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Abstract. Waste dumping is a serious environmental threat to major rivers from extra-Carpathian Region of Neamţ county in the proximity of villages because the lack of waste collection services. In this context, floodplains are frequently susceptible to such bad practices, these areas being also exposed to stronger floods. The paper aims to calculate the potential waste taken from these areas in order to assess a quantitative impact of these bad practices.
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Introduction Dumpsites are frequently located on floodplains of major rivers in the proximity of built-up areas. These susceptible areas from extra-Carpathian region of Neamţ county are most exposed to illegal dumping (Mihai et al., 2013). In this context, the paper outlines the role played by floods on this environmental issue for the main catchments.

Aims and objectives. The paper proposes a quantitative assessment method of flood waste generated from uncontrolled waste disposed on floodplains from extra-Carpathian region of Neamţ county.

Material and Method. The proposed method completes and follows others quantitative assessments methods of illegal dumping (Mihai, 2012, 2013, Mihai et al., 2012) which estimates the household waste disposed by communes and villages into surroundings. \( Q_{df} \) and \( Q_{wr} \) indicators (calculated at the village scale in the previous studies) are essential in calculating the amounts of flood waste \( (Q_{fw}) \) according to the relation:

\[
Q_{fw} = Q_{wr\ tot} \times A_p \quad \text{or} \quad Q_{fw} = Q_{df\ tot} \times A_p , \quad Q_{fw\ tot} - \text{amounts of waste taken over by floods from several localities (} Q_{wr\ tot} + \ldots + Q_{wr\ n+1}) , \quad A_p - \text{the accumulation (storage) period of the waste, expressed in number of days (frequently the period between two floods, especially in the extra-Carpathian sector). This period varies according to geographical region analyzed due to a specific climatic and hydrological context and values of} \ Q_{wr} & Q_{df} \text{ must be expressed in t/day or kg/day.} \]

\[Q_{wr\ tot} - \text{total waste disposed by selected localities along a riverbed or creek bank (in the proximity of built-up area)}, \quad Q_{df\ tot} - \text{total waste disposed (by selected localities) in the alluvial plain of a river in the Subcarpathian sector (Ozana /Crăciuş /Bistriţa a) and corridor valley (Moldova / Siret)}\]

Determination of PET amounts uncontrolled disposed is achieved by following relation: \( Q_{fwPET} = Q_{wr/day} \times Sp(\%) \times A_p , \quad Sp - \text{share of plastics (\%) in waste composition, information taken from the average composition of municipal waste of Neamţ County, measured through BALKWASTE Life – Project (2010). The accumulation period of waste is set for floodplains of major rivers from study area (Moldova, Siret, Bistriţa, Ozana, Crăciuş) according to strong floods which were frequently in the past decade such as 2005 (July), 2008 (July 22 to 31) and 2010 (June 18 to 27).} \]

In this context, the accumulation periods taken into account for this analysis are: January 1, 2003 - June 30th 2005 (546 days) and July 1, 2008 – June 18, 2010 (352 days).
Results and Discussion. Estimated amounts of flood waste from such disposal sites (located on floodplains) are significant for all rivers in 2003-2005, about 4000 t in 546 days including 60 tons of PET accordin to table 1. In both periods, Bistrița and Siret valleys generated the most of flood waste from study area. Strong floods from last decade often cleaned the wastes dumped in floodplains which amplified the destructive effects in downstream. Some of this waste is accumulating behind dams or hydropower plants or are scattered on surrounding lands, particularly floatable fractions like wood (agricultural source) or PET bottles (domestic & commercial sources).

The progress on the expansion of waste collection services in rural territory was insignificant between 2003-2008, however, there has been an upward trend since the closure of old dumpites in 2009, according to EU acquis.

Tab. 1

Estimations of flood waste generated following natural hazards in 2005 and 2010 on rivers from sub-Carpathian area and corridor valley sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rivers</th>
<th>Q_{fw} (t/546 days)</th>
<th>Q_{fw}_{PET} (t/546 day)</th>
<th>Q_{fw} (t/352 days)</th>
<th>Q_{fw}_{PET} (t/352 days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moldova (total)</td>
<td>637.3579</td>
<td>41.428</td>
<td>410.8974</td>
<td>46.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siret (total)</td>
<td>708.72</td>
<td>46.066</td>
<td>456.903</td>
<td>51.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozana (total)</td>
<td>562.36</td>
<td>36.566</td>
<td>362.676</td>
<td>40.619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cracău (total)</td>
<td>600.5817</td>
<td>39.037</td>
<td>387.188</td>
<td>43.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bistrița</td>
<td>1485.044</td>
<td>96.527</td>
<td>957.3915</td>
<td>107.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3994.2636</td>
<td>259.624</td>
<td>2575.0559</td>
<td>288.401</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion. Strong floods from Moldova, Bistrița and Siret catchments often cleaned the wastes dumped in floodplains which amplified the destructive effects in downstream. Despite these issues, just these floods favored this convenient and inadequate practice of local residents as well as in the mountainous region. The paper performs for the first time a quantitative analysis of flood waste generated by these local dumpsites.
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