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Pan-African Strategies for Environmental Preservation: Why Women's Rights Are the Missing Link
Flynn Coleman†

INTRODUCTION

The intimate connection between human and environmental rights has been explored extensively.¹ Largely omitted from the discussion, however, is the role rural women have played, and can play, in protecting environmental rights. This Article argues that the protection and fulfillment of women's human rights, in the context of an evolving Pan-African system for the protection of environmental rights, can be a catalyst for positive environmental change in Sub-Saharan African countries. Using examples from several Sub-Saharan African countries, this Article explores the potential benefits of increasing women's participation in their communities as an effective strategy for environmental protection.² Enhancing women's roles in environmental policymaking and granting them greater land rights will result in more effective environmental preservation, while also improving the realization of women's rights.

The environment provides a livelihood for many women in rural areas of Sub-Saharan Africa.³ Agriculture is Sub-Saharan Africa's main economic

† J.D. Candidate (2008), Boalt Hall, UC Berkeley School of Law. I am grateful to family and friends for their support and valuable comments. In particular, I would like to thank Tom Coleman, Cymie Payne, Wiltrud Harms, Iris Halpem, and the members of the Berkeley Journal of Gender, Law & Justice for their assistance. Any errors are my own.


2. See FAREDA BANDA, WOMEN, LAW AND HUMAN RIGHTS: AN AFRICAN PERSPECTIVE 275-79 (2005) (recognizing that women tend to experience disadvantages such as poverty, discrimination, lack of political power, and land rights more than their urban counterparts). See also Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women art. 14, Sept. 3, 1981, CEDAW/C/2007/III/1 [hereinafter CEDAW] (focusing particularly on rural women).

3. I recognize that researching and drawing conclusions about all women is impossible. I do not claim to speak about the individual or collective experiences of all Sub-Saharan African women or all African women in general. Rather, this Recent Development attempts to look at specific examples of different women's involvement in a geographical region's environmental movement and politics, and explain to how their particular efforts show the
stronghold, and the majority of Sub-Saharan Africa’s farmers is women. The region is experiencing environmental degradation due in large part to strategies for economic development that force countries to accept payment for dumping and tourism that upset the region’s ecosystems. This dilapidation is coupled with a lack of resources to counteract industrial growth and waste and to build knowledge and respect for environmental preservation.\(^4\) This dilemma threatens the livelihood of many women in Sub-Saharan Africa, compromising not only their basic rights but also the entire population’s.

This Article argues that including rural women in the creation of a Pan-African system of environmental rights protection—one that that encompasses the entire African region—is crucial to the system’s success. Many women in rural Sub-Saharan Africa, such as those from the Goviefe-Agodome village of Ghana, have “profound knowledge of plants, animals and the ecological processes around them” and yet have been excluded from resource management.\(^5\) Because they are gatekeepers of agricultural knowledge and managers of local land,\(^6\) rural women have an important role to play in environmental protection.

A Pan-African system of environmental rights protection could enable rural women to more fully realize their human rights by putting political and normative pressure on governments and providing a mechanism for addressing women’s grievances with regard to the environment. Increasing women’s access to formal legal land rights in instances where they are lacking and increasing their participation in environmental policy decision-making would empower women and improve the environment.

Part I of this Article advances my proposal for a Pan-African system of environmental rights protection. Although this Article does not address the mechanics of what such a system might look like, the feasibility of such a system is supported by the ongoing development of a Pan-African system for the protection of human rights and increasing global and regional attention to the intersection between human rights and environmental rights. Part II explores the impact of the region’s colonial and post-colonial history on environmental degradation and women’s rights. This part does not claim that conditions relating to women’s rights are similar in all the states on the African continent, nor does

4. It should be noted from the outset that a discussion of “development” and attendant environmental standards in the region cannot be separated from the social and cultural norms of each country; although in Africa, poverty, along with conflict in the region, is generally a main cause of lack of development. See BANDA, supra note 2, at 265.


it claim that they are identical for all groups of women within any country. Instead, it focuses on the way in which the colonial legacy has created or entrenched barriers to the land rights and participatory rights of many African women, which in turn present obstacles to environmental improvement. Part III presents two case studies of grassroots women’s organizations that demonstrate how protecting the human rights of women and involving them in decision-making can improve environmental conditions. Finally, Part IV of this Article suggests that environmental rights may be greatly enhanced by granting rural women increased rights over the land they cultivate and giving them more say in local, national, and regional decision-making processes.

I. TOWARD A PAN-AFRICAN SYSTEM OF ENVIRONMENTAL RIGHTS PROTECTION

In this section, I argue that a Pan-African system of environmental rights protection is needed. By implementing principles on the regional level, a system of environmental rights protection can be established with greater efficiency and success because it will be drawing from the human rights rubric—a structure that has proven both workable and legitimate in the international arena. A Pan-African system for the protection of environmental rights would greatly overlap with the emerging regional system for the protection of human rights, particularly in the area of women’s rights. Emphasizing women’s rights on the regional level can improve environmental protection and thus the overall status of certain rights for the entire population. Despite the vast diversity present on the African continent, commonalities in women’s experience with regard to participatory and land rights support the case for a Pan-African system of environmental rights protection based on the emerging Pan-African system for the protection of human rights.

A. Environmental Rights and Women’s Rights in International Human Rights Law

Courts, scholars, advocates, and international bodies have noted the intersection between environmental protections and other human rights objectives.7 The important relationship between the environment and human rights can be found underlying much international rights jurisprudence. As Judge Weeramantry noted in his landmark International Court of Justice (ICJ) decision in the case Concerning the Gabcikovo-Nagymaros Project:

---

[The protection of the environment is . . . a vital part of contemporary human rights doctrine, for it is a *sine qua non* for numerous human rights such as the right to health and the right to life itself. It is scarcely necessary to elaborate on this, as damage to the environment can impair and undermine all the human rights spoken of in the Universal Declaration and other human rights instruments.8]

Because of the fundamental nature of the connection between human and environmental rights, Lalanth de Silva, founder of the Environmental Law Alliance Worldwide, a global network of public interest lawyers, noted that “Environmental litigation is increasingly coming under the rubric of human rights.”9 Many scholars and advocates have similarly observed the interdependence of environmental protections and other human rights objectives. As human rights expert Professor Dinah Shelton explains:

> the primary objective of human rights law is to allow individual self-actualization by protecting each person from abuse of power by State agents, and by ensuring that basic needs can be fulfilled . . . . Environmental law, in turn, seeks to protect and preserve the basic living and nonliving resources and ecological processes on which all life depends.10

Thus, the ability of an individual to realize her most fundamental human rights is contingent upon environmental protection.

The United Nations ("UN") recognizes the potential of utilizing the human rights paradigm for furthering environmental rights, and various UN bodies advocate a combined approach towards promoting human and environmental rights. Perhaps the most commonly known example is the UN’s annual consideration of environmental problems through several of its human rights organs—an agenda undertaken as a result of the protestations of African states over human rights violations caused by transnational toxic waste dumping in their territories.11 Another example is the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), which has stated that integrating sustainable environmental policies into development objectives is a human rights issue.12 States sometimes even report on environmental issues in their periodic updates under the

---

9. Griffin, supra note 7, at 749.
11. Id. at 2.
International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, as Tunisia did in 1986.13

Moreover, there is growing international appreciation for the value of human rights-based strategies for environmental preservation that emphasize increasing women’s rights and ability to participate in political communities.14 The United Nations and regional governmental organizations have recently begun to pay attention to the role the historic disenfranchisement of certain groups of women has played in environmental degradation. International bodies have begun to recognize that enhancing women’s roles and increasing their land rights can lead to greater protection of the environment, increasing the entire populations’ enjoyment of certain human rights, such as those to health and life.

The intersection between women’s rights and environmental rights has received particular attention in the African context. A pivotal summit on environmental conditions in Africa, the African Ministerial Conference on the Environment (AMCEN), was first assembled in Cairo, Egypt, in 1985, and now meets every two years.15 This conference explores the nexus between environmental and basic human rights.16 It aims to bolster environmental advocacy on the continent and to assure that the population’s needs are met in a sustainable fashion.17 The role of women in sustainable development and other environmental issues arose concretely during the third session of the Conference in 1989 in Nairobi, Kenya.18 The Conference recommended both general and specific steps for integrating women and women’s grassroots organizations into the process of preventing environmental degradation on the African continent, citing women’s workshops on arid lands in Dakar, Senegal as a model.19 The Conference further stated that its committees should “take measures” to put the recommendations of the African Women’s Assembly on Sustainable Development into action, namely to empower rural women as part of a larger strategy to promote sustainability.20 During the eighth session of the Conference,

14. See BANDA, supra note 2, at 275-79 (recognizing that rural women tend to experience disadvantages such as poverty, discrimination, lack of political power and land rights more than their urban counterparts). See also CEDAW, supra note 2, at Art. 14 (focusing particularly on rural women).
16. Id.
17. Id.
19. Id.
20. Id.
held in Abuja, Nigeria, in 2000, AMCEN mentioned the importance of integrating "women's groups," among other sectors of society, into the development process in order to take advantage of their particular skills and environmental knowledge.21

Other international bodies recently have begun to include women's participatory rights in programs for sustainable development. In 1990, the UN released the concise Resolution on the Integration of Women in Development,22 which was specifically concerned with the plight of African, mainly rural and indigenous, women as the region continues to develop. The resolution called for a halt to gender discrimination and for taking concrete measures to increase female participation in the political decision-making process. The resolution referenced the Lagos Plan of Action and the Arusha/Nairobi Strategies for the Emancipation of Women, two earlier African- promulgated schemes for increasing women's rights that expressly recognized the key role of women in the process of development.23 The Security Council called for training programs that would lead to the increased inclusion of women in Pan-African decision-making on every level.24

In November 2002, the FAO’s New Partnership for Africa’s Development (NEPAD) formulated the Comprehensive Africa Agriculture Development Programme which set goals of improving agricultural techniques, improving land and water management, increasing market access, and reducing hunger, poverty, and rural disasters in Africa.25 The impetus for doing so was the importance of agriculture for most Sub-Saharan African economies coupled with major food insecurity and other agricultural problems that disadvantage farmers and their communities.26 The program took particular note of the need to pay attention to small-scale female farmers as a means of improving the productivity of agriculture.27 The role of women in these development and trade processes is captured specifically in the chapter entitled the “Role of African Women in Rural Development.”28 The Programme recognized the entrepreneurial role that women often play in rural African agricultural economies, noting particularly

23. Id.
24. Id.
27. Id. at Ch. 1.6, A Vision for African Agriculture, available at http://www.fao.org/docrep/005/y6831e/y6831e-02.htm#P180_37990.
that women tend to reinvest in their communities, both financially and socially. Environmental benefits result from cooperatives and other farmer's associations, information training sessions, and enforcement of property rights, policies and programs which NEPAD suggests also contribute to "well-functioning agricultural markets." This increasing attention to the intersection between human rights, women's rights, development, and environmental protection—particularly in the African context—lays the groundwork for a Pan-African system of environmental rights protection that incorporates the perspectives of rural women.

B. The Case for a Pan-African System of Environmental Rights Protection

In Africa, "law is plural and complex." The Islamic legal presence in Northern Africa, the Francophone, Lusophone, and Anglophone colonial legal traditions, the Dutch-Roman influenced laws of Southern Africa, and the customary law of indigenous communities are just a few of the various modes of legal interpretation that impact women in Africa. Despite this tremendous variety, the regional experience is a necessary level of analysis. It is impossible to understand the evolution of the Pan-African system of redress for human rights violations—and this Article's proposal for a system for addressing environmental rights—without contextualizing them within the effects of the continent's colonial and pre-colonial histories and how these histories have played out across the region.

Africa's history has influenced heavily the development and focus of its regional human rights law and enforcement mechanisms, although the subject is much more complicated than discussed here. In the wake of colonialism, the "African preference" as pronounced by African leaders, has generally been not for individual rights, but rather for collective rights focused on self-
determination, equality of all people, liberation, international peace, as well as the rights of minorities, the environment, and especially development. The African Union (AU), founded in 1999 as the Organization of African States (OAS), aims to unify the continent while also addressing an array of problems due to colonization, development, and other factors. The African Court of Justice and the African Court on Human and People's Rights, organs of the AU, were established under the African Charter of Human and People's Rights, which is a foundational document of the AU. This pattern parallels the evolution of other regional human rights structures such as those in Europe and the Americas. For example, the Inter-American Commission and Court of Human Rights have been greatly influenced by the region's experiences with disappearances, which were prevalent in such countries as Chile, Argentina, and Guatemala in the 1970's and 1980's. While not every state in the Americas has gone through a period of "desaparacidos" (disappearances), the systematic kidnapping and killing of thousands of people, initiated and executed by military governments, gave rise to a regional problem that demanded a regional solution. The outcome was the development of a regional human rights discourse and a set of instruments that were grounded in the atrocity of disappearances and the issues accompanying such mass violations of human rights.

In the African context, the marginalized status of women, arising out of the intersection of indigenous customs and colonial experiences, heavily impacts human and environmental rights and forms a regional basis of experience. While variation is great, customary law—laws that have traditionally been followed in particular social contexts—often deny women's right to own property. While

37. Jerome Shestack, Case of the Disappeared:In Foreign Countries, Missing Persons are the Nightmare Victims of State Terrorism, 8 HUM. RTS. 24, 24-25, 51-55 (1980).
39. BANDA, supra note 2. One must also recognize the multifaceted feminist debate concerning western versus non-western feminist ideologies. Id. at 7-8. This debate includes many differing opinions on the roles of race, class, religion, and colonialism in a gendered analysis
women in some Islamic legal systems and matrilineal societies have land rights equal to those of men, more common is the case that women’s rights are severely limited under customary or religious law. Rules implemented by various colonial regimes often entrenched discrimination against women present in customary law. Even in countries where discrimination and gender bias are invalid under official state law, many African women today suffer from systematic discrimination concerning laws of property ownership. In rural contexts especially, systems of gender inequality traceable to colonial influence result in women being denied title to their land. Thus, the lingering effects of colonialism on women’s rights and the environment felt across the African continent—to be explored in greater depth below—renders necessary a regional system of environmental rights protection.

II. The Impact of Colonialism on Women’s Rights and Environmental Rights

A. Environmental Rights and the Status of Women in Pre-Colonial Customary Law

In pre-colonial Africa, women often played a key role in indigenous systems of environmental protection. Contrary to arguments made by some commentators that Europeans generated the original concept of environmental protection on the continent, many communities in Africa, and particularly women from these communities, were utilizing and respecting their environment as a means of survival, pursuant to their own understandings, for many years before colonial contact. Ghanaian women, for example, traditionally occupied managerial roles over soil, energy, water and forest resources and had deep knowledge of resource management techniques passed down for generations through the female lineage. Similarly, in Kenya, women were tasked with balancing utilization of land for immediate sustenance with what would now be
seen as sustainable development principles, promoting the land’s long-term regeneration.47

Pre-colonial systems of property law were different from Western systems of land ownership in that they mostly referred to access to land, as opposed to ownership of it.48 Across Sub-Saharan Africa, land was often held semi-communally, as opposed to individually.49 Men and women generally played complementary roles in agricultural production, sharing the main goal of meeting fundamental community subsistence needs.50 Though scholars debate the meaning (and possible myth) of communal property in pre-colonial Sub-Saharan Africa,51 it is clear that individual property rights were usually viewed as secondary to the welfare of the community as a whole.52 Where systems of individual inheritance of property were present in pre-colonial Africa, many such systems provided for sole inheritance of land and property by male descendants.53 For example, indigenous Kenyan women such as the Agikulu and Luo could not own or inherit land.54 As we will see below, colonial regulation of land ownership entrenched gender inequality present in pre-colonial societies.

As with land ownership rights, pre-colonial systems of dispute settlement did not uniformly favor men. In many pre-colonial African communities,55 dispute settlement was based on accommodating all of the parties involved in the argument with the purpose of restoring peace and harmony. In Kenya, for instance, the concepts of obligation and compensation that are familiar to Western systems of dispute resolution were not a part of a majority of traditional systems of conflict settlement.56 Native women in pre-nineteenth century Sub-Saharan Africa sometimes played an active role in dispute settlements as well. During court proceedings of the Bantu Tirki of Western Kenya, for example,

49. See Bowman & Kuenyehia, supra note 48, at 128.
51. See Lentz, supra note 48.
52. GEORGE B. N. AYITTEY, INDIGENOUS AFRICAN INSTITUTIONS 37 (2d ed. 1991).
53. See id. at 93 (discussing Xhosa and other legal systems of property law).
55. I speak here of recorded legal history in certain African societies before the nineteenth century colonization of the continent.
elderly women could ask permission to express their opinions on a case or introduce evidence. However, pre-colonial legal systems varied considerably. The Shona of Zimbabwe solved family disputes only through the senior men of the family.

B. The Impact of Colonialism on Women’s and Environmental Rights

Across the African continent, colonialism had a devastating impact on indigenous systems of environmental protection and on women’s rights. The influx of European colonialists scrambling to control the African continent in the nineteenth century, culminating in the Berlin Act of 1885, fragmented African societies by creating artificial physical borders and granting possession of these new nations to different colonial powers. While European powers did adopt some conservation practices, their main motivation for doing so—sport hunting—contrasted sharply with the chief motivations of indigenous communities—preservation and sustainability. The colonialists executed the process of dividing up the territory, slavery, and the exploitation of natural resources for immediate profit without concern for the welfare or future of the indigenous populations and their environments.

In many cases, the colonial experience diminished women’s local authority and control over land, leading to negative consequences for the environment. Under European rule, women were systematically excluded from positions of authority and suffered the dual prejudices of race and gender discrimination. The implementation of European forms of land inheritance and social limitations on land ownership tended to exacerbate discrimination against indigenous women by either stripping them of any rights they initially enjoyed, or else installing rules and laws that reinforced women’s second-class stature in society.

57. Ayittey, supra note 52, at 48.
58. Id. at 87.
60. Id. at 14.
61. Sport hunting stimulated initial conservation legislation, such as the move by British Prime Minister Robert Gascoyne-Cecil in 1897 to limit ivory exports from East Africa. Daniels, supra note 45, at 12. See generally R.W. Beachey, The East African Ivory Trade in the Nineteenth Century, 8 J. Afr. Hist. 269 (1967) (discussing the immense ivory trade that came out of Africa, and specifically East Africa, during this period).
62. See generally United Nations University, Maldevelopment—Anatomy of a Global Failure (Samir Amin ed., Michael Wolfers trans., 1990) (Chapter 7—Inter-African and South-South Cooperation: Pan-Africanism in the light of the colonial inheritance and Chapter 1—Africa’s Economic Backwardness: The Origins of Africa’s Agricultural Failure), available at http://www.unu.edu/unupress/unupbooks/uu32me/uu32me00.htm#Contents. Again, while pre-colonial and colonial African histories differed greatly, common to most states in the region is a period of European (or Arab) colonization.
64. Dankelman & Davidson, supra note 63, at 15.
While in some instances indigenous women lost powers they had previously enjoyed, colonial regulation often simply reinforced customary norms of female inferiority.\textsuperscript{65}

Environmental protection was also threatened by the diminished status of women. Though pre-colonial women often did not share equal land rights with men over communally or semi-communally held property, their disadvantage was compounded in colonial years.\textsuperscript{66} As land was privatized, women became dependent on minimal compensation for their labor in contrast to previously enjoyed semi-ownership of production and profits. The governing legal regimes left them without recourse for inequitable treatment; they were prohibited from making decisions about, or profiting directly from, the land they cultivated. Scholars have noted that in some countries, like Kenya, women, unlike men, had virtually no hope of acquiring work in the colonial administration.\textsuperscript{67} This dearth of opportunities resulted in women's increased reliance on the land and their own labor for their survival at the same time as their rights to land ownership were restricted.\textsuperscript{68}

The standing of women in Africa was also affected by colonial introduction of crash crops for exportation. Traditional support units were fragmented as locals began migrating out of their communities in order to find work in the burgeoning cities, and resources that were supposed to bring mutual advantages for importing and exporting countries were drained from local economies by the new export economies.\textsuperscript{69} Economic restructuring often resulted in the privatization of what was once communal land, as was the case in Ghana.\textsuperscript{70} This upset the delicate balance of gender roles in traditional Ghanaian societies. Without access to job opportunities in the colonial regimes, women were severely disadvantaged and forced to work the land for a livelihood.\textsuperscript{71} Even today, after Ghanaian Asante women fought to loosen these restrictions and now own a share of the cocoa farms—a primary source of economic input for the country—the growth of female farm ownership lags.\textsuperscript{72} Likewise, in Kenya, the individualization of property and vesting of titles in men alienated women who had been the managers of land, even though they remained its tenders.\textsuperscript{73}

\textsuperscript{65} Mathangani, \textit{supra} note 54, at 288.
\textsuperscript{66} \textit{Id.}
\textsuperscript{68} \textit{Id.}
\textsuperscript{69} \textit{Id.} at 89-90.
\textsuperscript{70} \textit{Id.} at 132.
\textsuperscript{71} \textit{Id.} at 90.
\textsuperscript{72} Gareth Austin, \textit{The Political Economy of the Natural Environment in West African History: Asante and Its Savanna Neighbors in the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries}, in \textit{LAND AND THE POLITICS OF BELONGING IN WEST AFRICA} 187, 194 (Richard Kuba \\ & Carola Lentz eds., 2006).
\textsuperscript{73} Kameri-Mbote, \textit{supra} note 47, at 45.
were the main producers of agricultural output, yet the process of modernization disproportionally allotted benefits to males.\textsuperscript{74}

C. Women’s and Environmental Rights in Post-colonial Africa

As a region, Africa struggles with economic growth and environmental problems. Post-independence era African states are often caught up in replicating colonial relationships whereby their environment and resources are drained for the benefit of developed states.\textsuperscript{75} Groups such as tourists and corporations often profit from the resources and ecological wealth of the region, at the expense of communities.\textsuperscript{76} Due to the African continent’s colonial history, contemporary environmental issues arise within a context of abuse, poverty, and industrialization, factors that catalyzed the western environmental movement.\textsuperscript{77} Though a discussion of economic development and attendant environmental standards in the region cannot be separated from the social and cultural norms of each country, certain widespread phenomena have been identified as reasons for underdevelopment, including global power dynamics, poverty, and conflict across the region.\textsuperscript{78}

Post-colonial legal reforms infused African societies with rules that did not mesh with local realities, while also undermining traditional forms of dispute settlement—leaving women unprotected in either legal system.\textsuperscript{79} While the specifics vary greatly among societies, indigenous women in many Sub-Saharan cultures tend to be the messengers of cultural values, intermediaries in conflicts, facilitators of peace negotiations, and connectors of hostile communities through marriage.\textsuperscript{80} Many tribal women had inadequate rights to participation in local legal systems prior to colonization, but generally speaking, indigenous legal apparatuses did focus on reconciliation through restoring social harmony to the community.\textsuperscript{81} As indigenous dispute resolution practices faded from use, so did the traditional role of women as peacemakers and mediators in their communities.\textsuperscript{82} Generally, colonial systems of governance excluded women

\textsuperscript{74} Mathangani, \textit{supra} note 54, at 309.  
\textsuperscript{75} With some notable exceptions, including the Green Belt Movement in Kenya and the Naam Movement in Burkina Faso, both of which will be discussed in Part III.  
\textsuperscript{76} \textsc{W. Paul Gormley, Human Rights and Environment: The Need for International Cooperation} 54 (1976).  
\textsuperscript{77} Daniels, \textit{supra} note 45, at 13.  
\textsuperscript{78} \textsc{Banda, supra} note 2, at 265.  
\textsuperscript{81} \textsc{Ayittey, supra} note 52, at 67.  
\textsuperscript{82} \textit{See generally} \textsc{Women and Peace in Africa, supra} note 80, at 7-8 (detailing a collection of case studies concerning the role of women as peace-makers in Sub-Saharan Africa; how
from decision-making roles; this has carried over to post-colonial societies, where women are often excluded from local, national, and regional decision-making regarding land management and the environment.83

Women’s inability to hold title to land in many post-colonial African states relegated indigenous women to particularly inferior and precarious positions as they became increasingly dependent on agricultural labor to sustain themselves and their families. The growth and development of urban centers, especially following the Second World War, caused many African men to migrate to the cities,84 leaving their wives as heads of households in rural territories.85 More recently, many African households are run by females, who must raise, feed, and clothe all of the children by themselves.86 These single-parent families tend to be poorer on average than those that still have participating males;87 the number of these households continues to increase to this day according to the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).88

An estimated seventy percent of all Africans today rely on the environment for all or a large part of their income and food.89 Women contribute between sixty and eighty percent of the labor for food production for both household use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countries</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Late 1980s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesotho</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Late 1980s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Early 1990s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mid 1980s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

83. See generally id.
85. Id.
88. Introduction to WOMEN, AGRICULTURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT, supra note 87.
and for sale, but receive less than ten percent of the credit necessary to acquire arable lands. In several countries, women do a great majority of the farming—as much as eighty-six percent in the instance of Kenya. Rural women, the segment primarily involved in direct agricultural production, are often very dependent on a healthy environment because, in addition to depending on it for their economic labor, they manage the home, participating in activities such as fetching water and collecting wood for fuel—activities heavily impacted by the environment’s well-being. The result is that women often bear disproportionately any negative costs associated with environmental degradation without receiving the reciprocal benefits.

Women have by and large been denied a role in the decision-making policies affecting the natural environment upon which they rely so heavily. Though many of Africa’s modern constitutions are brilliantly constructed documents with prohibitions on sex discrimination, “equality” has often been restricted to the civil and political spheres such as voting rights, or has been undermined by systematic exceptions to anti-discrimination prohibitions which have rendered equality meaningless in practice. Continuing discrimination exists in many countries as governments struggle to balance hybrid legal systems that incorporate customary, religious, and modern laws descendant from colonial times.

Kenya provides just one example of the way in which discriminatory laws result in the continuing gender-based denial of land rights. Like most of Sub-Saharan Africa, post-colonial Kenya has an agriculturally based economy that


92. Id.


94. See also Ewelukwa, supra note 67, at 80.


96. Ewelukwa, supra note 67, at 80.

97. See also Ewelukwa, supra note 67, at 80.

depends heavily on women as the main force behind production. As with many Sub-Saharan African constitutions, the modern Kenyan constitution contains an equal protection clause in its Bill of Rights, which encompasses a prohibition on gender discrimination. Kenyan courts, however, have excluded customary and personal laws, such as marriage, divorce, and other family law issues, from the constitutional prohibition. The Registered Lands Act of 1970 is a contemporary example of how this exemption to anti-discrimination requirements works. While the Act enhanced women’s land ownership rights on paper, it is virtually ineffective in practice because women’s high illiteracy rates have prevented them from understanding the new law, and as a result, hindered them from demanding its enforcement. As Dr. Patricia Kameri-Mbote, an international human rights and environmental lawyer, observed, “the provisions of the [Registered Land] Act are gender neutral but the application is gendered.” Consequently, most indigenous women are still unable to obtain ownership over the land they work, and continue to face difficulties in applying for and receiving financial credit and making choices concerning the management of land. The problem in Kenya is compounded by the patriarchal nature of the country’s governmental leadership, which has a history of excluding women from positions. Such behaviors have, not surprisingly, affected women’s rights to land and related resources. As noted by Kenyan lawyer and anthropologist Celestine Nyamu-Musembi, land adjudication committees still operating in Kenya—the members-only groups that settle land ownership disputes—are run by men.

Gender discrepancies in property rights are present in much of Sub-Saharan Africa. In many countries, women have limited ownership rights to land, or what little control they do have derives from their status as wives, a power which terminates if they become widowed or divorced. While some countries—such as Zimbabwe and Botswana—have enacted legislation

---

100. See, e.g., CONSTITUTION, Art. 11 (2002) (Congo); S. AFR. CONST. 1996, Ch. 2, § 9 (Bill of Rights); CONSTITUTION, Art. 25 (1994) (Ethiopia).
101. KENYA CONSTITUTION, supra note 95, at ch. V, 70.
102. Mathangani, supra note 54, at 301. See also Kameri-Mbote, supra note 47, at 45.
105. Id.
106. Mathangani, supra note 54, at 302.
108. Id.
109. BANDA, supra note 2, at 278; C. Nyamu-Musembi, Are Local Norms and Practices Fences or Pathways? The Example of Women’s Property Rights’ in A An Na ‘im, in CULTURAL TRANSFORMATION AND HUMAN RIGHTS IN AFRICA 142 (2002).
110. Bowman & Kuenyehia, supra note 48, at 128.
111. Id.
attempting to close the gender gap in land ownership and marital property rights, enforcement is often weak or nonexistent.\textsuperscript{112} Commonalities across the African continent relating to the experience of colonialism and its impact on women’s rights and the environment suggest that a Pan-African system for the protection of environmental rights would be more effective in addressing the region’s environmental problems.

III. CASE STUDIES OF NGOs INCORPORATING WOMEN’S HUMAN RIGHTS AS A STRATEGY FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

In addition to the specific lingering impacts of colonialism on women’s rights and environmental rights, there are at least two other major factors that create obstacles to the development of a Pan-African system for environmental rights protection. First, the chaotic and often repressive political atmosphere in many Sub-Saharan African nations hinders the formation of an effective environmental movement.\textsuperscript{113} In Burkina Faso for instance, state-run environmental groups such as Committees for the Defense of the Revolution (CDR)—grassroots organizations of peasant farmers originally formed to advocate for sustainable development—have served as propaganda mechanisms for government policies hostile to women’s participation and preserving the environment.\textsuperscript{114} In Kenya and Tanzania, community self-help groups meant to create a space for expression, education, and solidarity among villagers are viewed as threats to governmental control.\textsuperscript{115}

Second, the concentration of NGOs focusing on environmental and human rights throughout the region is quite uneven. Nations considered relatively politically stable often have a greater number of environmental groups than war-torn countries.\textsuperscript{116}

The stymieing of NGO activity illustrates one way in which the political and social fallout of colonialism, which has plagued Africa at the macrocosmic level, continues to negatively affect environmental improvements in Sub-Saharan Africa. Organizing campaigns spawned by international NGOs and grassroots collectives are in their nascent stages; in many states they have as of yet been unable to successfully counter the economic, political, and social realities that militate against stronger environmental protection strategies.\textsuperscript{117} However, there are some reliable indications that this pattern is changing in some locations. Community organizations are leading environmental rights movements in the region and deploying numerous tactics, including gender
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inclusion, as successful catalysts for environmental change. Two organizations that embody this shift are described below.

A. The Green Belt Movement

Kenya's now famous Green Belt Movement is a prominent example of how effectively promoting indigenous rural women's involvement in institutional environmental planning leads to positive change. Green Belt has been immensely successful in improving the nation's overall human rights conditions—even hastening democratic reforms—by effectuating environmental improvements through a focus on women's empowerment. The group was founded in 1977 by Dr. Wangari Maathai, the first woman in Eastern and Central Africa to earn a doctorate and the first woman from Africa to be awarded the Nobel Peace Prize. Green Belt's mission is to plant trees in Kenya in order to reverse devastating deforestation and improve the lives of the women who plant them. Dr. Maathai's Green Belt has grown to include a vast spectrum of advocacy and training programs under the patronage of the Council of Women in Kenya (NCWK). Since Dr. Maathai began the Green Belt, her movement has been showered with accolades from a diverse range of organizations and individuals.

Green Belt started with multiple ambitions, one of which was increasing the involvement of indigenous women as equal partners in creating "greenbelts," or newly replanted tracts of previously deforested land. While all members of the community are encouraged to participate in reforestation efforts, women farmers constitute the majority of Green Belt's constituency by design. The organization orchestrates trainings to provide women with the skills they need to plant, cultivate, maintain and profit from tree seedlings. In addition to the recuperative and restorative effects of tree planting on the environment, the proliferation of new vegetation enables women to decrease the time they spend collecting wood for cooking fires, freeing up time for potentially more productive activities, such as increasing their experience and skills in other
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industries. Planting trees thus empowers women and their communities to become more self-sufficient.

To date, more than thirty million trees have been planted, resulting in the reforestation of Kenya through scores of greenbelts, vast coverings of new trees that provide fresh oxygen, protect soil, and provide havens for numerous species.\footnote{127} The recent addition of ceremonies to commemorate the completion of large greenbelts has given the movement publicity and fostered a sense of bonding amongst participants of the Green Belt Movement.\footnote{128} Through these ceremonies, women have made connections, sometimes leading to the formation of new organizations.\footnote{129} Thus, the Green Belt Movement increases the national capacity to promote environmental change through increasing rural women’s participation in the public sphere.

Currently, 6,000 original planters’ collectives have organized themselves into 600 networks. Satellite clusters have also grown out of these collectives; the vast majority of the members remains female.\footnote{130} These networks continue to work throughout Kenya in an organized fashion, planting trees that reforest arid areas of the land while also training new volunteers in reforestation and methods for utilizing trees as both ecological preservation mechanisms and economically profitable natural resources. As of today, 100,000 women have organized tree-planting collectives.\footnote{131} This mobilization of strong female networks is an essential element of the movement and powerful tool for reform because women have more information about the land and serve as the main educators of future generations.\footnote{132}

The Green Belt example shows how progress towards environmental rights protection is improved by utilizing local women’s strengths in combating deforestation and rehabilitating land. The Green Belt Movement contributes to a healthier environment, supports biodiversity, and alleviates poverty.\footnote{133} Tellingly, this grassroots environmental movement is led primarily by women who, by dint of their relationship to agriculture and development, were able to identify and formulate effective solutions to an environmental problem affecting themselves and their communities directly. The organization began by tapping into local knowledge of environmental issues which women were uniquely situated to understand and analyze, and has encouraged a mode of self-reliance, where communities can be more self-sufficient in their use of resources.\footnote{134} The model has raised Kenya’s environmental integrity.

Green Belt embodies the potential of rural women to increase effective
protection of natural resources. The chain reaction which occurred following the organization’s focus on increasing village women’s participation illustrates how a human rights-based approach incorporating the unique strengths of native Kenyan women into strategies for overall improvement of the environment promotes the human rights and environmental of all. Because women are the gatekeepers of agricultural knowledge and the primary family educators, when their participation in planning and organizing is emphasized the environmental movement’s capacity to generate green space increases.135 Because of the utilization of indigenous women’s unique knowledge and skills, over the years one initial tree turned into an expanse of forested areas throughout Kenya.

B. The Naam Movement

The Naam movement, which started in Burkina Faso in 1967, is another example of an organization that uses the knowledge and skills of rural women to bring about positive environmental change.136 The goal of the Naam movement is to educate communities about environmental degradation and conservation practices while simultaneously aiming to reduce poverty through “developing without destroying”—a concept akin to sustainable development.137 Rural women were integral to successful localized development and dissemination of energy-efficient technologies that reduced natural resource depletion.

Bernard Ledea Ouedraogo, a teacher who trained rural workers, founded the Naam movement. Ouedraogo was frustrated with the ineffective training of farmers, so he looked to some of the traditional organizational structures of the surrounding villages for a solution. He learned of the Kombi-Naam, a native concept wherein all individuals were equal regardless of their gender or social status.138 Ouedraogo’s decision to replicate this structure is an essential aspect of the Naam movement’s ability to break down barriers to effective training, resulting in enhanced protection and efficient utilization of natural resources. By adopting an equality model, Naam utilized villagers’ skills, especially women’s, to develop low-cost, energy-saving technologies and to develop methods for passing the knowledge of these technologies from generation to generation.139 The movement generated the construction of wells, gardens, shops, mills, and dams, and helped develop energy-efficient tools including water filters, all of which used less local resources and were lower in cost.140

Rural women responded to the Naam project by using their unique knowledge and skills.
technical skills, with particular success in the proliferation of energy efficient strategies for the domestic sphere. Learning new and simple technologies that could lessen the amount of wood necessary for cooking, for example, was a crucial focus of Naam's environmental work. The success of this project largely hinged upon the participation by female members of local communities, who developed an innovative three-stone stove that used thirty-five to seventy percent less wood than older models. The stove improved environmental conditions and human rights in two concrete ways. First, its fuel efficiency led to the conservation of communities' natural resources, and second, it decreased the amount of time women required for collecting wood before cooking. As a direct result of the stoves, lumber—an extremely valuable natural resource used in constructing everything from homes to tools—is depleted at a slower pace. The diminished demand for wood simultaneously reduces deforestation.

The movement trained women to build and use the stove and pass on their techniques to others. Absent the benefit of the Internet, telephones, or written manuals, women were central to the operation; they orally disseminated the knowledge necessary to build stoves and other innovative community tools. By 1986, 83,500 of these new stoves were in use, translating into a considerable amount of forest preservation and reducing the burden women were forced to shoulder in fulfilling daily household tasks.

Large-scale change could only occur through a process of information dissemination and education across numerous communities. Through village teaching seminars, women gained public attention. The women explained how they incorporated environmentally friendly practices into their domestic routines and discussed their experiences with the new ecologically friendlier domestic mechanisms they had developed. The Naam movement enabled indigenous rural women, who were traditionally seen as educators, to share information within their own communities and across village borders, teach each other practices of conservation, brainstorm new technologies, and disseminate information based on a language of common experience in the domestic sphere. The movement has succeeded in enhancing villagers' awareness of environmental degradation and elucidating the positive ramifications of implementing more environmentally friendly domestic technologies.

The thousands of Naam groups that have sprung from the initial community movement illustrate the effectiveness of giving indigenous rural
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women a chance to participate in shaping environmental organizing and policy. With over 200,000 members, the Naam movement stands among the most successful African environmental movements, illustrating the power of women’s knowledge and skills when put to effective use.

IV. POLICY SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVING THE ENVIRONMENT AND WOMEN’S RIGHTS

A. Take Active Measures to Increase Women’s Participation in Environmental Decision-Making

The preceding case studies demonstrate the effectiveness of conscientiously vesting women with equal participation rights. While women are underrepresented in formal decision-making, when given the opportunity to do so, they have proven effective at formulating strategies and organizing for conservation. Movements such as Naam and the Green Belt are successful in their environmental advocacy because they directly counter the trend of excluding women. The organizations focus on incorporating forms of knowledge and activism particular to women to promote better environmental practices. Green Belt brought specific attention to women’s lack of political authority in Kenyan politics and society while proving how their systematic exclusion has negatively impacted environmental preservation efforts. Naam generated success by using women’s knowledge of land management and their position as teachers within local cultures in order to successfully disseminate information. These are only two of many possible models of including women in environmental decision-making.

Development strategies of African states have long included a “gender blind” discourse, often resulting in a failure to account for the different roles that men and women have traditionally been assigned, as well as the legal and social strides made in recent years in attacking discrimination against women. As a result, plans and policies frequently addressed poorly the ways in which gender
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operates in different spheres of society, especially failing to account for the intersections of indigenous status, customary law, and occupation. The obstacles presented by many Sub-Saharan indigenous rural women's needs, labor roles, and unique forms of subordination have impeded their participation in environmental planning and development despite the valuable information and skills they can contribute. However, as Anne-Marie Smith and Asha-Kin Duale conclude, "[t]he sheer numerical strength of women in Africa, manifested in their voting power, means that women are in a position to influence democratic constitutional change in a positive way." Women must become integral participants in environmental policy reform.

Increasing women's rights and educating particularly vulnerable populations about enforcement mechanisms will assist the groups of women most negatively impacted by histories of inequality in pre- and post-colonial times in voicing their environmental concerns at local, national, and eventually Pan-African levels. In the absence of a Pan-African system for the protection of environmental rights, the African Court on Human and People's Rights and the African Court of Justice, which the African Union plans to merge, are suitable venues for enforcement of basic land ownership rights because their objective is to protect individual and collective rights. Where national court systems fail, Pan-African systems of justice can pressure state judicial systems to change their jurisprudence, and provide a higher echelon of redress for women, their organizations, and their communities.

Although Sub-Saharan indigenous African rural women are not the only subsection of the population impacted by environmental conditions, they have proven crucial to efforts to protect the environment and cultivate natural resources in a sustainable manner because of their particular historic relationships to land and the community. As Nobel Prize-winning economist Amartya Sen noted, "women are increasingly seen, by men as well as women, as active agents of social change: the dynamic promoters of social transformations that can alter the lives of both women and men." Because of rural women's unique relationship to the land and their ability to organize effectively for environmental change on the local level, facilitating their involvement at the regional, Pan-African level can enhance environmental protection. Considering the strong link between environmental health and sustainable development with the realization of human rights, it is imperative to aggressively address issues of discrimination against women.

While international bodies increasingly recognize the essential link
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between empowering women and protecting the environment, there is still a long way to go towards truly involving women in environmental planning and activities. Similar to the way the minimal presence of women in rural NGOs perpetuates a focus on civil and political rights as opposed to economic, social, and cultural rights, the lack of women involved in Pan-African systems of justice results in a lack of focus on key environmental issues. In particular, these systems could benefit from the knowledge and skills of women who work in agrarian settings. Women, particularly those who engage in small-scale agricultural production and natural resource management, should play a central role in the many stages of developing a Pan-African system of environmental rights regulation. Focusing on empowering rural African women through emphasizing their role in making decisions concerning land use and broader environmental policy planning will ultimately help not only individual women but also their communities and the region as whole. A Pan-African system of environmental protection will profit vastly from empowering these women—a constituency with unique knowledge about land management, resources, and conservation practices.

B. Protect Women’s Equal Right to Land Ownership

Egalitarian land rights are essential to environmental rights and protection. As the key managers and caretakers of the land on which they work, rural indigenous women currently possess techniques and cultural traditions that could help reverse ecological degradation in Africa. Different states vary in their treatment of women’s land ownership rights, and the origins of inequality are complicated and vary from one nation or locality to the next. Countries that do not have equal land laws should be pressured to rectify the inadequacies in their systems.

Judicial precedent regarding women’s land ownership rights is evolving in several African states and beginning to revisit the relationships between customary and colonial legacies of discrimination, and synthesize modern concepts of law and community principles. A landmark case for women’s land rights in Sub-Saharan Africa is Ephrahim v. Pastory and Kaizingele, in which the High Court of Tanzania repealed a Haya customary law that allowed only men to sell clan land. The defendant, Ms. Pastory, had inherited land from her
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father, which she subsequently sold.\textsuperscript{164} Her nephew claimed that because she was female she could not sell clan land under customary law, and sought to have the transaction voided.\textsuperscript{165} The court decided, citing the African Charter and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), along with Tanzanian anti-discrimination law, that this particular customary Haya law was inconsistent with national and international standards of women’s rights.\textsuperscript{166} In so holding, Judge Mwalusanya interpreted the law as stating that customary law must be interpreted in a way that conforms with the Bill of Rights, and unjust customary laws should be remedied.\textsuperscript{167} In essence, the Tanzanian court ruled that if a customary law conflicts with modern standards of women’s rights to land ownership, it would not be upheld. This holding is a major achievement for the protection of women’s rights to land, because it explicitly states that women’s rights are prioritized above discriminatory customary laws. The case has become a benchmark for resolving complicated cases attempting to reconcile conflicting customary, national, and international laws within Tanzania and elsewhere in Sub-Saharan Africa.\textsuperscript{168}

Pan-African standards for women’s rights have helped to begin the process of resolving laws potentially harmful to women’s land ownership interests, as the Pastory case and Judge Mwalusanya’s use of CEDAW and Tanzanian anti-discrimination law has illustrated. Having an applicable standard on the regional level allows women increased opportunities to become decision-making players in environmental policy and practices. It does so by giving them a system of redress in locations where their rights to participate are less developed or have been violated.\textsuperscript{169}

Microfinance is another method of improving women’s land rights. Groups such as the African Women Food Farmer Initiative (AWFFI), founded in 1999, focus on closing the chasm between the amount of labor that women invest in the land and how few rights they have over it. The AWFFI promotes community-based loans and training in business skills.\textsuperscript{170} The organization is part of a larger microfinance movement, which promotes lending small sums of money to individuals and groups in underdeveloped countries.
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Another microfinance group, the Grameen Foundation and Bank, founded by Professor Muhammad Yunus, recently won the 2006 Nobel Peace Prize for its pioneering work. Many NGOs have since used microfinance as a key element of raising women’s social status through focusing their microcredit programs on lending to women. As of August 2006, ninety-seven percent of Grameen’s 6.61 million borrowers were women, and the rate of repayment is an admirable 98.85%. The organization works in four African countries: Nigeria, Uganda, Cameroon, and Ethiopia. In Nigeria, only 1.6% of loans are past due. The success of Grameen in Bangladesh, where the microfinance movement originated, illustrates that a high percentage of women customers is linked to extremely high rates of repayment as well as the positive response to these financial opportunities. Microfinance institutions in the Sub-Saharan African region have recorded similar positive results for women’s participation. In Benin, women repay their loans at a rate of ninety-five percent, while men’s repayment rates are between sixty and seventy percent. The microfinance movement has an impact far beyond rural women’s immediate access to credit. The Grameen microcredit movement has enabled an enhanced role for women in environmental development and natural resource conservation. Armed with small loans, women have enhanced their standing in communities as resourceful land managers and businesswomen, enabling their opinions on preservation to be better heard. As a result of access to these loans, rural indigenous women in many Sub-Saharan African societies have taken particularly notable steps towards conserving natural resources, preventing degradation of the environment, and enhancing social welfare. The successes of this movement prove that, given the opportunity, women place a high value on reinvesting in and improving their entire communities.

Women’s participatory rights may be positively impacted by increasing
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land ownership rights. One study in the 1980s concerning the role of gender in natural resource management in the Malshegu sacred grove in Ghana concluded that the "key issue . . . in the promotion of women's effective participation is to focus attention on women's access to and control over such resources as land and trees." 180

CONCLUSION

This Article has attempted to identify the crucial role women can play in establishing a healthy environment through a proposed Pan-African system of protections for environmental rights. The adoption of a human rights framework that aims to increase the power of indigenous rural women may improve the region's ecosystems and promote sustainable development. The colonial legacy pervading the region has, in many cases, exacerbated the discrimination present in some customary laws. In several cases, this has resulted in the loss of any property rights that rural indigenous women previously held, a process that seriously encumbers their ability to contribute to environmental policies. Pan-African tribunals and other systems of redress are slowly coming into the being. These mechanisms, outfitted with a strong focus on women's and environmental rights, may improve the protection of women's property rights, and consequently the preservation of the natural environment, throughout Africa. When women are given the opportunity, through equal and legally enforceable property rights and involvement in decision-making regarding environmental policy, they can assist in reversing environmental degradation and preserving natural resources for future generations.
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