

**Michigan Technological University**

---

**From the Selected Works of Iosif Pinelis**

---

May, 2016

# On Measurable Semigroups in $\mathbb{R}$

Iosif Pinelis, *Michigan Technological University*



Available at: <https://works.bepress.com/iosif-pinelis/9/>

## On Measurable Semigroups in $\mathbb{R}$

In the discussion in [[1] p. 315], of possible versions of the famous Spitzer identity for random walks on subsets  $D$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , the following alternative is listed, among others: “both  $D$  and  $D^c$  have void interiors but neither is empty.” This alternative is called “pathological” in [1]. Here  $D$  is assumed to be Borel-measurable and  $D^c = \mathbb{R} \setminus D$ .

It is shown in [1] that, in order for a version of Spitzer’s identity to hold, both  $D$  and  $D^c$  must be additive semigroups. However, then the mentioned alternative is, not just “pathological,” but plainly nonexistent—even if the condition of the Borel measurability of  $D$  is weakened to that of the Lebesgue measurability. Indeed, if  $D$  is Lebesgue-measurable and  $\lambda$  denotes the Lebesgue measure, then  $\lambda(D) \vee \lambda(D^c) > 0$ , which contradicts

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $D$  be any Lebesgue-measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\lambda(D) > 0$  which is an additive semigroup. Then  $D$  has a nonvoid interior.*

*Proof.* By the regularity of the measure  $\lambda$  and the condition  $\lambda(D) > 0$ , one can find a nonempty interval  $(a_0, a_1) \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\lambda(D \cap (a_0, a_1)) > \frac{3}{4}(a_1 - a_0)$ . For simplicity, consider the normalized measure  $\mu := \frac{1}{a_1 - a_0} \lambda$ , so that  $\mu(D_1) > \frac{3}{4}$ , where  $D_t := D \cap (a_0, a_t)$  and  $a_t := a_0 + (a_1 - a_0)t$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Take now any  $t \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ . Then

$$\mu(D_t) = \mu(D_1) - \mu(D \cap [a_t, a_1)) \geq \mu(D_1) - (1 - t) > \frac{t}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \mu((a_0, a_t)).$$

Let  $C_t := a_0 + a_t - D_t = \{a_0 + a_t - x : x \in D_t\}$ . Then  $C_t \subseteq (a_0, a_t)$ ,  $\mu(C_t) = \mu(D_t) > \frac{1}{2} \mu((a_0, a_t))$ , and  $D_t \subseteq (a_0, a_t)$ . Hence,  $\mu(C_t \cap D_t) \geq \mu(C_t) + \mu(D_t) - \mu((a_0, a_t)) > 0$ . So, there is some  $y \in C_t \cap D_t$ . For any such  $y$ , one has  $a_0 + a_t - y \in D_t$  and therefore

$$a_0 + a_t = y + (a_0 + a_t - y) \in D_t + D_t \subseteq D + D \subseteq D.$$

We conclude that

$$\emptyset \neq (a_0 + a_{1/2}, a_0 + a_1) = \{a_0 + a_t : t \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)\} \subseteq D,$$

so that  $D$  has a nonvoid interior. ■

### REFERENCE

1. J. F. C. Kingman, Spitzer’s identity and its use in probability theory. *J. London Math. Soc.* **37** (1962) 309–316.

—Submitted by Iosif Pinelis

<http://dx.doi.org/10.4169/amer.math.monthly.123.5.481>  
MSC: Primary 28A05