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Abstract

Background: Emotions play a critical role in our daily decisions. However, it remains unclear how and what sort of emotional expressions are associated with therapeutic decisions in multiple sclerosis (MS) care. Our goal was to evaluate the relationship between emotions and affective states (as captured by muscle facial activity and emotional expressions) and TI amongst neurologists caring for MS patients when making therapeutic decisions.

Methods: 38 neurologists with expertise in MS were invited to participate in a face-to-face study across Canada. Participants answered questions regarding their clinical practice, aversion to ambiguity, and the management of 10 simulated case-scenarios. TI was defined as lack of treatment initiation or escalation when there was clear evidence of clinical and radiological disease activity. We recorded facial muscle activations and their associated emotional expressions during the study, while participants made therapeutic choices. We used a validated machine learning algorithm of the AFFDEX software to code for facial muscle activations and a predefined mapping to emotional expressions (disgust, fear, surprise, etc.). Mixed effects models and mediation analyses were used to evaluate the relationship between ambiguity aversion, facial muscle activity/emotional expressions and TI measured as a binary variable and a continuous score.

Results: 34 (89.4%) neurologists completed the study. The mean age [standard deviation (SD)] was 44.6 (11.5) years; 38.3% were female and 58.8% self-identified as MS specialists. Overall, 17 (50%) participants showed TI in at least one case-scenario and the mean (SD) TI score was 0.74 (0.90). Nineteen (55.9%) participants had aversion to ambiguity in the financial domain. The multivariate analysis adjusted for age, sex and MS expertise showed that aversion to ambiguity in the financial domain (OR 1.56, 95%CI 1.32–1.86) was associated with TI. Most common muscle activations included mouth open (23.4%), brow furrow (20.9%), brow raise (17.6%), and eye widening (13.1%). Most common emotional expressions included fear (5.1%), disgust (3.2%), sadness (2.9%), and surprise (2.8%). After adjustment for age, sex, and physicians’ expertise, the multivariate analysis revealed that brow furrow (OR 1.04; 95%CI 1.003–1.09) and upper lip raises (OR 0.30; 95%CI 0.15–0.59), and chin raise (OR 0.90; 95%CI 0.83–0.98) were associated with lower likelihood of TI. Disgust and surprise were associated with a lower TI score (disgust: OR\textsubscript{disgust} = 0.14, 95%CI 0.03–0.65; OR\textsubscript{surprise} = 0.66, 94%CI 0.47–0.92) after adjusting for covariates. The mediation analysis showed that brow furrow was a partial mediator explaining 21.2% (95%CI 14.9–38.9%) of the association between aversion to ambiguity and TI score, followed by nose wrinkle 12.8% (95%CI 8.9–23.4%). Similarly, disgust was the single emotional expression (partial mediator) that attenuated (-13.2%, 95%CI -9.2% to -24.3%) the effect of aversion to ambiguity on TI.

Conclusions: TI was observed in half of participants in at least one case-scenario. Our data suggest that facial...
Study design and facial landmarks. (A) Sequence of study events. After answering demographic and practice-based questions and determining medical and financial ambiguity aversion, participants listened to a case-scenario and then viewed 6 therapeutic choices. This procedure was repeated for each of the 10 case-scenarios ranging from 25 to 50 s. All the stimuli remained on the screen until the participant selected one of the therapeutic choices. Then, the participant was able to see the next screen and play the next case-scenario. The dots between the screen presenting the therapeutic choices #1 and the case-scenario #10 represent the progression through scenarios #2-#9. (B) Facial landmarks. The region of interest in AFFDEX software contains the whole face including eyes, mouth and nose. Each of the 34 facial landmarks are the main unit of study to represent 20 facial expression metrics that are mapped to represent emotional expressions (https://developer.affectiva.com/mapping-expressions-to-emotions/). This figure illustrates the representation of the data at a particular time-point of the study, including: facial metrics, screens of the stimulus presentation, and time landmarks according to the study design and flow. A value of zero indicates no evidence and a value of one the highest evidence that a certain facial metric or emotion is fully expressed. (Levy et al., 2010).
metrics (e.g. brow furrow, nose wrinkle) and emotional expressions (e.g. disgust) are associated with physicians’ choices and partially mediate the effect of aversion to ambiguity on TI.

1. Background

The role of emotions in decision-making has been investigated for decades. Recent studies have shown that emotions are the dominant driver of the majority of meaningful goal-directed decisions in life (Ekman, 2007; d’Acremont and Bossaerts, 2012). Different emotions (fear, disgust, stress, surprise, etc.) manifested by facial muscle activation can modulate our perceptions and valuation of individual choices by activating different pathways involving the striatum, orbitofrontal cortex, the medial and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, the inferior parietal cortex, the amygdala and/or insular cortex (Phelps et al., 2014; Cohen, 2005; Ekman and Friesen, 2003). Previous studies have shown that decision making was associated with muscle activation (e.g. brow furrow, brow raise, lip pucker, mouth opening) and emotions (e.g. fear, sadness, anger, surprise) in consumers or healthy volunteers (Phelps et al., 2014; Lerner et al., 2003, 2015). For example, fear appears to be associated with pessimistic risk assessments and risk-averse choices, whereas anger can provoke an optimistic estimations of risk and risk-seeking behavior (Lerner et al., 2003, 2015; Klgyte et al., 2013) Similarly, some emotions, such as anger, surprise, and optimism are associated with participants’ tolerance to ambiguity and the selection of optimal choices (Chesney and Reiter, 2016; FeldmanHall et al., 2016). However, limited information is available regarding how facial muscle activity (and derived emotional expressions) relate to physicians’ therapeutic decisions.

Therapeutic inertia (TI) is a term that was introduced in 2006 to define the absence of treatment initiation or intensification when treatment goals are unmet (O’Connor et al., 2005; Mohan and Phillips, 2011; Okonofua et al., 2006). TI is a common phenomenon affecting 50% to 90% of doctors caring for patients with chronic conditions (e.g. hypertension, diabetes, multiple sclerosis) and leading to poorer clinical outcomes and higher health care costs (O’Connor et al., 2005; Mohan and Phillips, 2011; Okonofua et al., 2006; Burks et al., 2017). Previous studies have identified factors associated with TI (Cooke et al., 2012; Saposnik and Montalban, 2018), and physician factors (e.g. aversion to ambiguity) are considered the main contributors (Saposnik and Montalban, 2018; Lebeau et al., 2014; Saposnik et al., 2017a). To our knowledge, there are no data showing a relationship between facial muscle activation, emotional expressions, and therapeutic decisions under uncertainty (or ambiguity) among practicing physicians.

In this study, we evaluated facial muscle activation (and emotional expression) associated with therapeutic choices, particularly TI. We also sought to evaluate the mediation effect between a physical (e.g. facial muscle activity) or emotional (fear, disgust, surprise) response with a therapeutic decision. Given the known associations between specific facial muscle activation and emotional expression (anger, fear, disgust, surprise, etc.) with an increased attention response that precedes participants’ choices (FeldmanHall et al., 2016; Stöckli et al., 2018; McDuff et al., 2017), we hypothesized that facial muscle activity (e.g. upper lip raise) and emotional expression (disgust, surprise) would increase participants’ awareness and therefore mediating the relationship between aversion to ambiguity and TI. We assessed emotional expressions amongst physicians who care for people living with multiple sclerosis (MS) as this care model is representative of the paradigm of complex therapeutic decisions (e.g. multiple therapeutic options with a broad therapeutic range- e.g. different safety and efficacy profiles) in the management of a chronic medical condition.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

We conducted a cross-sectional study using the online platform Qualtrics. The study included 10 MS case-vignettes to evaluate TI and 2 behavioural experiments to determine subject’s attitudes towards ambiguity. Case-scenarios were designed by our research team and MS experts (JO, GS). Overall, 8 cases aimed to assess appropriate escalation of treatment (whereby an absence of treatment change corresponds to TI), while the remaining 2 cases were designed as controls (no indication for treatment escalation as there was no evidence of a clinical relapse and disease activity on brain imaging). After completing demographic information and questions regarding their current clinical practices, participants were exposed to behavioral experiments assessing ambiguity aversion and then responded to case-scenarios (Fig. 1). Behavioural experiments were designed to assess aversion to ambiguity in the health and financial domains as previously reported by our group (Saposnik et al., 2017a, 2016a; Anderson and Mellor, 2008). Ambiguity aversion is defined as dislike for events with unknown probability over events with known probability (Levy et al., 2010). For example, an ambiguity-averse individual would rather choose a treatment where the probability of benefits or side effects are known (even if these are somewhat unfavourable) over one where these probabilities are unknown. Specifically, in the health domain, participants were asked to choose between Treatment A (50% probability of survival) or “Treatment B” (the probability of survival is unknown). In the financial domain, participants were asked to choose between a visual option with known 50/50 probability of winning 400 or 0 US$ versus an option with unknown probability of the same outcomes. In both domains, we used grey bars to represent five levels (10%, 30%, 50%, 70%, and 90%) of unknown probability. Aversion to ambiguity was indexed in two ways: binary (preference for the known probability in all 5 levels) and as continuous variable (number of levels that participants selected the known probability over 5). Details of the protocol and case-scenarios were previously published (Saposnik et al., 2017a, 2016a, 2018).

Participants: Practicing neurologists actively involved in the care of people living with MS from across Canada were invited to participate in our study by the Canadian Network of MS Clinics and Neuro-sens (Neuro-sens.com). These networks capture most of these neurologists in Canada. Participants were recruited from December 13, 2017 to March 2, 2018. Physicians whose practice focuses primarily on caring for MS patients were classified as ‘MS specialists’.

The study was conducted in an ambulatory clinic-type setting to mimic the current clinical environment. Room temperature, light conditions, and participants’ sitting positions were standardized. We used a high definition webcam (Logitech Pro 920©) to capture facial movements. All participants had at least 90% muscle detection by the camera during the study period. Facial detection algorithms from AFFDEX (see below) were integrated with the Qualtrics survey platform through iMotions software (iMotions.com). The mean (median) duration of the study was 44.9 (39.9) minutes. Participants were compensated with 400 Canadian dollars. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Board of St. Michael’s Hospital, University of Toronto, Canada.

2.2. Assessment of emotional expressions

We used AFFDEX, a machine learning algorithm software that detects for emotional expressions based on facial muscle activity.
AFFDEX has been validated in more than 6 million facial videos from over 87 countries showing an excellent accuracy (area under the curve greater than 0.9) (https://www.affectiva.com/how/how-it-works/, accessed Feb 28, 2019). This algorithm uses different features to identify 34 facial landmarks (e.g., eye corners, eye centers, nose tip, mouth corner) with a threshold area, discarding background regions (Fig. 2). The region of interest (ROI) contains the whole face including eyes, mouth and nose. AFFDEX applies distinct analytical procedures to identify emotional expressions (https://developer.affectiva.com/mapping-expressions-to-emotions/)

During our study, facial detection was recorded to analyze each video frame. Eye blinking and closure were filtered-out. AFFDEX uses frames with a positive detection for the subsequent analysis.

Facial muscle activity is the main unit of study in emotional expressions. Facial movements are detected and mapped on probability values of emotional states (e.g. sadness, joy, disgust, anger, surprise, fear, contempt). The probabilities returned by the AFFDEX module range between zero and one. A value of zero indicates no evidence and

![Figure 2. (A) Prevalence of facial muscle activations](image)

![Figure 2. (B) Prevalence of facial muscle activations by TI status](image)

Fig. 2. Facial muscle activations overall and in relation to TI status. (A) Overall proportion of facial muscle activations in ascending order. Values at the top of the bars represent the proportion of muscle activation during the study period. (B) Represents the distribution of facial muscle activations as shown in (A) stratified by responses with (red) and without (blue) TI. Values within bars represent the proportion of muscle activations by TI status. For example, brow furrow activation was observed in 19.1% of responses, 14.7% among participants with TI and the remaining 4.4% among participants without TI. The x-axis represents individual facial metric as identified by the AFFDEX software for activations greater than 1%. (C) Overall proportion of emotional expressions in ascending order. Values at the top of the bars represent the proportion of emotional expressions during the study period. (D) Represents the distribution of emotional expressions as shown in (C) stratified by responses with (red) and without (blue) TI. For example, disgust was observed in 8% of responses, 5.8% among participants without TI and the remaining 2.2% among participants with TI. The x-axes represent each individual emotional expression as mapped by the AFFDEX software.

* indicates p-values < 0.01, ** indicates p-values < 0.001, † indicates p-values < 0.05->0.01 for differences between participants with and without TI.
a value of one the highest evidence that a certain emotion is fully expressed (iMotions 2016). We used raw values of each individual’s facial expression to directly compare amongst participants. This approach mitigates potential errors in the algorithms created to represent emotional expressions due to lack of matching with pre-defined facial muscle activity.

We use a proxy measure of participants’ arousal by combining the level of attention (a summary measure of the time frame each participant was looking at the screen) and engagement (a weighted sum of facial expressions). We compared facial muscle activity and emotional expressions between participants with and without TI.

Multiple sclerosis and definitions: In the context of MS, TI is defined as the lack of treatment initiation or escalation when there is evidence of disease activity, based on clinical evidence and neuroimaging markers (Saposnik et al., 2016a; Freedman et al., 2018; Freedman et al., 2013). A more proactive management strategy, including earlier use of high-efficacy DMTs and close monitoring of the clinical and radiological response to treatment is recommended to slow the progression of physical and cognitive impairments in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS) (Noyes and Weinstock-Guttman, 2013; Sormani et al., 2013; Duquette et al., 2016). Early treatment escalation has been shown to reduce relapse rates, disability progression, and MRI activity (Prosperini et al., 2012; Harding et al., 2019). For the primary analysis, we used an accepted definition of disease activity that would prompt treatment initiation or escalation (Freedman et al., 2018; Prosperini et al., 2014; Bermel et al., 2013). Disease activity was defined as the presence of a clinical relapse plus the presence of more than four new brain lesions in follow-up magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans or at least one gadolinium-enhancing lesion (Prosperini et al., 2014; Bermel et al., 2013).

TI was measured as both a continuous score and as a binary variable. The TI score corresponded to the number of case-scenarios where...
treatment initiation or escalation was warranted but not provided (numerator) divided by the total number of case-scenarios where TI could occur (denominator; n = 8). TI as a binary variable (presence/absence) was determined as the lack of treatment initiation or escalation given disease activity in at least one case-scenario.

**Outcome measures:** The primary outcomes of the study was the association between facial muscle activity and inferred emotional expression of participants at stimulus presentation (audio introducing MS case-scenarios under uncertainty) when making therapeutic choices and TI.

### 2.3. Statistical analysis

We utilized two analytical approaches: (i) a descriptive assessment of facial muscle activation and emotional expressions, and (ii) a mediation analysis to assess how the association between aversion to ambiguity and TI may be mediated by facial activations and emotional expressions. Mediation analysis is a technique commonly used in social sciences and consumer research to make causal inferences about the influence of specific factors (e.g. demographic variables, participant’s characteristics, etc.) on an outcome via a third variable (called ‘mediator’) (MacKinnon et al., 2007; VanderWeele, 2016). A mediator is a variable that modulates the relationship between that factor with the outcome of interest (MacKinnon et al., 2007; VanderWeele, 2016). In our analysis, the independent variable was aversion to ambiguity and the dependent variable was therapeutic inertia. Facial muscle activity or an emotional expression were individually included as mediators. Further details are illustrated in the appendix (Figs. e1 and e2).

The primary analysis was a descriptive assessment of the presence of facial muscle activation and emotional expression among participants with and without TI (binary) and by the TI score. For each screen face by participants, we calculated the percentage of the frames in which each facial muscle was detected relative to the total number of available frames as part of the AFFDEX software. Then, we identified the time period of the stimulus presentation and the time period of participants’ responses when making therapeutic decisions to specifically evaluate the association between facial muscle activation and emotional expressions during these two critical events. Finally, we compared the percentages of facial muscle activation and emotional expressions between participants with and without TI and related them to the TI score. We used a proxy measure of participants’ arousal defined as a summary score between attention (range 0–100) and engagement (range 0–100).

Specifically, we used mixed effects logistic and linear models to assess relationships between TI (and TI score) and the percentage of facial muscle movements (and emotional expressions) accounting for clustering (repeated observations on participants). The analysis was adjusted for the following explanatory variables: age, sex, specialist status (MS expert vs. general neurologists), Practice setting (academic vs non-academic), percentage of time devoted to clinical care, and number of MS patients assessed per week had no significant impact on the association between emotional expressions and TI.

We previously found an association between aversion to ambiguity and increased prevalence of TI (Saposnik et al., 2017a). Here, we aimed to replicate this association and evaluated whether this observed association is mediated by facial muscle activation or emotional expression. For the mediation analysis we used the STATA command ‘medeff’ (see details of the models in the Appendix) (Valeri and Vanderweele, 2013; Fairchild and MacKinnon, 2009). We also use structural equation modeling (SEM) to graphically represent the estimated mediation effects of facial metrics or emotional expressions (see details and interpretation of graphs in the Appendix) (Verkuilen, 2006).

In a sensitivity analysis, we considered the effect of adding participants’ number of MS patients seen per week, practice type (academic vs. non-academic), or years of practice instead of participants’ expertise in the multivariate models.

Goodness of fit was assessed by the c-statistic for TI (binary outcome) and R-squared for the TI score. All tests were 2-tailed, and p-values <0.05 were considered significant. We used STATA 13 (College Station, TX: StataCorp LP) to conduct all analyses.

To facilitate the interpretation of findings, we performed the following four analyses:

1. We evaluated the prevalence of facial muscle activations and emotional expressions;
2. We examined their association with the likelihood of TI and the TI score;
3. We assessed the relationship between facial metrics and emotional expression with ambiguity aversion (main predictor of TI in our previous studies)(18); and
4. We conducted a mediation analysis to determine whether facial muscle activation or emotional expression modulate the relationship between the aversion to ambiguity (independent variable) and TI (outcome).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Participant characteristics

Of the 38 neurologists who were invited to participate in the emotional recognition study, 34 cooperated (cooperation rate: 89.5%) and 34 (completion rate: 100%) completed the study. The mean age (SD) of study participants was 44.6 (± 11.6) years; 13 participants (38.2%) were male. Twenty participants (58.8%) primarily focused their practice on MS care. Participants had an average of 12.5 (± 12) years of experience and assessed 23.1 (± 16) MS patients per week. Table 1 summarizes baseline characteristics of the study population.

TI was present in 50.0% of participants in at least one case-scenario. The mean TI score was 0.74 (± 0.90), and the range was 0 to 3.

#### 1) Prevalence of facial or emotional expressions:

The most commonly observed muscle activations included: mouth open (23.4%), brow furrow (20.9%), brow raise (17.6%), and eye widening (13.1%) (Fig. 2A). Brow furrow was associated with TI (p < 0.001). The most commonly decoded emotional expressions included: fear (5.1%), disgust (3.2%), sadness (2.9%), and surprise (2.8%) (Fig. 2C). Differences in facial muscle activation and emotional expressions by TI status are represented in Fig. 2B and D.

Participants with muscle facial activations and emotional expressions had higher arousal scores. For example, arousal scores were significantly higher among participants with disgust (180.7 vs. 133.1; p = 0.04), surprise (77.6 vs. 122.4; p = 0.02), fear (189.9 vs. 131.6; p = 0.02). Similar findings were observed for facial muscle activations associated with TI (e.g. brow furrow [p < 0.001], brow raise [p < 0.001], lip suck [p < 0.001], mouth open [p = 0.02], nose wrinkle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total (%) n = 34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean ± SD), in years</td>
<td>44.6 ± 11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥ 50 years</td>
<td>13 (38.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13 (38.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS specialists</td>
<td>20 (58.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Neurologists who care for MS patients</td>
<td>14 (41.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice setting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic</td>
<td>28 (82.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td>6 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% time in clinical practice</td>
<td>16 (47.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 75% of their time</td>
<td>15 (44.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 75%</td>
<td>12.5 ± 11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS patients seen per week (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>23.1 ± 15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author of a peer-reviewed publication in the last 12 months</td>
<td>22 (64.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in brackets indicate percentages.
(p < 0.01)).

(1) Basic associations between TI and facial or emotional expressions:

The multivariate mixed effects logistic regression after adjustment for age, sex, and physicians’ expertise revealed that brow furrow (OR 1.04; 95%CI 1.003–1.09) and lip suck (OR 1.06; 95%CI 1.01–1.11) were associated with an increase in TI prevalence, whereas upper lip raise (OR 0.30; 95%CI 0.15–0.59), chin raise (OR 0.90; 95%CI 0.83–0.98), and nose wrinkle (OR 0.08; 95%CI 0.007–0.97) were associated with lower likelihood of TI (c-statistic: 0.889). Similar findings were obtained with linear mixed models (brow furrow: p = 0.05; lip suck: p < 0.001; nose wrinkle: p = 0.017, upper lip raise: p < 0.001; chin raise: p < 0.001; R-squared: 0.373) where the TI score as the outcome of interest.

In the emotional expression analysis, the presence of disgust (characterized by nose-wrinkle and upper lip raise) and surprise (characterized increased brow raise and decrease brow furrow) were associated with lower prevalence of TI scores (disgust: p < 0.001; surprise: p = 0.008) and TI (ORdisgust: 0.14, 95%CI 0.03–0.65; ORsurprise: 0.66, 95%CI 0.47–0.92) after adjusting for age, sex and physicians’ expertise. Fear was not associated with either TI (ORfear: 0.37, 95%CI 0.19–0.74) or the TI score (p = 0.14).

(1) Relation between facial and emotional expressions to ambiguity aversion:

In our previous studies, aversion to ambiguity in the financial domain was the most relevant predictor of TI (Saposnik et al., 2017a). Similarly, in the present study, 19 (55.9%) participants never chose an ambiguous alternative in the financial domain and 11 (32.4%) in the health domain. The multivariate analysis adjusted for age, sex and MS expertise showed that aversion to ambiguity in the financial (OR 1.56, 95%CI 1.32–1.86) and health (OR 1.12, 95%CI 1.02–1.22) domains were independent predictors of TI (Table 2). Similarly, for every 20% increase in the degree of ambiguity, there was a 21.5% increment (95%CI 3.0%–40.0%) in the TI score.

Given the consistent association between aversion to ambiguity and TI in this study and prior studies, we also explored the association between facial muscle activity and emotional expression and ambiguity aversion. The multivariate analysis revealed that mouth opening (OR 2.10, 95%CI 1.35–3.26; p = 0.001), brow furrow (OR 2.93, 95%CI 1.84–4.65; p < 0.001), chin raise (OR 3.16, 95%CI 1.51–6.62; p = 0.002), and lip suck (OR 0.38, 95%CI 0.21–0.70; p = 0.002) were the facial muscle activations associated with higher aversion to ambiguity in the financial domain. Disgust (OR 0.22, 95%CI 0.08–0.65; p = 0.006) was the single emotional expression associated with lower aversion to ambiguity.

The mixed linear regression analysis adjusted for age, sex, participants’ expertise, emotional expression (disgust) or facial muscle activation (brow furrow, mouth opening, and lip suck) and degree of aversion to ambiguity in the financial domain is presented in Fig. 3. For every 20% increase in the degree of ambiguity (e.g. from 10% to 30%, 30% to 50% and so on), there was a 21.5% increment (95%CI 16.9%–26.0%) in the TI score (R-squared 0.35) (Fig. 3A). Nearly identical results were observed when the linear mixed model included facial muscle activations instead of emotional expression (R-squared 0.38) (Fig. 3B). In contrast, there were no associations between facial muscle activation and emotional expression with aversion to ambiguity in the health domain.

(1) Mediation analysis: facial and emotional expressions modulate the relationship between aversion to ambiguity and TI

We found that brow furrow followed by nose wrinkle were the strongest mediators, respectively explaining 21.2% (95%CI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Relationship between aversion to ambiguity and therapeutic inertia.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aversion to Ambiguity (Financial domain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aversion to Ambiguity (Financial domain)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aversion to Ambiguity (Financial domain)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mixed linear regression models for TI score (dependent variable) adjusted for age, sex, MS expert and aversion to ambiguity (independent variables).

Mixed logistic regression models for TI (dependent variable) adjusted for age, sex, MS expert and aversion to ambiguity (independent variables).
Similarly, disgust was the single emotional expression that attenuated the effect of aversion to ambiguity in the financial domain on TI (Fig. 4C). Notably, the direct effect of ambiguity aversion on TI was greater than the indirect effect mediated by brow furrow, nose wrinkle, or disgust (partial mediators). For example, there was a significant, but modest increment (<5%) in the R-square values when adding the facial or emotional variable into the mixed models. This is also reflected in the larger $\beta$ coefficients for the direct effect between ambiguity aversion and TI compared to the multiplication of $\beta$ coefficients for the indirect effect (Fig. 4 and figure e2).

Other facial muscle activations (e.g. lip suck: p-value 0.84) and emotional expressions had a non-significant or a negligible effect (e.g. surprise and fear <3%). The sensitivity analysis revealed no changes in the $\beta$ coefficients for ambiguity aversion when adjusting mixed models for other covariates (see appendix, figures e3 and e4).

4. Discussion

The influence of emotions on the therapeutic decisions of physicians is an important but largely unexplored field. In the present study, we analyzed facial muscle activations and emotional expressions among neurologists while they were making therapeutic decisions. By using the paradigm of complex therapeutic decisions in MS care, we found that emotional expressions (e.g. disgust and surprise) were associated with lower TI. We also observed that facial components of emotional expressions were also associated with TI. Specifically, brow furrow, lip suck and nose wrinkle were associated with an increased prevalence of TI, whereas upper lip raise, and chin raise were associated with a lower likelihood of TI. We also found that aversion to ambiguity increased the
Panel A. Direct, indirect, and total effect of aversion to ambiguity on TI with brow furrow as mediator

Panel B. Direct, indirect, and total effect of aversion to ambiguity on TI with nose wrinkle as mediator

Panel C. Direct, indirect, and total effect of aversion to ambiguity on TI with disgust as mediator
likelihood of TI. Participants with the aforementioned emotional expressions and muscle facial activations had higher arousal scores compared to those without.

The mediation analysis revealed that disgust was the single emotion that attenuated the effect of aversion to ambiguity in the financial domain on TI. Similarly, the assessment of component processes that mapped to emotional expressions revealed that brow furrow and nose wrinkle were the strongest facial factors explaining 21% and 13% of the influence of aversion to ambiguity on TI.

4.1. What is the relevance of our findings for clinical practice?

TI is a common phenomenon affecting 50% to 90% of physicians who manage patients with chronic medical conditions (e.g. hypertension, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, multiple sclerosis, among others) (Cooke et al., 2012; Blasco et al., 2017; Khunti et al., 2017; Ogura and Harada-Shiba, 2016; Siso-Almirall, 2012). TI has been associated with poorer patient outcomes and higher health care costs due to the lack of appropriate treatment escalation (affecting one out of six clinical encounters) leading to higher hospitalizations, greater disability, and lower productivity (Burks et al., 2017; Saposnik et al., 2017a, 2016b; Kobelt et al., 2006). It may occur with insufficient knowledge integration and knowledge-to-action gaps as a result of automatic responses leading to suboptimal therapeutic decisions. Specifically, neurologists caring for MS patients sometimes fail to integrate presented information (e.g. MS severity, relapses within the last three years, imaging findings with the risk of disease progression) with best practice recommendations (Gongora-Ortega et al., 2012; Kennedy et al., 2004; Djulbegovic et al., 2014; Kostopoulou et al., 2017). In the present study, we found an association between facial and emotional expressions with aversion to ambiguity and TI.

Prior studies have demonstrated that interventions increasing physicians’ arousal or awareness (e.g. through warning and categorization strategies) were associated with more accurate diagnostic or therapeutic decisions (Mamede et al., 2010, 2017b). A recent randomized clinical trial showed that neurologists who received the traffic light system educational intervention had a 70% reduction in TI (manuscript submitted for publication in Feb 2019). Furthermore, several studies demonstrated a link between specific emotions (e.g. disgust) increasing attention at early stages of visual processing (van Hooft et al., 2014). These prior findings, together with observations from the current study suggest that emotional expressions and strategies that enhance participants awareness (via increasing attention or arousal) may reduce TI.

Furthermore, our findings of (i) brow furrow being associated with both increased TI and ambiguity aversion and (ii) disgust being associated with both reduced TI and lower ambiguity aversion indicates that common emotional factors may contribute to both behaviors. In our previous studies, aversion to ambiguity was the most significant physician-level factor associated with TI (Saposnik and Montalban, 2018; Saposnik et al., 2017a; Reach, 2014). Given the limited training in risk management and formal learning in medical decisions, physicians are clearly vulnerable when handling decisions under uncertainty, especially when having aversion to ambiguity (Dijkstra et al., 2015; Monrouxe et al., 2017; Kostopoulou et al., 2012). Taken together, these findings suggest that interventions reducing TI may partly rely on emotional factors (Saposnik et al., 2017b) and that emotional factors may play a more important role for medical decision making than hitherto assumed.

What brain pathways that may underpin the link between emotions and TI?

Previous studies suggest that the neural mechanisms mediating the relation between affect and decisions depend on a participant’s emotional arousal and engagement with the specific choice to be made (Phelps et al., 2014). For example, disgust has been associated with the activation of the insular cortex which may lead to increased arousal modulating the neural responses to aversion to ambiguity, which results in influencing subsequent decision-making (Klucken et al., 2012; Mataix-Cols et al., 2008). Disgust was also shown to increase arousal by modulating emotion-specific attention (van Hooft et al., 2014). This finding is also consistent with an increased arousal score associated with disgust (and its muscle components) in our study.

Traditionally, the striatum, the amygdala, the medial prefrontal, orbitofrontal and insular cortices are thought to process emotional aspects of the decision-making process (Phelps et al., 2014; Lerner et al., 2015). Moreover, the dorsolateral and anterior prefrontal cortices and the posterior parietal cortex may modulate cognitive aspects of decisions (Cohen, 2005). Previous studies showed that stress reduces activity in dorsolateral and orbital parts of prefrontal cortex while it enhances amygdala activity, leading to decreased goal-directed behavior and increased emotional responses (e.g. fear, disgust, contempt) (Otto et al., 2014; Sokol-Hessner et al., 2013). Findings from our study are in
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keeping with this proposed framework as they support an association between facial metrics and emotional expressions (disgust) which may increase participant’s awareness/arousal (reflected by increased arousal scores) regarding a compelling decision, thereby showing a reduction in ambiguity aversion and lowering the likelihood of TI (Fig. 5). We could speculate that muscle activations associated with disgust and surprise may reflect increased activity of the insular cortex and amygdala leading to greater arousal and lower TI. Further studies using time-resolved neural methods are needed to test this hypothesis.

5. Limitations

Our study has a number of significant limitations. First, although we used a validated software to detect facial muscle activation, the association with emotional expressions may require further assessment. Second, there is a high variability of emotional expressions when participants are exposed to a specific stimulus. As such, our results should be interpreted with caution considering there are no other similar studies available for comparison. Third, the sample size is small affecting the precision of our results (e.g. wider confidence intervals). Fourth, the prevalence of emotional expressions was relatively low likely due to: (i) the strict pre-specified correlation mapping used by AFFDEX software (that combines the concomitant activation of several facial muscles to code for a single emotion) (Stockli et al., 2018), and (ii) emotions are inherently social, and therefore more neutral expressions are commonly observed when participants are exposed to computer-based simulated scenarios. (de Melo et al., 2014; van ’t Wout et al., 2006) Finally, our stimulus was based on case-scenarios that may not truly reflect the therapeutic decisions in clinical practice.

Despite these limitations, our study is the first to show that facial muscle activations and emotional expressions are associated with therapeutic decisions made by physicians who care for MS patients.

6. Conclusions

This information helps improve our understanding of the influence of emotional expressions on physicians’ therapeutic decisions. These findings, in conjunction with results from a prior study that demonstrated the benefits of an educational intervention on reducing TI have practical clinical implications. With further studies, it may be possible to identify physicians at high risk of having TI by evaluating physical, emotional, and behavioral responses (aversion to ambiguity) and tailor educational interventions to these individuals. Identifying and administering appropriate educational interventions in such situations may facilitate optimal therapeutic decisions in chronic diseases, resulting in better patient outcomes and lower health care costs.
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