Winter January, 2016

Critical Management of CVT

Gustavo Saposnik

REVIEW

Critical care management of cerebral venous thrombosis

David Fam[a] *and Gustavo Saposnik*[a,b,c]*, on behalf of the Stroke Outcomes Research Canada Working Group*[*]

Purpose of review
Although recent trials of intervention for acute ischemic stroke have been positive, similar benefit in acute cerebral venous thrombosis (CVT) remains largely unclear. This review aims to summarize the existing evidence regarding the management of CVT, including anticoagulation and endovascular therapy.

Recent findings
The mainstay of treatment in CVT is systemic anticoagulation even in the setting of intracerebral hemorrhage. Nonrandomized studies and case series suggest that endovascular therapy in CVT is relatively safe, and can improve outcomes in the small subset of CVT patients with neurologic deterioration despite anticoagulation.

Summary
Despite a generally favorable prognosis, one in four patients with CVT develop neurological deterioration in the acute phase. Predisposing factors include a neurological deficit or seizures at onset, deep venous thrombosis, venous infarctions, or intracranial hemorrhage with mass effect and an underlying thrombophilia. More randomized trials are needed to compare the benefits of anticoagulation and endovascular therapy.

Keywords
anticoagulation, cerebral venous thrombosis, endovascular, thrombectomy, thrombolysis

INTRODUCTION

Cerebral venous thrombosis (CVT) is a relatively rare cause of stroke, accounting for only 0.5 to 1% of all acute strokes [1]. It typically presents with new onset of persistent headache or as a syndrome of increased intracranial pressure (ICP). Approximately, one-third of patients may develop seizures, whereas others may develop a focal neurologic deficits or encephalopathy. A variety of underlying risk factors can promote CVT, including prothrombotic states (both acquired and inherited), drugs, such as oral contraceptives, pregnancy and the puerperium, malignancy, infection, mechanical factors, and miscellaneous conditions. In the largest multinational multicenter prospective cohort study on CVT to date, the International Study on Cerebral Vein and Dural Sinus Thrombosis (ISCVT), the two most common risk factors were an underlying thrombophilia (34%) or the exposure to oral contraceptives (54%) [2]. At least one risk factor was identified in 85% of cases, and two or more in 44% of patients [2].

DIAGNOSIS

The diagnosis of CVT is based on dedicated venous neuroimaging with computed tomography venography (CTV) or magnetic resonance venography (MRV). The diagnosis is confirmed by evidence of a filling defect in the venous system (Fig. 1). Additional findings suggestive of CVT include cerebral edema, lobar ICH, and bilateral or atypical strokes not respecting arterial territories. The most commonly involved sinuses are the superior sagittal (62%), transverse-sigmoid

[a]Department of Neurology, University of Toronto School of Medicine, [b]Stroke Outcomes Research Unit, Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute and [c]Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Correspondence to Gustavo Saposnik, MD, MSc, FAHA, FRCPC, Division of Neurology, St. Michael's Hospital, Suite 9-31, 55 Queen St E, Toronto, ON M5C 1R6, Canada. Tel: +1 416 864 5155; fax: +1 416 864 5150; e-mail: saposnikg@smh.ca

[*]Website: www.sorcan.ca.

AQ1

Curr Opin Crit Care 2015, 21:000–000

DOI:10.1097/MCC.0000000000000278

KEY POINTS

- One in four patients develop neurological deterioration.
- Acute complications of CVT include ischemic stroke and ICH, ICP, hydrocephalus, and seizures.
- Anticoagulation with LMWH or UFH is recommended in the acute phase and well tolerated even in the presence of ICH.
- Endovascular therapy, including systemic and i.v. thrombolytics and mechanical thrombectomy, can be used in the acute setting in patients with neurologic deterioration despite anticoagulation therapy.
- Low GCS, altered mental status, posterior fossa lesions, right ICH, and thrombosis of deep veins are predictors of mortality in the acute phase.

(41–45%), straight sinus (18%), jugular vein (12%), and deep venous system (11%) (Fig. 2). An MRI/MRV has been recommended by the American Heart Association (AHA) and European Federation of Neurological Societies (EFNS), as a negative CTV does not rule out the possibility of CVT. Cerebral angiography is reserved for complex cases despite negative neuroimaging or when CTV or MRV are not available. Repeat imaging with CTV/MRV is also recommended in patients with persistent, worsening, or recurrent symptoms of CVT despite treatment and at 3–6 months to check for recanalization in stable patients [3].

MANAGEMENT OF CEREBRAL VENOUS THROMBOSIS

Acute antithrombotic treatment

Initial anticoagulation

The mainstay of therapy for CVT is anticoagulation. The goals of treatment include prevention of sinus thrombus extension, sinus recanalization, and prevention of systemic venous thromboembolism [3].

Two randomized controlled trials have compared heparin with placebo in CVT. They comprise a combined sample size of only 79 patients. The first trial included 20 patients, 10 randomized to dose-adjusted intravenous (i.v.) heparin and 10 to placebo. The primary end point was clinical outcome on a CVT severity scale. Enrollment in the trial was stopped early after detection of a significant improvement in the treatment group. At 3 months, eight of the 10 patients receiving anticoagulation experienced complete neurologic recovery vs. only one in the control group. There was no evidence that heparin treatment promoted ICH [4].

The second trial comprising 59 patients with CVT compared subcutaneous nadroparin compared with placebo for 3 weeks. The primary end point was defined as poor outcome or death at 3 weeks. There was a nonsignificant trend toward a better outcome in the treatment group. In total, six (10%) patients died. All of the deaths occurred in the subgroup with baseline cerebral hemorrhage on CT, but there was no evidence to suggest cerebral hemorrhage was worsened by anticoagulation [5].

A subsequent meta-analysis of these studies found that anticoagulation was associated with a



FIGURE 1. Unenhanced computed tomography brain showing hyperdensity at the confluence of the sinuses (arrow) (a). There are bilateral linear hyperdensities in the parietal lobes (arrows) corresponding to thrombosis of cortical veins (b).



FIGURE 2. Magnetic resonance venogram showing long linear filling defect in the superior sagittal sinus (arrow) (a) and right internal jugular vein (arrow) (b).

nonsignificantly decreased risk of death or dependency. Use of anticoagulation in CVT was deemed to be safe, including low risk of ICH [5,6].

Regarding choice of anticoagulant, there is limited evidence to support choosing low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) over unfractionated heparin (UFH). An open-label randomized trial assigned 66 patients to treatment with either UFH or LMWH for 14 days followed by oral anticoagulation. Hospital mortality was significantly reduced in the group treated with LMWH. The mortality benefit may be associated with the longer duration of action and more stable therapeutic action of LMWH. There was no difference in outcome at 3 months between the groups [7]. Additional benefits of LMWH include easier route of administration and no requirement for regular laboratory monitoring. One benefit of UFH is rapid normalization of activated partial thromboplastin time (1–2 h) following cessation, should complications of anticoagulation arise or if emergency surgical intervention is needed [8].

In a study analyzing the original ISCVT cohort, 302 patients received UFH alone vs. 119 who received only LMWH. More patients were independent at 6 months in the LMWH group vs. UFH (92 vs. 84%, odds ratio 2.4, confidence interval 1.0 to 5.7, $P = 0.04$). There was also a nonsignificant decrease in new ICH and overall mortality in the LMWH group [9].

The EFNS guidelines recommend that patients without contraindication for anticoagulation should be treated with either weight-adjusted subcutaneous LMWH or dose-adjusted i.v. UFH, aiming for activated partial thromboplastin time twice the upper limit of normal [8]. This recommendation is similar to the 2011 AHA/ASA guidelines [3]. Both guidelines state that ICH is not a contraindication for anticoagulation [3,8].

The AHA guidelines advocate a similar approach in children beyond 28 days of life, with the use of either UFH or LMWH, even in cases of secondary hemorrhage [10]. The treatment of neonates is more controversial, with a paucity of data. There is no general consensus on whether to initiate treatment. This partly stems from initial concerns over the susceptibility of the neonatal brain to hemorrhage (and different underlying causes/predisposing factors). Case studies and an observational case series suggest that neonatal CVT treated with anticoagulation appears to have good outcomes, even in the presence of hemorrhage, whereas neonatal CVT not receiving anticoagulation resulted in a higher rate of thrombus propagation [3,11,12]. The 2011 AHA guidelines state that treatment with LMWH for 6 weeks to 3 months may be considered in neonates [3].

Finally, the majority of pregnant women with CVT should be treated with anticoagulation. The 2011 AHA guidelines echo the 2008 American College of Chest Physicians recommendation for the use of full-dose anticoagulation with LMWH over UFH for lower risk of teratogenicity. Treatment should be continued for at least 6 weeks postpartum [13], but warfarin should be avoided because of its known teratogenicity.

There are currently no controlled trials or observational studies assessing the use of aspirin or antiplatelet agents in CVT [3].

Endovascular treatment

Endovascular intervention is an alternative strategy in the subset of patients who deteriorate neurologically despite adequate medical management. The options include intravascular administration of thrombolytics, mechanical thrombectomy, and combination treatment. There is currently no high-quality evidence to support the use of endovascular therapy as the initial approach with evidence limited to isolated case reports or uncontrolled case series. The ongoing TO-ACT trial AQ2 is the first randomized trial comparing endovascular therapy with standard anticoagulation therapy [14]. Overall, 54 patients have been randomized. Final results are expected by December 2016.

Endovascular thrombolysis

A systematic review of thrombolysis for CVT included 169 patients from a large number of studies ($n = 72$) [15]. Most patients had a poor baseline neurologic status, including 78% with encephalopathy and coma. Patients received intracerebral or systemic thrombolysis, either alone or in combination. Overall, 86% of patients were independent at discharge. Death occurred in 5% of patients, with an additional 7% who remained dependent at discharge.

Mechanical thrombectomy

Borhani and colleagues provided a comprehensive literature review of 64 mechanical thrombectomy procedures for patients with CVT between 1990 and 2012. Overall, 62.5% had no disability or minor disability, whereas mortality occurred in 16.1% of participants [16]. Another recent review analyzing mechanical thrombectomy in CVT evaluated 42 studies comprising 185 patients. A large proportion of patients had initially poor neurologic status (47% in coma). Seventy-one percentage of patients received concurrent intravascular thrombolysis. A good outcome, defined as modified Rankin Scale (MRS) score of 0–2 was reported in 84% of cases. Death occurred in 12% of patients. New or increased periprocedural intracranial hemorrhage was identified in 10% of cases [17▪].

The data suggests that endovascular therapy may reduce mortality in CVT patients with decreased level of consciousness. The caveat is that there may be publication bias from over inclusion of cases with good outcomes [2,18]. Accordingly, the AHA guidelines recommend consideration of endovascular intervention in cases where deterioration occurs despite intensive anticoagulation [3]. At present, it is difficult to draw any definitive conclusions regarding endovascular therapy in CVT without high-quality data from randomized controlled trials.

Acute symptomatic treatment

Elevated intracranial pressure and hydrocephalus

High ICP leading to transtentorial herniation is the most common cause of death acutely in CVT [2]. The combination of blockage of venous outflow and cerebrospinal fluid malabsorption can result in single or multiple infarcts, hemorrhages, or brain edema. Additionally, blockage of arachnoid granulations and ventricular extension of hemorrhage can result in both communicating and noncommunicating hydrocephalus. Clinically, patients may present with headache, visual disturbance, seizures, or false localizing cranial nerve deficits.

There are no randomized clinical trials comparing methods used to treat high ICP in CVT. Treatment with anticoagulants or thrombolytics may lessen clot burden and reduce ICP. General supportive measures to acutely lower ICP include elevating the head of the bed, hyperventilation to lower $PaCO_2$ between 30 and 35 mmHg, mannitol, acetazolamide, or other diuretics. Another option is high-volume or serial lumbar puncture, although there may be added risk of bleeding associated with concomitant anticoagulation. Patients with hydrocephalus refractory to treatment may require an external ventricular drain or shunting [3].

There is currently no evidence to support the use of steroids for increased ICP in CVT. In the ISCVT, 150 patients received steroids, but there was no significant difference in the primary or secondary outcomes among patients receiving and not receiving them. Furthermore, there is some evidence that steroids may cause harm in those with high ICP without parenchymal lesions [19].

Decompressive hemicraniectomy

Previous randomized controlled trials investigating decompressive hemicraniectomy in malignant middle cerebral artery stroke found a reduction in mortality and poor outcomes in patients age 60 or younger treated with decompressive hemicraniectomy within 48 h [20]. Decompressive hemicraniectomy can be considered in cases of imminent transtentorial herniation. One study with a retrospective design and a systematic review identified 69 patients treated with decompressive hemicraniectomy for CVT. A good outcome (MRS 0–2) was observed in approximately 39 (56.5%) patients.



FIGURE 3. A 37-year-old woman who died during the acute stage of cerebral venous thrombosis. There is a large left frontal infarct on diffusion-weighted imaging (a) with hemorrhagic components on gradient echo (b). The infarct does not correspond to a single arterial territory. There is notable mass effect and midline shift. There are filling defects (arrows) in the superior sagittal sinus (c and d).

Seizures and seizure prophylaxis

Seizures on presentation occur in approximately 37% of adults and 48% of children [3]. Factors predicting presenting seizure in the ISCVT were supratentorial lesion, cortical vein thrombosis, superior sagittal sinus thrombosis, and puerperal thrombosis. Supratentorial lesions and presenting seizure were increased risk factors for early seizure (seizure within 2 weeks of CVT diagnosis). The risk of early seizures in the highest risk group – those with supratentorial lesions and presenting seizure – was significantly lower with the use of antiepileptic drug (AED) prophylaxis. In patients without supratentorial lesion, risk of recurrent seizure was low regardless of presenting seizure, occurring in only one patient vs. 0 on AED prophylaxis [21].

There are no randomized trials investigating AED prophylaxis in CVT. A Cochrane review found insufficient evidence to support or refute the use of AED for primary or secondary prevention of seizure in CVT [22]. The current recommendation from the AHA is the use of AED prophylaxis in patients with parenchymal lesions and seizure on presentation. Seizure prophylaxis is not recommended in patients who do not have seizures [3].

The EFNS guidelines deem it reasonable to continue AED in patients with both early seizure and ICH on admission [8]. A more recent recommendation suggests that antiepileptic medications can be tapered off after 3–6 months if no further seizures occur [23▪].

MANAGEMENT OF LATE COMPLICATIONS

Headache

Headache may persist in up to 50% of CVT patients [3,24,25], One study with 55 CVT patients found persistent headache in 29 patients, although the vast majority (93%) was then diagnosed as migraine and tension headache [24]. Severe headache following the acute period may occur in 11–14% of patients [2,3,26]. Very rarely these headaches represent recurrent venous sinus thrombosis.

Persistent or severe headache should be investigated appropriately with MRV. If MRV is negative, a lumbar puncture may be warranted to exclude high ICP [3].

Visual loss

Visual loss at presentation occurred in 13.2% of patients in the ISCVT [2]. Despite this, long-term severe visual loss in CVT occurs in only 2–4% of cases [3]. In patients complaining of visual disturbance or those with documented papilledema, visual acuities should be documented and formal visual fields should be obtained. Optic nerve fenestration can be considered as a therapeutic option in patients with persistent or progressing symptoms [3].

Prognosis

Early deterioration and death

Early death occurs in approximately 5% of patients, ranging between 0 and 15% [2,27, 28,29]. In the ISCVT, predictors of mortality within 30 days of symptom onset include Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score less than 9, mental status disturbance, seizure, thrombosis of deep veins, right hemisphere hemorrhage, and posterior fossa lesions [2]. The main cause of death in the acute phase is transtentorial herniation resulting directly from CVT (Fig. 3). Approximately, one in four patients will experience neurologic worsening from the time of diagnosis [27]. This can manifest clinically in a number of ways, including worsening level of consciousness, seizure, new or worsening preexisting focal neurologic deficit, worsening headache, or visual loss. An estimated one-third of these patients will have a new brain lesion on imaging [30].

Long-term outcome

Long-term outcome in CVT is generally favorable, with estimated 85–89% of patients achieving complete recovery or independence (MRS 0–2) [27,29]. Women have a more favorable prognosis than men entir
cont
pregnancy, and puerperium). Risk factors for poor outcome include malignancy, thrombosis of the deep venous system, intracranial hemorrhage on admission CT/MRI, GCS score less than 9, mental status disturbance, age less than 37 years, and male sex.

In the ISCVT, 8.3% of patients died within 16 months. Causes of death outside of the acute phase were related to the underlying condition, including severe infection, underlying malignancy, and systemic thromboembolism [29].

Recurrence and recanalization rates

Recurrence rates of CVT and venous thromboembolism in adults are generally low, between 2 and 4% [3,29], with similar rates in children [31]. Studies on recanalization rates are somewhat limited. One study of 33 patients with CVT evaluated recanalization at 4 and 12 months using MRV. The authors found that 15 patients had incomplete recanalization with no difference at 4 and 12 months [32]. A systematic review compiling data from five studies found that recanalization rates were roughly 85% with no difference between recanalization at 3 months and 1 year. Only 154 patients total were included, but this suggests that most recanalization takes place in the first few months [29,3,2]. A more recent study, including 102 patients with CVT assessed recanalization rates at 3-month intervals using serial MRV. They found that 50% of patients had partial or complete recanalization at 64 days (2 months), and complete recanalization at 169 days (6 months). Age less than 50 years and superior sagittal sinus thrombosis were predictive of complete recanalization [33].

CONCLUSION

CVT is an uncommon cause of acute stroke, but a high index of suspicion should be maintained in any patient with unexplained encephalopathy or high ICP. Anticoagulation should be initiated even in patients of ICH. There are currently no randomized trials comparing standard anticoagulation with endovascular therapy. At present, endovascular therapy is reserved for patients with neurologic deterioration despite appropriate anticoagulation. Data from randomized controlled trials, including the ongoing TO-ACT trial, are required for more definitive conclusions regarding early use of endovascular therapy in CVT.

Dr. Saposnik is supported by the Distinguished clinician scientist award given by Heart and Stroke foundation of Canada following an open peer-reviewed competition

Financial supports and sponsorship

None.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES AND RECOMMENDED READING

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- ■ of special interest
- ■■ of outstanding interest

1. Kernan WN, Ovbiagele B, Black HR, *et al.* Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke and transient ischemic attack: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke 2014; 45:2160.
2. Ferro JM, Canhão P, Stam J, *et al.* Prognosis of cerebral vein and dural sinus thrombosis: results of the International Study on Cerebral Vein and Dural Sinus Thrombosis (ISCVT). Stroke 2004; 35:664.
3. Saposnik G, Barinagarrementeria F, Brown RD Jr, *et al.* Diagnosis and management of cerebral venous thrombosis: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke 2011; 42:1158.
4. Einhäupl KM, Villringer A, Meister W, *et al.* Heparin treatment in sinus venous thrombosis. Lancet 1991; 338:597.
5. de Bruijn SF, Stam J. Randomized, placebo-controlled trial of anticoagulant treatment with low-molecular-weight heparin for cerebral sinus thrombosis. Stroke 1999; 30:484.
6. Stam J, De Bruijn SF, DeVeber G. Anticoagulation for cerebral sinus thrombosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2002; CD002005.
7. Misra UK, Kalita J, Chandra S, *et al.* Low molecular weight heparin versus unfractionated heparin in cerebral venous sinus thrombosis: a randomized controlled trial. Eur J Neurol 2012; 19:1030.
8. Einhäupl K, Stam J, Bousser MG, *et al.* EFNS guideline on the treatment of cerebral venous and sinus thrombosis in adult patients. Eur J Neurol 2010; 17:1229.
9. Coutinho JM, Ferro JM, Canhão P, *et al.* Unfractionated or low-molecular weight heparin for the treatment of cerebral venous thrombosis. Stroke 2010; 41:2575.
10. Roach ES, Golomb MR, Adams R, *et al.* Management of stroke in infants and children: a scientific statement from a Special Writing Group of the American Heart Association Stroke Council and the Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young. Stroke 2008; 39:2644.
11. Se?bire G, Tabarki B, Saunders DE, *et al.* Cerebral venous sinus thrombosis in children: risk factors, presentation, diagnosis and outcome. Brain 2005; 128:477–489.
12. Moharir M, Shroff M, Stephens D, *et al.* Anticoagulants in pediatric cerebral sinovenous thrombosis: a safety and outcome study. Ann Neurol 2010; 67:590–599.
13. Bates SM, Greer IA, Pabinger I, *et al.* Venous thromboembolism, thrombophilia, antithrombotic therapy, and pregnancy: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). Chest 2008; 133 (suppl):844S–886S.
14. Coutinho JM, Ferro JM, Zuurbier SM, *et al.* Thrombolysis or anticoagulation for cerebral venous thrombosis: rationale and design of the TO-ACT trial. Int J Stroke 2013; 8:135–140.
15. Canhão P, Falcão F, Ferro JM. Thrombolytics for cerebral sinus thrombosis: a systematic review. Cerebrovasc Dis 2003; 15:159.
16. Borhani Haghighi A, Mahmoodi M, Edgell RC, *et al.* Mechanical thrombectomy for cerebral venous sinus thrombosis: a comprehensive literature review. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost 2014; 20:507–515.
17. ■ Siddiqui FM, Dandapat S, Banerjee C, *et al.* Mechanical thrombectomy in cerebral venous thrombosis: systematic review of 185 cases. Stroke 2015; 46:1263.

Very recent comprehensive review of literature to date on mechanical thrombectomy in CVT.

18. Stam J, Majoie CB, van Delden OM, *et al.* Endovascular thrombectomy and thrombolysis for severe cerebral sinus thrombosis: a prospective study. Stroke 2008; 39:1487.
19. Canhão P, Cortesão A, Cabral M, *et al.* Are steroids useful to treat cerebral venous thrombosis? Stroke 2008; 39:105.
20. Ferro JM, Crassard I, Coutinho JM, *et al.* Decompressive surgery in cerebrovenous thrombosis: a multicenter registry and a systematic review of individual patient data. Stroke 2011; 42:2825.
21. Ferro JM, Canhão P, Bousser MG, *et al.* Early seizures in cerebral vein and dural sinus thrombosis: risk factors and role of antiepileptics. Stroke 2008; 39:1152.
22. Price M, Günther A, Kwan JS. Antiepileptic drugs for the primary and secondary prevention of seizures after intracranial venous thrombosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014; 8:CD005501.
23. ■ Coutinho JM, Middeldorp S, Stam J. Advances in the treatment of cerebral venous thrombosis. Curr Treat Options Neurol 2014; 16:299.

The authors summarize the latest advances in the treatment of CVT.

24. Breteau G, Mounier-Vehier F, Godefroy O, *et al.* Cerebral venous thrombosis 3-year clinical outcome in 55 consecutive patients. J Neurol 2003; 250:29–35.
25. Agostoni E. Headache in cerebral venous thrombosis. Neurol Sci 2004; 25 (Suppl 3):S206.
26. de Bruijn SF, Stam J, Kappelle LJ. Thunderclap headache as first symptom of cerebral venous sinus thrombosis. CVST Study Group. Lancet 1996; 348:1623.
27. Canhão P, Ferro JM, Lindgren AG, *et al.* Causes and predictors of death in cerebral venous thrombosis. Stroke 2005; 36:1720.
28. Borhani Haghighi A, Edgell RC, Cruz-Flores S, *et al.* Mortality of cerebral venous-sinus thrombosis in a large national sample. Stroke 2012; 43:262.
29. Dentali F, Gianni M, Crowther MA, Ageno W. Natural history of cerebral vein thrombosis: a systematic review. Blood 2006; 108:1129.
30. Crassard I, Canhão P, Ferro JM, *et al.* Neurological worsening in the acute phase of cerebral venous thrombosis in ISCVT (International Study on Cerebral Venous Thrombosis). Cerebrovasc Dis 2003; 16 (Supp 4): 60.
31. Kenet G, Kirkham F, Niederstadt T, *et al.* Risk factors for recurrent venous thromboembolism in the European collaborative paediatric database on cerebral venous thrombosis: a multicentre cohort study. Lancet Neurol 2007; 6:595.
32. Baumgartner RW, Studer A, Arnold M, Georgiadis D. Recanalisation of cerebral venous thrombosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2003; 74: 459.
33. Arauz A, Vargas-González JC, Arguelles-Morales N, *et al.* Time to recanalisation in patients with cerebral venous thrombosis under anticoagulation therapy. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2015; pii: jnnp-2014-310068.

MCC

Manuscript No. 220201

Current Opinion in Critical Care
Typeset by Thomson Digital
for Wolters Kluwer

Dear Author,

During the preparation of your manuscript for typesetting, some queries have arisen. These are listed below. Please check your typeset proof carefully and mark any corrections in the margin as neatly as possible or compile them as a separate list. This form should then be returned with your marked proof/list of corrections to the Production Editor.

QUERIES: to be answered by AUTHOR/EDITOR?

QUERY NO.	QUERY DETAILS	RESPONSE
<AQ1>	Please check the byline, footnote, affiliation, and correspondence for correctness.	Q1: correct
<AQ2>	Please provide the full forms of the following acronyms: $PaCO_2$, TO-ACT.	Q2: Partial Pressure of Carbon Dioxide in Arterial Blood TO-ACT: Thrombolysis or Anticoagulation for Cerebral Venous Thrombosis
<AQ3>	As the following references are outside the review period, bullets and annotations have been deleted as per style. Refs. [3 and 8].	Q3: ok
<AQ4>	Please provide complete bibliographic details such as volume for Ref. [6].	Q4: Stroke. 2011 Apr;42(4):1158-92
<AQ5>	Please update Ref. [33], if possible, by providing complete publication details such as volume and page range.	Q5: It is still ahead of print. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2015 Mar 23. pii: jnnp-2014-310068. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2014-310068. [Epub ahead of print]