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ABSTRACT

We report on interferometric observations at 1.3 mm at 2"−3" resolution using the Combined Array for Research in Millimeter-wave Astronomy (CARMA). We identify multi-wavelength counterparts of three submillimeter galaxies (SMGs; $F_{\text{1mm}} > 5.5\,\text{mJy}$) in the COSMOS field, initially detected with MAMBO and AzTEC bolometers at low, $\sim 10"−30"$, resolution. All three sources – AzTEC/C1, Cosmo-3 and Cosmo-8 – are identified to coincide with positions of 20 cm radio sources. Cosmo-3, however, is not associated with the most likely radio counterpart, closest to the MAMBO source position, but that further away from it. This illustrates the need for intermediate-resolution ($\sim 2"$) mm-observations to identify the correct counterparts of single-dish detected SMGs. All of our three sources become prominent only at NIR wavelengths, and their mm-to-radio flux based redshifts suggest that they lie at redshifts $z \gtrsim 2$. As a proof of concept, we show that photometric redshifts can be well determined for SMGs, and we find photometric-redshifts of $5.6 \pm 1.2, 1.9^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$, and $\sim 4$ for AzTEC/C1, Cosmo-3, and Cosmo-8, respectively. Using these we infer that these galaxies have radio-based star formation rates of $\gtrsim 1000\,\text{M}_\odot\,\text{yr}^{-1}$, and IR luminosities of $\sim 10^{13}\,L_\odot$ consistent with properties of high-redshift SMGs. In summary, our sources reflect a variety of SMG properties in terms of redshift and clustering, consistent with the framework that SMGs are progenitors of $z \approx 2$ and today’s passive galaxies.

Subject headings: galaxies: fundamental parameters – galaxies: active, evolution – cosmology: observations – radio continuum: galaxies

1. INTRODUCTION

Submillimeter galaxies (SMGs) are ultra-luminous, dusty star-bursting systems with extreme star formation rates in the range of $\sim 100$–$1000\,\text{M}_\odot\,\text{yr}^{-1}$ (e.g. Blain 2002). It has been shown that the bulk of this population is between $z=2$ and 3 (e.g. Chapman et al. 2005). But recently a possible high-redshift tail of SMGs has started to emerge (e.g. Younger et al. 2007, 2009; Valiante et al. 2007). To date, only about ten $z > 4$ SMGs have been confirmed (Daddi et al. 2009a,b; Capak et al. 2008, 2010; Schinnerer et al. 2008; Riechers et al. 2010; Capak et al. 2010, 2011; Smolčić et al. 2011; Coppin et al. 2009, 2010; Knuelsen et al. 2010; Cox et al. 2011; Combes et al. 2012). Their number density is still consistent with that expected in cosmological models (Baugh et al. 2005; Coppin et al. 2009; Smolčić et al. 2011). Note however that these $z > 4$ studies are not complete, and may even point to the existence of a new or different SMG population (Wall et al. 2008). The intense starburst that creates the submillimeter bright emission is likely to occur when the bulk of the stellar mass is being assembled in these galaxies; SMGs are generally believed to be the progenitors of today’s massive red-and-dead elliptical galaxies which formed in an intense burst at high redshift (e.g. Cimatti et al. 2008). It is therefore critical to study in detail these cosmologically important objects.

SMGs are generally detected in mm and sub-mm surveys with single-dish telescopes that have large beams (> 10"). The next step is then to pinpoint the precise locations of these objects and to match them with their multi-wavelength counterparts and obtain a redshift. Finding the real counterpart for an SMG is not trivial because the spatial density of optical/IR galaxies in deep fields is high and usually there are multiple galaxies within one single dish mm/sub-mm beam. Deep radio, mid-IR, optical and UV (and hard X-rays for AGN) data of higher resolution have been used to identify the right counterpart by tracing the bright star formation.
or AGN activity (e.g. Ivison et al. 2007). However, depending on galaxy properties and redshift, these different tracers are likely to introduce identification-biases, i.e. provide true identifications for only a fraction of the sample (and likely at low redshifts). In essence, the most efficient and least biased way to associate counterparts is through high-resolution mm observations. This has to date been a time-consuming process that resulted in a total of ~ 50 SMGs detected via mm-interferometry (Downes et al. 1999, Frayer et al. 2000; Dannerbauer et al. 2002; Downes & Solomon 2003; Genzel et al. 2003; Kneib et al. 2005; Greve et al. 2005; Tacconi et al. 2006; Sheth et al. 2004, 2012, Iono et al. 2006; Younger et al. 2007, 2009; Aravena et al. 2010; Ikarashi et al. 2011; Tamura et al. 2010; Katsukade et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2011; Neri et al. 2003; Chapman et al. 2008; Hatsukade et al. 2010). To date the largest comprehensive sample of SMGs detected at high-resolution via mm-interferometry is that in the COSMOS field (Younger et al. 2007, 2009, Aravena et al. 2010) and consists of ~ 20 sources in total. Here we present 1.3 mm imaging at ~ 2 – 3” resolution with the CARMA interferometer of 3 further SMGs (F_{1mm} > 5.5 mJy) in the COSMOS field originally detected in the MAMBO- and AzTEC-COSMOS surveys (Bertoldi et al. 2007; Aretxaga et al. 2011). The counterpart association based on previous data was highly ambiguous due to multiple or faint potential radio counterparts lacking optical/NIR detections. We adopt \( H_0 = 70, \Omega_M = 0.3, \Omega_L = 0.7 \) for analysis.

2. DATA

2.1. COSMOS survey

The COSMOS project is a panchromatic (X-ray to radio) survey of an equatorial 2 deg. field. The field has been observed with the major space- (Chandra – Elvis et al. 2009; GALEX – Zamojski et al. 2007; HST – Scoville et al. 2007; Koekemoer et al. 2009; Leauthaud et al. 2007; Spitzer – Sanders et al. 2007; Ilbert et al. 2010; Le Floch et al. 2009; Frayer et al. 2009) and ground-based telescopes (Subaru, CFHT, UKIRT, NOAO – Capak et al. 2007; Taniguchi et al. 2007; McCracken et al. 2010; VLA Schinnerer et al. 2007; 2010; Smolčić et al., in prep.) in more than 30 bands. Here we additionally use deep UltraVista observations in Y, J, H, Ks bands taken between Dec/2009 and Apr/2010 (McCracken et al., in prep.). Fractions of the field have been surveyed at (sub-)mm wavelengths (Bertoldi et al. 2007; Scott et al. 2008; Aretxaga et al. 2011). The three SMGs targeted here were initially detected by MAMBO (Bertoldi et al. 2007) and AzTEC/ASTE (Aretxaga et al. 2011) surveys of the COSMOS field. The deboosted ~ 1 mm fluxes are 13.0^{+1.1}_{-1.0} mJy (Aretxaga et al. 2011), 7.45 \pm 1.1 mJy, and 5.45 \pm 1.0 mJy (Bertoldi et al. 2007) for AzTEC/C1, Cosmo-3, Cosmo-8, respectively (see also tab:obs ).

2.2. CARMA observations, data reduction and source detection

We observed the three sources at 1.3 mm using the CARMA interferometer in a compact D array configuration. The targets – AzTEC/C1, Cosmo-3, and Cosmo-8 – were observed with 15 antennas (corresponding to 105 baselines) in Feb./Mar., 2009 for a total on-source time of 1.5, 4.0, and 5.4 hours, respectively. Weather conditions varied between acceptable and very good for 1 mm observations. The nearby quasar 1058+015 was observed every 15 minutes for secondary amplitude and phase calibration. The strong calibrator sources 3C 84, 3C 273, and 0854+201 were observed at least once per track for bandpass and flux calibration. Radio pointing was performed at least every 2.5 hr on nearby sources. The resulting total flux calibration is estimated to be accurate within 15–20%.

The upper (lower) sidebands of the 1 mm receivers were centered at 230 (225) GHz. Each sideband was observed with 45 channels each 31.25 MHz wide, for a total bandwidth of 2.8 GHz (2 \times 1406.25 MHz). For data reduction and analysis, the MIRIAD package was used. The final data cube obtained after flagging (and combination of the datasets from all runs) was collapsed along the frequency axis to obtain 1.3 mm continuum images.

The u – v data were imaged with natural baseline weighting, leading to synthesized clean beam sizes (rms values) of 4.2\" \times 3.1\" (2.3 mJy/beam), 2.7\" \times 1.9\" (0.7 mJy/beam), and 2.6\" \times 2.4\" (1.5 mJy/beam) for AzTEC/C1, Cosmo-3, and Cosmo-8, respectively. The observations are summarized in tab:obs.

The 1.3 mm CARMA stamps are shown in Fig. 1. All three sources are detected at \( \sim 3 – 4 \sigma \) level. We stress that the positions of our 1.3 mm sources perfectly coincide (within \( \lesssim 0.3\" \)) with significant 20 cm (1.4 GHz) radio detections drawn from the VLA-COSMOS survey (Schinnerer et al. 2007, 2010; see tab:det ). As the chance probability of finding a radio source within the CARMA beam (given the radio source number density) is of the order of only \( \sim 10^{-4} \), this significantly boosts the validity of our mm detections. We have extracted the 1.3 mm (230 GHz) fluxes using the AIPS tasks MAXFIT (that identifies the position with maximum value in a selected pixel array) and JMFIT (that fits a 2D Gaussian to selected pixel arrays). The flux densities and the corresponding errors are summarized in tab:det . The fluxes are in relatively good agreement (i.e. within \( 1\sigma \)) with those inferred from the MAMBO data, and show a stronger deviation from the AzTEC 1.1 mm data. This is likely due to a steep spectral index in the rest-frame sub-mm band which translates into a rapid change in flux even within the 1 mm window. Assuming \( \beta = 1.0 \) and 2.0 we expect a factor between 1.6 and 1.9 discrepancy between the observed 1.3 mm and 1.1 mm flux densities.

3. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

3.1. Multi-wavelength counterparts and their photometry

Images of the three SMGs at various wavelengths, with 1.3 mm CARMA contours overlaid, are shown in Fig. 1. All three sources are coincident (within \( \lesssim 0.3\" \)) with 20 cm radio detections as summarized in tab:det . Only Cosmo-8 is detected in X-ray emission (within the Chandra-COSMOS survey; Elvis et al. 2010). The multi-wavelength counterparts of our sources become prominent only at NIR wavelengths, and are blended by nearby sources. In order to extract the most accurate photometry for these sources we have carefully debiased the SMG emission (up to the Spitzer/IRAC 8 \mu m band), and extracted its flux as described in the following.
TABLE 1
Summary of observations with the CARMA interferometer at 230 GHz in D-configuration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Pointing position [J2000]</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>On-source time [hr]</th>
<th>On-source beam time [arcsec]</th>
<th>rms [mJy/beam]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AzTEC/C1</td>
<td>10 01 41.68 +02 27 13.06</td>
<td>Mar/2009</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.2'' × 3.1''</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosbo-3</td>
<td>10 00 00.00 +02 06 34.00</td>
<td>Mar/2009</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.6'' × 2.4''</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2
CARMA detections and VLA counterparts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>CARMA position [J2000]</th>
<th>VLA</th>
<th>F1.4GHz</th>
<th>photo-z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AzTEC/C1</td>
<td>10 01 41.75 +02 27 13.06</td>
<td>44 ± 10^a</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosbo-3</td>
<td>10 00 56.95 +02 20 17.79</td>
<td>78 ± 13</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosbo-8</td>
<td>69 59 59.92 +02 06 33.41</td>
<td>104 ± 13</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>∼ 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Peak intensity
** Integral intensity given; the peak intensity is 2.8 mJy
+ Adopted from the VLA-COSMOS catalogs (Schinnerer et al. 2007, 2010)
a Arexaga et al. (2011)
b Bertoldi et al. (2007)

230GHz

Fig. 1.— NIR to radio stamps for AzTEC-C1, Cosbo-3, and Cosbo-8 with 1.3 mm (CARMA) contours overlayed. The contour levels are at 2σ, 3σ, and 4σ (1σ = 2.3, 0.7, 1.5 mJy/beam for AzTEC-C1, Cosbo-3, and Cosbo-8, respectively). The cross indicates the pointing center at 230 GHz. The circle (2'' in diameter) indicates the CARMA source position.

3.1.1. Calibration of photometry using the COSMOS photometric-catalog

In this section we describe our photometric extraction procedure that will be applied in the next section to the CARMA-COSMOS UV-MIR counterparts. The photometry of sources in the COSMOS photometric catalog is extracted using aperture techniques, which we also adopt here. To validate our photometric extraction procedure, and to estimate its uncertainty we have drawn ∼ 100 random sources from the i-band selected catalog (Capak et al. 2007) that also have IR detections, and are outside masked regions in the field. Using images at their original resolution (not convolved to a common FWHM; see Capak et al. 2007 for details), we adopt aperture sizes for individual bands as summarized in tab:apert. These aperture sizes were chosen to achieve the best agreement with the photometry reported in the COSMOS photometric catalog. We also corrected our aperture magnitudes for slight systematic offsets (see tab:apert) in order to put them on the COSMOS photometry scale. Thus, our final magnitudes are computed as $m_{\text{final}} = m_{\text{apert}} + m_{\text{offset}}$. Note also, that for the IRAC...
The position was obtained either from the K band centered at the a-priori known position of the blending source to be subtracted was optimized by repeating the procedure multiple times until a noise-level residual remained at the position of the blending source. The deblending uncertainty was then obtained from the 1σ spread in the extracted magnitudes when varying the peak flux by ±20%. This uncertainty corresponds to ∼ 0.1 mag or better for all bands, and it is then added in quadrature to the photometry error estimated in the previous Section in order to obtain the magnitude error. Assuming a 2D-Gaussian point-spread function was satisfactory for Cosbo-3 and Cosbo-8 in all affected bands (see Fig. 2 and Fig. 4), while the source blending AzTEC/C1 appears to have internal structure in IRAC 3.6 and 4.5 μm images. Rather than modeling AzTEC/C1 using a more complex (and therefore more uncertain) model we simply isolate the blending source within an aperture of 2" radius, mirror it around its diagonal and then subtract this from the image (see Fig. 2). This will obviously cause over-subtraction in the part of the aperture not associated with the source of interest, while it can be assumed that the contribution of the blending source has been well subtracted from the source of interest. The blended and deblended image cutouts for our sources are shown in Fig. 2, Fig. 3, and Fig. 4 and the extracted photometry for each source is given in tab:phot.

### 3.2. Photometric redshifts

In this Section we first investigate photometric redshift estimates for SMGs based on a sample of eight interferometrically observed SMGs with spectroscopic redshift spanning a broad redshift range of $z \sim 1 - 5$ present in the COSMOS field (Sec. 3.2.1). Showing that photometric redshifts can be reliably calculated for SMGs, we then derive photometric redshifts using the same method for the three CARMA-COSMOS SMGs analyzed here (Sec. 3.2.2).

#### 3.2.1. Calibration of photometric redshifts for SMGs

Photometric redshifts are computed by fitting optimized spectral templates to the spectral energy distribution of a given galaxy, leaving redshift as a free parameter. The redshift is then determined via a χ² minimization procedure. We use the Hyper-z code to compute photometric redshifts for our SMGs with the same parameterization as in Wardlow et al. (2010, 2011): Extinction assuming a Calzetti et al. (2000) law, with reddening ($A_V$) varied from 0 to 5, and an allowed redshift range of 0 to 7. Based on ∼ 30 SMGs with spectroscopic redshifts, drawn from the LESS survey, Wardlow et al. (2011) have shown that photometric redshifts for SMGs derived with Hyper-z using the above mentioned parameterization are estimated accurately (they find a median offset between the spectroscopic and photometric redshifts of 0.023 ± 0.021; see also their Fig. 1).

In general the quality of photometric-redshifts will depend on the choice of the spectral template library to be fit. Therefore, in addition to the templates provided by Hyper-z (similar to those used by Wardlow et al.; 6T hereafter; see below), we also test other sets of template libraries. Our spectral model libraries are summarized as follows:

**2T**: Only two – burst and constant star formation hist-
### 3.2.2. Redshifts for CARMA-COSMOS SMGs

The redshifts of the CARMA-COSMOS SMGs were determined using the Hyper-z code (which artificially induces the negative area within the aperture in the deblended images). To deblend the 5.8, and 8.0 μm bands a point source was subtracted. The blending source subtracted is outlined by the circle to the left. The CARMA position is marked by the thick circle in the center of the stamp. The blending source subtracted is outlined by the circle to the right. The CARMA position is marked by the thick circle in the center of the stamp.

For this analysis we use eight SMGs in the COSMOS field with available spectroscopic redshifts of counterparts determined interferometrically (Capak et al. 2008, 2010; Schinnerer et al. 2008; Riechers et al. 2010; Smolčić et al. 2011; Karim et al., in prep, Sheth et al., in prep). We compute the photometric redshifts for these SMGs as described above and show the total χ² distribution as a function of redshift in Fig. 5. The overall match between the most probable photometric redshift (corresponding to the minimum χ²) and the spectroscopic redshift is highly satisfactory. There are no catastrophic outliers. For source AzTEC-3 at z_{spec} = 5.3 (Capak et al. 2010; Riechers et al. 2010) there are two χ² minima. However, the low redshift peak can be disregarded given that the galaxy is not detected in the radio. If it were a low-redshift SMG one would expect a strong radio detection given the depth of the VLA-COSMOS survey.

Overall, all templates reach similar solutions, and the best agreement (i.e. tightest χ² distribution) between the spectroscopic and photometric redshifts is reached when using the Michalowski et al. (2010) spectral templates, and hereafter we adopt these for our photometric-redshift computation. A direct comparison between the photometric (based on M templates) and spectroscopic redshifts is given in Fig. 6. The errors indicate the 99% confidence interval. We find a median of -0.03, and a standard deviation of 0.08 in the (z_{phot} - z_{spec})/(1+z_{spec}) distribution. We conclude that our photometric redshift computation is accurate for SMGs as expected based on results from previous studies (e.g. Daddi et al. 2009; Wardlow et al. 2010, 2011; Yun et al. 2011).

### 6T: Six templates provided by the Hyper-z code: burst, four exponentially declining star formation histories (star formation rate ∝ e^{-t/τ} where t is time, and τ = 0.3, 1, 3 and 5 Gyr) and a constant star formation history. This selection of SFH/templates is similar to the approach used by Ilbert et al. (2010) to compute stellar masses with LePhare.

### M: Spectral templates developed in GRASIL (Silva et al. 1998; Iglesias-Páramo et al. 2007) and optimized for SMGs by Michalowski et al. (2010).
Following the same approach as described in the previous Section we compute the photometric redshifts for AzTEC/C1, Cosbo-3 and Cosbo-8 using their extracted (deblended) photometry (see tab:\phot). The results are presented in Fig. 3 and tab:\phot. We find photometric redshifts of $5.6 \pm 1.2$, and $1.9^{+0.9}_{-0.5}$ for AzTEC/C1, and Cosbo-3, respectively. We stress that our photometric redshift for Cosbo-3 is consistent (within $\Delta z = 0.5$) with the recently confirmed spectroscopic redshift (Riechers et al., in prep), affirming both our computation of the UV-MIR-based photometric redshifts, and deblending technique.

Cosbo-8 is detected in the X-rays within the Chandra-COSMOS survey ($F_{0.5-10 \text{ keV}} = (2.49 \pm 0.65) \times$...
CARMA-COSMOS

TABLE 4
MULTI-WAVELENGTH PHOTOMETRY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telescope/Band</th>
<th>AzTEC/C1</th>
<th>Cosbo-3</th>
<th>Cosbo-8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subaru/g^7</td>
<td>&gt; 26.5</td>
<td>&gt; 26.5</td>
<td>&gt; 26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subaru/r^+</td>
<td>&gt; 26.5</td>
<td>&gt; 26.5</td>
<td>26.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subaru/i^+</td>
<td>&gt; 26.1</td>
<td>&gt; 26.1</td>
<td>25.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subaru/z^+</td>
<td>&gt; 25.1</td>
<td>&gt; 25.1</td>
<td>24.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UltraVista/J</td>
<td>&gt; 23.9</td>
<td>24.15 ± 0.19</td>
<td>&gt; 23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UltraVista/H</td>
<td>&gt; 22.7</td>
<td>23.64 ± 0.18</td>
<td>23.44 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UltraVista/K_s</td>
<td>&gt; 22.4</td>
<td>22.80 ± 0.18</td>
<td>22.50 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spitzer/3.6 μm</td>
<td>21.12 ± 0.11</td>
<td>20.78 ± 0.11</td>
<td>21.20 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spitzer/4.5 μm</td>
<td>21.28 ± 0.11</td>
<td>20.48 ± 0.11</td>
<td>20.81 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spitzer/5.8 μm</td>
<td>20.83 ± 0.12</td>
<td>20.55 ± 0.12</td>
<td>20.56 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spitzer/8.0 μm</td>
<td>20.12 ± 0.18</td>
<td>19.96 ± 0.18</td>
<td>20.12 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JCMT/AzTEC-1.1 mm</td>
<td>13.62 ± 0.09</td>
<td>13.94 ± 0.12</td>
<td>14.98 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRAM 30-m/MAMBO-1.2 mm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.22 ± 0.16</td>
<td>14.56 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARMA-1.3 mm</td>
<td>14.23 ± 0.34</td>
<td>14.57 ± 0.14</td>
<td>14.70 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLA-20 cm</td>
<td>19.80 ± 0.25</td>
<td>19.17 ± 0.19</td>
<td>18.86 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Magnitudes are total magnitudes already corrected for reddening. Limits are either adopted from Capak et al. (2007) or extracted from the aperture flux (J, H, K_s).

TABLE 5
BEST FIT PROPERTIES GIVEN BY THE PHOTOMETRIC REDSHIFT COMPUTATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>template</th>
<th>Hyper-z $A_V$^+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AzTEC/C1</td>
<td>SMMJ300226.17+000624.5</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosbo-3</td>
<td>LESSJ03229.4-275619</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosbo-8^a</td>
<td>SMMJ131215.27+423900.9</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^+ Reddening computed by Hyper-z; note that the templates already have intrinsic reddening as defined by Michalowski et al. (2010; see their Tab. A3)

^a Best fit template/$A_V$ for both $\chi^2$ minima.

$10^{-15}$ erg/cm$^2$/s) suggesting the presence of an AGN. As AGN are variable sources with featureless power-law spectral energy distributions, special treatment for photometric redshift estimations is required. In the COSMOS survey Salvato et al. (2009, 2011) have optimized the photometric redshift computation for X-ray selected sources reaching an accuracy of $\sigma_{\Delta z/(1+z_{\text{spec}})} \sim 0.015$.

Salvato et al. (2011) find that the Chandra-COSMOS source associated with Cosbo-8 (CID 838; see Civano et al 2012 submitted) has a photometric redshift corresponding to $z_{\text{phot}} = 0.82 \pm 0.02$ best fit by a normal galaxy template. However, the photometry is incompletely presented by the presence of a nearby object. Deblending and extracting the photometry as described here, with the addition of J, H, Ks from UltraVista, the photometric redshift value ranges from $z_{\text{phot}} = 3.6 - 4.3$, depending on the luminosity prior adopted (i.e. assuming a luminosity typical of a low luminosity AGN, i.e. $-8 < M_B < -24$, or typical of a QSO, i.e. $-20 < M_B < -30$, respectively; Veron & Veron 1998). Assuming a low luminosity AGN the best fit template is a Seyfert 1.8 drawn from the Polletta et al. (2007) library while assuming a luminosity typical of QSOs, the best fit template is a hybrid created using a ULRIG (IRAS22491) and a QSO (see Fig.7 and Salvato et al 2009 for details). The solutions are consistent within 1σ as in both cases the redshift probability distribution function shows a broad range of possible solutions, rather than a well defined unique peak. These solutions are also consistent with the photometric redshift value computed as described in the previous section which yields two $\chi^2$ minima (at $z \sim 3$ and $z \sim 4$; see Fig.7). Comparing the results from the various spectral libraries the best $\chi^2$ value is obtained when using a QSO prior yielding a redshift of $z_{\text{phot}} = 4.1^{+0.2}_{-0.5}$ (where the error is a 1σ error; see Fig.7). Hereafter we take $z \sim 4$ as the best redshift estimate for this source, noting that spectroscopic redshift follow-up is required to disentangle the various photometric redshift solutions for this SMG.

For comparison, the mm-to-radio flux ratios of the sources, regularly utilized as a redshift estimate for SMGs (Carilli & Yun 2002), suggest that all three sources lie at high ($z \gtrsim 2$) redshift (see tab:det ). An improved version of the dust-independent Carilli & Yun (2002) redshift estimator via the observed mm-to-radio flux density ratio (Yun et al. 2011) yields redshifts of $4.3^{+0.7}_{-1.4}$, $3.2^{+0.6}_{-1.0}$, and $1.9^{+0.5}_{-0.7}$ for AzTEC/C1, Cosbo-3, and Cosbo-8, respectively (using AzTEC 1.1 mm measurements for all sources).

In summary, we find photometric redshifts of $5.6 \pm 1.2$, $4.9^{+0.9}_{-0.5}$, and $\sim 4$ for AzTEC/C1, Cosbo-3, and Cosbo-8,
Fig. 4.— Blended and deblended Cosmo-8 images. H, Ks, and IRAC bands (indicated in each panel) needed to be deblended. The contour levels are at $-4\sigma$, $-2\sigma$ (dotted lines), $2\sigma$, $4\sigma$ (dashed lines) and $2^\circ\sigma, i = 3, 4, 5, 6, \ldots$ (full lines), where the rms ($\sigma$) has been derived locally. The CARMA position is marked by the thick circle in the center of the stamp (its size matches the photometry aperture). The source that was subtracted (assuming a 2D-Gaussian point-source model) is outlined by the circle to the NE.
Fig. 5. — Full χ² distribution of the photometric redshift determination for SMGs in the COSMOS field with interferometrically determined counterparts with spectroscopic redshifts. The photometric redshifts were derived using various sets of spectral models (see text for details): 2T (dotted lines), 6T (dashed-lines), M (full lines). The spectroscopic redshifts are indicated by vertical lines. Note that for AzTEC-3 the radio non-detection rules out the first χ² minimum.

Fig. 6. — Comparison of spectroscopic and photometric redshifts for eight SMGs from the COSMOS field using the Michalowski et al. (2010) spectral templates. The errors show the 99% confidence interval. The median offset and standard deviation of the Δz/(1 + z_{spec}) distribution are indicated in the bottom panel.

respectively. Below we summarize the properties of each SMG.

3.3. Properties of individual sources

3.3.1. AzTEC/C1

AzTEC/C1 has neither a J, H or Ks band counterpart, while it can be associated with a source at 3.6 μm (and becoming most prominent at 8.0 μm) that is, however, strongly blended with a bright source 1.97” to the NE (see Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). The radio-counterpart of AzTEC/C1 is only 0.28” away from the reported CARMA detection. The deblended images and photometry for AzTEC/C1 are presented in Fig. 2 and tab:phot.

The mm-to-radio flux ratio of AzTEC/C1 yields a redshift of z_{mm/radio} = 4.3_{-0.7}^{+0.4}, consistent with the photometric redshift of z_{phot} = 5.6 ± 1.2 derived from its UV-MIR photometry. The inferred high redshift is consistent with the source not being detected at wavelengths shorter than 3 μm. At such a redshift (4.3-5.6) the radio flux density of the galaxy (F_{20cm} = 44 ± 10 μJy) would imply a 20 cm luminosity of (6 − 10) × 10^{24} W Hz^{-1}. If the entire radio emission arises from star formation in the galaxy, and if at these redshifts locally determined radio-star formation rate calibrators (Bell et al. 2003, Yun et al. 2001) can be applied (as would be suggested by the constancy of the FIR-radio correlation out to high redshifts; Sargent et al. 2010a, 2010b, Murphy 2009), this radio luminosity would imply a SFR of ~ 3200 − 5600 M⊙ yr^{-1}. This is somewhat in excess of expectations for typical SMGs, thus it may be possible that part of the (radio) emission from this source arises from black hole accretion. However, it is worth noting that such properties are not unusual for z > 4 SMGs. For example, the properties of AzTEC/C1 are very similar to those of AzTEC-1 — the brightest SMG in the AzTEC/JCMT COSMOS survey (Scott et al. 2008; Younger et al. 2007, 2009, Smolčić et al. 2011; F_{20cm} = 42 μJy, z = 4.6).

---

15 Based on the S/N = 4.4 radio detection at a resolution of Θ = 1.5” the expected astrometric accuracy is Θ/(S/N) = 0.34” (note that the overall VLA-COSMOS astrometric accuracy is estimated to be better than 0.130” see Schinnerer et al. (2007) for details). In order to assess the astrometric accuracy of our CARMA detection we imaged 3C273, our secondary calibrator ~ 37 deg away from the AzTEC/C1 field phase center. We recover its position within 0.11” of the nominal position. This yields that the positional uncertainty of AzTEC/C1 is likely better than this value, and thus it rules out the possibility that the bright IR galaxy ~ 2” away from AzTEC/C1 (which also corresponds to the closest source to AzTEC/C1 detected in the optical) is its counterpart (or that of the radio source).
Fig. 7.— Top panel: Total $\chi^2$ distribution as a function of redshift for our SMGs (indicated in the panels). Solid curves show the solution based on the Michalowski et al. (2010) spectral model library used to derive the photometric redshifts as described in Sec. 3.2. For Cosbo-8 (right panel) we also show the total $\chi^2$ distribution when using model libraries with AGN templates and a low-luminosity AGN prior (dashed line) and a QSO prior (dotted line) are used (see text for details). Bottom panel: The spectral energy distribution for our SMGs (indicated in the panels; dots and arrows for 5$\sigma$ upper limits) with the best fit spectral model (corresponding to that yielding the minimal $\chi^2$ value) overplotted (black, gray and red lines show the best fit Michalowski et al., AGN and QSO library spectra, respectively). The best fit redshift is also indicated.

3.3.2. Cosbo-3

A source coincident with the position of Cosbo-3 is detected in J-band, as well as in longer wavelength bands. In the Spitzer images two surrounding sources (1.9" to the NE and 2.4" to the SW, respectively) are blending its IR emission (see Fig. 1 and Fig. 3). The deblended images and photometry for AzTEC/C1 are presented in Fig. 3 and tab:phot .

Within the MAMBO 11" beam there are 2 radio sources present, at separations of 1.3" and 5.9", respectively. Contrary to expectations, the Cosbo-3 mm-source identified by CARMA is coincident with the NW-radio source and not the radio source (at $z_{\text{photo}} = 2.4$) closest to the mm-source identified by MAMBO [Bertoldi et al. 2007]. Although consistent (within 1$\sigma$) with the MAMBO 1.1 mm flux, the CARMA 1.3 mm flux density is somewhat lower. Thus, it may be possible that Cosbo-3 at $\sim 11"$ resolution is itself a blend of two mm-sources, one of which was not detected within the CARMA 1.3 mm sensitivity. Our CARMA observations put a 3$\sigma$ upper limit to the emission of a potential second mm-source of 2.1 mJy at 1.3 mm.

Cosbo-3 was found to be located in a strong overdensity (30× higher than the field) of star forming galaxies (Aravena et al. 2010). Thus, it is possible that part of the MAMBO emission is distributed over several sources, consistent with our CARMA observations. All the galaxies in the overdensity have photometric redshifts in the range $z = 2.2 - 2.4$, providing strong statistical support to the photometric redshift of our identified counterpart.

The mm-to-radio flux ratio suggests a redshift of $z = 3.2_{-1.0}^{+0.6}$. Our photometric redshift, based on the deblended UV-MIR data, yields $z_{\text{phot}} = 1.9_{-0.5}^{+0.9}$, which is consistent with the source’s spectroscopic redshift (Riechers et al., in prep.) and closer to the photometric
redshift of the surrounding overdensity. Assuming the UV-MIR based photometric-redshift value the source’s 20 cm radio flux density ($F_{20\text{cm}} = 78 \pm 13 \text{ mJy}$) implies a radio luminosity of $\sim 2 \times 10^{24} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$ and a SFR of $\sim 900 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Scaling an Arp 220 template we find an IR luminosity of $L_{\text{IR}} \sim 1.5 \times 10^{13} \text{ L}_\odot$. The redshift and star formation rate of Cosbo-3 are fairly typical for SMGs, found to form stars at similar rates and populating the redshift range $z = 2 - 3$ (e.g. Chapman et al. 2005; Wardlow et al. 2010; Yun et al. 2011).

3.3.3. Cosbo-8

A source coincident with the position of Cosbo-8 is detected in the radio band at high significance ($F_{20\text{cm}} = 104 \pm 13 \text{ mJy}$). As in the case of the other two SMGs, in Spitzer images it is blended with a source 1.2” to the NE (see Fig. 1 and Fig. 4). Its deblended photometry is presented in tab:phot and the deblended images in Fig. 4.

The mm-to-radio flux ratio suggests a redshift of $z = 1.9^{+0.5}_{-0.7}$. The UV-MIR-based photometric redshift is in the range of $z \sim 3.6 - 4.3$ (using spectral models typical for AGN as this source is detected in the X-rays by Chandra). Assuming $z \sim 4$ the radio flux of Cosbo-8 implies a 20 cm luminosity of $\sim 10^{25} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$ and a radio-based SFR of $\sim 6400 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$, an IR luminosity of $L_{\text{IR}} \sim 1.1 \times 10^{13} \text{ L}_\odot$ (based on a scaled Arp 220 template), and an IR-based SFR of $\sim 1700 \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Bell 2003). The difference in the radio- and IR-based SFRs suggests the presence of an AGN also at radio wavelengths.

Cosbo-8 corresponds to a point source detected at high significance in both the full C-COSMOS and the best-PSF C-COSMOS datasets with 15 (2.3 estimated background) and 5 (0 background) counts in the 0.5–2 keV band, respectively. Using the countrate-to-flux conversion factors from Puccetti et al. (2009), we obtain a flux of the source in the 0.5–2 keV band of $(3.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-16} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{2}$. Assuming $z \sim 4$ and $\Gamma = 1.4$, this corresponds to a rest-frame luminosity in the 2–10 keV band of $6.8 \times 10^{43} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$, which corresponds to the level of the emission of a typical AGN (using $\Gamma = 2$ results in a 10% downward revision of the luminosity).

In the MAMBO-COSMOS area to-date only one other SMG (Cosbo-11) has been confirmed as a X-ray detected AGN (type-1 QSO at $z_{\text{spec}} = 1.83$; Aravena et al. 2008). Cosbo-11 is likely on-going a merger and shows radio and IR luminosities consistent with purely SF activity. Based on the duality of properties showing both properties of QSO and starburst it has been classified as a starburst-to-QSO ‘transition’ system. Cosbo-8, on the other hand, is also on-going a major starburst as implied by its IR luminosity, however, it shows an excess of radio emission with respect to that expected from the IR SED. This suggests that the AGN in this case is having a more important role in the bolometric output. Thus this source could also be classified as a starburst-QSO transition object, but possibly in a more advanced stage when the AGN starts to dominate the SED.

4. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In order to unambiguously determine the multi-wavelength counterparts of three $F_{1\text{mm}} > 5.5 \text{ mJy}$ SMGs in the COSMOS field (initially detected with MAMBO and AzTEC bolometers at low, $> 10^\circ$, resolution), we performed interferometric observations at 1.3 mm and $\sim 2'' - 3''$ resolution using CARMA. The observations yielded 3 – 4σ detections coincident with positions of 20 cm radio sources (VLA-COSMOS survey; Schinnerer et al. 2007, 2010). Although all three sources are coincident with radio detections, our observations illustrate the need for high-resolution mm-imaging to determine the correct counterparts of bolometer-identified SMGs. Without high-resolution mm observations, the counterpart of Cosbo-3 would have been misclassified as our observations associate this SMG with the radio source (out of two radio-sources) within the MAMBO beam that is further away from the MAMBO source center.

All three sources identified here are blended in the MIR by nearby galaxies. We have carefully deblended their photometry, and derived photometric redshifts. We find photometric redshift of $z_{\text{phot}}$ (AzTEC/C1) $= 5.6 \pm 1.2$, $z_{\text{phot}}$ (Cosbo-3) $= 1.9^{+0.9}_{-0.5}$, and $z_{\text{phot}}$ (Cosbo-8) $= 4$. These are consistent with mm-to-radio-flux based estimates for AzTEC/C1 and Cosbo-3 (4.3$^{+1.4}_{-1.0}$ and 3.2$^{+1.0}_{-1.0}$, respectively), but inconsistent with that inferred for Cosbo-8 (1.9$^{+0.5}_{-0.7}$). This is naturally understood as (part of) the radio flux in Cosbo-8 may arise from the associated AGN identified via the X-ray Chandra detection. An increased radio flux due to processes not related to star formation would lead to an artificial decrease in the mm-to-radio-flux based redshift.

Our three SMGs seem to show a relatively large redshift spread, comparable to optically selected SMGs, but with a potential bias towards higher redshifts as all 3 SMGs have been found to be at $z \gtrsim 2$ (e.g. Chapman et al. 2005). In general, although it has been shown that the SMG population peaks between redshifts 2 and 3 (e.g. Chapman et al. 2005; Wardlow et al. 2011; Yun et al. 2011), their exact redshift distribution (and thus their cosmic evolution) is still rather poorly understood. This is mainly related to statistical counterpart selection biases induced by the large single-dish mm-beams (see e.g. Yun et al. 2011 for a more detailed discussion). This can be avoided by mm-interferometric imaging at intermediate/high angular resolution of complete samples of SMGs. However, generating such samples has been a very time-consuming, and largely unfeasible process, and assembling complete samples of SMG counterparts (and their redshifts) will require surveys with facilities such as ALMA and LMT. Nonetheless, existing mm-interferometric observations of SMGs already suggest that a fraction of these sources (at least at the bright end) is unexpectedly at redshifts $z \gtrsim 4$ (e.g. 4/17 AzTEC/JCMT SMGs detected by SMA/VLA are spectroscopically confirmed to be at $z \gtrsim 4$; Scott et al. 2008; Younger et al 2007, 2009; Capak et al. 2008, Schinnerer et al. 2008; Riechers et al. 2010; Capak et al. 2010; Karim et al., in prep). In this cosmic epoch to-date there are only about ten SMGs confirmed (Daddi et al. 2009a,b; Capak et al. 2008, Schinnerer et al. 2008; Riechers et al. 2010; Capak et al. 2010; Smolčić et al. 2011; Coppin et al. 2004, 2010; Knudsen et al. 2011; Cox et al. 2011; Combes et al. 2012). Given their large star formation rates, these very
high redshift SMGs are considered to be optimal candidates for the progenitors of $z \sim 2$ massive red galaxies (Cimatti et al. 2008). However, as this population is just starting to emerge their role in galaxy evolution is still largely unexplored, and efforts to identify such sources and characterize their properties is critical. Based on mm-/radio-interferometry we have associated AzTEC/C1, the brightest SMG in the AzTEC/ASTE COSMOS survey, with a MIR/radio source at $z \gtrsim 4$. Interestingly, its high-redshift, mm- and radio-fluxes are comparable to that of AzTEC-1 – the brightest SMG in the AzTEC/JCMT COSMOS survey (Scott et al. 2008) – with properties resembling those expected for the progenitors of compact massive red galaxies at $z \sim 2$ (Smolčić et al. 2011).

Studies of SMGs, and the formation of passive red galaxies (often found in the most massive galaxy clusters) suggest that the first may be progenitors of the second (e.g. Michalowski et al. 2010; Hickox et al. 2011). Consistent with this picture, in which strong clustering of SMGs is expected, spatial clustering analysis of $z \sim 3$ SMGs find that SMGs cluster strongly (e.g. Hickox et al. 2011), and that they can be statistically associated with galaxy overdensities (Aravena et al. 2010). Furthermore, only recently have two $z > 4$ protoclusters hosting SMGs been identified (Daddi et al. 2009; Capak et al. 2010), providing valuable laboratories to study structure formation at the earliest cosmic times. Based on $BzK$-selected galaxies Aravena et al. (2010) identified a significant galaxy overdensity at $z \sim 2.3$ in the area around Cosbo-3. The mm/radio-interferometric observations and photometric redshift computation, presented here, strengthen the case that Cosbo-3 is indeed associated with this galaxy overdensity (rather than being a fore-/back-ground galaxy). This system therefore proves interesting for further studies of the dense environment of SMGs at the peak epoch of this population, linking the properties of $z > 4$ proto-clusters hosting SMGs and local galaxy clusters.

In summary, we have identified the counterparts of three single-dish detected SMGs in the COSMOS field via mm-interferometry, and presented their (deblended) UV-MIR photometry, and redshift estimates. Such studies are an important step towards reaching unbiased statistical samples of SMGs with accurately determined counterparts and redshifts – a necessary but yet unaccomplished prerequisite for comprehensive studies of physical properties of SMG population(s) and their role in galaxy formation and evolution.
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