric acid/water structures in the chloroform medium.

Variations in the temperature dependence of water domain clustering are observed for water bound to CS20 placed in the CDCl$_3$/TFAA (trifluoroacetic acid) dispersion medium (Fig. 11f). In this case, the signal of domains with more concentrated acid solution (characterized by downfield signal at $\delta_H = 8$-9 ppm) is weaker than the main (right) signal at 7.5-8.5 ppm at $T > 250$ K (Fig. 11f).

The behavior of water bound to CS20m ($h \approx 100$ mg/g) was also analyzed depending on storage of the sample under different conditions (Fig. 12). The sample CS20m stored at 255 K for a week was loaded into cooled NMR ampoule, and after the NMR spectra recording, this sample was stored at 293 K for a week in air, and the spectra were recorded again (Fig 12). A small difference in the spectra (Fig. 12a) and the $C_{uw}(T)$ curves (Fig. 12b) can be caused by adsorption of an additional portion of water from air onto the cooled sample. This results in an increase in the signal intensity. Adsorbed water is located in narrow voids mainly at $R < 3$ nm (Fig. 12c). Therefore, the surface area of silicas in contact with bound water is about 40 m$^2$/g (Table 2, $S_{uw}$), and $S_{nano} > S_{meso}$; however, $V_{nano} < V_{meso}$. Therefore, the major portion of water (~80%) is strongly bound water (Table 2, $C_{uw}$). This leads to the $\gamma_S^w = \gamma_S/h$ value recalculated per gram of water (~80 J/g) greater than that for the aqueous suspension but lower than that for a sample in the methane atmosphere. As a whole, the observed changes in the behavior of water bound to CS20m stored under different conditions are small (Fig. 12) due to the stability of cryosilica properties.
This aspect is of importance because silica samples were studied using a set of methods under very different conditions including TSDC measurements of strongly cooled samples (Fig. 13).
TSDC thermograms show dipolar relaxations at $T < 220-220$ K and direct current (dc) relaxation at $T > 210-220$ K (Fig. 13). In contrast to $^1$H NMR showing nonzero intensity due to local mobility of molecules, the dc relaxation is due to through conductivity when there are conditions for percolation of protons or $\text{H}_2\text{O}^+(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ (i.e. Eigen and Zundel cations) between two electrodes [29]. Therefore, the dc relaxation can start at slightly higher temperatures than the NMR spectra appear for mobile molecules of adsorbates. The activation energy (assuming that the dc relaxation obeys the Arrhenius law) is relatively low for the system studied (54.8, 52.7, 41.3 and 55.2 kJ/mol for curves 1-4, Fig. 13, respectively). The $E_a$ value for the CS5 suspension is smaller than that for initial 5 wt.% aqueous suspension of nanosilica [17,29].

The TSDC is higher for wetted powder of CS20m ($h = 1.6$ g/g) than for other samples (Fig. 13) because the relative amount of water contacted with the silica surface is greater than in other samples. The high-pressure cryogelation effects appear since the TSDC intensity is much lower for 20 wt.% suspension of A-300 (nontreated at low temperature and only sonicated) than that of not only for CS20m but also for CS5m. The TSDC intensity for dipolar relaxations increases with increasing silica content from 5 wt.% to 20 wt.% for cryosilicas because water
molecules are strongly polarized by the electrostatic fields near the silica surface. However, this effect depends also on the organization of silica nanoparticles in secondary structures.

2. Conclusion

High-pressure cryogelation affects the properties of cryosilica powders and suspensions depending on the silica content in initial aqueous suspensions (5-20 wt.%) frozen-dried. The largest changes are observed for CS20 and CS20m (20 wt.% suspension frozen at 450 or 1000 atm, respectively). For instance, adsorption of water onto CS20 from air increases several-fold in comparison with CS5, CS10 or CS15. The specific surface area decreases slightly during high-pressure cryogelation. This is due to rearrangement of primary nanoparticles in the secondary structures, which are denser than the initial silica powder. However, the structure of primary particles per se changes only slightly according to HRTEM images. This rearrangement results in changes of the PSD shape and contributions of pores of different shapes (cylindrical, slit-shaped, and voids between nanoparticles) and sizes (nano-, meso-, and macropores).

Low-temperature (200-290 K) $^1$H NMR spectroscopy investigations of water adsorbed alone, or co-adsorbed with small amounts of hydrogen peroxide, hydrochloric acid or trifluoroacetic acid probe the temperature dependence of cryoconcentration and clustering of liquid co-adsorbates. NMR cryoporometry, TG thermoporometry and TSDC thermograms show changes in the organization of interfacial water and other co-adsorbates depending on temperature, concentration, and dispersion medium type. In general, all liquids bound in small amounts to silicas are poor solvents compared to bulk liquids.

Thus, high-pressure cryogelation of oxides (as well as polymers) provides additional ways to change the textural, structural, morphological, and adsorption characteristics of the materials.
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Figure 3

The figure shows a graph with the following axes:

- Y-axis: Intensity (arb. un.)
- X-axis: Depth (nm)

Two curves are plotted:

1. Initial A-300
2. CS20m
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